


RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

# Academic Year: 23-24 3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

## Gender:

Male	1	20,00%	
Female	4	80,00%	



# Home university

Universitat de València	4	80,00%	
Other	1	20,00%	




#### Other university:

Universidad Autónoma de Madrid


#### Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	
2	3	1	0	0	0	Answers
33,00%	50,00%	17,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	6 (100,00%)



### Current employment status

0	0,00%
0	0,00%
2	33,33%
0	0,00%
0	0,00%
	0



Answers 6 ( 100,00% )

# Other employment status:

Number of surveys: 6

Surveyed population: 36

Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

Academic Year: 23-24 3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

		Free	quency :	Respon	se			
		Strongl	y disagı	eeS	trongly	agree	;	1 2 3 4 5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	
1. Prior to enrolment, I was informed about the lines of research of the doctoral programme	0	1	0	1	1	3	3,83	3 , 7 7
2. So far, the training activities that I have carried out throughout the doctoral programme has been interesting to develop my research	1	1	0	3	0	1	3,00	3 , 6 8
to develop my research		Avera	ge of se	ction			3,45	
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING	I							
						1 -	4.00	
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and methods.	0	0	0	2	2	2	4,00	4 , 0 9
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific community.		0	0	2	0	3	4,20	4 , 0 9
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	2	0	0	1	1	2	4,25	4 , 0 7
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	1	0	0	0	3	2	4,40	4 , 2 0
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	2	0	0	1	0	3	4,50	3 , 9 7
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	0	0	0	1	2	3	4,33	4 , 0 9
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	0	0	0	3	1	2	3,83	4 ,0 3
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	0	0	0	2	2	2	4,00	4 ,1 2
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	0	0	0	1	3	2	4,17	4 , 2 5
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	0	0	0	2	3	1	3,83	4 ,1 8
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	0	0	0	3	1	2	3,83	4 , 1 1
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	0	0	0	3	1	2	3,83	3 , 9 7
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	1	0	0	3	0	2	3,80	3 , 9 2
		Avera	ige of se	ection			4,06	<u> </u>

Number of surveys: 6 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 36 Printing date: 15/04/2024



Academic Year: 23-24

#### **EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES**

RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

#### MONITORING AND SUPERVISION 10. My tutor is providing me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme 0 0 0 2 3 4,33 2 3,60 11. So far, I have been able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned 1 0 12. My tutor or thesis supervisor is supervising my research plan regularly 0 0 3 4,00 1 13. Until now, I have had enough information to carry out my research plan 0 0 0 3 4,17 14. In general, the academic orientation that I have received so far for the development of my studies has been 0 3,67 adequate Scale used: 1 to 5 Average of section 3.96 X,XX : Global UV Average

#### MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

No 6 100,00%

		Free	quency	Respor	ıse							
		Strongl	y disag	reeS	strongly	y agree	:	1	2	3	4	
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		_			
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable							*	4 , 7 0				
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate							*	4 , 5 9				
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory							*	3 , 7 2				
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills							*	4 , 4 1				
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity							*	4 , 6 4				
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Stron	gly sa			i I			
21. Financial aid received							*	3,20		$\Rightarrow$		
22. Teaching staff at the host university							*	4 , 5 0				
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution							*	4 , 5 9				
		Avera,	ge of se	ection			*			Scale	used: 1 to	5
								V VV · CI	ahal IIV	Avaraga		

X,XX : Global UV Average

Number of surveys: 6 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 36 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

