

# Metastable vacua with F and D susy breaking in general supergravity theories

M. Gómez-Reino

CERN

(Moving from U. of Neuchâtel)

RTN'07 Meeting

Valencia, 1-5 October 2007

based on [hep-th/0602246](#), [hep-th/0606273](#) and [arXiv:0706.2785](#)  
done in collaboration with [C.A. Scrucca](#)



- 1 Motivation
- 2 Viable susy breaking vacua in supergravity theories
  - Constraints for chiral theories
  - Constraints for gauge invariant theories
- 3 Analysis of the constraints
  - Interplay between F and D breaking effects
- 4 Some examples: moduli fields in string models
- 5 Conclusions

## Motivation

- Compactifications to four dimensions of string theory typically generate many moduli fields that should all be stabilized at a non-susy minimum with tiny cosmological constant.
- From a  $4D$  effective Lagrangian approach these moduli fields are chiral superfields of a  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity theory and their dynamics are governed by the  $4D$  scalar potential.
- For phenomenological/cosmological applications it is important to know when this  $4D$  scalar potentials can give rise to realistic situations.
- **Natural question:** if we require that a general sugra theory has viable vacua, can one get some conditions that restrict the class of models with potential interest?

# Chiral Models: Generalities

- A theory with  $n$  chiral multiplets  $\Phi_i$  is specified in terms of a real Kähler potential  $K$  and a holomorphic superpotential  $W$ .
- Depends only on the (Kähler invariant) function  $G$ :

$$G(\Phi_i, \Phi_i^\dagger) = K(\Phi_i, \Phi_i^\dagger) + \log W(\Phi_i) + \log \bar{W}(\Phi_i^\dagger)$$

that is invariant under Kähler transformations

$$(K, W) \rightarrow (K + \Delta + \bar{\Delta}, e^{-\Delta} W)$$

- The scalar fields  $\phi^i$  span a Kähler manifold whose metric is given by:

$$g_{i\bar{j}} = G_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \phi^i \partial \bar{\phi}^{\bar{j}}}$$

and can be use to lower and raise chiral indices.

# Chiral Models: Generalities

- The e.o.m. for the auxiliary fields fix them to:

$$F^i = -e^{G/2} G^i$$

- Substituting back the expressions for the auxiliary fields into the Lagrangian, the scalar potential is found to be:

$$V = e^G \left( G_{i\bar{j}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} - 3 \right)$$

Cremmer, Julia, Scherk, Ferrara, Girardello, Van Nieuwenhuizen  
Bagger, Witten

- If  $G^i \neq 0$  at the vacuum, supersymmetry is spontaneously broken and the gravitino mass is:

$$m_{3/2} = e^{G/2}$$

and the direction given by the  $G^i$  parametrizes the Goldstino direction.

# Chiral Models: Finding Viable Vacua

- The flatness condition ( $V = 0$ ) fixes that at the vacuum:

$$g_{i\bar{j}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} = 3$$

- The stationary condition ( $\nabla_i V = 0$ ) implies that:

$$G_i + G^k \nabla_i G_k = 0$$

- Finally, the stability condition requires that the matrix of second derivatives is positive definite

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{i\bar{j}}^2 & m_{ij}^2 \\ m_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^2 & m_{\bar{i}j}^2 \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

where  $m_{i\bar{j}}^2 = \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} V$  and  $m_{ij}^2 = \nabla_i \nabla_j V$  and are given by:

$$m_{i\bar{j}}^2 = e^G \left( G_{i\bar{j}} + \nabla_i G_k \nabla_{\bar{j}} G^k - R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} G^p G^{\bar{q}} \right)$$

$$m_{ij}^2 = e^G \left( \nabla_i G_j + \nabla_j G_i + \frac{1}{2} G^k \{ \nabla_i, \nabla_j \} G_k \right)$$

