VNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA
UNITAT DE QUALITAT

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4315138

2208 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

	Yes	No
Are you working while following your Master's Degree?	8	5
	62,00%	38,00%

	Yes	No
Have you received any kind of scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree?	3	10
	23,00%	77,00%

TRAINING PROGRAMME	AVERAGE	Frequency Response N/C	1	2	3	4	5
1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way	3,77	0	0	2	3	4	4
2.The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD	4,08	0	0	1	1	7	4
3. I belive that there has been an appropiate balance between theory and practice	2,54	0	5	2	2	2	2
4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from … my graduate course	4,08	0	0	0	1	10	2
5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions	2,31	0	5	3	2	2	1
Average of section	3,35						
ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT							
6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed	3,85	0	0	1	3	6	3
7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled	4,17	1	1	1	0	3	7
8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory	3,38	0	0	4	3	3	3
9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory	3,83	1	0	3	1	3	5
10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De	3,75	1	0	2	3	3	4
11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to	4,54	0	0	0	0	6	7
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory	2,38	0	5	3	2	1	2
Average of section	3,69						
INFRASTRUCTURE							
13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree	3,62	0	0	2	4	4	3
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate	3,85	0	0	2	1	7	3
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching…	3,25	1	2	2	3	1	4
16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory	4,33	1	0	0	2	4	6
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library … were appropriate	3,90	3	0	2	1	3	4
Average of section	3,78						
STUDENT TUTORIALS							
18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction	3,89	4	0	0	3	4	2
19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials	4,00	4	0	1	1	4	3
Average of section	3,94						



Total respondents:	13	Enrollment:	40

Period of survey: 21/05/2024 - 25/06/2024

Printing date: 01/07/2024

VNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA
UNITAT DE QUALITAT

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4315138

2208 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	3	1	2	2	1	3
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	25,00%	8,00%	17,00%	17,00%	8,00%	25,00%

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS	AVERAGE	Frequency Response N/C	1	2	3	4	5
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	3,31	0	0	2	5	6	0
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis	3,54	0	0	1	6	4	2
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element	2,46	0	5	2	2	3	1
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments	4,00	1	0	0	2	8	2
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date	3,83	1	0	0	3	8	1
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.	4,54	0	0	0	1	4	8
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope	4,15	0	0	0	1	9	3
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives	4,23	0	0	0	0	10	3
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,00	0	0	2	1	5	5
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,77	0	0	0	0	3	10
Average of section	3,88						
TEACHING APPROACH							
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable	4,08	0	0	1	1	7	4
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question	4,62	0	0	0	1	3	9
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,46	0	0	0	0	7	6
Average of section	4,38						



Printing date: 01/07/2024

VNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA
UNITAT DE QUALITAT

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4315138

2208 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

OVERALL	AVERAGE	Frequency Response					
		N/C	1	2	3	4	5
Satisfaction with the Masters course	4,00	0	0	0	2	9	2
Quality of the teaching staff	4,23	0	0	0	1	8	4
Relevance of the postgraduate course	4,38	0	0	0	1	6	6
Good value for money	4,08	0	0	2	0	6	5
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students	4,08	0	0	1	3	3	6
Usefulness of the postgraduate course	4,15	0	0	0	2	7	4
Average of section	4,15						



Scale used: 1 to 5 : Global UV Average

Printing date: 01/07/2024

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4315138

2208 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

EVOLUTION

TRAINING PROGRAMME

A. year	Collected	Cod	1. The objectives and graduate profile… clearly… no. resp.	1. … average	2. The subjects and their content were appropriate … no. resp.	2. … average	3. … an appropiate balance between theory and practice no. resp.	3. … average	4. … content was different from my graduate course no. resp.	4. … average	5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory … no. resp.	5. … average	Average of section
23-24	13	23-2208	13	3,77	13	4,08	13	2,54	13	4,08	13	2,31	3,35
22-23	20	22-2208	20	3,65	20	3,75	20	2,75	19	4,21	20	2,4	3,34
21-22	18	21-2208	18	3,33	18	3,33	18	2,56	18	3,61	18	2,78	3,12
20-21	10	20-2208	10	3,7	10	3,7	9	2,67	10	4	10	3,2	3,47
19-20	10	19-2208	10	4	10	3,6	10	2,9	9	4	10	2,9	3,47
18-19	18	18-2208	18	3,22	18	2,61	18	2,33	17	3,71	18	1,44	2,65

