


RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

Gender:

Male	5	23,81%	
Female	16	76,19%	



Home university


Universitat de València	9	47,37%	
Other	10	52,63%	

Other university:

Universidad del Valle (Colombia) // Universidad de Concepción, Chile // Georgetown University Washington DC EEUU // Complutense de Madrid // ESIC Business &Marketing School // UJI // UJI // universidad de Milano // UFES, Brasil


Age

< 25	25 a 29	30 a 34	35 a 39	40 a 49	> 49	
0	6	5	2	5	2	Answers
0,00%	30,00%	25,00%	10,00%	25,00%	10,00%	20 (91,00%)



Current employment status

Employed	16	76,19%
Self-employed	1	4,76%
Unemployed	2	9,52%
Grant holder	1	4,76%
Unpaid intern	0	0,00%
Other	1	4,76%



Answers 21 (95,00%)

Other employment status:

Doctorando sin beca

Number of surveys: 22

Surveyed population: 52

Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

		Freq	uency l	Respon	se		
	:	Strongly	disagr	eeS	trongly agr	ee	1 2 3 4 5
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4 5	AVERAGE	
1. Prior to enrolment, I was informed about the lines of research of the doctoral programme	1	0	1	1	5 14	4,52	3 , 7 7
2. So far, the training activities that I have carried out throughout the doctoral programme has been interesting to develop my research	0	1	2	3	5 11	4,05	3 , 6 8
		Averag	e of se	ction		4,28	
DEVELOPMENT OF RESULTS OF TEACHING	l						
	1	1	1	1			
3.1. Development of strategies for the analysis of theories, foundations, and research approaches and methods.	1	1	1	2	9 8	4,05	4,09
4.1. Making an original contribution to scientific research that is recognized by the international scientific community.	1	1	0	2	6 1	2 4,33	4 , 0 9
5.1. Ability to design a research project where situations are identified in which to apply the research contributions.	1	1	1	1	9 9	4,14	4 , 0 7
5.2. Skills to generate new knowledge.	1	0	0	1	10 1	0 4,43	4 , 2 0
6.1. Autonomy to initiate, manage and lead innovative research teams and projects and scientific collaborations.	1	0	2	4	6	4,05	3 ,9 7
6.2. Skills to perform collaborative work.	2	2	2	0	9	3,85	4 , 0 9
6.3. Competence to transfer research knowledge to society.	2	0	1	6	4	4,05	4 , 0 3
6.4. Skills to work in multidisciplinary contexts.	3	2	2	1	5	3,89	4 ,1 2
7.1. Ability to investigate with social responsibility and scientific integrity.	2	1	0	1	8 1	4,30	4 , 2 5
8.1. Ability to write research reports / articles.	1	0	0	1	10 1	0 4,43	4 , 1 8
8.2. Competence to disclose and expose the research results to any audience.	1	0	2	0	10 9	4,24	4 , 1 1
9.1. Skills to make progress in cultural, social or technological aspects.	1	0	2	3	6 1	0 4,14	3 , 9 7
9.2. Skills to promote innovation.	1	0	1	5	7 8	4,05	3 , 9 2
		Avera	ge of se	ection		4,15	

Number of surveys: 22 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 52

Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

MONITORING AND SUPERVISION 10. My tutor is providing me adequate guidance throughout the doctoral programme 0 0 2 3 16 4,50 4,36 11. So far, I have been able to develop the activities of the doctoral program that I have planned 0 0 2 2 4 14 0 0 0 3 3 16 12. My tutor or thesis supervisor is supervising my research plan regularly 4,59 13. Until now, I have had enough information to carry out my research plan 5 15 0 0 4,55 14. In general, the academic orientation that I have received so far for the development of my studies has been 0 4.27 adequate Scale used: 1 to 5 Average of section 4.45 X,XX : Global UV Average

MOBILITY PROGRAMMES: ERASMUS, INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMME OR OTHERS

15. Have you participated in any mobility programme during your doctoral studies?

Yes	4	18,18%
No	18	81,82%



		Free	quency !	Respon	ise						
	9	Strongl	y disagı	eeS	trongly	agree		1	2	3	4 5
Only those who have answered "Yes	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE		-	-	·
16. I believe that the academic-research level at the host university/institution was suitable	0	0	0	0	0	4	5,00	4 , 7 0			
17. I think that the academic recognition of my study period in the host country was adequate	0	0	0	0	0	4	5,00	4 , 5 9			
18. Attention and information received from the Universitat de València before, during and after my mobility period was satisfactory	0	1	2	0	0	1	2,50	3 , 7 2			
19. This mobility period helped me improve my language skills	0	1	0	0	0	3	4,00	4,41			
20. I believe that the mobility period improved my research capacity	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75	4 , 6 4	-		
Indicates your level of satisfaction with:		Strongl	y dissat	isfied	.Strong	gly sa		1	1		1 1
21. Financial aid received	0	3	0	1	0	0	1,50	3 , 2 0		中	
22. Teaching staff at the host university	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75	4 , 5 0			
23. Mobility period that I spent at the other university or institution	0	0	0	0	1	3	4,75	4 , 5 9			
		Averaş	ge of se	ction			4,03			Scale used: 1	to 5
								V VV · Gl	shal HW Aver	2000	