# Chiral Models: Finding Viable Vacua

- To see if the matrix  $m_{ij}^2$  is positive definite one should check the behavior of the  $2n$  eigenvalues.
- This is in general a complicated task and should be studied model by model. However it is possible to find simple necessary (but not sufficient) conditions:
  - Remark: If  $m_{ij}^2$  is positive definite then all its upper left submatrices are also positive definite.
  - Necessary condition for the existence of viable vacua: the quadratic form  $m_{ij}^2 z^i z^{\bar{j}} > 0$  for any vector  $z^i$ .
  - Strategy: Find simple conditions by looking at particular directions in field space!
- In this case there is only one special direction in field space: the Goldstino direction  $G^i$ . Looking in that direction:

$$m_{ij}^2 G^i G^{\bar{j}} = e^G \left( 6 - R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} G^p G^{\bar{q}} \right)$$

# Chiral Models: Constraints

- We define the rescaled variables:

$$f^i = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} G^i$$

- The necessary conditions for the existence of non-susy Minkowski minima can then be written as:

$$\text{Flatness: } g_{i\bar{j}} f^i f^{\bar{j}} = 1$$

- Fixes the amount of susy breaking

$$\text{Stability: } R_{i\bar{j}\rho\bar{q}} f^i f^{\bar{j}} f^\rho f^{\bar{q}} < \frac{2}{3}$$

- Requires the existence of directions with  $R < 2/3$  and constraints the direction of susy breaking to be aligned with it.



# Gauge Invariant Models: Generalities

- A theory with  $n$  chiral multiplets  $\Phi^i$  and  $m$  vector multiplets  $V^a$  is specified by three functions:
  - A real Kähler function  $G = K(\Phi_i, \Phi_i^\dagger) + \log W(\Phi_i) + \log \bar{W}(\Phi_i^\dagger)$ .
  - A set of holomorphic Killing vectors  $X_a^i$ .
  - A holomorphic gauge kinetic matrix  $H_{ab}$ .
- Gauge transformations of chiral and vector multiplets are:

$$\delta\Phi^i = \Lambda^a X_a^i \quad \delta V^a = -i(\Lambda^a - \bar{\Lambda}^a)$$

- The function  $G$  should be invariant under the gauge transformations:

$$G_a = -i X_a^i G_i = i X_a^{\bar{i}} G_{\bar{i}}$$

# Gauge Invariant Models: Generalities

- As before for the chiral indices the metric is  $g_{i\bar{j}} = G_{i\bar{j}}$ , and also now the real part the gauge kinetic matrix  $h_{ab} = \text{Re}H_{ab}$  acts as a metric for the vector indices  $G_a = h_{ab}G^b$ .
- The auxiliary fields are fixed from the Lagrangian by the e.o.m.:

$$F_i = -e^{G/2} G_i$$

$$D_a = -G_a = iX_a^i G_i = -iX_a^{\bar{i}} G_{\bar{i}}$$

- The vector auxiliary fields  $D_a$  are the Killing potentials:

$$X_a^i = -i\nabla^i D_a$$

# Gauge Invariant Models: Generalities

- The vector auxiliary fields  $D^a$  induce a new contribution to the scalar potential (in addition to the standard one coming from the chiral auxiliary fields  $F^i$ ):

$$V = e^G \left( G^k G_k - 3 \right) + \frac{1}{2} G^a G_a$$

Cremmer, Ferrara, Girardello, Van Proeyen  
Bagger

- As before, if  $G^i \neq 0$  at the vacuum supersymmetry is spontaneously broken and the gravitino mass is:

$$m_{3/2} = e^{G/2}$$

- Gauge symmetries are also spontaneously broken and the  $m$ -dimensional mass matrix for the vector fields is:

$$M_{ab}^2 = 2 g_{i\bar{j}} X_a^i X_b^{\bar{j}} = 2 g_{i\bar{j}} \nabla^i G_a \nabla^{\bar{j}} G_b$$

# Gauge Invariant Models: Finding Viable Vacua

- The flatness condition ( $V = 0$ ) fixes at the vacuum:

$$-3 + G^i G_i + \frac{1}{2} e^{-G} G^a G_a = 0$$

- The stationarity condition ( $\nabla_i V = 0$ ) implies:

$$G_i + G^k \nabla_i G_k + e^{-G} \left[ G^a \left( \nabla_i - \frac{1}{2} G_i \right) G_a + \frac{1}{2} h_{abi} G^a G^b \right] = 0$$

- The stability condition requires in this case the slightly weaker condition:

$$m_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{i\bar{j}}^2 & m_{ij}^2 \\ m_{\bar{i}j}^2 & m_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^2 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

where  $m_{i\bar{j}}^2 = \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} V$  and  $m_{ij}^2 = \nabla_i \nabla_j V$ .

