

RUCT: 5600311

(Graduate survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

0,00%


Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected until: 01/06/2025

Gender:

Male	15	50,00%	
Female	15	50,00%	





63,00%

Answers 30 [100,00%]

Home university

Universitat de València	24	82,76%
Other	5	17,24%



7,00%


0,00%

0,00%

Current employment status

30,00%

Employed	18	62,07%
Self-employed	2	6,90%
Unemployed	7	24,14%
Grant holder	2	6,90%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	0	0,00%



Answers 29 (97,00%)



RUCT: 5600311

Collected until: 01/06/2025

(Graduate survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Frequency Response Strongly disagree....Strongly agree TRAINING PROGRAMME N/C 5 AVERAGE 2. Les activitats formatives que he desenvolupat en el programa de doctorat han sigut interessants per a 5 0 2,97 3 , 7 1 desenvolupar la meua investigació Average of section 2.97 DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING 10 12 3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and method 4.38 MECES A 4,33 4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific 0 16 4,58 community. MECES B 4,43 5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions. 5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge. 6 4.54 MECES C 4,37 6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific 6 3.96 collaborations. 6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work. 3 4.54 6 0 0 16 9 3.96 6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society. 6 6 15 7 6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts. 6 0 0 2 4.54 MECES D 4,22 7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity. 0 0 4 13 4,38 6 MECES E 4,47 13 8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles. 3 6 0 4,33 8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience. 6 0 5 5 14 4,38 MECES F 4,35 9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects. 3 11 8 0 4,00 6 9.2. Skills to promote innovation. 6 0 7 8 8 3,92 MECES G Scale used: 1 to 5 Doctoral Program Average 4.29 Global Average, value within the bar



RUCT: 5600311

(Graduate survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected until: 01/06/2025

		Fr	equency	y Respor							
	Strongly disagreeStrongly agree										
MONITORING AND SUPERVISION	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	Ė			
10. My tutor provide me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme	3	6	4	7	6	4	2,93	4			
11. I was able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned	2	1	2	4	11	10	3,96	4			
12. My tutor or thesis supervisor supervised my research plan regularly	0	5	1	2	9	13	3,80	4			
13. I have had enough information to carry out my research plan	0	2	2	2	8	16	4,13	4			
14. In general, the academic orientation that I received for the development of my studies was adequate	0	3	4	2	15	6	3,57	4			
		Avera	ige of se	ction			3.69	_			

1	2	3	3	4		5
_						
4 , 3	7					
						- 1
4 , 4	6					
						- 1
4 , 4 8	8					
_						- 1
4 , 4 :	1			_		
						- 1
4 . 3	,					
					l	

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes	14	46,67%
No	16	53,33%



	requeitey Response											
	:	Strongl	y disag	reeS	trongly	agree	:	1	2	3	4	5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		1			
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	3	11	4,79	4 , 7 2				
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	3	11	4,79	4 , 5 9	1			
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	1	1	2	6	4	3,79	4 , 0 1	<u> </u>		긔	
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	2	1	1	0	3	7	4,17	4 , 4 2				
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	1	1	4	8	4,36	4 , 5 6				
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Strong	gly sa		1	1		ı	
21. Financial aid received	2	1	3	4	2	2	3,08	3 , 5 9	i			
22. Teaching staff at the host university	1	1	0	1	2	9	4,38	4 , 5 9				
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	1	1	0	0	4	8	4,38	4 , 6 0	Ţ			
		Avera	ge of se	ction			4,24			Scale used	: 1 to 5	
			, ,				1,21		,	gram Average ge, value withi		

