

#### **EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24**

Frequency Response

### (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2001 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN TÉCNICAS PARA LA GESTIÓN DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y DEL TERRITORIO

	Yes	No		Yes	No
Are you working while following your	8	9	Have you received any kind of	4	13
Master's Degree?	47,00%	53,00%	scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree?	24,00%	76,00%

TRAINING PROGRAMME	AVERAGE
1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way	4,47
2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD	3,88
3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice	3,82
4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from my graduate course	4,12
5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions	3,82
Average of section	4,02

#### ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT

6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed	4,24
7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled	4,46
8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory	4,29
9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory	4,76
10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De	4,76
11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to	4,24
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory	4,00
Average of section	4.39

#### INFRASTRUCTURE

13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree	2,94
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate	3,65
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching	3,36
16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory	4,64
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library were appropriate	4,69
Average of section	3,8

#### STUDENT TUTORIALS

18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction		4,81	
19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials		5,00	
	Average of section	4.91	

17

Total respondents:

Enrollment:

25

N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3 4	4 5
0	0	0	2	5	10	1			
						3,97	1		
0	1	1	3	6	6	3,51			
0	2	0	4	4	7	3,10			
1	1	0	4	2	9	3,68			
0	0	2	5	4	6	3,10			
						- I	1		· ·
0	1	0	2	5	9	3,67			
4	0	0	1	5	7	3,97	T		
0	0	0	4	4	9	3,17			
0	0	0	2	0	15	3,73			
0	0	0	0	4	13	3,69			
0	0	0	4	5	8	3,83			
0	0	2	3	5	7	3,33			
							1		1
0	1	8	3	1	4	3,73			
0	1	3	3	4	6	3,82			
3	1	3	3	4	3	3,77			
3	0	0	2	1	11	4,09			
4	0	0	1	2	10	4,06			
						 _	1		· ·
1	0	0	0	3	13	4,06			
1	0	0	0	0	16	4,23	I		
						Scale used: 1 to	o 5	: Global	UV Average

Period of survey: 30/01/2024 - 10/03/2024



### **EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24**

## (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

## 2001 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN TÉCNICAS PARA LA GESTIÓN DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y DEL TERRITORIO

	0	1		2-4		5-7	8	-10	>10				
	3	3		8		2		0	0	]			
0. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	19,00%	19,00%	50	),00%	12	2,00%	0,	00%	0,00%	]			
			Fre	equency	Respo	onse							
EACHING-LEARNING PROCESS	AVERAGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	2	4	
1. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	4,00	0	1	1	1	8	6	3,4	2				
2. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis	4,06	0	1	1	0	9	6	3,4	6			1	
3. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element	4,35	0	0	1	2	4	10	3,5	9				
4. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments	4,50	1	0	0	3	2	11	3,7	4				
5. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date	4,41	0	0	0	2	6	9	3,8	6				
6. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.	4,35	0	0	0	4	3	10	3,9	5				
7. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope	4,19	1	0	1	2	6	7	3,7	8				
8. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives	4,41	0	0	0	3	4	10	3,7	7				
9. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,29	0	0	2	0	6	9	3,6	7				
0. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,88	0	0	0	0	2	15	4,7	2				
EACHING APPROACH	4,35								I			I	
1. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable	4,53	0	0	0	0	8	9	4,2	8				
2. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	4,94	0	0	0	0	1	16	4,2	0				
3. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,71	0	0	0	0	5	12	4,1	0				
Average of section	4,73							Scale	used: 1 to 5		: Global	UV Aver	ra





### (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

## 2001 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN TÉCNICAS PARA LA GESTIÓN DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y DEL TERRITORIO

		Frequency Response									
OVERALL		AVERAGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1 2	3	4
Satisfaction with the Masters course		3,94	0	1	2	1	6	7	3,48		
Quality of the teaching staff		4,65	0	0	0	0	6	11	3,89		
Relevance of the postgraduate course		3,94	0	1	2	2	4	8	3,78		
Good value for money		4,29	0	0	2	1	4	10	3,60		
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		3,88	0	1	2	2	5	7	3,44		
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,53	0	0	0	1	6	10	3,69		
	Average of section	4,21							Scale used: 1 to 5	: Gl	bal UV Average

# VNIVERSITAT ID VALÈNCIA

### (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

# 2001 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN TÉCNICAS PARA LA GESTIÓN DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y DEL TERRITORIO

							TRAIN	ING PROG	RAMME						ION	N			
EVO	<b>OLUTION</b> 1. The objectives and graduate profile clearly 2. The subjects an their content were appropriate				tent were	balance	appropiate between nd practice	differen	ntent was t from my te course	from my the subjects was		Average of section	0	formation es was sive…	7 guid available enro	before I	Manager	nization nent were factory	
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average
23-24	17	23-2001	17	4,47	17	3,88	17	3,82	16	4,12	17	3,82	4,02	17	4,24	13	4,46	17	4,29
22-23	12	22-2001	12	4,58	12	4,5	12	4,33	11	3,09	12	3,92	4,10	12	4,08	11	3,82	12	4,42
21-22	13	21-2001	13	4,08	13	3,85	13	3,38	13	3,08	13	3,08	3,49	13	3,62	12	3,67	13	2,31
20-21	6	20-2001	6	4,33	6	4,17	6	3,83	5	4	5	4	4,07	5	4	5	3,6	5	3,6
19-20	12	19-2001	12	3,83	12	3,75	12	3,25	12	3,67	12	3,58	3,62	12	3,75	11	4,27	12	3,5
18-19	8	18-2001	8	4	8	4,12	8	3,75	8	4,38	8	3,25	3,90	7	4,29	7	4,14	8	4

