

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT: 4311702

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2148 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN BIODIVERSIDAD: CONSERVACIÓN Y EVOLUCIÓN

Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 15 25 Have you received any kind of 17 23 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 38.00% 62.00% 42.00% 57,00% Frequency Response 2 5 TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE N/C 1 2 3 5 0 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4.25 14 19 3,90 0 0 11 13 13 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 3,51 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 3.90 0 14 14 3 ,1 0 16 3,68 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 14 4.05 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 3,45 0 12 13 Average of section 3,91 ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed 3.92 4,62 0 0 11 26 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled 12 12 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory 3,65 6 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory 4.08 13 16 16 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De 4,13 0 15 4,12 13 18 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to 6 3.62 19 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory Average of section 4.02 INFRASTRUCTURE 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree 4,18 4.40 0 0 11 23 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching. 4.40 21 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory 4.51 0 14 23 4,21 14 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate 0 15 4,34 Average of section STUDENT TUTORIALS 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction 4,37 4,37 19 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials 12

4,37

40 56 Total respondents: Enrollment:

Average of section

Period of survey: 21/05/2024 - 25/06/2024

Scale used: 1 to 5

: Global UV Average

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4311702

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	2	4	13	7	4	6
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	6,00%	11,00%	36,00%	19,00%	11,00%	17,00%

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	3,82									
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis										
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element										
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments										
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date										
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.										
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope										
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives										
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,85									
TEACHING APPROACH Average of section	4,11									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable	4,15									
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	4,45									
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students										
Average of section	4,26									


	Fre	quency	Respo	nse			
N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1 2 3	3 4
0	2	2	6	21	9	3 , 4 2	
0	0	5	5	18	12	3 , 4 6	
0	0	2	5	14	19	3 ,5 9	
2	0	2	3	17	16	3 ,7 4	
1	1	1	4	19	14	3 ,8 6	
1	1	4	4	17	13	3 ,9 5	
2	0	3	4	14	17	3 ,7 8	
1	0	2	4	21	12	3 ,7 7	
0	1	5	11	13	10	3 ,6 7	
0	0	0	0	6	34	4 ,7 2	
1	0	2	6	15	16	4 ,2 8	
0	0	1	2	15	22	4 , 2 0	
0	0	2	2	23	13	4 ,1 0	
						Scale used: 1 to 5	: Global UV Average


EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT: 4311702

 $(\ End\text{-}Of\text{-}Course\ Survey.\ STUDENTS\)$

OVERALL		AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course		3,92
Quality of the teaching staff		4,18
Relevance of the postgraduate course		4,29
Good value for money		4,05
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		4,03
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,05
	Average of section	4,09







EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

						2148 -	MASTE	R UNIV	ERSITAI	RIO EN I	BIODIVI	ERSIDAI	: CONS	ERVACIO)N Y E	VOLUC	CION					
								TRA	NING PRO	GRAMMI	Ε						CC	OURSE OF	RGANISA	ATION		
EVO	LUTIO	N		and g	objectives raduate clearly	their c	subjects and ontent were opriate	balan	n appropiate ce between and practic	differe	ontent was int from my late course	the su	equence of the sequence of the	-		The info in guides extensi	s was	was available before I			8. Organization Management were satisfactory	
A. year	Collected	d Cod	n	o. resp.	average	no. resp	. average	no. resp	. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	;	no	o. resp.	average	no. resp.	averag	ge no. re	esp. avera	age
23-24	40	23-214	18	40	4,25	40	3,9	40	3,9	39	4,05	40	3,45	3,91		39	3,92	39	4,62	40	3,65	5
22-23	20	22-214	18	20	4,3	20	4,05	20	3,95	20	4,05	20	3,55	3,98		18	4,28	16	4,62	20	3,15	5
21-22	32	21-214	18	32	4,12	31	3,26	32	3,38	32	3,81	31	3,81	3,68		30	4,27	30	4,27	32	3,5	
20-21	40	20-214	18	40	3,98	40	3,75	40	3,25	37	3,51	40	3,68	3,63		40	4	37	4,3	40	3,28	3
19-20	30	19-214	18	30	4,07	30	3,13	30	3,4	30	3,43	30	3,37	3,48		28	3,57	28	4,5	30	3,27	7
18-19	23	18-214	18	23	3,39	23	2,83	23	2,61	22	2,77	23	2,74	2,87		20	3,3	23	4,26	23	2,22	2
					COURSE	E ORGAN	SATION								I	NFRASTI	RUCTUR	E				
	stude	10. The means of communication were appropriate		communication planning and vere appropriate programmed		ng and	12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory		aı equip	and their working equipment were studying		Areas for rking and ing and their	experi	15. Laboratories, 15. Laboratories, 15. Laboratories, 16. Laboratories, 17. Laborato		16. The library and reading room facilities		d 17 library and documentary archives		Average of section		
. year	no. resp.	average	no. 1	esp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp				no. res	p. avera	age no.	resp. av	erage	no. resp.	average	
23-24	39	4,08	39)	4,13	40	4,12	39	3,62	4,02	40	4,18	40	4,4	40	4,4	1 :	39 4	4,51	33	4,21	4,34
22-23	19	3,74	17	7	4	20	3,65	20	4,1	3,91	20	4,05	19	4,26	20	4,3	5	17 4	4,47	17	4,35	4,29
21-22	32	4	31		4,1	31	3,97	32	3,59	3,95	32	3,03	32	3,75	32	3,4	7	28 3	3,86	26	3,35	3,49
20-21	39	3,62	39)	3,79	40	3,22	40	3,35	3,64	40	4,03	40	3,82	40	4,2	2	23 3	3,65	21	3,48	3,90
19-20	29	3,79	26	j	3,69	30	3,47	30	3,33	3,65	30	3,8	30	4	30	4,2	3	26 4	4,04	22	3,68	3,96
18-19	23	3,52	22	2	3,36	23	2,78	23	2,52	3,13	23	2,7	23	3,17	23	3,8	3	22 3	3,82	21	3,43	3,38
										TE	ACHING-I	EARNING	PROCES	s								
	geme involve	21. Encoura_ 22methodo_ logies skills of analysis and		ogies skills of work adequately to		uately to oretical	to recommended		25. T	The ohy was e and up- 1	26. The init	ial work was	27. Knowled skills and approached	nd		praisal ures and ppropriate	demai	ne standa nded in tl oraisal	he att	0. I regular tended the u' classes a	in of and section	
A. year	no. resp.	average	no.	resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp. av	erage	no. resp.	average	no. resp	o. avera	ge no. r	resp. avera	ige
23-24	40	3,82	40)	3,92	40	4,25	38	4,24	39	4,13	39	3,95	38	4,18	39	4,1	40	3,65	40	4,85	5 4,1
22-23	20	4,05	20)	4,3	19	4,26	19	4,37	18	4,5	20	3,7	17	4,35	19	4,26	20	4,05	20	4,8	4,2
21-22	32	3,72	32	2	3,75	32	3,78	29	4	31	4,06	32	4,06	28	3,79	31	3,84	32	3,72	. 32	2 4,81	1 3,9
20-21	39	3,72	39)	3,72	40	3,62	33	3,91	31	4,1	39	3,64	38	3,89	40	3,88	39	3,9	39	9 4,92	2 3,9
19-20	29	3,48	29)	3,41	29	3,69	27	3,7	27	3,59	29	3,79	26	3,35	29	3,59	29	3,38	29	9 4,79	9 3,6
18-19	23	3,17	21		3,24	23	3,78	19	3,95	21	3,86	23	2,74	21	3,24	22	3,23	22	3	22	2 4,64	4 3,4



EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

		STUD	ENT TUTO	DRIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs we	8. The tutorial eds were met to my satisfaction 19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials		Average of section			32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The phad a pattitude	Average of section				
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
23-24	38	4,37	35	4,37	4,37	39	4,15	40	4,45	40	4,18	4,26		
22-23	17	4,53	17	4,76	4,65	20	3,95	20	4,85	20	4,45	4,42		
21-22	29	4,38	23	4,35	4,37	31	4,48	31	4,35	31	3,94	4,26		
20-21	36	4,33	29	4,34	4,34	36	4,19	37	4,35	37	4,08	4,21		
19-20	28	4,11	26	4,12	4,11	28	3,82	29	4,21	29	3,55	3,86		
18-19	19	4	18	4,17	4,08	23	3,7	23	4,26	23	3,65	3,87		

	OVERALL													
				Quality of the teaching staff		Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		nend this Degree to students	to Master's Degree		Average of section	
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		
23-24	38	3,92	38	4,18	38	4,29	37	4,05	38	4,03	38	4,05	4,09	
22-23	20	4	20	4,45	20	4,3	20	4,2	20	4,1	20	3,95	4,17	
21-22	30	3,67	30	4	30	4,1	29	2,93	30	3,43	29	3,66	3,63	
20-21	32	3,66	32	3,88	32	4,09	31	3,03	32	3,47	30	3,63	3,63	
19-20	28	3,25	29	3,69	29	3,93	28	3	29	3,34	28	3,5	3,46	
18-19	22	3,09	22	3,64	22	3,64	22	2,36	20	2,65	21	3,19	3,10	

^{*} Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.