

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2069 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

	Yes	No		Yes	No
Are you working while following your	2	4	Have you received any kind of	1	5
Master's Degree?	33,00%	67,00%	scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree?	17,00%	83,00%

TRAINING PROGRAMME	AVERAGE						
1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way	3,83						
2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD	3,33						
3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice							
4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from my graduate course	3,17						
5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions							
Average of section	3,23						

ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT

6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed	3,50
7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled	2,83
8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory	3,33
9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory	3,17
10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De	3,17
11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to	2,33
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory	2,83
Average of section	3.02

INFRASTRUCTURE

13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree	4,83						
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate	4,33						
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching							
16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory							
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library were appropriate							
Average of section	4,56						

STUDENT TUTORIALS

18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction		3,75
19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials		2,75
	Average of section	3.25

6

Total respondents:

Enrollment:

22

	Fre	quency	Respo	onse		_	
N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1 2	3 4 5
0	0	0	3	1	2	3,97	
0	0	1	2	3	0	3,51	
0	0	4	2	0	0	3,10	
0	1	1	1	2	1	3,68	
0	0	1	1	4	0	3,10	
						1 1	
0							
0	0	1	2	2	1	3,67	
0	1	1	3	0	1	3,97	
0	0	1	3	1	1	3,17	
0	0	3	0	2	1	3,73	
0	0	1	4	0	1	3,69	
0	2	2	1	0	1	3,83	
0	1	1	3	0	1	3,33	\square
0	0	0	0	1	5	3,73	
0	0	0	0	4	2	3,82	
2	0	1	1	0	2	3,77	
0	0	0	0	0	6	4,09	
1	0	0	1	0	4	4,06	
						1 1	
2	0	0	2	1	1	4,06	
2	1	1	1	0	1	4 ,2 3	
						Scale used: 1 to 5	: Global UV Average

Period of survey: 06/02/2024 - 10/03/2024



EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

5

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2069 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	0	0	2	0	1	1
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	0,00%	0,00%	50,00%	0,00%	25,00%	25,00%

			Fre	equency	y Respo	onse					
TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS	AVERAGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3 4	
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	3,50	0	0	1	2	2	1	3,42			
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis	4,17	0	0	0	1	3	2	3,46			
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element	3,17	0	0	1	3	2	0	3,59			
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments	3,83	0	0	0	3	1	2	3,74			
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date	3,50	0	0	2	1	1	2	3,86			
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.	2,33	0	2	2	1	0	1	3,95			
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope	4,00	0	0	1	0	3	2	3,78			
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives	4,00	0	0	0	3	0	3	3,77			
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,67	0	0	0	0	2	4	3,67			
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	3,60	1	1	1	0	0	3	4 ,7 2			
Average of section	3,68								T		
TEACHING APPROACH								1	1		I
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable	2,50	0	2	1	2	0	1	4,28			
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	4,50	0	0	0	1	1	4	4,20			

5,00

0 0

0

0 0 6

33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students

Average of section 4

Scale used: 1 to 5

4 . 1 0

: Global UV Average



(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2069 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

OVERALL	AVERAGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1 2	3 4 5
Satisfaction with the Masters course	3,83	0	0	1	0	4	1	3,48	
Quality of the teaching staff	4,50	0	0	0	0	3	3	3,89	
Relevance of the postgraduate course	3,00	0	1	2	1	0	2	3,78	
Good value for money	4,50	0	0	0	0	3	3	3,60	
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students	3,33	0	0	1	3	1	1	3,44	
Usefulness of the postgraduate course	2,83	0	1	1	2	2	0	3,69	
Average of section	3,67							Scale used: 1 to 5	: Global UV Average



(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2069 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

							TRAIN	ING PROG		COURSE ORGANISATION									
EVO	EVOLUTION			1. The objectives and graduate profile clearly2. The subj their conte appropri		tent were	balance	appropiate between nd practice	4 content was different from my graduate course				Average of section	in gu	6. The information in guides was extensive		des were before l olled	8. Organization Management wer satisfactory	
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average
23-24	6	22-2069	6	3,83	6	3,33	6	2,33	6	3,17	6	3,5	3,23	6	3,5	6	2,83	6	3,33
22-23	4	21-2069	4	4	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	3,75	4	4	4,05	4	4,5	4	3,5	4	3,75
21-22	9	20-2069	9	4,11	9	3,78	9	3,22	7	3	9	3,56	3,56	9	3,89	8	2,88	9	2,22
20-21	11	19-2069	10	4,1	10	4,3	10	3,5	10	3,9	10	4,1	3,98	10	4,5	8	3,12	9	3,67
19-20	10	18-2069	10	3,6	10	4	10	3,8	10	3,8	10	3,2	3,68	10	4	10	3,1	10	2,7
17-18	5	16-2069	5	3,6	5	3,8	5	3,2	5	3,6	5	3,4	3,52	5	3,6	5	2,8	5	3,8