Frequency Response



RUCT: 5600311

Collected until: 01/06/2025

(Graduate survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

			quency									
DOCTORAL THESIS	N/C	Strongly 1	y disagi 2	reeS 3	strongly 4		AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5
24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning	1	1	1	5	12	10	4,00	4 , 2 3				
25. Requirements and assessment criteria are suitable and they were published in advance	2	0	1	5	12	10	4,11	4 , 3 1				
26. Guidance and research support provided by my thesis supervisor for preparing my thesis were adequate	1	3	1	2	7	16	4,10	4 , 4 6	+		_	
27. My thesis supervisor was accessible	1	2	2	1	5	19	4,28	4 , 6 5	_			
28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained	1	1	5	1	10	12	3,93	4 , 3 9				_
29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training	1	0	0	1	4	24	4,79	4 , 7 7				
30. I satisfied my initial expectations	2	0	2	4	8	14	4,21	4 , 5 2				
		Avera	ge of se	ction			4,20					
		Fre	quency	Respo	nse							
		Strongl	_		Strongly	_		1	2	3	4	5
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE			$\dot{}$		
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	1	2	5	6	8	8	3,52	3 , 9 0	T		<u> </u>	
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	1	2	8	7	7	5	3,17	3 , 8 2			\square	
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	2	2	9	6	10	3,69	3 , 9 7				
		Avera	ge of se	ection			3,46					
		Strongly	y dissat	isfied	.Strong	ly satis		1	2	3	4	5
OVERALL	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	<u> </u>	-	$\frac{1}{1}$		
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	4	2	1	6	10	7	3,73	4 , 3 8]
Facilities and infrastructure	2	1	0	7	13	7	3,89	4 , 2 5	T			1
Transversal activities of the doctoral program	1	2	7	7	9	4	3,21	3 , 7 9			$\square \mid$	
Specific activities of the doctoral program	2	2	3	7	12	4	3,46	3 , 9 9	Ī			
My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	1	2	1	6	11	9	3,83	4 , 2 9	T]
	_) – –	y disag	1	Strongly							. 1
I would recommend this doctoral programme	1	3	1	6	12	7	3,66	4 , 2 7				J
		Averag	e of sec	ction			3,63				used: 1 to	5
									Doctoral Pr Global Avera	-	-	har
									Gional Avera	aye, value	with the	naı.

Printing date: 03/06/2025

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES (Graduate survey)

RUCT: 5600311

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected until: 01/06/2025

3102.- Programa de Doctorat en Biomedicina i Biotecnologia

		TRAIN	ING PROGRAMI	VIE											
						MECES A			MECES B				MECES C		
	LUTION		g activities has eresting	Average of section	strategies for theories, for	3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and			an original to scientific is recognized	Average MECES B	5.1. Ability t research pr situations are	oject where e identified in		generate new /ledge.	Average MECES C
Thesis						proaches and			tional scientific		which to apply				
year	Collected	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
24-25	4	4	2	2,00	4	3,5	3,5	4	4	4	4	3,25	4	4,25	3,75
23-24	7	7	3,71	3,71	7	4,71	4,71	7	4,71	4,71	7	4,86	7	4,71	4,79
21-22	10	10	2,8	2,80	10	4,6	4,6	10	4,8	4,8	10	4,3	10	4,6	4,45
20-21	3	3	3,33	3,33	1	*	*	1	*	*	1	*	1	*	*
19-20	4	4	2,5	2,50		*	3,75		*	4,25		*		*	4,75

							DEVE	F RESULTS	ults of teaching										
					MECES D						MECES E				MECES F				
	initiate, r	itonomy to manage and ative research		s to perform rative work.	transfe	npetence to r research ge to society.	multidi	s to work in sciplinary ntexts.		with social	to investigate responsibility atific integrity.	Average MECES E	research	ty to write reports / cles.	disclose ar	npetence to nd expose the results to any			
Thesis	teams and	d projects and									3 ,					dience.			
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE		nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE			
24-25	4	3,25	4	4,25	4	3,75	4	4,25	3,88	4	4,25	4,25	4	3,75	4	4,75	4,25		
23-24	7	4,29	7	4,43	7	4,14	7	4,86	4,43	7	4,71	4,71	7	4,57	7	4,71	4,64		
21-22	10	4,1	10	4,6	10	3,8	10	4,3	4,2	10	4	4	10	4,3	10	4	4,15		
20-21	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	*	1	*	*	1	*	1	*	*		
19-20		*		*		*		*	3,75		*	4,75		*		*	4,5		

