

RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

Gender:

Male	21	39,62%	
Female	32	60,38%	



Home university

Universitat de València	43	81,13%
Other	10	18,87%




Other university:

Universidad Europea de Madrid // Universitat Politècnica e València //
University of Copenhagen // UPV // Universidad de Valladolid // Università
degli studi di Milano // Universidad Europea // CINVESTAV, MEXICO //
Universidad Autonoma Gabriel Rene Moreno // Universidad Complutense
de Madrid


Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	
8	39	3	0	1	0	Answers
16,00%	76,00%	6,00%	0,00%	2,00%	0,00%	51 (93,00%)



Current employment status

Employed	39	72,22%	
Self-employed	0	0,00%	
Unemployed	2	3,70%	ı L
Grant holder	13	24,07%	
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%	
Other	0	0,00%	Ī
	Ar	nswers	
	54 (98,00%)	



Other employment status:



RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

		Fre	quency	Respon	se			
		Strong	ly disag	reeS	trongly	agree		1 2 3 4 5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	
1. Prior to enrolment, I was informed about the lines of research of the doctoral programme	1	16	11	12	9	6	2,59	3 , 7 7
2. So far, the training activities that I have carried out throughout the doctoral programme has been interesting to develop my research	4	7	8	23	10	3	2,88	3 ,6 8
		Avera	ige of se	ction			2,73	
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING		,	10	1				
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and methods.	6	0	2	6	23	18	4,16	4 , 0 9
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific community.	6	0	0	8	21	20	4,24	4 , 0 9
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	6	0	1	12	22	14	4,00	4 , 0 7
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	5	0	1	6	25	18	4,20	4 , 2 0
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	6	0	1	14	18	16	4,00	3 , 9 7
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	5	0	1	3	19	27	4,44	4 , 0 9
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	6	1	2	12	17	17	3,96	4 ,0 3
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	6	1	2	4	20	22	4,22	4 ,1 2
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	6	0	0	6	16	27	4,43	4 , 2 5
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	5	0	0	6	25	19	4,26	4 ,1 8
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	6	0	2	6	25	16	4,12	4 , 1 1
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	7	1	1	15	22	9	3,77	3 , 9 7
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	6	1	5	14	20	9	3,63	3 , 9 2
		Aver	age of s	ection			4,11	<u> </u>



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

MONITORING AND SUPERVISION 15 | 17 10. My tutor is providing me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme 3 3 10 3,62 2 13 25 | 14 3,89 11. So far, I have been able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned 0 3 15 | 25 12. My tutor or thesis supervisor is supervising my research plan regularly 9 4,17 18 24 13. Until now, I have had enough information to carry out my research plan 3 9 0 4,17 14. In general, the academic orientation that I have received so far for the development of my studies has been 12 16 3,49 0 15 adequate Scale used: 1 to 5 Average of section 3.87 X,XX : Global UV Average

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes	15	27,27%
No	40	72,73%



		Free	luency	Respor	ıse							
		Strongly	y disag	reeS	trongly	y agree	e	1	2	3	4	5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE			-	·	<u> </u>
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	1	0	0	0	2	12	4,86	4 , 7 0				
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	1	0	0	2	3	9	4,50	4 , 5 9	-	_		
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	3	2	4	4	2	3,00	3 , 7 2	Ţ]	
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	1	6	8	4,47	4,41				
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	7	8	4,53	4 , 6 4	-	_		
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Stron	gly sa		1	ĺ		I	1
21. Financial aid received	0	3	0	4	2	6	3,53	3 , 2 0				
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	1	2	12	4,73	4 , 5 0		_		1
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	3	12	4,80	4 , 5 9				
		Averag	ge of se	ection			4,30			Scale used:	1 to 5	
								V VV . CI	11 TTV7 A			

X,XX : Global UV Average

Number of surveys: 55 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 218 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

