

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)


2107T - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN COOPERACIÓN AL DESARROLLO

| | Yes | NO |
|---|--------|--------|
| Are you working while following your Master's Degree? | 14 | 13 |
| | 52,00% | 48,00% |

| | Yes | NO |
|---|--------|--------|
| Have you received any kind of scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? | 10 | 17 |
| | 37,00% | 63,00% |


TRAINING PROGRAMME

| | AVERAGE | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---------|-----|---|---|---|----|----|
| 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way | 4,19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 14 |
| 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD | 3,81 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| 3. I believe that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice | 3,33 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 2 |
| 4. I believe that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course | 4,00 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 12 |
| 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions | 3,00 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 4 |
| Average of section | 3,66 | | | | | | |




COURSE ORGANISATION

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed | 3,78 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled | 3,95 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory | 3,30 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory | 3,89 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 14 |
| 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's Degree | 3,65 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to | 4,12 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 13 |
| 12. I believe that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory | 2,96 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Average of section | 3,65 | | | | | | |



INFRASTRUCTURE

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree | 4,08 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate | 3,96 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching... | 4,00 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory | 4,67 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 15 |
| 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate | 4,50 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 13 |
| Average of section | 4,23 | | | | | | |



STUDENT TUTORIALS

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction | 4,04 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 11 |
| 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials | 4,09 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
| Average of section | 4,06 | | | | | | |



Scale used: 1 to 5

Global UV Average

| | 0 | 1 | 2-4 | 5-7 | 8-10 | >10 |
|---|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| 20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course | 2 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| | 8,00% | 4,00% | 35,00% | 31,00% | 19,00% | 4,00% |

| | | | |
|--------------------|----|-------------|----|
| Total respondents: | 27 | Enrollment: | 98 |
|--------------------|----|-------------|----|

Period of survey: 30/01/2024 - 10/03/2024

Printing date: 14/11/2024

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2107T - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN COOPERACIÓN AL DESARROLLO

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS

| | Frequency Response | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----|---|---|---|----|----|
| | AVERAGE | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject | 3,48 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 |
| 22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis | 3,77 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| 23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element | 3,84 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
| 24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments | 3,88 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 8 |
| 25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date | 3,62 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| 26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc. | 4,29 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 13 |
| 27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were developpe | 3,69 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 7 |
| 28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives | 3,85 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 9 |
| 29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given | 3,73 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 8 |
| 30. I regularly attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course | 4,76 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 21 |

Average of section


3,88

TEACHING APPROAC

| | | | | | | | |
|--|------|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| 31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable | 4,21 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 12 |
| 32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question | 3,77 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 8 |
| 33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students | 4,08 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 13 |

Average of section

4,01



Scale used: 1 to 5


: Global UV Average

OVERALL

| | Frequency Response | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---|---|---|---|----|----|
| | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Satisfaction with the Masters course | 3,84 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| Quality of the teaching staff | 3,80 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 6 |
| Relevance of the postgraduate course | 4,21 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 14 |
| Good value for money | 3,50 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| Recommend this Master's Degree to other students | 3,67 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| Usefulness of the postgraduate course | 3,72 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 6 |

Average of section

3,79



Scale used: 1 to 5

: Global UV Average

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2107T - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN COOPERACIÓN AL DESARROLLO

