

RUCT: 5600348

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Collected until: 01/06/2025 Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Gender:

Male	1	7,14%	
Female	13	92,86%	




Home university

Universitat de València	9	69,23%
Other	4	30,77%



Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	>49	
0	0	4	2	5	3	Answers
0,00%	0,00%	29,00%	14,00%	36,00%	21,00%	14 [100,00%]



Current employment status

Employed	10	71,43%
Self-employed	2	14,29%
Unemployed	1	7,14%
Grant holder	0	0,00%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	1	7,14%



Answers 14 [100,00%]



RUCT: 5600348

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25 Collected until: 01/06/2025

		F	requenc	y Respo	nse							
		Stron	gly disa	gree	Strongl	y agree		1 2	3		4	5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	· -			-	
2. Les activitats formatives que he desenvolupat en el programa de doctorat han sigut interessants per a	0	0	1	1	3	9	4,43	3 , 7 1				
desenvolupar la meua investigació		Aver	age of se	ection			4,43		•			
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING								· ·			i	
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and method	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 2 3				
					ME	CES A	4,64				1	1
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 3 5				
community.					ME	CES B	4,46	1 1			1	ı
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	6	0	0	1	0	7	4,75	4 , 2 8				1
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 3 8				
					ME	CES C	4,73	1			1	
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 0 4			T	
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	6	0	0	0	1	7	4,88	4 , 2 4				
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 1 5				
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	6	0	0	0	2	6	4,75	4 , 3 1				1
					ME	CES D	4,68				· i	
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 4 0				
					ME	CES E	4,71					
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 4 2				
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 3 2				l
					ME	CES F	4,59					
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	6	0	0	0	2	6	4,75	4 , 1 4				
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	6	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 0 3				
					ME	CES G	4,59			e used: 1 to	5	
		Aver	age of se	ection			4,67		ctoral Progra bal Average,	-	the bar	



EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600348

(Graduate survey)

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected until: 01/06/2025


		Fr	equency	/ Respor	ise		
	S	trongly	/ disagi	reeSt	rongly	agree	,
MONITORING AND SUPERVISION	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE
10. My tutor provide me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme	0	0	2	0	2	10	4,43
11. I was able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned	0	0	0	2	1	11	4,64
12. My tutor or thesis supervisor supervised my research plan regularly	0	0	2	0	1	11	4,50
13. I have had enough information to carry out my research plan	0	0	1	1	0	12	4,64
14. In general, the academic orientation that I received for the development of my studies was adequate	0	2	0	0	1	11	4,36
]	Avera	ige of se	ction			4.51

1	2	3	4	5
4 , 3	7			
4 , 4	6			
4 , 4	8			
4 , 4	1			
4 . 3	2			l
-				

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes	1	7,14%
No	13	92,86%



		Free	luency	Respo	nse							
		Strongly	y disag	reeS	Strongl	y agree	:	1	2	3	4	5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		_		· 	
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 7 2				$\supset \mid$
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 5 9				J
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 0 1				
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 4 2				
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 5 6				J
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Stron	ngly sa		· 1	· 1		i I	
21. Financial aid received							*	3 , 5 9				
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 5 9				コー
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	0	1	*	4 , 6 0]
	Average of section						*			Scale us	ed: 1 to 5	
									: Doctoral Pr	rogram Aver	age	
									: Global Aver	age, value wi	thin the bar	•

Number of surveys: 14 Printing date: 04/06/2025



RUCT: 5600348

Collected until: 01/06/2025

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

		Frequ	lency i	Respon	ise						
		Strongly				agree/		1	2	3	4 5
DOCTORAL THESIS	N/C	1	2	3	4	_	AVERAGE			ى 	4 5
24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning	0	0	0	1	2	11	4,71	4 , 2 3			
25. Requirements and assessment criteria are suitable and they were published in advance	0	0	0	1	2	11	4,71	4 , 3 1	_		
26. Guidance and research support provided by my thesis supervisor for preparing my thesis were adequate	0	2	0	0	2	10	4,29	4 , 4 6	<u> </u>		
27. My thesis supervisor was accessible	0	0	0	1	2	11	4,71	4 , 6 5			
28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained	0	1	1	0	1	11	4,43	4 , 3 9			
29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training	0	1	1	0	0	12	4,50	4 , 7 7			
30. I satisfied my initial expectations	0	2	0	0	0	12	4,43	4 , 5 2			
		Average	e of se	ction			4,54				
	Frequency Response										
		Strongly	disagn	reeS	trongly	_		1	2	3	4 5
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT	N/C	1	2	3	4		AVERAGE				
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	1	0	2	0	3	8	4,31	3,90	T		
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	1	0	0	2	11	4,57	3 , 8 2			
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	0	1	1	1	10	4,54	3 , 9 7			
		Averag	e of se	ction			4,48				
	;	Strongly	dissat	isfied	.Strong	gly sati	:	1	2	3	4 5
OVERALL	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	0	0	2	0	1	11	4,50	4 , 3 8	1		
Facilities and infrastructure	1	0	0	3	1	9	4,46	4 , 2 5	T		
Transversal activities of the doctoral program	0	0	0	2	3	9	4,50	3 , 7 9	Ī		
Specific activities of the doctoral program	0	0	0	2	1	11	4,64	3 , 9 9	1		
My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	0	0	2	0	3	9	4,36	4 , 2 9	Т		
		Strongly									
I would recommend this doctoral programme	2	2	0	0	2	8	4,17	4 , 2 7			
		Average	of sec	etion			4,44			Scale use ogram Avera age, value wit	ge

