

RUCT: 5600350

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24

3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

Gender:

Male	4	30,77%	
Female	9	69,23%	



Home university

Universitat de València	11	84,62%	
Other	2	15,38%	




Other university:




Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	
0	5	1	0	5	2	Answers
0.00%	38.00%	8.00%	0,00%	38,00%	15.00%	13 (100,00%)



Current employment status

Employed	10	83,33%
Self-employed	1	8,33%
Unemployed	1	8,33%
Grant holder	0	0,00%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	0	0,00%
		L



Answers 12 (92,00%

Other employment status:

Number of surveys: 13



RUCT: 5600350

Printing date: 15/04/2024

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

		Fre	quency l	Respon	se						
	5	Strongl	y disagr	eeS	trongly	agree		1 2	3	4	5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE			-	$\stackrel{u}{\longrightarrow}$
1. Prior to enrolment, I was informed about the lines of research of the doctoral programme	1	0	0	0	5	7	4,58	3 , 7 7			1
2. So far, the training activities that I have carried out throughout the doctoral programme has been interesting to develop my research	0	0	0	0	6	7	4,54	3 , 6 8	i		
		Avera	ge of sec	ction			4,56				
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING								1 1		ı	
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and methods.	1	0	2	0	1	9	4,42	4 , 0 9	$\overline{}$		
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific community.	1	0	1	0	2	9	4,58	4 , 0 9	i		
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	1	0	1	1	2	8	4,42	4 , 0 7	i		
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	1	0	1	0	2	9	4,58	4 , 2 0	$\overline{}$		1
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	1	0	1	0	4	7	4,42	3 , 9 7	Ť		
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	1	0	1	0	3	8	4,50	4 , 0 9	_		
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	1	0	1	0	1	10	4,67	4 , 0 3			
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	1	0	1	0	2	9	4,58	4 , 1 2			
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	1	0	1	0	2	9	4,58	4 , 2 5	_		1
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	1	0	1	1	1	9	4,50	4 , 1 8	_		
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	1	0	1	0	1	10	4,67	4 , 1 1			
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	1	0	1	0	3	8	4,50	3 , 9 7			
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	1	0	1	1	3	7	4,33	3 , 9 2			
		Aver	age of se	ection			4,52			•	



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600350

(Doctoral estud survey)


3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

MONITORING AND SUPERVISION 12 10. My tutor is providing me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme 0 0 0 0 4,77 5 6 4,33 11. So far, I have been able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned 0 0 12. My tutor or thesis supervisor is supervising my research plan regularly 0 0 0 3 9 4,54 13. Until now, I have had enough information to carry out my research plan 0 0 0 11 4,92 14. In general, the academic orientation that I have received so far for the development of my studies has been 0 4,69 adequate Scale used: 1 to 5 Average of section 4.65 X,XX : Global UV Average

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes 2 15,38% No 11 84,62%



		Free	quency	Respor	ıse							
		Strongly	y disag	reeS	Strongl	y agree	2	1	2	3	4	5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		_			
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 7 0				
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	1	1	*	4 , 5 9	$\overline{}$		_	
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	0	0	1	1	0	*	3 , 7 2	Ţ]	
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	0	0	0	1	1	*	4,41				
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	1	1	*	4 , 6 4				J
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissa	tisfied.	Stron	gly sa		1	1		1	1
21. Financial aid received	0	1	0	0	1	0	*	3 , 2 0		\Rightarrow		
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	0	2	*	4 , 5 0				
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	1	1	*	4 , 5 9				
		Averaş	ge of se	ection			*			Scale used:	1 to 5	
								v vv · Cl	obol IIV Ax	orogo		

X,XX : Global UV Average

Number of surveys: 13 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 24 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5600350

