

RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24

3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

Gender:

Male	10	66,67%	
Female	5	33,33%	



Home university

Universitat de València	9	64,29%	
Other	5	35,71%	




Other university:

Universidad de San Buenaventura // Universitat Politècnica de València // Kingston University


Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	
1	2	4	1	1	4	Answers
8,00%	15,00%	31,00%	8,00%	8,00%	31,00%	13 (87,00%)



Current employment status

Employed	8	57,14%
Self-employed	0	0,00%
Unemployed	2	14,29%
Grant holder	2	14,29%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	2	14,29%



Answers 14 (93,00%)

Other employment status:

jubilat // Funcionari

Number of surveys: 15

Surveyed population: 39

Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

		Free	quency 1	Respon	se						
		Strongl	y disagr	eeS	trongly	y agree		1 2	3 4	1 5	5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	_		· ·	T
1. Prior to enrolment, I was informed about the lines of research of the doctoral programme	0	2	2	3	2	6	3,53	3 , 7 7			
2. So far, the training activities that I have carried out throughout the doctoral programme has been interesting	0	2	1	3	1	8	3,80	3 , 6 8			
to develop my research		Avera	ge of se	ction			3,67				
							3,07				
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING										ı	1
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and methods.	2	1	0	2	7	3	3,85	4 , 0 9		þ	
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific	3	1	0	3	5	3	3,75	4 , 0 9		þ	İ
community.]		1	1	1.0	1		I I	Ī		i
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	2	1	1	2	6	3	3,69	4 , 0 7	!	P	
]		1	1		1 -	4.00			L	I
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	2	0	1	1	8	3	4,00	4 , 2 0	ı	H	
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	4	0	2	2	4	3	3,73	3,97			
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	3	1	2	1	5	3	3,58	4 , 0 9		þ	
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	2	0	2	3	4	4	3,77	4 , 0 3		þ	İ
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	4	1	0	4	4	2	3,55	4 , 1 2		þ	
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	1	0	0	4	6	4	4,00	4 , 2 5	<u> </u>		
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	1	0	1	2	6	5	4,07	4 , 1 8	<u> </u>		İ
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	1	0	1	4	4	5	3,93	4 , 1 1		þ	
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	3	1	1	3	4	3	3,58	3 , 9 7			
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	3	0	1	4	4	3	3,75	3 , 9 2			
		Aver	ige of se	ection			3,80		-		-

Number of surveys: 15 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 39

Printing date: 15/04/2024



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)


3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

MONITORING AND SUPERVISION 10. My tutor is providing me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme 0 0 2 4 8 4,27 6 4 3,93 11. So far, I have been able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned 0 3 12. My tutor or thesis supervisor is supervising my research plan regularly 0 2 9 4,29 1 13. Until now, I have had enough information to carry out my research plan 6 0 6 4,21 14. In general, the academic orientation that I have received so far for the development of my studies has been 3,71 adequate Scale used: 1 to 5 Average of section 4.08 X,XX : Global UV Average

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes 1 6,67% No 14 93,33%



		Free	quency	Respor	ise							
		Strongly	y disag	reeS	trongly	y agree		1	2	3	4	5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		_	1		
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	1	0	*	4 , 7 0				$\supset $
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	1	0	0	*	4 , 5 9	$\overline{}$			□
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	0	1	0	0	0	*	3 , 7 2]	
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	0	1	0	*	4,41				,
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	1	0	*	4 , 6 4				$\supset \mid$
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Stron	gly sa		1	1		1	
21. Financial aid received	0	1	0	0	0	0	*	3 , 2 0				
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	1	0	*	4 , 5 0			_] [
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	1	0	0	*	4 , 5 9				<u> </u>
		Averag	ge of se	ction			*			Scale used	1 to 5	
								v vv · Cl	ahal IIV A			

X,XX : Global UV Average

Number of surveys: 15 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 39 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