- The equality sign takes care of the flat directions associated with the  $m$  scalars that are absorbed by the gauge fields and get a positive mass.

# Gauge Invariant Models: Finding Viable Vacua

- The two different  $n$ -dimensional blocks of the mass matrix are given by:

$$m_{i\bar{j}}^2 = e^G \left[ G_{i\bar{j}} - R_{i\bar{j}\rho\bar{q}} G^\rho G^{\bar{q}} + \nabla_i G_k \nabla_{\bar{j}} G^k \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( G_{i\bar{j}} - G_i G_{\bar{j}} \right) G^a G_a \\ + \left( G_{(i} h_{ab\bar{j})} + h^{cd} h_{aci} h_{bd\bar{j}} \right) G^a G^b - 2 G^a G_{(i} \nabla_{\bar{j})} G_a \\ - 2 G^a h^{bc} h_{ab(i} \nabla_{\bar{j})} G_c + h^{ab} \nabla_i G_a \nabla_{\bar{j}} G_b + G^a \nabla_i \nabla_{\bar{j}} G_a$$

$$m_{ij}^2 = e^G \left[ 2 \nabla_{(i} G_{j)} + G^k \nabla_{(i} \nabla_{j)} G_k \right] - \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla_{(i} G_{j)} - G_i G_j \right) G^a G_a \\ + \left( G_{(i} h_{abj)} + h^{cd} h_{aci} h_{bdj} - \frac{1}{2} h_{abij} \right) G^a G^b - 2 G^a G_{(i} \nabla_{j)} G_a \\ - 2 G^a h^{bc} h_{ab(i} \nabla_{j)} G_c + h^{ab} \nabla_i G_a \nabla_j G_b$$

# Gauge Invariant Models: Finding Viable Vacua

- **Aim:** study the constraints imposed in this case by the flatness condition and the stability condition.
- **Strategy:** same as before, find simple conditions by looking at particular directions in field space!
- In this case, there exist two types of special complex directions one could look at:  $G^i$  and  $X_a^i$ .
  - From  $G^i$  we get the condition  $m_{ij}^2 G^i G^{\bar{j}} \geq 0$ , which simplifies to:

$$R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} G^p G^{\bar{q}} \leq 6 + e^{-G} \left[ -2 G^a G_a + h^{cd} h_{ac i} h_{bd \bar{j}} G^i G^{\bar{j}} G^a G^b \right] \\ + e^{-2G} \left[ M_{ab}^2 G^a G^b - \frac{3}{2} (\nabla^i G_a) h_{bc i} G^a G^b G^c \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} (G^a G_a)^2 + \frac{1}{4} h_{ab}^k h_{cd k} G^a G^b G^c G^d \right]$$

- From  $X_a^i$  we get the condition  $m_{i\bar{j}}^2 X_a^i X_a^{\bar{j}} \geq 0$ , one finds a complicated expression:

no extra useful condition!

# Gauge Invariant Models: Constraints

- We introduce the rescaled variables

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{F_i}{m_{3/2}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} G_i, \quad d_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{D_a}{m_{3/2}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{-G/2} G_a$$

- In terms of the rescaled variables  $f^i$  and  $d^a$ , the flatness and stability conditions take then the following form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f^i f_i + d^a d_a = 1 \\ R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} f^i f^{\bar{j}} f^p f^{\bar{q}} \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{M_{ab}^2}{m_{3/2}} - h_{ab} \right) d^a d^b + 2h^{cd} h_{aci} h_{bd\bar{j}} f^i f^{\bar{j}} d^a d^b \\ \quad - (2h_{ab} h_{cd} - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab}^i h_{cdi}) d^a d^b d^c d^d \\ \quad - 3(G^i d_a + 2\nabla^i d_a) h_{bci} d^a d^b d^c \end{array} \right.$$

- But now there is the additional complication coming from the fact that  $f^i$  and  $d^a$  are not independent of each other.