			IENT OF RE	SULTS OF TEA	ACHING		MONITORING AND SUPERVISION											
Thesis	progre social o	Skills to make ess in cultural, or technological aspects.		s to promote ovation.	Average MECES G	Average of section	adequa throughou	tor provide me te guidance ut the doctoral gramme	the activ	ble to develop rities of the rogram that I planned	superviso my res	itor or thesis or supervised earch plan gularly	informatio	e had enough n to carry out earch plan	academic that I rece	eneral, the corientation eived for the ment of my	Average of section	
year	nºsurv.	. AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE			nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		
24-25	4	4	4	3,25	3,62	3,88	4	2	3	4	4	3,75	4	3,5	4	2,25	3,05	
23-24	7	4,29	7	4,43	4,36	4,57	6	3,33	6	4	7	3,29	7	4,29	7	3,86	3,76	
21-22	10	3,8	10	3,8	3,8	4,23	9	2,78	10	4	10	3,9	10	4,1	10	3,5	3,67	
20-21	1	*	1	*	*	*	2	*	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,43	
19-20		*		*	4,5	4,32	4	3	4	3,25	4	4	4	4	4	3,5	3,55	

Thesis year

24-25 23-24

21-22

20-21 19-20 nºsurv. 2

> 4 3

> 2

3

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES (Graduate survey)

RUCT: 5600311

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Collected until: 01/06/2025 Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

	MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS														
pelieve that the nic-research level of the host esity/institution	academic my study	nink that the c recognition of y period in the country was	informati from the L	ention and on received Iniversitat de efore, during	helped me	nobility period e improve my age skills	mobility pe	ieve that the eriod improved arch capacity	21. Financia	al aid received		ng staff at the university	spent at	period that I the other or institution	Average of section
v. ÁVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	*
5	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	3	2,67	3	5	3	5	4,61
4,67	3	4,67	3	3,67	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	3,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,38
*	2	*	2	*	1	*	2	*	1	*	2	*	2	*	*
4,67	3	4,67	3	3	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	3,33	3	4,33	3	4,33	4,17

	DOCTORAL THESIS														
Thesis	24. The objectives and competences of my thesis were clear from the beginning		assessment criteria are				27. My thesis supervisor was accessible		28. The time spent preparing my doctoral thesis was in line with the result obtained		29. By completing my doctoral thesis I improved my research training		30. I satisfied my initial expectations		Average of section
year		AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
24-25	3	3,33	3	4	3	3,33	3	3,67	3	2,67	3	5	3	4	3,71
23-24	7	3,71	7	3,86	7	3,57	7	3,43	7	3,71	7	4,71	7	3,86	3,84
21-22	10	4,2	9	4	10	4,1	10	4,5	10	4	10	4,9	9	4,11	4,26
20-21	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	5	3	4	3	4,67	3	4,33	4,57
19-20	4	4,25	4	4,5	4	5	4	5	4	4,75	4	4,75	4	5	4,75

		FACILITIES	S AND RE	SOURCES		ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT							
Thesis	#. The facilities and material resources are suitable		#. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis were accessible		Average of section	33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral		34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and		35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate		Average of section	
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		
24-25		*		*	*	3	3,67	3	3	3	3,33	3,33	
23-24		*		*	*	7	3,86	7	2,71	7	3,71	3,43	
21-22		*		*	*	10	3	10	3,1	10	3,5	3,20	
20-21	2	*	2	*	*	3	4,33	3	3,67	3	4	4,00	
19-20	3	2,67	4	3,25	3,00	4	3,25	4	3,25	4	3,75	3,42	

RUCT: 5600311

(Graduate survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Cumulative surveys of people who have read their PhD dissertation between the academic years 19-20 al 24-25

Collected	unui.	01/00/2023	

	OVERALL												
Thesis	Satisfaction with the teaching staff		Facilities and infrastructure		Transversal activities of the doctoral program		Specific activities of the doctoral program		My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València		I would recommend this doctoral programme		Average of section
year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	
24-25	3	2,33	3	3,67	3	2,33	3	3	3	2,67	3	3,33	2,89
23-24	6	4,5	6	4,67	7	4,14	7	3,71	7	4,29	7	4,14	4,23
21-22	9	3,56	10	3,8	10	3	9	3,56	10	3,7	10	3,3	3,48
20-21	3	4,33	3	4	3	3,33	3	3,67	3	4,33	3	4	3,94
19-20	3	3,33	4	3	4	2,25	4	2,75	4	3,5	4	3,5	3,04

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.