

### **EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24**

RUCT: 4312033

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2144 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ACUICULTURA

Yes Yes No No

Are you working while following your Master's Degree?	1 4 Have you receive scholarship/grant for		3 <b>60,00</b> %	2 40,00	)%							
				Fre	equency				1 2	<u>'</u>	3 4	. 5
TRAINING PROGRAMME		AVERAGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5				
1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's	Degree were described clearly in a transparent	way 4,50	1	0	0	1	0	3	3 ,9 7			
2.The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and	their content were appropriate for the objective	es of MD 4,00	1	0	0	2	0	2	3 ,5 1			
3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance b	etween theory and practice	3,20	0	0	0	4	1	0	3 ,1 0			
4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was	s significantly different from my graduate co	ourse 4,20	0	0	0	0	4	1	3 ,6 8			
5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and a	voided gaps and repetitions	3,60	0	0	0	3	1	1	3 ,1 0			
	Average of section	3,87							,			. ,
ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT												
6. The information in the educational programmes or	guides of the subjects was extensive and detaile	ed 3,50	1	0	1	0	3	0	3 ,6 7			
7. The educational programmes or guides were availa	ble before I enrolled	3,25	1	1	0	1	1	1	3 ,9 7			
8. The organization and management of the Master's	Degree were satisfactory	3,00	0	0	2	1	2	0	3 ,1 7			
9. The information given to students to manage/organ	ize the Master's Degree was satisfactory	4,00	0	0	0	2	1	2	3 , 7 3			
10. The means of communication used to disseminate	information on the different aspects of the Ma	ster's De 2,75	1	0	1	3	0	0	3 , 6 9		<u>+</u>	
11. The initial planning and programmed activities w	ere adhered to	3,25	1	0	2	0	1	1	3 ,8 3			
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff v	vas satisfactory	4,25	1	0	0	1	1	2	3 , 3 3			
	Average of section	3,43							1		1	ı
INFRASTRUCTURE												
13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate the control of the contro	riate for the Master's Degree	3,40	0	0	1	2	1	1	3 , 7 3			
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and	their equipment were appropriate	3,60	0	0	1	1	2	1	3 ,8 2			
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental are	as and their equipment were appropriate for tea	aching 4,20	0	0	0	1	2	2	3 ,7 7			
16. I consider that the library and reading room facility	ies were satisfactory	4,67	2	0	0	0	1	2	4 , 0 9			
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information	ion in the library were appropriate	4,00	1	0	1	0	1	2	4 , 0 6			
	Average of section	3,91							1 1		1	ı
STUDENT TUTORIALS												, ,
18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction		4,25	1	0	0	1	1	2	4 ,0 6			
19. The professors adhered to the schedule establishe	d for tutorials	4,00	1	0	1	0	1	2	4 , 2 3			
	Average of section	4,12							Scale used: 1 to 5	;	: Global	UV Average

Total respondents: 21 5 Enrollment:

Period of survey: 21/05/2024 - 25/06/2024




# EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4312033

2144 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ACUICULTURA

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	1	0	3	1	0	0
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	20,00%	0,00%	60,00%	20,00%	0,00%	0,00%

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS		AVERAGE									
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject											
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis											
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element											
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments											
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date											
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.											
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope											
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives											
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given											
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the M	asters course	4,60									
TEACHING APPROACH	verage of section	4,16									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		4,40									
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subject	ts in question	4,40									
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students										
Av	verage of section	4,4									






### EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24


RUCT: 4312033

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2144 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ACUICULTURA

OVERALL		AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course		4,00
Quality of the teaching staff		4,40
Relevance of the postgraduate course		3,80
Good value for money		4,00
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		4,33
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,00
	Average of section	4,07