				COURS	E ORGAN	ISATION				INFRASTRUCTURE										
		nation to nts to /organize	commu	means of inication opropriate	planni	immed	between	rdination teaching tisfactory	Average of section	and equipme	ssrooms their ent were	worki	eas for ng and and their ment	experime	oratories, ntal areas ipment	readin	ibrary and g room ties…	docum	orary and nentary ves	Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	17	4,76	17	4,76	17	4,24	17	4	4,39	17	2,94	17	3,65	14	3,36	14	4,64	13	4,69	3,80
22-23	11	4,45	11	4,09	11	4,18	12	4,33	4,20	12	4,08	12	4,25	12	4,08	12	4,58	11	4,45	4,29
21-22	10	4,3	12	3,67	12	4,33	13	3,54	3,60	13	3,92	13	4,23	12	3,5	12	4,58	10	4,3	4,10
20-21	5	3,8	5	4,8	5	4,2	5	4,8	4,11	4	3,5	4	3,5	1	*	2	*	2	*	3,69
19-20	12	4,08	12	4,17	12	4,5	12	3,83	4,01	12	3,83	12	4	12	3,75	11	3,82	10	3,6	3,81
18-19	8	4,38	8	4,12	8	4,75	8	4,25	4,28	8	2,38	8	2,5	8	2,62	7	3,71	7	3,71	2,95

									Т	EACHING	-LEARNIN	G PROCE	SS								
	geme involve	ncoura_ ent the ement of dents	analys	ethodo_ . skills of sis and thesis	work ade the the	practical equately to eoretical	recomr course	The mended material	bibliogra appropria	The aphy was ate and up- date	26. The in schedu fulfilled sa	ule was	skill	owledge, s and aches in	proced	opraisal ures and ppropriate	demand	standard ed in the aisal	situ' clas	egulary d the 'in sses and activities	Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	17	4	17	4,06	17	4,35	16	4,5	17	4,41	17	4,35	16	4,19	17	4,41	17	4,29	17	4,88	4,35
22-23	12	4,33	12	4,25	12	4,25	12	4,75	12	4,67	12	4,17	11	4,73	12	4,58	12	4,42	12	4,92	4,50
21-22	12	3,92	12	3,58	13	3,92	11	4,09	12	3,92	12	3,83	12	4	12	3,92	13	4,23	11	4,91	4,03
20-21	5	4,2	5	4,4	5	4,4	5	4,6	4	5	5	4,2	5	4,6	5	4,6	5	4,6	4	4,75	4,52
19-20	10	3,7	10	3,7	10	3,8	9	3,89	9	3,33	10	4,4	10	4	10	3,9	10	3,9	10	4,9	3,96
18-19	8	4	8	4,12	8	4,5	8	4,5	7	4,71	8	4,88	8	4,62	8	4,62	8	4,38	8	4,88	4,52





### (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2001 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN TÉCNICAS PARA LA GESTIÓN DEL MEDIO AMBIENTE Y DEL TERRITORIO

		STUD	ENT TUTO	ORIALS				TEAC	HING APP	ROACH		
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to isfaction	et to adhered to the of section		complie	orofessors d with the d timetable	demons	orofessors trated vledge	had a p attitude	orofessors positive towards	Average of section	
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	16	4,81	16	5	4,91	17	4,53	17	4,94	17	4,71	4,73
22-23	8	4,62	8	4,62	4,62	11	4,55	12	4,92	12	4,92	4,80
21-22	9	3,67	9	4,44	4,06	12	4,75	12	4,67	12	4,83	4,75
20-21	4	4,75	3	4,33	4,57	5	4,2	5	4,8	5	4,8	4,60
19-20	11	4,55	11	4,64	4,59	10	4,7	10	4,5	10	4,4	4,53
18-19	8	4	7	3,86	3,93	7	4,43	7	4,71	7	4,29	4,48

	OVERALL												
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree		Quality of the teaching staff		Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	17	3,94	17	4,65	17	3,94	17	4,29	17	3,88	17	4,53	4,21
22-23	12	4,58	12	4,5	12	4,5	12	4,08	12	4,42	11	4,45	4,42
21-22	13	3,54	13	4,31	13	4,15	13	3,62	13	3,62	13	3,69	3,82
20-21	6	4,33	6	4,5	6	4,17	6	3,83	5	4,6	6	4	4,23
19-20	10	3,6	10	4,3	10	3,9	10	3,7	10	3,7	10	3,7	3,82
18-19	7	4,57	7	4,57	7	4,43	7	4,14	7	4,43	7	4,57	4,45

\* Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.