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

		Fre	quency	Respo	nse						
		Strongl	y disag	reeS	trongly			1	2	3	4
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		-		
31. The facilities and material resources are suitable for the development of the doctoral programme	1	0	0	1	5	6	4,42	3,98			
32. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis are accessible	1	0	0	0	3	9	4,75	4 , 1 8			
		Avera	ge of se	ction			4,58	•	•		•
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								1	1		1
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	2	0	0	0	5	6	4,55	3 , 8 5	1		
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	1	0	0	0	4	8	4,67	3 , 7 7			
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	0	0	1	2	9	4,67	3 , 8 7			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								<u> </u>	_		
		Average Strongly		isfied	.Strong						
OVERALL	N/C				.Strong 4						
				isfied	.Strong 4			4 , 2 9			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff		Strongly 1	y dissat 2	isfied	4	5	AVERAGE	4,29			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C	Strongly 1	y dissat 2	isfied	4	5	AVERAGE 4,50				
OVERALL Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 1 2	Strongly 1 0	y dissat 2 0 0	isfied 3 1	4 6	5	AVERAGE 4,50 4,27	4 , 0 1			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 1 2	Strongly 1 0 0	y dissat 2 0 0	1 1 2	4 4 6 4	5 7 4 7	4,50 4,27 4,38	3,62			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program	N/C 1 2 0 1 1	Strongly 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	y dissat 2 0 0 0 1	1 1 2 2	4 6 4 3 3	5 7 4 7 6 8	4,50 4,27 4,38 4,17	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1			
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 1 2 0 1 1	Strongly 1 0 0	y dissat 2 0 0 0 1	1 1 2 2	4 6 4 3 3	5 7 4 7 6 8	4,50 4,27 4,38 4,17	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1			

Number of surveys: 13 *Surveys period:* 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 24 Printing date: 15/04/2024



Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600350

(Doctoral estud survey)

3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

3150.- Programa de Doctorat en Psicologia Clínica i de la Salut

			TRAINI	NG PROG	RAMME					DE	VELOPMENT	OF RESUL	TS OF TEAC	HING			
								MECES A			MECES B				MECES C		
EVO	LUTION	was inf	o enrolment, I formed about es of research	activiti	he training es has been eresting	Average of section	strate analysis	velopment of gies for the s of theories, and	Average MECES A	contrib scientific re	g an original oution to esearch that ized by the	Average MECES B	researd where sit	to design a chapter to design a chapter to design and the chapter to design a chapter to		to generate lowledge.	Average MECES C
A. year	Collected	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	13	12	4,58	13	4,54	4,56	12	4,42	4,42	12	4,58	4,58	12	4,42	12	4,58	4,5
22-23	13	13	4,08	13	4,08	4,08	13	4,31	4,31	13	4,46	4,46	13	4,46	13	4,54	4,5
21-22	17	17	3,35	17	4,12	3,74	12	4,5	4,5	12	4,33	4,33	12	3,92	12	4,25	4,08
20-21	6	6	3,5	6	3,83	3,67		*	3,5		*	4,17		*		*	4
19-20	4	4	3,75	4	3,5	3,62		*	3,5		*	3,5		*		*	4,5
18-19	5	5	3,8	5	4,2	4		*	4,2		*	4,4		*		*	4,4
							D	EVELODMENT	OF DECIM	TC OF TEAC	HINC						

	DEVELOPMENT (OF TEACHI	NG						
					MECES D						MECES E				MECES F		
	initiate, r lead i	utonomy to manage and nnovative h teams and		s to perform rative work.	transfe	rpetence to research ge to society.	multidis	to work in ciplinary texts.	Average MECES D	investigate respons	Ability to e with social sibility and c integrity.	Average MECES E	researc	lity to write h reports / ticles.	disclose the resea	npetence to and expose rch results to audience.	Average MECES F
A. year		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	12	4,42	12	4,5	12	4,67	12	4,58	4,54	12	4,58	4,58	12	4,5	12	4,67	4,58
22-23	13	4,31	13	4,38	13	4,31	13	4,62	4,4	13	4,31	4,31	13	4,31	13	4,54	4,42
21-22	12	3,92	12	4,33	11	4,09	12	4,25	4,15	12	4,25	4,25	12	4,33	12	4,25	4,29
20-21		*		*		*		*	4,17		*	4,17		*		*	3,67
19-20		*		*		*		*	4,33		*	4,5		*		*	4
18-19		*		*		*		*	4,2		*	4,6		*		*	4,4

