

SU(2) treatment and CFT's

Ivan Agullo

University of Valencia

Workshop on Black Holes and Loop Quantum Gravity

March 26-28 Valencia, Spain

Work in collaboration with: J. Diaz-Polo & E.F. Borja (U. of Valencia)

LQG, Isolated Horizons, Chern-Simons theory and black hole entropy
LQG. Isolated Horizons, Chern-Simons theory and black hole entropy
New perspective: Black hole entropy from CFT's
 Conclusions
 Conclusions

Ashtekar, Baez, Corichi, Krasnov (2000): Quantum geometry of IH and BH Entropy

• The black hole is introduced in an effective way: the horizon is introduced as an inner boundary of the spacetime manifold.



• U(1)-Chern-Simons Theory on S

Quantizing...

Bulk:

- SU(2) spin network $\longrightarrow j_i$ labels

- finite number of edges piercing the horizon (punctures) $\longrightarrow m_i$ labels **Horizon:**

- U(1)-Chern-Simons theory on a punctured horizon $\longrightarrow a_i$ labels





$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_V \otimes \mathcal{H}_S$$

Boundary conditions:

$$-2m_I = a_I \mod \kappa$$

Black hole Entropy



We define
$$n_j$$
 as the number of times that the label j appears in a sequence

$$\begin{array}{c}
\underbrace{(j_1,j_1,\ldots,j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_n,\ldots,j_n)}_{n_{j_1}\text{-}\text{times}} \equiv \{n_j\}_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \\
 \text{Bulk LQG:} \quad A(\vec{j}) \coloneqq 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2 \sum_{l=1}^N \sqrt{j_l(j_l+1)} \\
 \{n_j\}_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \longrightarrow \{n_{|m|}\} \xrightarrow{} \{n_k\} \xrightarrow{} \{n_k\} \xrightarrow{} U(1)\text{-Chern-Simons} \xrightarrow{} n(A) \\
 k = 2|m| \qquad -2m_I = a_I \\
 \text{Reordering} \\
 factor \\
 \hline
 n(A) = \sum_{\{n_k\}} \underbrace{(\sum_k n_k)!}_{\prod_k n_k!} P(\{n_k\}) \\
 \text{Fernando's talk :} \qquad P(\{n_k\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \prod_k (2\cos k\theta)^{n_k} \\
 end{tabular}$$

Motivation:

- **E. Witten**: connection between the Hilbert space of a generally covariant theory and the space of conformal blocks of a conformal invariant theory.

First application of this idea: R.K. Kaul and P. Majumdar Phys. Lett B. 439 (1998)

SU(2) Chern-Simons SU(2)-(CFT) Wess-Zumino-Witten

- SU(2) CS theory on the horizon
- SU(2)-WZW theory on a 2-sphere

Computing the number of conformal blocks of the SU(2)-WZW:

$$N^{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{r_i} N^{r_1}_{j_1 j_2} N^{r_2}_{r_1 j_3} \dots N^{j_n}_{r_{n-2} j_{n-1}} \longrightarrow \text{Entropy}$$
$$[j_i] \otimes [j_l] = \bigoplus_r N^r_{il} [j_r]$$

PROBLEM: In LQG the horizon d.o.f. are described by a U(1)-CS theory



SYMMETRY REDUCTION

In ABCK it is done by fixing a unit vector field \vec{r} on the horizon



This \vec{r} picks out a U(1) sub-bundle Q of the SU(2) bundle.

In general terms

• Given a fiber bundle P[SU(2),S] with connection w, a homomorphism $\lambda: U(1) \subset SU(2) \longrightarrow SU(2)$ induces a bundle reduction from P[SU(2), S] to Q[U(1), S] with a U(1) "reduced" conexión w'.

