
## VNIVERSITAT DÖVALÈNCIA **525.** quàlitatuv


# **EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES**

#### ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

( 3th estud survey )

# 1402.- GRADO EN INGENIERÍA ELECTRÓNICA DE TELECOMUNICACIÓN





5

4

EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

( 3th estud survey )

#### PLANNING EDUCATION Strongly disagree....Strongly agree AVERAGE 1 2 З N/C 2 3 4 5 1 3.- The initial planning and the activities programmed in the teaching guides or in 0 0 3 9 4 3,94 1

								3,74			
the programs of the different subjects have been respected										_	
4 The knowledge, abilities and attitudes proposed in the teaching guides are	0	0	0	3	9	5	4,12	3,78			
being developed as planned											
5 In the subjects I have studied so far, no content has been unnecessarily	0	0	З	4	8	2	3,53	2,94			
repeated				Avera	ge of s	section	3,86	+	 		

TRAINING PROGRAMME		Stro	ngly disa	greeS	Strongly a	agree	AVERAGE					
	N/C	1	2	З	4	5	AVENAGE	1	2	3	4	5
6 The subjects studied and their contents are suited to the objectives of the degree	1	0	0	1	12	3	4,12	3,71				

INFRASTRUCTURES	Strongly disagre											
	N/C	1	2	З	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5
7 The teaching spaces are suitable for the development of the degree program (theory classrooms, practice classrooms, computer rooms and laboratories)	0	0	2	5	5	5	3,76	3,54				
8 The learning spaces for work and group study (reading classrooms, spaces for group work) and their equipment are suitable	0	1	2	5 <b>Avera</b>	6 Ige of s	3 section	3,47 <b>3,62</b>	3,61				

COORDINATION		Stro	ngly disa	igreeS	itrongly a	agree	AVERAGE	4	2			
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVLNAUL	1 1	2	3	4	5
9 In the subjects where you had more than one lecturer, their coordination has been adequate	0	1	2	2	8	4	3,71	3,42				
10 Among the different subjects of the academic year, the lecturers' coordination has been adequate	0	1	0	4 Avera	8 age of s	4 section	3,82 <b>3,76</b>	3,36	I			

: Global UV Average

VNIVERSITAT DÖVALÈNCIA **555** quèlitatuv ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT: 2501410

# EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

VNIVERSITAT DÖVALÈNCIA **555** quờitatuv

Surveyed population: 38

#### ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

( 3th estud survey )

	DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING			Stror	ngly disa	igreeS	Strongly a	agree				
La Carteria de Car			N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1 2	3	4 5
11 In proces	general, the teaching methodologies favor my involvement in the $\ensuremath{ss}$	learning	0	0	1	5	8	3	3,76	3,31		
12 In	general, the workload of 25 hours per credit is fulfilled		0	1	З	5	5	3	3,35	3,20		
	ne complementary academic activities (visits, seminars, talks) ha buted to my training	ave	0	0	2	5	7	3	3,65	3,42		
14 Pi	ractical sessions are in line with the theoretical contents taught		0	0	1	7	5	4	3,71	3,81		
	ne recommended study materials are useful (reference manuals, , articles, videos, etc.)	notes,	0	2	0	5	9	1	3,41	3,60		
16 Le sched	ecturers always attend class and presential activities provided in t ule	the	1	0	0	4	6	6	4,12	4 ,2 5		
17 Cl publicl	lasses and presential activities start and end times coincide with t y established schedules	the	1	0	0	3 Avera	5 age of s	8 section	4,31 3,75	4 ,1 3		
ſ							- <u>-</u>		.,			
	EVALUATION	_		Stron		igreeS	Strongly a		AVERAGE	1 2	3	4 5
		1	N/C	1	2	3	4	5				
18 Tł	ne assessment systems are adapted to the learning methodologie	es.	0	0	0	5	7	5	4	3,59		
19 Tł	ne performance demanded is suited to the teaching delivered		0	0	Ο	6	7	4	3,88	3,48		
						Avera	age of s	section	3,94	+ +	+	• •
	PUBLIC INFORMATION			Stror	nalv disa	iareeS	Strongly a	aaree				
					5,	-		-	AVERAGE	1 2	3	4 5
		1	N/C	1	2	3	4	5			5	
20 Tł	ne information provided on the degree's website is useful	<b>ا</b>	N/C	1 0	2	3 6	4	5 3	3,59	3,47		
20 Tł			,	0	2	6	6	3	3,59	3 , 4 7		
20 Th	ne information provided on the degree's website is useful OVERALL		0	0 Stror	2 ngly disa	6 IgreeS	6 Strongly a	3 agree	3,59 AVERAGE			4 5
	OVERALL		0 N/C	0 Stror 1	2 ngly disa 2	6 IgreeS	6 Strongly a	3 agree 5	AVERAGE	1 2		4 5
Intere	OVERALL st of this degree		0 N/C	0 Stror 1 0	2 ngly disa 2 1	6 greeS 3 3	6 Strongly a 4 8	3 agree 5 5	AVERAGE	1 2		4 5
Interes	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers		0 N/C 0	0 Stror 1 0 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0	6 gree5 3 3 6	6 Strongly a 4 8 8	3 agree 5 5 3	AVERAGE 4 3,82	1 2		4 5
Interes Quality I would	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers d recommend this degree		N/C 0 0	0 Stror 1 0 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0	6 gree5 3 6 4	6 Strongly a 4 8 8 10	3 agree 5 5 3 0	AVERAGE 4 3,82 3,29	1 2		4 5
Interes Quality I would Satisfa	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers d recommend this degree action with this degree		N/C 0 0 0	0 Stror 1 0 2 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0 1 2	6 3 3 6 4 3	6 itrongly a 8 8 10 8	3 agree 5 3 0 4	AVERAGE 4 3,82 3,29 3,82	1 2		4 5
Interes Quality I would Satisfa The ge	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers d recommend this degree action with this degree ender perspective has been present in a transversal way in this de	[ ] [ [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ ] [	N/C 0 0	0 Stror 1 0 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0	6 gree5 3 6 4	6 Strongly a 4 8 8 10	3 agree 5 5 3 0	AVERAGE 4 3,82 3,29	1 2 4,19 3,56 3,76		4 5
Interes Quality I would Satisfa The ge of ega	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers d recommend this degree action with this degree	[ ] [ [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ ] [	N/C 0 0 0	0 Stror 1 0 0 2 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0 1 2	6 gree5 3 6 4 3 2	6 itrongly a 8 8 10 8	agree 5 5 3 0 4 5	AVERAGE 4 3,82 3,29 3,82	1 2 4,19 3,56 3,76 3,72		
Interes Quality I would Satisfa The ge of ega	OVERALL st of this degree y of lecturers d recommend this degree action with this degree ender perspective has been present in a transversal way in this de litarian and inclusive language, promotion of the participation of w	[ ] [ [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ [ ] [ ] [	N/C 0 0 0	0 Stror 1 0 0 2 0	2 ngly disa 2 1 0 1 2	6 gree5 3 6 4 3 2	6 5 4 8 10 8 6	agree 5 5 3 0 4 5	AVERAGE 4 3,82 3,29 3,82 4,23	1 2 4,19 3,56 3,76 3,72		

# VNIVERSITAT DÖVALÈNCIA **555** quờitatuv

#### EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

#### ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

( 3th estud survey )