				_		1		
				Respon				
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES	N/C	Strongly 1	disagi 2	eeS	trongly 4	-	AVERAGE	1 2
31. The facilities and material resources are suitable for the development of the doctoral programme	1	0	0	4	5	5	4,07	3,98
32. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis are accessible	0	0	1	2	6	6	4,13	4 , 1 8
		Averag	e of se				4,10	I
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								I
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	1	1	1	3	7	2	3,57	3 , 8 5
4. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	2	0	4	5	4	3,60	3 , 7 7
5. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	2	0	1	8	3	3,71	3 , 8 7
		Averag	a of so				2.62	
					.Strong	ly sati:	3,63	
OVERALL		Strongly 1			.Strong 4			
	S			isfied	.Strong 4 5			4 , 2 9
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	N/C	Strongly 1		isfied	4	้ 5	AVERAGE	4 , 2 9
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C 2	Strongly 1	dissati	isfied 3	5	5	AVERAGE 4,23	
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program	N/C 2 3	Strongly 1 0	dissati	1 2	4 5 6	5 6 4	AVERAGE 4,23 4,17	4 , 0 1
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 2 3 2 2	Strongly 1 0	dissati 2 1 0 0	3 1 2 4	4 5 6 2	5 6 4 4	4,23 4,17 3,31	3,62
OVERALL Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València I would recommend this doctoral programme	N/C 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Strongly 1 0	dissati 2 1 0 0 0 0 v disagn	1 2 4 4 3 sreeS	4 5 6 2 3 5	5 6 4 4 5 4	4,23 4,17 3,31 3,85	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program My personal experience, both academic and extracurricular, at the Universitat de València	N/C 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Strongly 1 0 3 1 1 Strongly	dissati 2 1 0 0 0 0 v disagi	1 2 4 4 3 sreeS	4 5 6 2 3 5	5 6 4 4 5 4	4,23 4,17 3,31 3,85 3,85	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1 3 , 9 8

Number of surveys: 15 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 39

Printing date: 15/04/2024



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)

3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

3146.- Programa de Doctorat en Pensament Filosòfic Contemporani

			TRAINI	NG PROGI	RAMME					DF	VELOPMENT (OF RESULT	IS OF TEAC	HING				
			IKAIN	NO I ROOI	KAMME			MECES A		DE	MECES B	OF RESCE.	IS OF TEAC	invo	MECES C			
EVO	LUTION	was inf	o enrolment, I ormed about s of research	activitie	ne training es has been resting	Average of section	strate analysis	velopment of gies for the s of theories, and	Average MECES A	contrib scientific re	g an original bution to esearch that ized by the	Average MECES B	researd where sit	y to design a ch project cuations are in which to		to generate owledge.	Average MECES C	
A. year	Collected	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE			AVERAGE			AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		
23-24	15	15	3,53	15	3,8	3,67	13	3,85	3,85	12	3,75	3,75	13	3,69	13	4	3,85	
22-23	6	6	3,17	6	2,67	2,92	6	3,83	3,83	6	3,83	3,83	6	3,67	6	4	3,83	
21-22	19	19	3,84	19	4,05	3,95	5	3,2	3,2	5	3,2	3,2	5	3,8	5	3,6	3,7	
20-21	3	3	3	3	2,33	2,67		*	4,33		*	3		*		*	4	
19-20	4	4	4,5	4	3,25	3,88		*	4		*	4		*		*	4,5	
18-19	9	9	3,89	9	3,56	3,72		*	4		*	3,89		*		*	4,5	
							D	EVELOPMENT	OF RESUL	TS OF TEAC	HING							
	MECES D						MECES D MECES							CES E MECES F				

							DEVE	LOPMENT OF	F RESULTS	OF TEACHI	NG						
					MECES D						MECES E				MECES F		
	initiate, r lead ii	utonomy to manage and nnovative h teams and		s to perform rative work.	transfe	npetence to r research ge to society.	multidis	to work in sciplinary texts.	Average MECES D	investigat respons	Ability to e with social sibility and c integrity.	Average MECES E	researc	lity to write h reports / ticles.	disclose the resea	mpetence to and expose arch results to audience.	Average MECES F
A. year		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv	. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	
23-24	11	3,73	12	3,58	13	3,77	11	3,55	3,66	14	4	4	14	4,07	14	3,93	4
22-23	6	3	6	3	6	3,5	6	3,5	3,25	6	3,5	3,5	6	4	6	3,83	3,92
21-22	4	3,5	4	4,25	4	3,5	5	3,8	3,76	4	4,25	4,25	5	4,4	5	4	4,2
20-21		*		*		*		*	3,33		*	4		*		*	3,67
19-20		*		*		*		*	4,25		*	5		*		*	4
18-19		*		*		*		*	4,12		*	4,5		*		*	4,33