X,XX : Global UV Average

Number of surveys: 22 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 52 Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

		Frequency Response										
	. :	Strongly	disag	reeS	trongly	agree		1	2	3	4	4 5
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	_	-			,
31. The facilities and material resources are suitable for the development of the doctoral programme	3	1	1	1	4	12	4,32	3,98	<u> </u>			
32. The library materials needed to carry out the thesis are accessible	3	1	0	1	2	15	4,58	4 , 1 8	_			
		Averag	ge of se	ction			4,45	ı	'			
ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT								1	1			
33. The service provided by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	0	0	0	3	7	12	4,41	3 , 8 5	T			
34. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	0	0	3	9	10	4,32	3 , 7 7				
35. The organization and planning of the doctoral program has been adequate	1	0	1	0	6	14	4,57	3 , 8 7				
		Averag	ge of se	ction			4,43	-	•	'		•
OVERALL	N/C	Strongly 1	dissat	isfied	.Strong 4		AVERAGE		,			
OVERALL Satisfaction with the teaching staff		Strongly 1	dissat	3 1	.Strong 4 4		AVERAGE 4,70	4 , 2 9				
9 1	N/C	1	2	1 1	4	5		4,29				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff	N/C 2	0	0	1 1 2	4	5	4,70					
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure	N/C 2 5	0	0	3 1 1	4 3	5 15 13	4,70	4 , 0 1				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program	N/C 2 5 2	1 0 0	0 0 2	3 1 1	4 3 7	5 15 13 9	4,70 4,71 4,15	3,62				
Satisfaction with the teaching staff Facilities and infrastructure Transversal activities of the doctoral program Specific activities of the doctoral program	N/C 2 5 5 2 4 8 8	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 2 0	3 1 1 2 1 0	4 3 7 9 6	15 13 9 6 8	4,70 4,71 4,15 4,06	4 , 0 1 3 , 6 2 3 , 7 1			e used: 1 to	55

Number of surveys: 22 Surveys period: 20/02/2024 - 01/04/2024

Surveyed population: 52

Printing date: 15/04/2024



RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24

3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

3163.- Programa de Doctorat en Disseny, Gestió i Avaluació de Polítiques Públiques de Benestar Social

				0100.	Trogram	na ac D	ctorut cr	Disselly	, desilo i	11 valuac	io de i oni	iques i u	onques	ac Deffesta	1 Dociui		
			TRAINI	ING PROGRA	MME					DEV	VELOPMENT (OF RESULTS	OF TEACH				
	LUTION	was informed about the lines of research activities has been interesting se		Average of section	strategie analysis c foundati		Average MECES A	contribi scientific re is recogniz	MECES B y an original ution to search that zed by the	MECES	research where situa identified in	to design a n project ations are n which to	MECES C 5.2. Skills to new kno	wledge.	Average MECES C		
A. year	Collected						n°surv. A				AVERAGE			AVERAGE		VERAGE	
23-24	22	21	4,52	22	4,05	4,28	21	4,05	4,05	21	4,33	4,33	21	4,14	21	4,43	4,29
22-23	16	16	4,31	16	4,56	4,44	15	4,27	4,27	15	4,4	4,4	15	4,47	15	4,53	4,5
21-22	19	19	4,63	19	4,21	4,42	13	4,46	4,46	12	4,5	4,5	13	4,69	13	4,69	4,69
20-21	18	18	4,44	18	4,39	4,42		*	4,31		*	4,62		*		*	4,62
19-20	3	3	4	3	4,33	4,17		*	4		*	4,33		*		*	4,67
							DEV	VELOPMEN	T OF RESULT	TS OF TEACH	IING						
					MECES D						MECES E				MECES F		
	initiate, m lead in	onomy to anage and novative teams and		to perform ative work.	transfer	petence to research e to society	multio	ills to work i disciplinary ontexts.		investiga respor	Ability to ate with sociansibility and ific integrity.	Average MECES E	researd	ility to write ch reports / rticles.	disclose the resea	npetence to and expose rch results to audience.	Average MECES F
A. year		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°sur	v. AVERAC	GE	n°surv	. AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	
23-24	21	4,05	20	3,85	20	4,05	19	3,89	3,96	20	4,3	4,3	21	4,43	21	4,24	4,33
22-23	15	4,27	15	4,6	15	4,53	15	4,47	4,47	15	4,4	4,4	15	4,53	15	4,33	4,43
21-22	11	4,55	12	4,58	12	4,58	13	4,69	4,6	13	4,69	4,69	12	4,58	12	4,75	4,67
20-21		*		*		*		*	4,46		*	4,69		*		*	4,62
19-20		*		*		*		*	4,67		*	4,67		*		*	4,67
		DEVELOP	MENT OF RESI	ULTS OF TEA	CHING					N	MONITORIN	G AND SUP	ERVISION	N			
	progress social or te	s to make in cultural, echnological ects.	innov	to promote /ation.	MECES	verage of section	10. My tu providino adequate go througho	g me uidance	11. So far, I able to de activitie doctoral pro	velop the s of the	12. My tuto superv supervis research pla	isor is sing my	had informat	now, I have enough tion to carry esearch plan	academic that I have	eneral, the c orientation e received so for the	Average of section
A. year	n°surv. A	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	21	4,14	21	4,05	4,1	4,15	22	4,5	22	4,36	22	4,59	22	4,55	22	4,27	4,45
22-23	15	4,27	14	4,29	4,28	4,41	16	4,5	16	4,38	16	4,5	16	4,5	16	4,25	4,42
21-22	12	4,67	12	4,5	4,58	4,61	18	4,67	17	3,94	18	4,44	19	4,68	18	4,5	4,46
20-21		*		*	4,62	4,56	17	4,53	18	4,28	17	4,47	18	4,78	18	4,28	4,47
19-20		*		*	4,67	4,52	3	4,67	3	3,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,33	4,4



