Total respondents:

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 24-25

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2122 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN MEDIACIÓN, ARBITRAJE Y GESTIÓN DE CONFLICTOS EN DERECHO PRIVADO


Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 0 3 Have you received any kind of 0 3 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 0.00% 00.00% 0.00% 100.00% Frequency Response 2 5 TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE N/C 1 2 3 5 0 0 2 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4.67 0 0 4 , 0 3 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 4,00 0 0 0 3,56 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 4.00 0 0 3 ,1 2 2 3,68 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 4.33 0 0 0 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 3,33 0 0 4,07 Average of section ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed 4.00 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled 4,67 0 0 0 0 2 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory 3,67 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory 3.33 0 0 0 0 0 0 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De 3,67 3,67 0 0 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to 0 3.33 0 0 0 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory Average of section 3,76 INFRASTRUCTURE 4,33 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate 4,33 0 0 0 0 2 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching. 4,33 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory 3,67 0 0 0 0 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate 0 0 0 3,67 4,07 Average of section STUDENT TUTORIALS 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction 4,67 4,33 0 0 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials Average of section 4,5 Scale used: 1 to 5 Global Average Enrollment: 16

Period of survey: 10/12/2024 - 19/01/2025




	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	0	1	1	0	1	0
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	0,00%	33,00%	33,00%	0,00%	33,00%	0,00%


TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS											
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	4,33										
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis											
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element											
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments											
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date											
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.											
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope											
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives											
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,33										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,33										
TEACHING APPROACH Average of section	4,1										
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable											
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	4,33										
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,67										
Average of section	4,33										





OVERALL		AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course		4,33
Quality of the teaching staff		4,33
Relevance of the postgraduate course		4,33
Good value for money		3,67
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		4,00
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,33
	Average of section	4.17





							TRAI	NING PRO	GRAMM	Œ						C	OURSE O	RGANISA	TION		
EVO	LUTION	N	and	e objectives d graduate e clearly.	their co	subjects and ontent were opriate	baland	n appropiate ce between and practic	differ	content wa ent from m luate cours	y the su	equence ojects was actory	0		in guid	formation les was sive	- C		Manage	8. Organization Management were satisfactory	
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. res	p. average	no. resp	. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp	o. averag	e no. resp.	average	е		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	e
24-25	3	24-212	2 3	4,67	3	4	3	4	3	4,33	3	3,33	4,07		3	4	3	4,67	3	3,67	
23-24	3	23-212	2 3	4	3	3,33	3	3	3	4,33	3	4,33	3,80		3	3,67	2	*	2	*	
22-23	6	22-212	2 6	3,33	6	2,33	6	1,5	5	4	6	2,33	2,66		6	3,33	3	3	6	2,33	
21-22	8	21-212	2 8	3,62	8	2,62	8	2,5	8	3,75	8	2,62	3,02		8	3	7	4	8	3,12	
20-21	12	20-212	2 12	4,25	12	3,17	12	2,42	12	3,5	12	2,5	3,17		12	4,08	12	4,42	12	3,67	
19-20	7	19-212	2 6	4,17	7	4,29	7	3,71	6	4,33	7	4,43	4,18		6	4,17	7	4,43	7	4	
	COURSE ORGANISATION						INFRASTRUCTURE														
	9. Inform studer manage/o	nts to	commi	means of unication ppropriate				rdination teaching isfactory	Average of section	n equ	Classrooms and their ipment were	wo study	Areas for orking and ing and their	exp	. Laborato erimental d equipme	areas	i. The libra reading ro facilities	oom	17 librar document archives	ary c	Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. re				no.	resp. av	erage no	. resp. av	verage n	o. resp. av	erage	
24-25	3	3,33	3	3,67	3	3,67	3	3,33	3,76	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	4	,33	3	3,67	3 3	,67	4,07
23-24	3	4,67	3	4,33	3	5	3	4,33	4,42	3	4,67	3	4,67	3	4	,67	3	4,67	3 4	,67	4,67
22-23	6	3,83	6	3	6	3	6	2,67	3,03	6	4,33	6	4,33	2		*	4	4,75	4 3	,75	4,09
21-22	8	3,5	8	3,25	8	3,5	8	3	3,33	8	3,88	7	4	6		3,5	6	4,17	6 3	,83	3,88
20-21	12	3,92	12	3,83	12	4,08	12	3,08	3,87	12	4	12	4	10) :	3,7	12	3,92	11 4	,27	3,98
19-20	7	4	7	4	7	4	7	3,57	4,02	7	4,86	7	4,86	5		1,6	6	4,67	6 3	,83	4,58
									T	EACHING:	LEARNING	PROCES	S								
	21. Enc gemer involven	nt the nent of	logies analy	ethodo_ skills of sis and	23. The pwork adec	quately to pretical	24. 7 recomm course n	ended naterial	bibliogra appropria	The aphy was ate and up-	26. The init schedule fulfilled sati	was	27. Knowle skills ar approache	nd	proce	Appraisal dures and appropria	dema	ne standar nded in th praisal	e attend	regulary led the 'in asses and	d section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp. a	verage	no. resp	. average	no. resp	p. averag	ge no. resp	. average	e
24-25	3	4,33	3	4	3	4	3	3,67	3	4	3	4	3	4,33	3	4	3	4,33	3	4,33	4,10
23-24	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	2	*	4,45
22-23	6	2,67	5	2,4	6	2,5	5	3	5	3,8	5	3,6	5	2,6	6	3,17	6	3,67	6	4,67	3,22
21-22	8	3	8	3,38	8	2,38	8	2,88	7	3,29	8	3	8	3	8	3,12	8	3,25	8	4,25	3,15
20-21	12	3,5	12	3,5	12	3,08	12	3,33	12	3,67	12	3,5	12	3,58	12	3,42	12	3,58	12	4,75	3,59
19-20	7	4,43	7	4,43	7	3,86	7	4,43	7	4,29	7	4	7	4,29	7	4,14	7	4,14	7	4,86	4,29

		STUD	ENT TUTO	ORIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to isfaction			Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
24-25	3	4,67	3	4,33	4,50	3	4	3	4,33	3	4,67	4,33		
23-24	3	5	3	5	5,00	2	*	3	4,67	2	*	4,86		
22-23	4	4,25	4	4,75	4,50	6	4,17	6	3	6	3,33	3,50		
21-22	6	3,67	7	3,86	3,77	8	3,75	8	3,25	8	3,62	3,54		
20-21	12	4,17	10	3,8	4,00	12	4	12	4	12	4,33	4,11		
19-20	7	4,43	6	4,5	4,46	7	4,14	7	4,57	7	4,43	4,38		

	OVERALL												
		ction with er's Degree		ality of the ching staff Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section	
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
24-25	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	3,67	3	4	3	4,33	4,17
23-24	3	3,33	3	3,33	3	3,67	3	3,33	3	3,33	3	3,67	3,44
22-23	6	2,67	6	3,5	6	3,17	6	2,5	6	2,17	6	3,17	2,86
21-22	8	2,5	8	2,62	8	3,5	8	2,12	8	2,38	7	2,86	2,66
20-21	12	3,58	12	3,75	11	3,82	12	3,83	12	3,25	12	3,25	3,58
19-20	7	4,29	7	4,43	7	4,43	7	4,43	7	4,43	7	4,43	4,40

^{*} Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.