				COURS	SE ORGAN	ISATION				INFRASTRUCTURE												
	9. Information to students to manage/organize no. resp. average		10. The means of communication were appropriate		planni	e initial ing and ammed ities	12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory		Average of section	13. Classrooms and their equipment were		worki	and their	15. Laboratories, experimental area and equipment		eas reading room		17 library and documentary archives		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
23-24	6	3,17	6	3,17	6	2,33	б	2,83	3,02	6	4,83	6	4,33	4	3,75	6	5	5	4,6	4,56		
22-23	4	3	4	4	4	4,25	4	4	3,86	4	4,25	4	4,75	3	5	4	4,75	4	4,5	4,63		
21-22	9	2,11	9	3	9	3,44	8	2,88	2,92	9	4	9	4,22	6	4,17	9	3,89	8	4,38	4,12		
20-21	10	3,1	10	3,8	10	3,9	10	3,5	3,67	10	4,6	10	4,4	8	3,88	8	4,12	10	4,3	4,28		
19-20	10	3,2	10	3,5	8	3,38	10	3,2	3,29	10	4,8	10	4,4	8	4,38	10	4,4	10	4,5	4,50		
17-18	5	2,6	5	3,2	5	4	5	3,8	3,40	5	4	5	3,6	3	3	4	4	4	4	3,76		

									Т	EACHING	-LEARNIN	G PROCES	SS								
	21. Encoura_ gement the involvement of students		ement the logies skills of analysis and students synthesis		23. The practical work adequately to the theoretical				25. The bibliography was appropriate and up- to-date		26. The initial work schedule wasfulfilled satisfactorily		27. Knowledge, skills and approaches in guides		28. Appraisal procedures and criteria appropriate		29. The standard demanded in the appraisal		30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	6	3,5	6	4,17	6	3,17	6	3,83	6	3,5	6	2,33	б	4	6	4	6	4,67	5	3,6	3,68
22-23	4	4,5	4	4,25	4	4,5	4	4,5	4	4	4	3,25	4	4,5	4	4,5	4	4	3	5	4,28
21-22	9	4	9	4,11	9	4,22	8	3,88	8	4,25	7	2,86	7	3,57	8	3,88	8	4,12	7	4,43	3,95
20-21	10	4,3	10	4,2	10	3,6	9	4,11	10	4,4	8	3,62	10	4,3	9	4	9	4,33	6	4,5	4,13
19-20	9	4,22	9	4,44	9	4,11	8	4,25	9	3,89	8	3,62	9	3,89	9	4,11	9	4	8	4,62	4,11
17-18	4	3,5	4	3,25	4	3,75	4	3,5	4	3,5	4	3,75	4	3,25	4	3,5	4	3	3	4	3,49



3-24

RUCT: 4310373

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2069 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

		STUD	ENT TUT(ORIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to tisfaction	19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials		Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
23-24	4	3,75	4	2,75	3,25	6	2,5	6	4,5	6	5	4,00		
22-23	2	*	2	*	4,50	4	4,5	4	4,25	4	4,75	4,50		
21-22	5	3	6	3,17	3,09	9	3,78	9	4,67	9	4,44	4,30		
20-21	8	4	8	3,5	3,75	10	3,9	10	4,5	10	4,5	4,30		
19-20	9	3,89	9	3,67	3,78	9	3,89	9	4,22	9	3,89	4,00		
17-18	3	2,67	4	4,25	3,57	4	4,5	4	4	4	4,5	4,33		

	OVERALL												
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree		Quality of the teaching staff		Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	6	3,83	6	4,5	6	3	6	4,5	6	3,33	6	2,83	3,67
22-23	4	4,5	4	4,75	4	4,5	4	3,75	4	4,25	4	4,5	4,38
21-22	9	3,33	9	3,89	9	4,33	9	3,67	9	3,56	9	4	3,80
20-21	9	4,11	9	4,33	9	4,44	8	3,62	9	3,78	8	4,38	4,12
19-20	9	3,67	9	3,78	9	4,22	9	3	9	3,89	9	4,11	3,78
17-18	3	3	3	3,33	3	3,67	3	3,33	3	3,33	3	4,33	3,50

* Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.