Academic Year: 23-24 3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

		Fre	anency	Respo	nse							
		Strongly				agree			_		_	
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES	N/C	1	2	3	4		AVERAGE	1	2		3	4
31. The facilities and material resources are suitable for the development of the doctoral programme	0	0	1	0	1	4	4,33	3,98	_			
32. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis are accessible	0	0	0	0	4	2	4,33	4 , 1 8				
		Average of section						'				'
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								1	1			ı
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	1	0	1	1	1	2	3,80	3 , 8 5	1			<b>]</b>
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	1	0	1	1	2	1	3,60	3 , 7 7				]
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	0	0	3	1	1	3,60	3 , 8 7	_			<b>_</b>
		Avera	ge of se	ction			3,67	1	•		7	•
		Strongly	y dissat	isfied	.Strong							
OVERALL	N/C	Strongly 1	y dissat <b>2</b>	isfied	.Strong		AVERAGE				1	
Satisfaction with the teaching staff		Strongly  1	0	<b>3</b>	.Strong 4	<b>5</b>	4,00	4 , 2 9				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C 1 0	1	2	3	.Strong 4 1	<b>5</b>	4,00 4,17	4 , 0 1				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	N/C	1	0	<b>3</b>	.Strong 4 1 1	<b>5</b>	4,00					
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C 1 0	1	0	<b>3</b>	.Strong 4 1 1 2	<b>5</b>	4,00 4,17	4 , 0 1				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program	N/C  1  0  6	1	0 0	3 2 0	1	<b>5</b>	4,00 4,17 *	3,62				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C   1   0   0   6   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	0 1	2 0 0 2 2 y disag	3 2 0 2 1	1 2 2	5 2 4 1 1	4,00 4,17 * 3,33 3,33	3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1		S	cale used:	1 to 5
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	N/C   1   0   0   6   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	1 0 1 1 0 Strongly	2 0 0 2 2 y disag	3 2 0 2 1	4 1 1 1 2 2 2 Strongly	5 2 4 1 1	4,00 4,17 * 3,33 3,33 3,50	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1 3 , 9 8				1 to 5

Number of surveys: 6 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 36 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

