

Total respondents:

### EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 24-25 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

#### 2151 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN INTERVENCIÓN PSICOLÓGICA EN ÁMBITOS SOCIALES


Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 6 11 Have you received any kind of -11 6 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 35,00% 65.00% 65,00% 35.00% Frequency Response 2 5 TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE N/C 1 2 5 0 0 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4.71 13 4 , 0 3 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 4,53 0 0 0 3,56 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 4.29 0 11 3 ,1 2 3,68 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 4,41 0 0 11 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 4,35 0 0 4,46 Average of section ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed 4.62 10 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled 4,69 0 0 2 13 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory 4,29 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory 4.35 0 0 0 0 6 9 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De 4,50 4.65 0 0 0 6 11 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to 4,71 0 0 0 0 5 12 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory 4.54 Average of section INFRASTRUCTURE 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree 4,24 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate 4,47 0 9 4,53 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching... 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory 4,76 0 0 0 2 14 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate 0 0 2 11 4,50 Average of section 4,5 STUDENT TUTORIALS 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction 4,59 11 4,71 0 0 13 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials Average of section 4,65 Scale used: 1 to 5 Global Average 28 17 Enrollment:

Period of survey: 08/05/2025 - 15/06/2025




	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	0	2	8	7	0	0
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	0,00%	12,00%	47,00%	41,00%	0,00%	0,00%


TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	4,59									
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis										
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element										
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments										
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date										
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.										
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope										
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives										
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course										
TEACHING APPROACH  Average of section	4,53									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable										
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question										
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,53									
Average of section	4,71									





OVERALL	AVERA
Satisfaction with the Masters course	4,76
Quality of the teaching staff	4,76
Relevance of the postgraduate course	4,82
Good value for money	4,00
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students	4,71
Usefulness of the postgraduate course	4,76
	Average of section 4.64





							TRAI	NING PRO	GRAMME							COURSE	ORGANIS	ATION			
EVOLUTION				1 3 an appropiate balance between theory and practice 4 content was different from my graduate course		the subjects was of section			in gu	informatio iides was ensive	availa	guides wer able before enrolled	I Manage	anization ement wer sfactory							
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp	. average	e no. res	sp. averag	ge no. resp.	averag	e	
24-25	17	23-215	17	4,71	17	4,53	17	4,29	17	4,41	17	4,35	4,46	16	4,62	16	4,69	17	4,29		
23-24	14	22-215	14	4,43	14	4,21	14	3,71	14	4,43	14	3,57	4,07	13	4,23	12	4,33	14	4		
22-23	11	21-215	11	4,09	11	4,09	11	3,09	11	3,64	11	3	3,58	10	3,8	10	4,3	11	3		
21-22	3	20-215	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	4	3	4	3	3	3,93	3	4	3	4,33	3	3,67		
20-21	11	19-215	11	4	11	2,09	11	1,73	11	2,09	11	2,36	2,45	10	3,1	7	3,14	11	1,55		
19-20	6	18-215	6	4,17	6	3,33	6	2,67	6	3,17	6	2,83	3,23	6	3,67	5	4	6	2,33		
				COURSE	ORGANIS	SATION								INFRA	STRUCTU	URE					
	students to com		students to communication		nmunication planning and		g and nmed	between	petween teaching of section		equip	and their working equipment were studying		Areas for trking and experimental areas and equipment		al areas	16. The library and reading room facilities		17 library and documentary archives		Avera of sec
. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. res	p. average	no. resp	o. average	no. resp.	average 1	no. resp.	average	no. resp. a	verage		
24-25	17	4,35	16	4,5	17	4,65	17	4,71	4,54	17	4,24	17	4,47	15	4,53	17	4,76	16	4,5	4,5	
23-24	13	4,31	13	4,08	14	4,36	14	4,07	4,19	14	4,21	14	4,29	13	4,46	13	4,46	13	4,31	4,3	
22-23	10	3,8	10	3,5	11	3,73	11	3,55	3,66	11	3,45	11	3,55	9	3,44	11	4,18	10	4,3	3,7	
21-22	3	4	3	4,33	3	4	3	3,33	3,95	3	4,33	3	4	3	4	2	*	3	4	4,1	
20-21	11	3,27	9	3	11	3,18	11	1,82	2,69	11	3,82	10	3,9	7	4,14	8	4,25	8	4,38	4,0	
19-20	6	3,5	6	3,67	6	3,83	6	3,17	3,44	6	4,17	6	4,17	6	3,67	6	4,33	6	3,83	4,03	
									TEA	ACHING-I	LEARNING 1	PROCESS	<b>i</b>								
	involvement of		gement the logies skills of involvement of analysis and		logies skills of work adequately to		ately to etical			25. T bibliograp appropriate	hy was and up-	26. The inition schedule fulfilled satis	was	27. Knowled skills and approaches	d pro	. Appraisal cedures an ia appropri	nd dem	The standananded in the praisal	he atten	regulary ded the 'ir lasses and	n d s
. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp. a	verage	no. resp.	average 1	no. resp.	average	no. resp. a	verage	no. resp. ave	erage no. re	sp. avera	ge no. re	esp. avera	ge no. resp	o. averag	e	
24-25	17	4,59	17	4,47	17	4,41	17	4,53	16	4,25	17	4,65	16 4	,56 16	4,5	17	4,47	17	4,88		
23-24	14	4,21	14	4,07	14	3,86	12	4,17	14	4,07	14	4,43	14 4	,43 14	4,5	14	4,43	14	4,86		
22-23	11	3,55	11	3,18	11	3,82	10	3,6	10	3,7	11	3,64	10	3,8 11	3,91	11	3,45	11	4,73		
21-22	3	3,67	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4,33	3	4 3	4,33	3 3	4	3	4		
20-21	11	2,36	11	2,36	11	2,09	10	2,5	11	2,73	11	3,64	10	2,6 11	2,73	3 11	2,73	11	4,55		
19-20	6	3.67	6	3.5	6	3.5	6	3.67	6	3.33	6	4.17	6	3.5 6	3,33	3 6	3.33	6	4.5		

		STUD	ENT TUTO	ORIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to isfaction	adhere sched	orofessors d to the ule for	Average of section	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
24-25	17	4,59	17	4,71	4,65	17	4,76	17	4,82	17	4,53	4,71		
23-24	14	4,64	13	4,77	4,70	14	4,79	14	4,71	14	4,79	4,76		
22-23	11	4,27	11	4,64	4,45	11	4,36	11	4,18	11	4,09	4,21		
21-22	3	4	3	4	4,00	3	3,67	3	4	3	4	3,89		
20-21	10	4,1	9	4,33	4,21	10	3,9	10	3,6	10	2,9	3,47		
19-20	6	4,17	6	4,33	4,25	6	4,17	6	4,17	6	3,17	3,83		

	OVERALL												
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree			y of the ng staff	Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
24-25	17	4,76	17	4,76	17	4,82	17	4	17	4,71	17	4,76	4,64
23-24	14	4,71	14	4,29	14	4,71	13	4,31	14	4,57	14	4,57	4,53
22-23	11	3,82	11	3,91	11	4,18	11	3,09	11	3,64	11	3,27	3,65
21-22	3	4	3	4	3	4,33	3	3,33	2	*	3	3,67	3,88
20-21	11	2,18	11	3	10	2,7	11	2,09	11	1,82	10	2,6	2,39
19-20	6	3,33	6	3,67	6	3,5	6	3	6	3	6	3,5	3,33

<sup>\*</sup> Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.