# Gauge Invariant Models: Constraints

- The fields  $f^i$  and  $d^a$  are related in several ways:
  - As a consequence of gauge invariance:

$$d^a = -\frac{i X_i^a}{\sqrt{2} m_{3/2}} f^i \quad \Rightarrow \quad |d_a| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{aa}}{m_{3/2}} \sqrt{f^i f_i}$$

- Projecting the stationarity condition along the directions  $X_i^a$  (valid only at the stationary points of the potential):

$$i \nabla_i X_{a\bar{j}} f^i f^{\bar{j}} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} m_{3/2} (3 f^i f_i - 1) d_a - \frac{M_{ab}^2}{\sqrt{6} m_{3/2}} d^b - 2 i X_a^i h_{bci} d^b d^c = 0$$

Kawamura

- The  $f^i$  represent the basic qualitative seed for susy breaking whereas the  $d^a$  provide additional quantitative effects.



# Analysis of the Constraints

- To see the implications of the constraints we restrict to constant and diagonal gauge kinetic function of the form:

$$h_{ab} = g_a^{-2} \delta_{ab}$$

- We can rescale the vector variables so that the metric becomes just  $\delta_{ab}$  by including a factor  $g_a$  for each index  $a$ .
- Using this the flatness and stability conditions take the following form:

$$\begin{cases} f^i f_i + \sum_a d_a^2 = 1 \\ R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} f^i \bar{f}^{\bar{j}} f^p \bar{f}^{\bar{q}} \leq \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \sum_a (2m_a^2 - 1) d_a^2 - 2 \sum_{a,b} d_a^2 d_b^2 \end{cases}$$

- As before the flatness condition fixes the amount of susy breaking and the stability condition fixes the direction.

# Analysis of the Constraints

- The relations between  $f^i$  and  $d^a$  read:

$$d_a = i m_a v_a^i f_i \implies |d_a| \leq m_a \sqrt{f^i f_i}$$
$$d_a = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m_a q_{ai\bar{j}} f^i f^{\bar{j}}}{m_a^2 - 1/2 + 3/2 f^i f_i}$$

where:

$$v_a^i = \frac{\sqrt{2} X_a^i}{M_a}, \quad q_{ai\bar{j}} = \frac{i \nabla_i X_{a\bar{j}}}{M_a}$$

and we also define the quantity:

$$m_a = \frac{M_a}{2 m_{3/2}}$$

measuring the hierarchies between scales

# Interplay Between F and D Breaking Effects

- To see the interplay between the  $F$  and  $D$  breaking effects we introduce variables:

$$z^i = \frac{f^i}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_a d_a^2}}$$

- Using these variables the conditions can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} z^i z_i = 1 \\ R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} z^i z^{\bar{j}} z^p z^{\bar{q}} \leq \frac{2}{3} K(d_a^2, m_a^2) \end{cases}$$

where:

$$K(d_a^2, m_a^2) = 1 + 4 \frac{\sum_a m_a^2 d_a^2 - (\sum_a d_a^2)^2}{(1 - \sum_b d_b^2)^2}$$

# Interplay Between F and D Breaking Effects

- And the relations between auxiliary fields:

$$d_a \frac{1 + m_a^2 - 3/2 \sum_b d_b^2}{1 - \sum_b d_b^2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} m_a q_{ai\bar{j}} z^i z^{\bar{j}}$$

$$\frac{d_a}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_b d_b^2}} = i m_a v_a^i z_i$$

$$\frac{|d_a|}{\sqrt{1 - \sum_b d_b^2}} \leq m_a$$

- In the limit  $d_a \ll 1$ :

$$d_a \simeq \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \frac{1}{1 + m_a^2} q_{ai\bar{j}} z^i z^{\bar{j}}$$

and  $z^i \simeq f^i$ .