# 1402.- Grado en Ingeniería electrónica de telecomunicación

		ORGANIZATIO	ACHING			PLAN	NING ED	DUCATION					INING RAMME	INFRASTRUCTURES					
EVOL	UTION	#.Incidents have been solved	received	nformation d (enrolment ocess,)	#.guides provided have been helpful		ial planning n respected	and attit	dge, abilities tudes in the ides		nts have not repeated	Average of section	studied	subjects and their are suited		teaching re suitable		learning are suitable	Average of section
A. year	Collected	nºsurv. AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv. AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE		n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	17	*	17	4	*	17	3,94	17	4,12	17	3,53	3,86	16	4,12	17	3,76	17	3,47	3,62
22-23	4	*	4	2,75	*	4	3	4	3,25	4	3	3,08	4	2,25	4	2,75	4	3,5	3,12
21-22	8	*	8	4	*	8	4,38	8	4,25	8	4,12	4,25	8	4,38	8	4,62	8	4	4,31
20-21	9	*	9	3,67	*	9	3,89	8	4	8	3,75	3,88	8	3,62	9	4,44	9	3,33	3,89
19-20	10	*	10	3,3	*	10	4	10	3,4	10	3,4	3,6	10	3,4	10	3,3	10	З	3,15
18-19	7	*	7	2,86	*	7	4,14	7	4,14	7	4,14	4,14	7	3,43	7	4,43	7	3,43	3,93

		CO	ORDINAT	ION		DESENVOLUPAMENT DE L'ENSENYAMENT														
	coordina	subject tion between cturers	between lecturers of different subjects		Average of section	methodo	teaching ologies favoy volvement 12.The workload of 25 hours per credit is fulfilled			plementary ic activities	are in li	ical sessions ne with the heory	study ma	ommended aterials are seful		rers always d class	times c	rt and end pincide with stablished	Average of section	
A. year	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE		n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	17	3,71	17	3,82	3,76	17	3,76	17	3,35	17	3,65	17	3,71	17	3,41	16	4,12	16	4,31	3,75
22-23	4	3,25	4	2	2,62	3	1,67	4	2	4	2,5	4	2,5	4	З	3	3,67	3	3,67	2,68
21-22	8	4,25	8	4,25	4,25	8	3,62	8	3,75	7	3,86	7	4,14	7	3,29	8	5	8	4,75	4,08
20-21	9	3,67	9	3,78	3,72	9	3,56	9	3,33	6	3	9	3,89	8	3,62	9	4,89	9	4,67	3,9
19-20	10	3,7	10	3,7	3,7	10	3,3	10	3	10	2,7	10	4,2	10	3,9	10	4,4	10	4,4	3,7
18-19	7	3,86	7	3,71	3,79	7	3,29	6	3,17	6	3,83	7	3,57	6	3,83	6	4,5	7	4,43	3,8

# Item deleted by modification of survey

# VNIVERSITAT DÖVALÈNCIA **255 QUỜIITATUV**

# EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

## ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

( 3th estud survey )

							JBLIC RMATION	OVERALL										
	systems a to the		demande	performance ed is suited to hing delivered	of	provid	information led on the ebsite	Interest c	f this degree	Quality	of lecturers		ecommend degree		ion with this egree	perspecti	gender ive has been esent	Average of section
A. year	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE		n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	n⁰surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	17	4	17	3,88	3,94	17	3,59	17	4	17	3,82	17	3,29	17	3,82	13	4,23	3,81
22-23	4	2,25	4	2,75	2,5	4	3,75	4	4,25	4	З	4	3,5	4	2,75		*	3,38
21-22	7	3,57	7	3,43	3,5	7	3,71	7	4,29	7	3,71	7	4,43	7	4		*	4,11
20-21	8	3,75	8	3,25	3,5	9	4	9	4	9	3,78	9	3,78	9	4		*	3,89
19-20	10	3,6	10	3,7	3,65	9	3,22	10	3,4	10	3,6	10	2,9	10	3,5		*	3,35
18-19	7	3,43	7	3,29	3,36	7	3,71	6	4,17	6	4,17	6	3,83	6	3,83		*	4

\* The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

\* \* The last 6 courses are shown