RUCT: 5601288

Average

ty period that

AVERAGE 4,75 5

at the other

(Doctoral estud survey)

Academic Year: 23-24 3163 - PROGRAMA DE DOCTORADO EN DISEÑO, GESTIÓN Y EVALUACIÓN DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL

A	cadem	ic Year: 23	-24	3163 - P	ROGRAN	1A DE DOC	ГORADO	EN DISENC), GESTIC	ON Y EVAL	UACION I	E POLITIC	AS PUBL	ICAS DE B	IENESTAR
						MOBILITY	PROGRAM	MES: ERASMU	US, INTERN	ATIONAL PRO	GRAMME O	R OTHERS			
	acade level	elieve that the mic-research at the host sity/institution	academi of my st	ink that the c recognition udy period in country was	informati from the U	ention and on received Jniversitat de sia before,	period h improve r	is mobility nelped me ny language skills	mobil improved	ieve that the lity period my research		ancial aid ceived		ching staff at st university	23. Mobility I spent at university o
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.
23-24	4	5	4	5	4	2,5	4	4	4	4,75	4	1,5	4	4,75	4
22-23	4	4,75	4	4,75	3	4	3	5	4	4,75	4	2,75	4	5	4
21-22	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1	*	1
20-21	2	*	2	*	2	*	1	*	2	*	1	*	2	*	2
19-20		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	
							DO	CTORAL THE	SIS						
_	compe thesis a the	objectives and tences of my are clear from beginning	assessi are suit	irements and ment criteria able and are ed in advance	researd provided super	lance and ch support by my thesis visor for	superviso acc	r, my thesis or has been essible	preparing thesis is the res	time spent g my doctoral s in line with ult obtained	doctora improving tra	npleting my thesis I'm my research aining	satisfie expe	far, I have d my initial ectations	Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n ^o surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv. /	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n ^o surv. A	VERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
22-23		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
21-22		*		*		*		*		*		*		*	*
20-21	16	4,56	16	4	16	4,38	16	4,56	16	4,38	17	4,59	16	4,38	4,41
19-20	3	3,33	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	5	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,67	4,52
		FACILITII	ES AND R	ESOURCES				ACADEM	IIC MANAG	EMENT					
	material	facilities and resources are uitable	mate	he library erials are cessible	Average of section	33. The sprovided Doctoral Speaking with	by the chool for	34. The inf provided Doctoral S website is u	on the School's	35. The org and planni doctoral pro been ad	ng of the gram has	Average of section			
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		n°surv. A			VERAGE	n°surv. A'					
23-24	19	4,32	19	4,58	4,45	22	4,41	22	4,32	21	4,57	4,43			
22-23	16	4,62	15	4,87	4,74	16	4,12	16	4,25	16	4,38	4,25			
21-22	19	4,53	19	4,63	4,58	18	4,17	18	4,17	17	4,41	4,25			
20-21	16	4,44	16	4,62	4,53	18	4,33	18	4,11	18	4,22	4,22			
19-20	3	4,33	3	4,33	4,33	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4,33	4,56			
						GLOBAL									
		ction with the ching staff		lities and astructure	i espec	transversals ífiques del a de doctorat	experie acade	ersonal ence, both emic and icular, at the	this	recommend doctoral gramme	Average of section				
A. year	n°surv	. AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE					

RUCT: 5601288

(Doctoral estud survey)

A	cademi	c Year:	23-24	3163 -	PROGRAMA DE DO	CTORADO	EN DISE	ÑO, GESTIÓN	Y EVA	LUACIÓN I	DE POLÍTICAS PÚBLICAS DE BIENESTAR SOCIAL
23-24	20	4,7	17	4,71	*	14	4,57	20	4,55	4,63	
22-23	15	4,2	15	4,6	*	13	3,85	15	4,2	4,22	
21-22	16	4,44	15	4,47	*	10	4,4	16	4,5	4,46	
20-21	18	4,5	16	4,75	*	13	4,08	17	4,53	4,48	
19-20	3	4,67	2	*	*	1	*	3	4,67	4,67	

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.