

RUCT: 5600334

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

Gender:

Male	3	100,00%	
Female	0	0,00%	




Home university

Universitat de València	3	100,00%
Other	0	0,00%




Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	>49	
0	2	0	0	1	0	Answers
0,00%	67,00%	0,00%	0,00%	33,00%	0,00%	3 (100,00%)



Current employment status

Employed	3	100,00%
Self-employed	0	0,00%
Unemployed	0	0,00%
Grant holder	0	0,00%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	0	0,00%



Answers 3 (100,00%)



RUCT: 5600334

Printing date: 14/11/2024


(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024





RUCT: 5600334

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility	
programme during your doctoral studies?	

Yes	2	66,67%
No	1	33,33%



		Fre	quency	Respon	nse			
		Strongl	ly disag	reeS	Strongl	y agree	;	1 2 3 4
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 ,7 2
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 6 2
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 ,0 8
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 4 2
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 ,5 7
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strong	ly dissa	tisfied.	Stron	gly sa		
21. Financial aid received	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	3 , 7 6
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 6 1
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 6 4
		Avera	ige of se	ection			*	
DOCTORAL THESIS								
24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning	0	0	0	0	1	2	4,67	4 , 2 9
25. Requirements and assessment criteria are suitable and they were published in advance	0	0	0	0	0	3	5,00	4 , 3 5
26. Guidance and research support provided by my thesis supervisor for preparing my thesis were adequate	0	0	0	0	1	2	4,67	4 , 5 3
27. My thesis supervisor was accessible	0	0	0	0	1	2	4,67	4 , 7 0
28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained	0	0	0	1	0	2	4,33	4 , 4 1
29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training	0	0	0	0	0	3	5,00	4 ,7 9
30. I satisfied my initial expectations	0	0	0	0	1	2	4,67	4 , 5 5
		Avera	ige of se	ection			4,71	Scale used: 1 to 5
								X,XX : Global UV Average

RUCT: 5600334

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

	Frequency Response										
		Strongly				/ agree					
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT	N/C	1 1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3	4 5
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	0	0	0	2	0	1	3,67	3 , 8 8	T		
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	0	1	0	1	1	3,67	3 , 8 3			
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	0	0	0	0	1	2	4,67	3 , 9 9	I		
		Avera	ge of se	ction			4,00	-	•	•	•
OVERALL Satisfaction with the teaching staff	N/C	Strongly 1	0	3	4	5	5,00	4 , 4 2			
Facilities and infrastructure	0	0	0	0	0	3	5,00		1		
Transversal activities of the doctoral program	0	0	0	1	0	2	4,33	3 , 7 9	1		_
Specific activities of the doctoral program	0	1	0	0	0	2	3,67	4 , 0 1			j
My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	0	0	0	0	0	3	5,00	4 , 3 2	I		
	:	Strongl	y disag	reeS	trongly	agree					
I would recommend this doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	0	3	5,00	4 , 2 9			
		Averag	e of sec	ction			4,67		:	Scale used: 1 t	o 5
								X,XX : Glo	bal UV Aver	age	

