



MASTER'S THESIS Itinerario Investigador - RESEARCH PAPER -

Estudiante:					
Evaluador:	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Convocatoria:		FOOI	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Problem identification					
1. Is the research problem/line of enquiry clearly identified? Does it focus on a relevant and					
opportune issue?					
2. Are the aims and/or objectives of the research clearly specified?					
Background					
3. Has appropriate literature been examined to contribute to the understanding of the					
research problem and/or conceptual framework for the study? Please, specify what is					
lacking if necessary.					
4. Have other relevant sources been reviewed?					
Approach					
5. Are the conceptual framework and theoretical assumptions clearly stated?					
6. Are the research methods clearly explained and justified?					
7. Are the methods of data collection and analysis appropriate to the aims of the research?					
Method / Empirical part					
8. Are propositions/hypotheses clearly stated?					
9. Are propositions/hypotheses important for the area of research?					
10. Are the results presented in a logical sequence to support the hypotheses?					
11. Are data and results presented in a succinct manner?					
Discussion					
12. Is the relationship between results and previous research relevant?					

Máster en Estrategia de Empresa

Departament de Direcció d'Empreses "Juan José Renau Piqueras"



13. Are reasons for differences in results with previous research clearly stated and			
discussed?			
14. Are reasons for differences in results with hypotheses clearly stated and discussed?			
Conclusions			
15. How intriguing/original is the contribution?			
16. Are theoretical implications underlined?			
17. Are practical implications underlined?			
18. Are possible directions for future research stated?			
19. Are conclusions stated briefly in a logical order?			
General			
20. How well written and readable is the paper?			
21. Do you think that this paper has potential to be published in a journal with blind - peer			
review?			
Oral presentation			
22. Was the oral presentation of the research proposal clear and well-structured and			
presented within the appropriate time?			

OVERALL RECOMMENDATIONS

Strongly recommended	Recommended	Recommended with minor	Project needs major revisions:	Poor proposal or inappropriate	
		revisions	not recommended	application	
A +	A	B+	В	C	