		Fre	quency	Respon	nse						
	5	Strongly				agree		4 0		2	4
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES	N/C	1	2	3	4		AVERAGE	1 2		3	4
31. The facilities and material resources are suitable for the development of the doctoral programme	6	2	1	11	21	14	3,90	3 , 9 8			
32. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis are accessible	3	1	3	10	22	16	3,94	4 , 1 8			
		Averag	ge of se	ction			3,92				'
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								1 1			
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	2	5	10	12	14	12	3,34	3 , 8 5]
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	1	6	9	12	18	9	3,28	3 , 7 7			1
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	5	4	6	15	17	8	3,38	3 , 8 7			ᆰ
										•	${}^{-}$
		Averas		isfied	.Strong		3,33				
OVERALL	N/C				.Strong		3,33			1	
OVERALL Satisfaction with the teaching staff				isfied 3	4		AVERAGE 3,72	4,29			-
	N/C	Strongly 1	dissat	isfied	4	5	AVERAGE 3,72 3,52	4,29			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	N/C 8	Strongly 1	dissat 2	isfied 3	4	5	AVERAGE 3,72				=
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C 8 9	Strongly 1 3	dissat 2 3	10 15	4 19 15	5	AVERAGE 3,72 3,52	4 , 0 1			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program	N/C 8 9 6	Strongly 1 3 2	dissat 2 3 5	10 15 14	19 15 14	5	3,72 3,52 2,73	3 , 6 2			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 8 9 6 10 6 6	Strongly 1 3 2 9 8	dissat 2 3 5 11 10 3	10 15 14 10 13 reeS	19 15 14 16 25	5 12 9 1 1 5	3,72 3,52 2,73 2,82	3,62	S	cale used: 1	to
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Fransversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	N/C 8 9 6 10 6 6	Strongly 1 3 2 9 8 3 Strongly 1	dissat 2 3 5 11 10 3	10 15 14 10 13 reeS	4 19 15 14 16 25	5 12 9 1 1 5	3,72 3,52 2,73 2,82 3,53	3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1 3 , 9 8			to 5

Number of surveys: 55 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 218



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

3102.- Programa de Doctorat en Biomedicina i Biotecnologia

			TRAINI	NG PROG	RAMME			MECES A		DE	VELOPMENT (MECES B	OF RESUL	IS OF TEAC	HING	MECES C		
EVO	LUTION	Collected n°surv. AVERAGE n°su				Average of section	strate analysis	velopment of gies for the s of theories, ations, and	Average MECES A	contrib scientific r	g an original bution to esearch that ized by the	Average MECES B	researd where sit	to design a ch project uations are	5.2. Skills	to generate owledge.	Average MECES C
A. year	Collected							AVERAGE			AVERAGE		identified in which to n°surv. AVERAGE n°su			AVERAGE	
23-24	55	54	2,59	51	2,88	2,73	49	4,16	4,16	49	4,24	4,24	49	4	50	4,2	4,1
22-23	45	45	2,2	41	2,8	2,49	40	3,98	3,98	39	3,95	3,95	39	3,9	41	4,22	4,06
21-22	71	70	2,46	69	2,88	2,67	33	4,21	4,21	33	4,18	4,18	32	4,19	33	4,33	4,26
20-21	29	28	2,54	28	2,79	2,66		*	3		*	3,08		*		*	4,12
19-20	25	24	2,62	25	2,72	2,67		*	2,39		*	2,88		*		*	4,04
18-19	31	31	2,65	31	2,32	2,48		*	2,65		*	2,61		*		*	4,33
							DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING										
					MECES D						MECES E				MECES 1		

							DEVE	F RESULTS	ESULTS OF TEACHING										
					MECES D						MECES E		MECES F						
	initiate, r lead ii	Autonomy to manage and innovative ch teams and 6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work. 6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.			multidis	to work in sciplinary itexts.	Average MECES D	investigat respons	Ability to e with social sibility and ic integrity.	Average MECES E	researc	lity to write h reports / ticles.	disclose the resea	npetence to and expose rch results to audience.	Average MECES F				
A. year		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			
23-24	49	4	50	4,44	49	3,96	49	4,22	4,16	49	4,43	4,43	50	4,26	49	4,12	4,19		
22-23	41	3,95	41	4,27	41	3,9	40	4,22	4,09	41	4,24	4,24	39	4,05	39	3,87	3,96		
21-22	33	4,09	32	4,44	33	4	33	4,3	4,21	31	4,35	4,35	33	4,48	32	4,44	4,46		
20-21		*		*		*		*	3,92		*	4,29		*		*	3,92		
19-20		*		*		*		*	4		*	4,25		*		*	4		
18-19		*		*		*		*	4,17		*	4,3		*		*	4,24		

			IENT OF RE	ESULTS OF TEA	CHING		MONITORING AND SUPERVISION												
					Average of section	provi adequat	y tutor is ding me e guidance ahout the	able to d	I have been develop the ies of the rogram that I	super supervi	or or thesis visor is ising my lan regularly	had e informati	now, I have enough on to carry search plan	academic that I have	eneral, the c orientation e received so for the	Average of section			
A. year			n°surv.	AVERAGE				. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. A	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			
23-24	48	3,77	49	3,63	3,7	4,11	52	3,62	55	3,89	52	4,17	54	4,17	55	3,49	3,87		
22-23	37	3,62	40	3,7	3,66	3,99	43	3,33	44	3,89	44	3,98	45	4,04	44	3,5	3,75		
21-22	33	3,94	32	4,09	4,02	4,23	67	3,43	70	3,9	71	4,08	68	4,03	69	3,48	3,79		
20-21		*		*	3,88	3,75	26	2,96	29	3,79	29	4,07	28	4,07	29	3,55	3,7		
19-20		*		*	3,79	3,63	22	2,91	24	3,96	25	3,68	24	3,71	25	3,08	3,48		
18-19		*		*	3,9	3,73	30	3,17	30	3,6	30	4,03	29	4,07	29	3,41	3,66		