# Interplay Between F and D Breaking Effects

- At first order In the limit  $d_a \ll 1$ :

$$K \simeq 1 + \frac{3}{2} \sum_a \left( \frac{m_a^2}{1 + m_a^2} \right) q_{ai\bar{j}} q_{ap\bar{q}} z^i z^{\bar{j}} z^p z^{\bar{q}}$$

- Therefore we can write the flatness and stability conditions as:

$$\begin{cases} z^i z_i = 1 \\ \hat{R}_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} z^i z^{\bar{j}} z^p z^{\bar{q}} \leq \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$

where:

$$\hat{R}_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} = R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} - \sum_a \left[ \frac{m_a^2}{1 + m_a^2} \right]^2 q_{ai(\bar{j}} q_{ap\bar{q})}$$

- The net effect in this case is to change the curvature felt by the chiral multiplets. Not necessarily a small effect!

# Interplay Between F and D Breaking Effects

- For larger values of  $d_a$  one can find an upper bound to  $K$ :

$$K \leq 1 + \frac{3}{2} \sum_a \left[ \frac{m_a^2 (1 + \sum_b m_b^2)}{1 + m_a^2 + (m_a^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_b m_b^2} \right]^2 q_{ai\bar{j}} q_{ap\bar{q}} z^i z^{\bar{j}} z^p z^{\bar{q}}$$

- So in this general case we get as well that the effect of vector multiplets can be encoded into an effective curvature:

$$\hat{R}_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} = R_{i\bar{j}p\bar{q}} - \sum_a \left[ \frac{m_a^2 (1 + \sum_b m_b^2)}{1 + m_a^2 + (m_a^2 - \frac{1}{2}) \sum_b m_b^2} \right]^2 q_{ai(\bar{j}} q_{ap\bar{q})}$$

# Some Examples: Simple Scalar Geometries

- In certain situations the conditions for flatness and stability can be solved exactly.

## One chiral field and one isometry

$$K = -n \text{Log}(\Phi + \bar{\Phi}) \implies \begin{cases} R = \frac{2}{n} \\ X = i\xi \end{cases}$$

- The flatness condition can be solved by parametrizing  $|f|^2 = \cos^2 \delta$  and  $|d|^2 = \sin^2 \delta$ , and the stability condition is:

$$n > \frac{3}{1 + 4|d/f|^6}$$

- For example for the dilaton field  $n = 1$ , so the  $D$ -term should contribute significantly to susy breaking.

## Two chiral fields and one isometry

$$K = -n_1 \text{Log}(\Phi_1 + \bar{\Phi}_1) - n_2 \text{Log}(\Phi_2 + \bar{\Phi}_2) \implies \begin{cases} R_i = \frac{2}{n_i} \\ X = i(\xi^1, \xi^2) \end{cases}$$

- Parametrizing  $|f_1|^2 = \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \delta$ ,  $|f_2|^2 = \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \delta$ ,  $|d|^2 = \sin^2 \delta$  we solve the flatness condition, and from the stability condition we derive the bound ( $f = \sqrt{|f_1|^2 + |f_2|^2}$ ):

$$n_1 + n_2 \geq 3 \begin{cases} \frac{1 - |d/f|^2}{1 - |d/f|^2 + |d/f|^4}, & \text{if } |d/f| \leq 1/2 \\ \frac{1}{1 + 4|d/f|^6}, & \text{if } |d/f| > 1/2 \end{cases}$$

- Also solvable for other relevant models, as for Kähler potentials of the type  $K = -\sum_i n_i \text{Log}(\Phi_i + \bar{\Phi}_i - \sum_{a_i=1}^{N_i-1} X_{a_i} X_{a_i}^\dagger)$  whose Kähler manifold is given by the coset spaces

$$\frac{SU(N_i, 1)}{SU(N_i) \times U(1)}.$$



## Conclusions

- In a general  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  supergravity theory with chiral and vector multiplets there are **strong necessary conditions** for the existence of phenomenologically viable vacua.
- These necessary conditions severely **constrain the geometry** of the scalar manifold as well as **the direction** of susy breaking and **the size** of the auxiliary fields (relevant for soft terms).
- When susy breaking is dominated by the  **$F$ -terms** the conditions restrict the **Kähler curvature**.
- When the  **$D$ -terms** participate also to susy breaking the net effect is to alleviate the constraints through a **lower effective curvature** (although restrict the theory as well!).
- These conditions should be **useful** to identify phenomenologically **viable theories**.