Academic Year: 23-24 3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

P	Academic	1 ear: 23	-24			310	7 TROOMINIT	DEDOCTOR	CIDO EIVI	MITOCIE	CIA I MAN	OILCI	OLOGIA			
						316	7 Programa d	e Doctorat	en Nano	ciència i N	<b>Vanotecnol</b>	logia				
			TRAINI	NG PROGRA	MME						OF RESULTS O	F TEACH				
		4 Drianta		0 45-		A	MECES .			MECES B	Average	4 Al-:1:44		MECES C		Average
			enrolment, I med about		training has been	Average of	3.1. Development of strategies for the	MECES	4.1. Making contribu	an original		research			s to generate nowledge.	MECES
EVO	LUTION		of research		sting	section	analysis of theories	, А		search that			ations are	TIOW IC	nowicago.	С
•			TED 4 GE		TIED LOD		foundations, and		is recogniz	zed by the	id	lentified in	which to	0	AMEDAGE	
	Collected	n°surv. A'		n°surv. A			n°surv. AVERAGE			VERAGE			VERAGE		AVERAGE	
23-24	6	6	3,83	5	3	3,45	6 4	4	5	4,2	4,2	4	4,25	5	4,4	4,33
	DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING  MECES D  MECES E  MECES F															
	C 4 Ata		0.0.01:11-		-		C 4 Chille to word	cin Average	7.4			0.4 Ab	Otalia and constant			Average
		onomy to anage and	6.2. Skills	to perform tive work.	b.3. Cor	mpetence to er research	6.4. Skills to work multidisciplinar			Ability to te with socia	Average MECES		ility to write th reports /		ompetence to se and expose	MECES
		novative	Conabora	aro work.		ge to society.	contexts.	D		sibility and	E		ticles.		earch results to	F
A. year		verage	n <sup>0</sup> cuer	AVERAGE	n0011	. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERA	CE		fic integrity AVERAGE		n <sup>0</sup> crres.	AVERAGE	any	/ audience. /. AVERAGE	
											4.17					2.02
23-24	4	4,5	6	4,33	6	3,83	6 4	4,14	6	4,17	4,17	6	3,83	6	3,83	3,83
	DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING  MONITORING AND SUPERVISION															
	9.1 Skills	s to make	9.2. Skills	to promote	Average	Average	10. My tutor is	11. So far, I	have heen	12. My tuto	r or thesis	13 Until	now, I have	14 In	general, the	Average
		in cultural,		ation.	MECES	of	providing me	able to de		superv			enough		nic orientation	of
		chnological			G	section a	dequate guidance	activities		supervis			ion to carry		ive received so	section
A. year		ects. VERAGE	n°surv A	VERAGE			throughout the n°surv. AVERAGE		gram that I VERAGE	research pla n°surv. A			esearch plan AVERAGE		ar for the v. AVERAGE	
23-24	6	3,83	5	3,8	3,82	4,06	6 4,33	5	3,6	5	4	6	4,17	6	3,67	3,96
									<u> </u>							
						MOBILITY	PROGRAMMES: ER	ASMUS, INTER	NATIONAL 1	PROGRAMME	OR OTHERS					
	16. I belie	ve that the	17. I think	that the	18. Att	ention and	19. This mobilit	y 20.1b	elieve that tl	ne 21. F	inancial aid	22.	reaching staf	ff at 23.	Mobility period	that Average
		research	academic r			ion received	period helped m		oility period		received	the	host univers		spent at the oth	
	level at	the host	of my stud			Jniversitat de	improve my langu		ed my resea	rch				uni	versity or instit	ution section
	university	/inctitution	the best co	NIIDtry Wac	Valàno	sia hoforo	ckille		canacity							CE
A. year	university n°surv. AV		the host co			cia before, AVERAGE	skills n°surv. AVERA	GE n°sur	capacity . AVERAG	E n°su	rv. AVERAGE	n°su	ırv. AVERA	.GE n	osurv. AVERA	MGE
A. year 23-24								GE n°sur		E n°su	rv. AVERAGE *	E nºsu	ırv. AVERA	GE n	°surv. AVERA	* *
		VERAGE		VERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERA	GE n°sur	. AVERAG	E n°su		e n°su		GE n		* *
	n°surv. AV	VERAGE *	n°surv. A'	VERAGE *	n <sup>o</sup> surv.	*	n°surv. AVERA	GE n°sur THESIS	v. AVERAG		*		*			*
•	n°surv. AV	* ectives and	n°surv. A'	* ments and	n°surv. #. Guid	* dance and	n°surv. AVERA  *  DOCTORAL  #. So far, my the	GE n°sur THESIS sis #. Th	e time spen	t #. By (	* completing my	/ #.	* So far, I have	e Ave	* erage	* *
	#. The objection	* ectives and nees of my	#. Require assessme	* ments and ent criteria	n°surv. #. Guid resear	* dance and ch support	n°surv. AVERA  *  DOCTORAL  #. So far, my the supervisor has be	THESIS sis #. The prepari	e time spen	t #. By o	* completing my	/ #. sat	* So far, I have isfied my initi	e Ave	* erage of	*
23-24	#. The objectives are the be	* ectives and ices of my clear from ginning	#. Require assessme are suitable published	* ments and ent criteria de and are in advance	#. Guid researd provided supe	average  *  dance and ch support by my thesis rvisor for	n°surv. AVERA  *  DOCTORAL  #. So far, my the supervisor has be accessible	THESIS  sis #. Th prepari thesis the re	e time spen ng my docto is in line wit	t #. By c ral docto h improvii	completing my ral thesis I'm ng my researd training	/ #. sat	* So far, I havisfied my initiexpectations	e Ave	* erage	*
	#. The objection competent thesis are	* ectives and ices of my clear from ginning	#. Require assessme are suitable	* ments and ent criteria de and are in advance	#. Guid researd provided supe	AVERAGE  *  dance and ch support by my thesis	n°surv. AVERA  *  DOCTORAL  #. So far, my the supervisor has be	THESIS  sis #. Th prepari thesis the re	e time spen	t #. By c ral docto h improvii	* completing my ral thesis I'm ng my researc	/ #. sat	* So far, I have isfied my initi	e Ave	* erage of	*

Academic Year: 23-24

## **EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES**

RUCT: 5600363

( Doctoral estud survey )

3167 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

		FACILITIE	S AND RI	ESOURCES		ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								
	material	facilities and resources are itable	mate	he library rials are cessible	Average of section	provid Doctora	led by the I School for with issues	provid Doctora	information ed on the al School's s useful and	and plan doctoral p	organization nning of the orogram has adequate	Average of section		
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE			
23-24	6	4,33	6	4,33	4,33	5	3,8	5	3,6	5	3,6	3,67		

	GLOBAL												
	Satisfaction with the teaching staff	Facilities and infrastructure	Activitats transversals i específiques del programa de doctorat	My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the	I would recommend this doctoral programme	Average of section							
A. year	n°surv. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE								
23-24	5 4	6 4,17	*	6 3,33	6 3,5	3,74							

<sup>\*</sup> The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.