		DEVELOPM	IENT OF RE	ESULTS OF TEA	ACHING					N	MONITORI	NG AND SUP	ERVISION				
_	progress social or a	kills to make ss in cultural, r technological aspects.	inn	ls to promote ovation.	Average MECES G	Average of section	provi adequat throu	ly tutor is ding me se guidance ahout the	able to dead activited doctoral p	, I have been develop the ies of the rogram that I	superv superv research p	tor or thesis rvisor is rising my olan regularly	had e information	now, I have enough on to carry search plan	academic that I have far	eneral, the c orientation e received so for the	Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			n°surv	. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n ^o surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	12	3,58	12	3,75	3,67	3,8	15	4,27	14	3,93	14	4,29	14	4,21	14	3,71	4,08
22-23	6	3,67	6	3,33	3,5	3,59	6	3	6	3,33	6	3,17	6	3	6	3,17	3,13
21-22	4	4	4	3,5	3,75	3,76	19	4,32	19	4,21	19	4,16	19	4	19	4,21	4,18
20-21		*		*	3	3,62	3	4,33	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3,67	3,8
19-20		*		*	4	4,25	4	5	4	4,5	4	4,75	4	4,25	4	4,5	4,6
18-19		*		*	4,62	4,27	9	4,44	9	4,33	9	4,56	9	4,78	9	4,56	4,53



RUCT: 5600345

Average

4,67

(Doctoral estud survey)

3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO Academic Year: 23-24

A	cadem	ic Year: 23	-24			3146 - PR	OGRAMA	DE DOCTO	JKADO E	N PENSAMI	IENTO FI	LOSOFICO	CONTEM	IPORANEO		
						MOBILITY	PROGRAM	IMES: ERASMU	US, INTERNA	ATIONAL PRO	GRAMME O	R OTHERS				
	acader level	elieve that the mic-research at the host sity/institution	academi of my stu	ink that the c recognition udy period in country was	information information in the U	ention and on received niversitat de la before.	period improve i	is mobility helped me my language skills	mobil improved	eve that the ity period my research		ancial aid ceived		ching staff at st university	I spent a	ty period that at the other or institution
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE
23-24	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*
21-22	3	5	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	4	3	3,67	2	*	3	5	3	5
20-21		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*
19-20	3	5	3	5	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	3	3	3	4,67	3	5
18-19	7	4,86	7	4,57	7	3,86	7	4,43	7	4,71	6	3,17	7	4,86	7	4,71
							DC	OCTORAL THE	SIS							
	compe thesis a	objectives and tences of my are clear from beginning	assessr are suita	rements and ment criteria able and are	researc provided b	ance and h support by my thesis visor for	supervis	r, my thesis or has been essible	preparing thesis is	time spent my doctoral in line with ult obtained	doctora improving	mpleting my I thesis I'm my research	satisfie	far, I have d my initial ectations	Average of section	
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		_
23-24		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	_
21-22		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*	_
20-21	3	3,67	3	2,67	3	4	3	4,33	3	3	3	4,33	3	3,33	3,62	-
19-20	4	4	4	3,5	4	4,75	4	5	4	4	4	4,75	4	4,5	4,36	-
18-19	9	4	9	4	9	4,44	9	4,67	9	4,22	9	4,89	9	4,22	4,35	-
		FACILITI	ES AND RI	ESOURCES				ACADEM	IIC MANAG	EMENT						
	material su	e facilities and resources are litable	mate	the library erials are cessible	Average of section	33. The provided Doctoral Sidealing with	by the chool for th issues	34. The inf provided Doctoral S website is u	on the School's useful and	35. The org and plannii doctoral pro been add	ng of the gram has equate	Average of section				
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		n°surv. A			VERAGE	n°surv. AV		2.52				
23-24	14	4,07	15	4,13	4,1	14	3,57	15	3,6	14	3,71	3,63				
22-23	6	3,5	6	4	3,75	6	3,5	6	3,5	6	3,83	3,61				
21-22	18	4,06	19	4,47	4,27	18	4,06	19	3,95	19	4,32	4,11				
20-21	3	2,67	3	3,67	3,17	3	2,33	3	3,33	3	2,67	2,78				
19-20	4	3,75	4	3,5	3,62	3	4	3	4	3	4	4				
18-19	9	4,33	9	4,11	4,22	8	4,25	8	3,88	8	4,12	4,08				

Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600345

(Doctoral estud survey)

3146 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PENSAMIENTO FILOSÓFICO CONTEMPORÁNEO

					GLOBAL					
		tion with the hing staff		ities and structure	Activitats transversals i específiques del programa de doctorat	experie acade	personal ence, both emic and icular, at the	this	ecommend loctoral ramme	Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	13	4,23	12	4,17	*	13	3,85	13	3,77	4
22-23	6	3,83	6	3,5	*	6	3,83	5	3,4	3,65
21-22	17	4,71	18	4,28	*	18	4,39	18	4,44	4,45
20-21	3	3,67	3	3,33	*	3	4	2	*	3,73
19-20	4	5	4	3,75	*	4	4,5	4	4,25	4,38
18-19	9	4,33	8	4,25	*	9	4,56	9	4	4,29

 $[\]ensuremath{^*}$ The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.