| EVOLUTIO | | TRAINING PROGRAMME | | | | | | | | | | COURSE ORGANISATION | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--|---------|--|---------|---------------------|---|---------|--|---------|--|---------|
| | | 1. The objectives and graduate profile... clearly... | | 2. The subjects and their content were appropriate ... | | 3. ... an appropriate balance between theory and practice | | 4. ... content was different from my graduate course | | 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory ... | | Average of section | 6. The information in guides was extensive... | | 7. ... guides were available before I enrolled | | 8. Organization Management were satisfactory | |
| A. year | Collected | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average |
| 23-24 | 27 | 27 | 4,19 | 27 | 3,81 | 27 | 3,33 | 25 | 4 | 27 | 3 | 3,66 | 27 | 3,78 | 22 | 3,95 | 27 | 3,3 |
| 22-23 | 23 | 21 | 4,29 | 22 | 4,05 | 23 | 3,39 | 21 | 3,9 | 23 | 3,48 | 3,81 | 23 | 3,96 | 20 | 4,1 | 23 | 3,61 |
| 21-22 | 33 | 33 | 4,39 | 33 | 3,94 | 31 | 3,71 | 30 | 4,33 | 32 | 3,47 | 3,97 | 32 | 4,22 | 30 | 4,33 | 32 | 3,75 |
| 20-21 | 27 | 27 | 3,48 | 27 | 3 | 27 | 2,48 | 23 | 3,09 | 27 | 3,15 | 3,04 | 27 | 3,11 | 25 | 3,56 | 27 | 2,85 |
| 19-20 | 22 | 22 | 3,95 | 22 | 3,14 | 22 | 2,77 | 21 | 3,95 | 22 | 3,41 | 3,44 | 22 | 3,91 | 22 | 3,5 | 22 | 2,73 |
| 18-19 | 37 | 37 | 4,51 | 37 | 4,22 | 37 | 3,7 | 36 | 4,44 | 37 | 3,84 | 4,14 | 36 | 4,22 | 35 | 4,2 | 37 | 4,11 |

| COURSE ORGANISATION | | | | | | | | | | INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|--|-----------|--------------------|------|---|---------|--|---------|--|---------|--|---------|--|---------|--------------------|--|
| 9. Information to students to manage/organize | | 10. The means of communication were appropriate | | 11. The initial planning and programmed activities | | 12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory | | Average of section | | 13. Classrooms and their equipment were appropriate | | 14. Areas for working and studying and their equipment | | 15. Laboratories, experimental areas and equipment ... | | 16. The library and reading room facilities... | | 17. ... library and documentary archives ... | | Average of section | |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | |
| 23-24 | 27 | 3,89 | 26 | 3,65 | 24 | 4,12 | 27 | 2,96 | 3,65 | 24 | 4,08 | 23 | 3,96 | 19 | 4 | 21 | 4,67 | 20 | 4,5 | 4,23 | |
| 22-23 | 23 | 3,96 | 20 | 4,2 | 20 | 4,2 | 21 | 3,71 | 3,95 | 13 | 3,77 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 13 | 4,46 | 14 | 4,5 | 4,15 | |
| 21-22 | 33 | 4,12 | 33 | 4,06 | 33 | 4,33 | 33 | 3,91 | 4,10 | 23 | 4,3 | 24 | 4,42 | 19 | 4,21 | 20 | 4,3 | 22 | 4,23 | 4,30 | |
| 20-21 | 27 | 3,33 | 27 | 3,11 | 26 | 3 | 27 | 2,81 | 3,11 | 26 | 3,58 | 24 | 3,75 | 24 | 3,29 | 23 | 3,78 | 24 | 3,92 | 3,66 | |
| 19-20 | 22 | 3,23 | 22 | 3,18 | 22 | 3,45 | 22 | 3,23 | 3,32 | 20 | 3,85 | 21 | 3,57 | 18 | 3,67 | 19 | 4,16 | 20 | 3,9 | 3,83 | |
| 18-19 | 37 | 4,35 | 35 | 4,23 | 37 | 4,54 | 37 | 4,05 | 4,24 | 31 | 4,1 | 30 | 4,27 | 24 | 4,04 | 29 | 4,14 | 31 | 4,23 | 4,16 | |

| TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--------------------|------|
| 21. Encoura_gement the involvement of students | | 22. ..methodo_logies ... skills of analysis and synthesis | | 23. The practical work adequately to the theoretical element | | 24. The recommended course material was appropriate | | 25. The bibliography was appropriate and up-to-date | | 26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily | | 27. Knowledge, skills and approaches in guides | | 28. Appraisal procedures and criteria appropriate | | 29. The standard demanded in the appraisal ... | | 30. I regularly attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities | | Average of section | |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | |
| 23-24 | 27 | 3,48 | 26 | 3,77 | 25 | 3,84 | 24 | 3,88 | 26 | 3,62 | 24 | 4,29 | 26 | 3,69 | 26 | 3,85 | 26 | 3,73 | 25 | 4,76 | 3,88 |
| 22-23 | 23 | 3,7 | 23 | 3,7 | 23 | 3,57 | 23 | 4,13 | 22 | 3,91 | 23 | 4,17 | 23 | 4 | 22 | 4,05 | 23 | 3,52 | 18 | 4,89 | 3,94 |
| 21-22 | 31 | 4,03 | 31 | 4,03 | 31 | 4,03 | 31 | 3,97 | 31 | 4,13 | 31 | 4,45 | 30 | 4,13 | 31 | 4,13 | 31 | 4,03 | 25 | 4,8 | 4,16 |
| 20-21 | 26 | 3,23 | 25 | 3,16 | 26 | 2,96 | 25 | 3,32 | 25 | 3,32 | 25 | 3,28 | 26 | 3,04 | 26 | 3,31 | 26 | 3,08 | 22 | 4,18 | 3,27 |
| 19-20 | 22 | 3,41 | 22 | 3,45 | 20 | 3,45 | 22 | 3,5 | 22 | 3,32 | 22 | 3,91 | 22 | 3,5 | 22 | 3,68 | 22 | 3,82 | 20 | 4,3 | 3,63 |
| 18-19 | 36 | 4,14 | 36 | 4,22 | 36 | 4,22 | 36 | 4,39 | 36 | 4,25 | 36 | 4,56 | 35 | 4,34 | 35 | 4,23 | 36 | 4,31 | 34 | 4,65 | 4,33 |

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2107T - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN COOPERACIÓN AL DESARROLLO

| A. year | STUDENT TUTORIALS | | | | TEACHING APPROACH | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|--|---------|--------------------|--|---------|---|---------|---|---------|--------------------|
| | 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction | | 19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials | | Average of section | 31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable | | 32. The professors demonstrated ... knowledge | | 33. The professors had a positive attitude towards students | | Average of section |
| | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | |
| 23-24 | 24 | 4,04 | 23 | 4,09 | 4,06 | 24 | 4,21 | 26 | 3,77 | 25 | 4,08 | 4,01 |
| 22-23 | 22 | 4,09 | 19 | 4,16 | 4,12 | 20 | 4,65 | 21 | 4,71 | 21 | 4,57 | 4,65 |
| 21-22 | 30 | 4,3 | 27 | 4,41 | 4,35 | 30 | 4,73 | 31 | 4,48 | 31 | 4,55 | 4,59 |
| 20-21 | 26 | 3,46 | 24 | 3,79 | 3,62 | 26 | 3,81 | 26 | 3,65 | 26 | 3,54 | 3,67 |
| 19-20 | 22 | 3,64 | 22 | 3,86 | 3,75 | 22 | 4,05 | 21 | 3,62 | 22 | 3,64 | 3,77 |
| 18-19 | 35 | 4,46 | 36 | 4,47 | 4,46 | 36 | 4,64 | 35 | 4,49 | 36 | 4,42 | 4,51 |

| | OVERALL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|--|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| | Satisfaction with the Master's Degree | | Quality of the teaching staff | | Relevance of the Master's Degree | | Good value for money | | Recommend this Master's Degree to other students | | Usefulness of the Master's Degree | | Average of section |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | |
| 23-24 | 25 | 3,84 | 25 | 3,8 | 24 | 4,21 | 24 | 3,5 | 24 | 3,67 | 25 | 3,72 | 3,79 |
| 22-23 | 21 | 3,76 | 21 | 4,14 | 20 | 3,95 | 21 | 3,48 | 21 | 3,62 | 20 | 3,8 | 3,79 |
| 21-22 | 31 | 3,87 | 31 | 4,19 | 31 | 4,29 | 31 | 3,65 | 31 | 3,71 | 29 | 3,93 | 3,94 |
| 20-21 | 26 | 2,96 | 26 | 3,31 | 25 | 3,36 | 24 | 2,46 | 26 | 2,73 | 26 | 3,04 | 2,98 |
| 19-20 | 21 | 3 | 20 | 3,65 | 21 | 3,52 | 20 | 3,1 | 21 | 2,95 | 21 | 3,29 | 3,25 |
| 18-19 | 37 | 4,38 | 37 | 4,32 | 37 | 4,51 | 36 | 3,83 | 34 | 4,5 | 36 | 4,47 | 4,34 |

* Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.