RUCT: 5600334

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

3132.- Programa de Doctorat en Enginyeria Química, Ambiental i de Processos

					0.0		arria ac	Doctor a	cii Eiigii	iyei ia e	ammed, A		ai i ac i	1000000	,,		
		-	DAINING	PROGRAM	ME				-		NT OF RESULT	C OF TEAC	CLINIC				
		•	HAIIVIIVG	PRUGRAIVII	IVIE		MECES A		L	MECES B	INT OF RESULT	5 UF TEAL	SHING	MECE	S C		
EVOL	UTION		raining ac en interes	tivities has ting	Average of section	strategies for	elopment of		4.1. Making contribution research that		MECES B	researd	bility to desig th project whas are identif	nere	Skills to ge knowle	nerate new dge.	Average MECES C
Thesis						research ap	proaches and		by the interna			which to a	apply the res	search			
year	Collected	nºs	surv. AV	ERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsı	urv. AVER	AGE	nºsurv. A	AVERAGE	
23-24	3		3 3	3,67	3,67	3	5	5	3	5	5	;	3 5		3	5	5
	DEVELOPMENT OF DECLUTE OF TEACHING																
MECES D								DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING MECES E MECES F									
	6.1. Autono initiate, mana lead innovative	agé and		lls to perfor orative work	m 6.3.	Competence to nsfer researche vledge to socie	h mu	Skills to work i Itidisciplinary contexts.	n Average MECES D	with socia	to investigate I responsibility ntific integrity.	Average MECES E	research	ty to write reports / icles.	8.2. Co disclose a	mpetence to and expose the results to any	
Thesis	teams and pro						-,									ıdience.	
year	nºsurv. AVE	RAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAG	nºs	urv. AVERAG	E nºs∟	ırv. AVERAG	E	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	3 4	1,67	3	5	(3 5	3	5	4,92	3	5	5	3	5	3	4,67	4,83
	П		ENT OF RI	ESULTS OF	TEACHING					M	ONITORING	AND SUF	PERVISION				
Thesis	9.1. Skills to progress in o social or tech aspect	cultural, nological cs.		ls to promo novation.	MECES		adequate throughout progr	the doctoral amme	11. I was able the activitie doctoral prog have pla	s of the ram that I	supervisor su my researc regula	pervised h plan rly	information my rese	had enough n to carry out earch plan	academ that I re	general, the ic orientation ceived for the oment of my	Average of section
year	nºsurv. AVEl	RAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAG	E		nºsurv. A	VERAGE	nºsurv. A	VERAGE	nºsurv. AV	ERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv	AVERAGE	
23-24	3 5	·	3	5	5	4,95	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,60

RUCT: 5600334

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

Thesis year n°surv. AVERAGE n°	eceived 22. Teaching staff at the host university AGE n°surv. AVERAGE 2 *	spent at t university or nºsurv. 2	the other	Avera of secti
Thesis year n°surv. AVERAGE n°	AGE n°surv. AVERAGE 2 *	spent at t university or nºsurv. 2	the other or institution	of
23-24 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2 * 2	2 *	2	AVERAGE *	*
DOCTORAL THESIS 24. The objectives and			*	*
24. The objectives and 25. Requirements and 26. Guidance and 27. My thesis 28. The time spent 29. By completing	g my 30. I satisfied my initia	al Average		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	g my 30. I satisfied my initia	al Average		
thesis were clear from Thesis the beginning	earch	of section		
year nºsurv. AVERAGE nºsurv. AVERAGE nºsurv. AVERAGE nºsurv. AVERAGE nºsurv. AVERAGE	GE nºsurv. AVERAGE			
23-24 3 4,67 3 5 3 4,67 3 4,33 3 5	3 4,67	4,71		
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT				
#. The facilities and material resources are suitable **Thesis** #. The facilities and materials resources are suitable #. The facilities and materials resources are suitable #. The library materials of by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral related to the doctoral suitable in the six were accessible and planning of the doctoral program has section been adequate. #. The facilities and materials needed to carry out the six were accessible and planning of the doctoral section and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate.	5			
year n°surv. AVERAGE n°surv. AVERAGE n°surv. AVERAGE n°surv. AVERAGE				
23-24 * * * 3 3,67 3 3,67 3 4,67 4,00	נ			

(Graduate survey)

3132 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN INGENIERÍA QUÍMICA, AMBIENTAL Y DE PROCESOS

itive surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 23-24

Collected

until: 01/03/2024

	OVERALL												
Thesis	Satisfaction with the Facilities and teaching staff infrastructure				al activities of oral program		ctivities of the al program	both aca	al experience, ademic and ricular, at the at de València	l would red doctoral	Average of section		
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	3	5	3	5	3	4,33	3	3,67	3	5	3	5	4,67

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star}}$ The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

Printing date: 14/11/2024