

Total respondents:

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT: 4312709

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2178 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN INVESTIGACIÓN E INTERVENCIÓN EN CIENCIAS DE LA ACTIVIDAD FÍSICA Y EL DEPORTE

Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 5 2 Have you received any kind of 6 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 71.00% 29.00% 14.00% 86,00% Frequency Response 2 5 TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE N/C 1 2 3 5 0 0 3 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4.29 0 4,57 0 0 3 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 0 0 4 3,51 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 4.57 0 0 4 0 0 3 ,1 0 5 3,68 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 0 0 0 2 4.71 0 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 4.57 0 0 0 4,54 Average of section ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed 3.86 4,43 0 0 0 0 3 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory 4,43 0 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory 4.71 0 0 0 0 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De 4,43 0 0 0 0 4,43 0 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to 4.00 0 0 2 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory Average of section 4.33 INFRASTRUCTURE 3,57 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree 4,43 0 0 0 3 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching. 4.71 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory 4.00 0 0 4,14 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate 0 4,17 Average of section STUDENT TUTORIALS 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction 4,29 4,71 0 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials Average of section 4,5 Scale used: 1 to 5 : Global UV Average

24

Enrollment:

Period of survey: 21/05/2024 - 25/06/2024




EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4312709

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10	
	1	0	3	2	0	1	
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	14,00%	0,00%	43,00%	29,00%	0,00%	14,00%	

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject										
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis										
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element										
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments										
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date										
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.										
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope										
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives										
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course										
TEACHING APPROACH Average of section	4,51									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable	4,43									
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	5,00									
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students										
Average of section	4,67									







EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT: 4312709

 $(\ End\text{-}Of\text{-}Course\ Survey.\ STUDENTS\)$

OVERALL		AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course		4,71
Quality of the teaching staff		4,57
Relevance of the postgraduate course		4,57
Good value for money		4,43
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		4,57
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,57
	Average of section	4,57







EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

		217	o - MAS	IER UNI	VERSII	ANIU E	IN HINVES	HUACI	ON E IIV	ILK VE.	INCION E	II CIEII	CIAS DE I	AACIIV	TUAU FI	BICA		EI OK	112		
							TRAI	NING PRO	GRAMMI	E						COUR	RSE ORGA	NISATI	ON		
EVO	LUTIO	N	and	objectives graduate clearly	their co	ubjects an intent were opriate	baland	appropiate e between and practice	differe	content was ent from my late course	the sub	equence o pjects was factory		in g	6. The information in guides was extensive 7 guides were available before I enrolled		8. Organization Management were satisfactory		Ð		
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp	. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	2	no. resp	p. averag	e no	o. resp. av	verage	no. resp.	average	
23-24	7	23-2178	8 7	4,29	7	4,57	7	4,57	7	4,71	7	4,57	4,54	7	3,86		7 4	1,43	7	4,43	
22-23	4	22-2178	8 4	3,75	4	4	4	3,75	4	4,5	4	3,75	3,95	4	3,5		4	4	4	3,5	
21-22	7	21-2178	8 7	3,57	7	3,29	7	2,29	6	3,5	7	2,71	3,06	6	3		5	3,4	7	3,29	
20-21	5	20-2178	8 5	4,2	5	3,8	5	3	4	4,5	5	3,4	3,75	4	3,75		4 3	3,75	5	2,8	
19-20	8	19-2178	8 8	4,88	8	4,5	8	3,75	7	4,57	8	4,62	4,46	7	4,43		7 4	1,57	8	4,12	
18-19	5	18-2178	8 5	4,8	5	4,8	5	4,2	4	4,5	5	3,6	4,38	3	4,67		44	1,75	5	3,8	
				COURSE	ORGANI	SATION								INFR	ASTRUCT	URE					
	9. Inform stude manage/		10. The r commun were ap		11. The plannir progra	ng and mmed	between		Average of section	equip	Classrooms and their pment were	wo study	Areas for rking and ing and their	15. Labora experiment and equip	tal areas	al areas reading room documer		library documenta archives	ary of	Average f section	
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. res	sp. average	no. res	p. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp	o. average	e no.	resp. ave	erage	
23-24	7	4,71	7	4,43	7	4,43	6	4	4,33	7	3,57	7	4,43	7	4,71	7	4		74,	14	4,17
22-23	4	4,25	4	4	4	3	4	3,75	3,71	4	4,5	4	4,25	3	4,33	3	4		3	4	4,24
21-22	7	3,29	7	3	7	3,43	7	2,71	3,15	7	3,71	6	3,67	5	3,2	5	3,6	(6 3,	83	3,62
20-21	5	3,8	4	3,75	5	3	5	2,8	3,34	5	4	5	4,2	5	4,2	3	4	4	4 4,	75	4,23
19-20	8	4,5	7	4,14	8	4,5	8	4,5	4,40	8	4,25	8	4,12	6	4	4	5		6 4,	83	4,38
18-19	5	4,2	5	4	5	3,6	5	4,2	4,12	5	4,2	4	4,25	5	4,2	3	4,33		4 4,	75	4,33
									TE	ACHING-I	LEARNING	PROCES	S								
	21. End geme involver	nt the ment of	22me logies analys	skills of is and	s of work adequately to recommended bib d the theoretical course material approximately approximate		25. The bibliography was appropriate and up-		was	27. Knowled skills and approache	d pro	procedures a		and demanded in		in the attended the		d sectio			
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp. av	erage no. re	esp. avera	age r	no. resp. a	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	7	4,43	7	4,43	7	4,57	7	4,43	7	4,71	7	4,43	7 4	,71 7	4,5	7	7	4,14	7	4,71	4,51
22-23	4	3,75	4	4	4	4,5	4	4,5	4	4,5	4	4	4	4 4	4		4	4	4	4,5	4,18
21-22	7	2,86	7	2,86	6	2,67	7	3,29	7	3,43	7	3,43	7 3	5,14 7	3,5	7	7	3,43	6	4	3,26
20-21	5	4	5	3,8	5	3,6	5	3,8	5	4,4	5	3,2	4	4 5	3,8	3	5	3,8	5	5	3,94
19-20	7	4,29	8	4,62	7	4,71	7	4,57	8	4,62	8	4,5	8	4,5 8	4,5	5	8	4,75	8	4,88	4,60
18-19	5	4,6	5	4,6	5	4,6	5	4,6	5	4,6	5	4,2	3 4	,33 4	4,7	5	5	4,2	5	4,4	4,49



EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

		STUD	ENT TUTO	DRIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to tisfaction	adhere sched	The professors Aver hered to the chedule for		31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The phad a pattitude	Average of section			
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
23-24	7	4,29	7	4,71	4,50	7	4,43	7	5	7	4,57	4,67		
22-23	4	4,5	4	4,5	4,50	4	3,25	4	4,5	4	4	3,92		
21-22	6	3,33	6	3,83	3,58	7	3,71	7	3,86	7	3,71	3,76		
20-21	5	4,4	5	4,4	4,40	5	4,4	5	4,8	5	5	4,73		
19-20	8	5	8	5	5,00	8	4,88	8	5	8	5	4,96		
18-19	4	4,75	4	4,75	4,75	5	4,4	5	4,8	5	4,6	4,60		

	OVERALL												
		Satisfaction with he Master's Degree teaching staff Relevance of the Master's Degree			Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	7	4,71	7	4,57	7	4,57	7	4,43	7	4,57	7	4,57	4,57
22-23	4	3,75	4	4	4	4,25	4	3,5	4	4	4	3,75	3,88
21-22	7	3,29	7	3,71	7	3,43	7	3	7	3,14	7	3,43	3,33
20-21	5	4	5	4,6	5	4,2	5	3,4	5	4	5	4,6	4,13
19-20	8	4,62	8	4,75	8	4,62	8	4,38	8	4,75	8	4,75	4,65
18-19	5	4,8	5	5	5	4,8	5	4,6	5	4,8	5	4,8	4,80

^{*} Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.