19-20

18-19

9

8

4,11

3,25

4,11

3,12

9

8

4,22

3,75

9

8

9

7

3,67

3,71

8

6

4

3,17

### EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

	•	ONITAL	DE QUAL	IIAI			( <b>l</b>	£nd-Of-0	Course S	urvey. S	TUDEN	(TS)									
							214	4 - MÁST	TER UNI	ERSIT	ARIO EN	N ACUIO	CULTUR	A							
							TRAI	NING PRO	OGRAMME							CO	URSE OR	GANISAT	TION		
EVO	LUTIO	N	and	e objectives graduate e clearly	their c	subjects and ontent were ropriate	baland	n appropiat ce betweer and practio	differer	ontent was at from my ate course	the su	sequence bjects was factory	of sect		6. The info in guide extens	s was	available	des were e before I olled	Manager	nization nent were factory	<del>)</del>
A. year			no. resp		no. resp		no. resp		no. resp.	average	no. resp	average			no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	_
23-24	5	23-2144		4,5	4	4	5	3,2	5	4,2	5	3,6	3,87		4	3,5	4	3,25	5	3	
22-23	4	22-2144	4	3,5	4	3,75	4	3,5	4	4	4	3,75	3,70		4	3,75	3	2,33	4	3,5	
21-22	10	21-2144	10	3,9	10	3,2	10	2,9	10	3,5	9	3,56	3,41		10	4	9	4,22	10	2,4	
20-21	6	20-2144	6	4,5	6	3,5	6	3,33	6	4	6	3,83	3,83	3	6	3,83	6	4,17	6	3,5	
19-20	9	19-2144	. 9	4,33	9	3,89	9	2,78	9	4,56	9	3,67	3,84		8	4,12	8	4,38	9	3,11	
18-19	8	18-2144	8	3,88	8	3,5	8		8	3,38	8	3,38	3,22	2	7	3,57	8	3,38	8	1,75	
	COURSE ORGANISATION											INFRASTRUCTURE									
	9. Information to students to manage/organize		its to communication		11. The initial planning and programmed		12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory		Average of section	ar equip	and their worl equipment were studyin		. Areas for orking and the	expe	15. Laboratories, experimental areas and equipment		16. The library and reading room facilities		•		Average f section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.		no. resp.	average		no. resp				ge no. 1	resp. aver	age no. 1	esp. ave	rage no	. resp. av	erage	
23-24	5	4	4	2,75	4	3,25	4	4,25	3,43	5	3,4	5	3,6	5	4,2	2 :	3 4,	,67	4	4	3,91
22-23	4	3,25	4	3,5	4	4	4	4	3,52	4	3,75	4	4,25	4	4,2	.5	2	*	2	*	4,06
21-22	10	3,1	10	3,7	10	3	10	3,2	3,36	10	4,1	10	4	1(	4,3	3	8 4	,5	8 4,	25	4,22
20-21	6	3,83	6	4	6	3,83	6	3,83	3,86	6	4,5	5	4,4	6	4		4 4	,5	4 4	,5	4,36
19-20	9	3,78	8	4	9	4,22	9	3,78	3,90	9	4,33	9	4,33	9	4,4	4	8 4,	,12	8 4,	25	4,30
18-19	5	2,6	6	3	8	1,5	8	2	2,50	8	4,5	8	4,5	8	4,2	5	8 4,	,25	6 3,	83	4,29
									TEA	CHING-L	EARNING	PROCES	S								
	geme involve	gement the logies skills of work		23. The practical work adequately to the theoretical course materi		nended naterial	25. Tl bibliograpl appropriate	ny was and up- f	schedule	schedule was skil Ifilled satisfactorily appro		skills and pro		28. Appraisal procedures and criteria appropriate		29. The standard demanded in the appraisal		egulary ed the 'in esses and			
A. year	no. resp.		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	iverage	no. resp.		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.		no. resp.	average	
23-24	5	3,8	5	3,8	5	4	3	4,67	3	4	5	4,2	4	4,25	5	4	5	4,4	5	4,6	4,16
22-23	4	3,5	4	3,5	_ 4 _	4	4	3,75	3	4	4	_ 4	4	3,75	4	4	4	3,75	4	4,75	3,90
21-22	10	4	10	3,9	10	3,8	10	4,1	10	4	9	3,11	10	3,7	8	3,88	99	4,11	9	4,78	3,94
20-21	6	4	6	4,17	6	4,5	5	4	4	4	6	4,5	6	4,33	6	4,33	6	4,33	6	4,83	4,32

4,22

2,29

9

7

8

7

4,12

3

8

7

4

3,43

9

8

4,78

4,88

4,13

3,42

9

8

4

3,38



# EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2144 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ACUICULTURA

		STUD	ENT TUTO	DRIALS		TEACHING APPROACH									
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to isfaction	adhere sched	19. The professors adhered to the schedule for				32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section			
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average				
23-24	4	4,25	4	4	4,12	5	4,4	5	4,4	5	4,4	4,40			
22-23	3	4,33	4	4,5	4,43	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4	4,17			
21-22	9	4,33	9	4,44	4,39	10	3,1	10	4,3	10	4,4	3,93			
20-21	6	4,67	6	4,5	4,58	6	4,5	6	4,33	6	4,5	4,44			
19-20	9	4,78	8	4,75	4,76	9	4,67	9	4,67	9	4,33	4,56			
18-19	7	3	5	2,4	2,75	6	2,67	6	4	6	3,83	3,50			

	OVERALL														
		tion with er's Degree		of the og staff		ce of the s Degree	Good value for money		Master's	nend this Degree to students	Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
23-24	5	4	5	4,4	5	3,8	4	4	3	4,33	5	4	4,07		
22-23	4	4	4	4	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4	4	3,5	4,00		
21-22	10	3,8	10	3,9	10	3,5	10	3,5	10	3,2	10	3,5	3,57		
20-21	5	4,2	5	4,4	5	4,2	5	4,4	5	4,6	5	4,6	4,40		
19-20	9	3,67	9	4,22	9	3,89	8	3,5	8	3,5	9	4	3,81		
18-19	6	2,5	6	3,17	6	4,33	6	3	6	2,5	6	4	3,25		

<sup>\*</sup> Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.