



ISSN: 1989-3477 | LEGAL DEPOSIT: V5051-2008

MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION PROTOCOL						
Evaluation of the following category (please mark the suitable box):	Article		DocenTIC		Monograph	
Manuscript title:						
Sent for review (date):						
Returned after review (date):						
ELEMENTS TO EVALUATE						
1. The title of the paper is clear an				adeq	uately. (Focus on	
grammatical, syntax or other kinds of e	errors in all thre	ee lang	guages).			
2. The abstract and the keywords are chosen adequately.						
3. In case of tables, graphs and other illustrations: Are they relevant and are they compiled correctly? In case there are no tables, graphs and other illustrations, please indicate it.						
4. The language of the paper is chosen properly according to the academic and scientific purposes.						
5. The authors comply with the submission guidelines and structure the paper adequately.						
6 . Do you think the authors should some guidance in this respect? *	l improve the	word	ing in gener	al? If s	so, could you give	
7. Relevance and currency of refer	ences and lite	ratur	e review.			





ISSN: 1989-3477 | LEGAL DEPOSIT: V5051-2008

8. Evaluate if the arguments are used properly.				
9. Evaluate the paper's originality and scientific contribution referring to own				
knowledge of the issue presented.				
10a. In terms of research papers: Is the paper clear and are the paper's objectives,				
hypothesis, methodology and conclusions consistent? Evaluate the methodological				
rigour, research tools and results.				
10b. In case of a discussion paper on some current status, literature review or				
divulgation of a concrete issue, how would you evaluate the rigour, depth, topicality of				
these aspects and the interest to be published in @tic?				
11. Is the bibliography relevant, current and broad? Evaluate also if the bibliographical				
references and literature references follow the APA 6 th edition guidelines. Evaluate if the references in the text are used adequately.				
references in the text are used ducquatery.				
12. Do you recommend its publication in @tic. revista d'innovació educativa?*				
Yes No Yes, revisions				
suggested				
13. Indicate the revisions you consider necessary (in case of "Yes, revisions				
suggested").				
14. When you mark "No", please write down your reasons.				