RUCT: 5600348

(Or addate Survey

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25 Collected until: 01/06/2025

3149.- Programa de Doctorat en Psicogerontologia: Perspectiva del Cicle Vital

		TRAIN	NG PROGRAM	ME					EVELOPMENT	OF RESULT	S OF TEACHIN	G				
						MECES A			MECES B		MECES C					
EVOL Thesis	LUTION		g activities has eresting	Average of section	strategies for theories, fou	elopment of the analysis of Indations, and proaches and	Average MECES A	contribution research that	g an original to scientific is recognized tional scientific			oject where e identified in		generate new /ledge.	Average MECES C	
year	Collected	nºsurv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE			AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		
23-24	3	3	5	5,00	3	4,67	4,67	3	4,67	4,67	3	5	3	4,67	4,83	
20-21	5	5	4	4,00	2	*	4,2	2	*	*	2	*	2	*	4,29	
19-20	3	3	4,33	4,33		*	5		*	4,33		*		*	5	

							DEVE	LOPMENT O	F RESULTS	OF TEACHI	NG							
					MECES D						MECES E		MECES F					
	initiate,	utonomy to manage and ative research		s to perform rative work.	transfe	mpetence to er research ge to society.	multidi	s to work in sciplinary ntexts.	Average MECES D	with social	to investigate responsibility ntific integrity.	Average MECES E	research	ty to write reports / icles.	disclose ar	npetence to nd expose the results to any	Average MECES F	
Thesis		d projects and														dience.		
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		
23-24	3	4,33	3	5	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,67	3	4,67	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,67	
20-21	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	4,36	2	*	4,4	2	*	2	*	4,14	
19-20		*		*		*		*	4,67		*	5		*		*	4,67	

	DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING MECES G						MONITORING AND SUPERVISION										
Thesis	9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.		9.2. Skills to promote innovation.		Average MECES G	Average of section	10. My tutor provide me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme		11. I was able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned		12. My tutor or thesis supervisor supervised my research plan regularly		13. I have had enough information to carry out my research plan		14. In general, the academic orientation that I received for the development of my		Average of section
year	nºsurv.		nºsurv.	AVERAGE				AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,67	4,69	3	4,67	3	5	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	4,87
20-21	2	*	2	*	4,29	*	5	3,8	5	4,2	5	3,8	5	4	5	3,4	3,84
19-20		*		*	4,33	4,71	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	3	4,67	4,80

RUCT: 5600348

(Or addace Survey

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25 Collected until: 01/06/2025

	MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS													
Thesis	16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution	17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was	18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during	19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	21. Financial aid received	22. Teaching staff at the host university	23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	Average of section					
year	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE						
23-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
20-21	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*					
19-20	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	*	1 *	1 *	*					
	DOCTORAL THESIS													

	DOCTORAL THESIS															
Thesis	24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning		25. Requirements and assessment criteria are suitable and they were published in advance				27. My thesis supervisor was accessible		28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained		29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training		30. I satisfied my initial expectations		Average of section	
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºs	urv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	4,76
20-21	5	4,4	5	4,4	5	3,4	5	4,4	5	5	3,6	5	3,6	5	3,4	3,89
19-20	3	5	3	5	3	4,67	3	5	3	3	5	3	5	3	5	4,95

		FACILITIES	S AND RE	SOURCES		ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								
Thesis	#. The facilities and material resources are suitable		#. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis were accessible		Average of section	33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the		34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and		35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate		Average of section		
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE			
23-24		*		*	*	2	*	3	5	2	*	5,00		
20-21	3	4	3	4,33	4,17	5	3,8	5	4	5	4	3,93		
19-20	3	5	3	5	5,00	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	4,89		

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600348

(Graduate survey)

3149 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOGERONTOLOGÍA: PERSPECTIVA DEL CICLO VITAL

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25 Collected until: 01/06/2025

	OVERALL													
Thesis	Satisfaction with the teaching staff					Transversal activities of the doctoral program		ctivities of the al program	both aca	al experience, demic and cular, at the t de València	l would rec doctoral	Average of section		
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		
23-24	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	2	*	4,59	
20-21	5	3,8	5	4,2	5	4,2	5	4,2	5	3,8	5	3,4	3,93	
19-20	3	5	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	5	3	5	2	*	4,82	

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

Printing date: 04/06/2025