			IENT OF RE	SULTS OF TEA	ACHING					N	MONITORI	NG AND SUP	ERVISION				
	progres social or	cills to make as in cultural, technological spects.		s to promote ovation.	Average MECES G	Average of section	provi adequat	providing me adequate guidance		I have been evelop the es of the rogram that I	super supervi	or or thesis visor is sing my lan regularly	had e informati	now, I have enough on to carry search plan	academic that I have	eneral, the corientation e received so for the	Average of section
A. year		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE					n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	12	4,5	12	4,33	4,42	4,52	13	4,77	12	4,33	13	4,54	12	4,92	13	4,69	4,65
22-23	13	4,23	13	4,15	4,19	4,38	13	4,54	13	4,46	13	4,38	13	4,46	13	4,38	4,45
21-22	12	4,08	12	4,08	4,08	4,2	17	4,59	17	4,76	17	4,47	17	4,53	17	4,47	4,56
20-21		*		*	3,83	3,93	5	3,6	6	4,17	6	4	6	3,67	6	4	3,9
19-20		*		*	4,25	4,08	4	4,75	4	4	4	4,25	4	4,5	4	4,5	4,4
18-19		*		*	4,5	4,38	5	4,6	5	4,2	5	4,6	5	4,4	5	4,6	4,48



RUCT: 5600350

(**Doctoral estud survey**)

A	cadem	ic Year: 23	r: 23-24 3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS														
						MOBILITY	PROGRAM	MES: ERASM	US, INTERN	ATIONAL PRO	GRAMME OI	ROTHERS					
	acader level	elieve that the mic-research at the host sity/institution	academi of my stu	ink that the c recognition udy period in country was	information information in the U	ntion and on received niversitat de a before.	period improve r	is mobility helped me ny language skills	mobil improved	ieve that the ity period my research		ancial aid eived		ning staff at t university	I spent a	y period that t the other or institution	Average of section
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	*
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
21-22	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	1	*	2	*	1	*	*
20-21		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
19-20	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	*
18-19		*		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
							DC	CTORAL THE	SIS								
	compe thesis a	objectives and tences of my are clear from beginning	assessr are suita	rements and ment criteria able and are d in advance	researc provided by super	ance and h support by my thesis visor for	supervis acc	r, my thesis or has been essible	preparing thesis is	time spent g my doctoral s in line with ult obtained	doctoral improving tra	npleting my thesis I'm my research ining	satisfied expe	ar, I have I my initial ctations	Average of section		
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			
23-24		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*		
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*		
21-22		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*		
20-21	6	3,67	6	4	6	3,83	6	4,5	6	3,67	6	4,33	6	3,5	3,93		
19-20	4	4,5	3	3,67	4	4	4	4,75	4	4,5	4	4,75	4	4,25	4,37		
18-19	5	3,8	4	4,25	5	4,6	5	4,8	5	4,2	5	5	5	4,2	4,41		
		FACILITII	ES AND RI	ESOURCES				ACADEM	IIC MANAG	EMENT							
A. year	material su	e facilities and resources are uitable	mate	he library erials are cessible AVERAGE	Average of section	33. The sprovided Doctoral Sodealing with n°sury. AV	by the chool for h issues	34. The in provided Doctoral website is in provided to the pro	l on the School's	35. The org and planni doctoral pro been ad n°surv. A	ng of the gram has equate	Average of section					
23-24	12	4,42	12	4,75	4,58	11	4,55	12	4,67	12	4,67	4,63					
22-23	13	4,08	13	4,38	4,23	13	3,77	13	3,85	13	4,15	3,92					
21-22	17	4,12	17	4,41	4,26	17	3,88	17	3,94	17	4,24	4,02					
20-21	6	4,17	6	4,17	4,17	6	3,67	6	3,67	6	3,67	3,67					
19-20	4	3,5	4	4	3,75	2	*	2	*	2	*	3,83					
18-19	4	4,25	5	4,4	4,33	5	4,2	5	4	5	4,2	4,13					

Academic Year: 23-24

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

RUCT: 5600350

(Doctoral estud survey)

3150 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN PSICOLOGÍA CLINICA Y DE LA SALUD

					GLOBAL					
		tion with the hing staff		ities and structure	Activitats transversals i específiques del programa de doctorat	experie acade	ersonal ence, both emic and icular, at the	this o	ecommend doctoral ramme	Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	12	4,5	11	4,27	*	12	4,42	12	4,5	4,43
22-23	13	4,46	13	4,38	*	13	4,31	13	4,31	4,37
21-22	17	4,53	15	4,07	*	16	4,25	17	4,29	4,29
20-21	6	4	5	4,2	*	5	4	6	4	4,05
19-20	4	4,5	3	4	*	3	4,33	4	4,25	4,29
18-19	5	4,6	4	4,25	*	5	4,4	5	4,2	4,37

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.