- All the congujacy classes of homomophisms $\lambda: U(1) \longrightarrow SU(2)$ are represented in Hom(U(1), T(SU(2)))

where $T(SU(2)) = \{ diag(z, z^{-1}) \mid z = e^{i\theta} \in U(1) \}$ is the invariant torus of SU(2)

• The homomorphism in Hom(U(1), T(SU(2)) can be characterized by $\lambda : z \mapsto dia a(z^p, z^{-p}) = x \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\lambda_p \cdot z \mapsto a a a g(z \cdot, z \cdot) \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

- Considering the action of the Weyl group $(diag(z, z^{-1}) \mapsto diag(z^{-1}, z)) \ p \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- λ_p with $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ characterize all the possible ways of carry out the symmetry breaking from SU(2) to U(1).



SU(2) Chern-Simons \leftarrow SU(2)-WZW R.K. Kaul and P. Majumdar Phys. Lett B. 439 (1998)

$$\mathcal{P} = \{j_1, j_2, ..., j_N\}$$

$$N^{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{r_i} N_{j_1 j_2}^{r_1} N_{r_1 j_3}^{r_2} ... N_{r_{n-2} j_{n-1}}^{j_n} \qquad [j_i] \otimes [j_l] = \bigoplus_r N_{il}^r [j_r]$$
We can re-write this expressions using the theory of characters: $\chi_i \chi_j = \sum_r N_{ij}^r \chi_r$

I=1

Using that
$$\langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$
 being $\langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\theta \sin^2 \theta \chi_i \chi_j$
 $N^{\mathcal{P}} = \langle \chi_{j_1} ... \chi_{j_N} | \chi_0 \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\pi} \sin^2 \theta \prod_{I=1}^N \frac{\sin\left[(j_I+1)\theta\right]}{\sin\theta}$

١

12/18



Applying the symmetry reduction...

- We want to restrict the representations $\mathcal{P} = \{j_1, j_2, ..., j_N\}$ to a set of U(1) representations \longleftrightarrow symmetry reduction locally at each puncture
- Each SU(2) irrep j can be seen as the direct sum of 2j+1 U(1) irreps:

 $e^{ij\theta} \oplus e^{i(j-1)\theta} \oplus ... \oplus e^{i(1-j)\theta} \oplus e^{-ij\theta}$

- An explicit symmetry reduction can be made using the homomorphisms $|\lambda_p|$
- This corresponds to pick out a U(1) representation $e^{ip\theta} \oplus e^{-ip\theta}$ with some $p \leq j$
- We consider these U(1) (reducible) representations instead of the irreducible ones as a consequence of the reduction from SU(2)

Applying the symmetry reduction...

We have a set of U(1) representations $\mathcal{P}_{U(1)} = \{p_1, p_2, ..., p_N\}$ (one at each puncture)

$$\tilde{\eta}_{p_I} = e^{ip_I\theta} + e^{-ip_I\theta} = 2\cos p_I\theta$$

We have to couple they to the U(1) gauge invariance representation.

The characters of the U(1) irrep η_i are orthonormal:

$$\langle \eta_i | \eta_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \eta_i^* \eta_j = \delta_{ij}$$

Then:

Remembering.....
$$N_{U(1)}^{\mathcal{P}} = \langle \tilde{\eta}_{p_1} ... \tilde{\eta}_{p_N} | \eta_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \prod_I^N 2 \cos p_I \theta$$
$$P(\{n_k\}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \prod_k (2 \cos k\theta)^{n_k}$$

Same expression if we identify p_1 with k_1



- The contribution form CS or (CFT)WZW gives a linear behavior of the entropy + logarithmic correction
- The 'discretization effect' comes from LQG specific features

Conclusions

• We propose a specific way of implementing Witten's analogy in the framework of black hole entropy in LQG.

• The results seem to indicate that the analogy works in this particular case.

•This is a motivation for the search of a deeper relation between the description of black holes in LQG and a conformal field theory.

•The picture obtained is consistent with the physical problem.

• Is consistent with the general idea of a conformal symmetry driving the linear behaviour of entropy plus some extra quantum effects at the Planck scale specific from LQG.