RUCT: 5600311

Average

4,07 3,96

4,24

4,38

(Doctoral estud survey)

A	cadem	ic Year: 23	-24			31	73 - PROC	GRAMA DE	DOCTOR	RADO EN BI	OMEDIC	INA Y BIOTI	ECNOLO	GÍA		
						MOBILITY	PROGRAM	MES: ERASMU	JS, INTERNA	ATIONAL PRO	GRAMME O	R OTHERS				
	acadei level	elieve that the mic-research at the host sity/institution	academion of my stu	ink that the c recognition udy period in country was	information information in the U	ention and on received Iniversitat de ia before.	period l improve r	is mobility helped me ny language skills	mobil improved	ieve that the ity period my research		ancial aid ceived		hing staff at t university	I spent a	y period that at the other or institution
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE
23-24	14	4,86	14	4,5	15	3	15	4,47	15	4,53	15	3,53	15	4,73	15	4,8
22-23	10	4,7	9	4,22	9	3,11	9	3,78	10	4,5	10	3,6	9	4,22	9	4,33
21-22	12	4,42	11	4,09	12	2,83	11	4,45	11	4,18	12	3,42	10	4,1	10	4,3
20-21	9	4,56	8	4,12	7	3,71	9	4,33	8	4,75	8	4,12	6	4	8	4,12
19-20	9	4,56	8	4,5	9	3,22	9	4,56	9	4,78	8	4,25	8	4,62	9	4,56
18-19	11	4,64	10	4,1	11	3,27	11	4,36	11	4,64	11	3,36	9	4,22	11	4,55
							DO	CTORAL THE	SIS							
	compe thesis a	objectives and stences of my are clear from beginning	assessr are suita	rements and ment criteria able and are	researd provided l	ance and th support by my thesis visor for	supervise	r, my thesis or has been essible	preparing thesis is	time spent g my doctoral s in line with ult obtained	doctora improving	mpleting my I thesis I'm my research	satisfie	ar, I have d my initial ectations	Average of section	
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		
23-24		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	
21-22		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	
20-21	28	4,11	25	3,76	28	4,04	27	4,22	29	3,21	29	4,21	29	3,14	3,81	
19-20	24	3,38	25	3,44	25	3,72	25	3,92	25	3,28	25	4,28	25	3,12	3,59	
18-19	31	3,71	28	3,46	31	4	31	4,39	31	3,48	31	4,58	31	3,48	3,88	
		FACILITIE	ES AND RI	ESOURCES				ACADEM	IIC MANAG	EMENT						
A. year	material su	e facilities and resources are uitable	mate	he library erials are cessible AVERAGE	Average of section	33. The sprovided Doctoral Spealing with nosury. As	by the chool for h issues	34. The inf provided Doctoral S website is u	on the School's	35. The org and plannii doctoral pro been ad n°surv. AV	ng of the gram has equate	Average of section				
23-24	49	3,9	52	3,94	3,92	53	3,34	54	3,28	50	3,38	3,33				
22-23	43	3,88	44	4,18	4,03	42	2,93	42	2,93	43	3,14	3				
21-22	67	3,85	64	4,05	3,95	64	3,22	68	3,31	65	3,23	3,25				
20-21	29	4,03	29	4,24	4,14	26	3,42	28	3,18	28	3,36	3,32				
19-20	25	3,72	25	4	3,86	24	3,5	24	3,17	23	3,22	3,3				
18-19	30	3,63	31	3,84	3,74	31	3,03	30	2,6	30	2,83	2,82				

Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600311

(Doctoral estud survey)

3173 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN BIOMEDICINA Y BIOTECNOLOGÍA

	GLOBAL									
	Satisfaction with the teaching staff		Facilities and infrastructure		Activitats transversals i específiques del programa de doctorat	My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the		I would recommend this doctoral programme		Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	n°surv. AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv. AVERAGE		
23-24	47	3,72	46	3,52	*	49	3,53	49	3,61	3,6
22-23	35	3,49	35	3,49	*	36	3,69	39	3,54	3,55
21-22	55	3,67	59	3,66	*	59	3,59	61	3,44	3,59
20-21	24	3,92	25	3,88	*	27	3,52	26	3,65	3,74
19-20	21	3,62	22	3,59	*	25	3,56	24	3,42	3,54
18-19	29	3,45	28	3,39	*	29	3,55	30	3,43	3,46

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}$ The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.