### 3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected until: 01/06/2025

Gender:

Male	4	100,00%
Female	0	0,00%

Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	Answers
0	1	1	0	1	1	4 (100,00%)
0,00%	25,00%	25,00%	0,00%	25,00%	25,00%	

Home university

Universitat de València	3	75,00%
Other	1	25,00%

Current employment status

Employed	2	66,67%	
Self-employed	1	33,33%	
Unemployed	0	0,00%	
Grant holder	0	0,00%	
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%	
Other	0	0,00%	
Answers		3 (75,00%)	

3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25**   Collected   until: 01/06/2025

Frequency Response

Strongly disagree….Strongly agree

TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
2. Les activitats formatives que he desenvolupat en el programa de doctorat han sigut interessants per a desenvolupar la meua investigació	1	0	0	0	2	1	4,33
Average of section							4,33

DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and method	1	0	0	0	0	3	5,00
MECES A							5
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific community.	1	0	0	0	1	2	4,67
MECES B							4,5
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	1	0	0	2	0	1	3,67
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	1	0	0	0	1	2	4,67
MECES C							4,29
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	1	0	1	0	2	0	3,33
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	1	0	0	1	2	0	3,67
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	1	0	0	0	0	3	5,00
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	1	0	0	1	0	2	4,33
MECES D							4,15
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	1	0	0	0	0	3	5,00
MECES E							5
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	1	0	0	0	1	2	4,67
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	1	0	0	0	0	3	5,00
MECES F							4,86
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	1	0	0	0	1	2	4,67
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	1	0	0	1	1	1	4,00
MECES G							4,43
Average of section							4,44

Scale used: 1 to 5

☐ : Doctoral Program Average

☐ : Global Average, value within the bar

Number of surveys: 4

3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25**   Collected   until: 01/06/2025

MONITORING AND SUPERVISION	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
10. My tutor provide me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
11. I was able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned	0	0	0	0	2	2	4,50
12. My tutor or thesis supervisor supervised my research plan regularly	0	0	0	0	2	2	4,50
13. I have had enough information to carry out my research plan	0	0	0	0	2	2	4,50
14. In general, the academic orientation that I received for the development of my studies was adequate	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
Average of section							4,60

Frequency Response — Strongly disagree....Strongly agree

Chart values (Global Average): 4,37 / 4,46 / 4,48 / 4,41 / 4,32

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?			
Yes	1	25,00%
No	3	75,00%

Only those who have answered "Yes"	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	0	1	*
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	1	0	*
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	0	1	0	0	0	*
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	0	0	1	*
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	0	1	*
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:							
21. Financial aid received	0	1	0	0	0	0	*
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	1	0	*
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	1	0	*
Average of section							*

Frequency Response — Strongly disagree....Strongly agree
(Questions 21-23: Strongly dissatisfied...Strongly satisfied)

Chart values (Global Average): 4,72 / 4,59 / 4,01 / 4,42 / 4,56 / 3,59 / 4,59 / 4,60

Scale used: 1 to 5

: Doctoral Program Average

: Global Average, value within the bar

**3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL**

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25** · Collected until: 01/06/2025

DOCTORAL THESIS

Frequency Response — Strongly disagree….Strongly agree

	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning	0	0	0	3	1	0	3,25
25. Requirements and assessment criteria are suitable and they were published in advance	1	0	0	0	3	0	4,00
26. Guidance and research support provided by my thesis supervisor for preparing my thesis were adequate	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
27. My thesis supervisor was accessible	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained	0	0	0	1	3	0	3,75
29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training	0	0	0	0	0	4	5,00
30. I satisfied my initial expectations	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
Average of section							**4,33**

Chart values (Global Average): 24: 4,23 — 25: 4,31 — 26: 4,46 — 27: 4,65 — 28: 4,39 — 29: 4,77 — 30: 4,52

ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT

Frequency Response — Strongly disagree….Strongly agree

	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	0	0	1	0	1	2	4,00
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	0	0	1	1	2	4,25
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	0	0	0	2	1	4,33
Average of section							**4,18**

Chart values (Global Average): 33: 3,90 — 34: 3,82 — 35: 3,97

OVERALL

Strongly dissatisfied…Strongly satis

	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	0	0	0	0	0	4	5,00
Facilities and infrastructure	0	0	0	1	1	2	4,25
Transversal activities of the doctoral program	2	0	0	0	2	0	*
Specific activities of the doctoral program	0	0	0	0	3	1	4,25
My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	0	0	0	1	2	1	4,00

Strongly disagree….Strongly agree

	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
I would recommend this doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75
Average of section							**4,41**

Chart values (Global Average): Satisfaction with the teaching staff: 4,38 — Facilities and infrastructure: 4,25 — Transversal activities: 3,79 — Specific activities: 3,99 — My personal experience: 4,29 — I would recommend this doctoral programme: 4,27

Scale used: 1 to 5

: Doctoral Program Average
: Global Average, value within the bar

VNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA · 525 anys · qualitatUV

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

(Graduate survey)

RUCT: 5600321

3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25**

Collected   until: 01/06/2025

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

(Graduate survey)

RUCT: 5600321

3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25**

Collected   until: 01/06/2025

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

(Graduate survey)

RUCT: 5600321

3114 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN ECONOMÍA INDUSTRIAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years **19-20 al 24-25**

Collected   until: 01/06/2025

* The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.
Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.