COURSE ORGANISATION

A. year	6. The information in guides was extensive… no. resp.	6. … average	7. … guides were available before I enrolled no. resp.	7. … average	8. Organization Management were satisfactory no. resp.	8. … average
23-24	13	3,85	12	4,17	13	3,38
22-23	18	3,28	19	4,37	20	2,8
21-22	16	3	17	4,18	17	2,41
20-21	8	3,62	9	3,33	10	3,1
19-20	10	3,6	8	3,25	10	3,1
18-19	15	2,07	17	3,41	18	2,17

COURSE ORGANISATION

A. year	9. Information to students to manage/organize no. resp.	9. … average	10. The means of communication were appropriate no. resp.	10. … average	11. The initial planning and programmed activities no. resp.	11. … average	12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory no. resp.	12. … average	Average of section
23-24	12	3,83	12	3,75	13	4,54	13	2,38	3,69
22-23	20	3,4	20	3,8	20	3,8	20	2,85	3,47
21-22	17	3	18	3,5	18	3,44	18	2,72	3,18
20-21	10	3,1	10	3,2	10	3,2	10	3,3	3,25
19-20	10	3,3	9	3,22	10	3,7	10	3,3	3,36
18-19	18	2,22	18	2,61	18	3	18	1,78	2,47

INFRASTRUCTURE

A. year	13. Classrooms and their equipment were appropriate no. resp.	13. … average	14. Areas for working and studying and their equipment no. resp.	14. … average	15. Laboratories, experimental areas and equipment … no. resp.	15. … average	16. The library and reading room facilities… no. resp.	16. … average	17. … library and documentary archives … no. resp.	17. … average	Average of section
23-24	13	3,62	13	3,85	12	3,25	12	4,33	10	3,9	3,78
22-23	19	3,37	18	3,44	17	3,29	11	3,64	10	3,8	3,47
21-22	18	3,11	17	3,18	17	3,35	14	3,36	14	3,64	3,31
20-21	10	3,3	10	3,4	6	3,67	3	2,67	3	3	3,31
19-20	9	4,33	8	4,5	9	3,78	7	4,14	7	3,43	4,05
18-19	18	4	18	3,44	16	3,12	12	3,67	10	3,6	3,57

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS

A. year	21. Encoura_ gement the involvement of students no. resp.	21. … average	22. ..methodo_ logies … skills of analysis and synthesis no. resp.	22. … average	23. The practical work adequately to the theoretical element no. resp.	23. … average	24. The recommended course material was appropriate no. resp.	24. … average	25. The bibliography was appropriate and up-to-date no. resp.	25. … average	26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily no. resp.	26. … average	27. Knowledge, skills and approaches in guides no. resp.	27. … average	28. Appraisal procedures and criteria appropriate no. resp.	28. … average	29. The standard demanded in the appraisal … no. resp.	29. … average	30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities no. resp.	30. … average	Average of section
23-24	13	3,31	13	3,54	13	2,46	12	4	12	3,83	13	4,54	13	4,15	13	4,23	13	4	13	4,77	3,88
22-23	19	3,16	20	3,15	17	3,24	18	3,67	17	3,82	19	4,05	18	3,67	19	3,84	19	3,89	19	4,84	3,74
21-22	18	3,06	18	2,94	15	2,67	17	3,24	16	3,81	17	3,82	16	3,44	18	3,33	17	3,24	18	4,78	3,44
20-21	10	3,2	10	3,4	6	2	10	3,6	9	4,33	9	3,78	9	3,89	9	3,78	10	3,6	10	4,7	3,68
19-20	9	3,67	9	3,78	7	2,57	9	3,11	8	3,75	8	3,75	9	3,78	9	3,44	9	3,67	8	4,75	3,64
18-19	17	1,76	17	1,88	15	1,73	13	2,15	14	2,57	16	3,38	14	2,29	16	2,06	16	2,5	16	5	2,54

Printing date: 01/07/2024

VNIVERSITAT DE VALÈNCIA
UNITAT DE QUALITAT

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

RUCT: 4315138

2208 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN NANOCIENCIA Y NANOTECNOLOGÍA

	STUDENT TUTORIALS					TEACHING APPROACH						
	18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction		19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials		Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated … knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards students		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	9	3,89	9	4	3,94	13	4,08	13	4,62	13	4,46	4,38
22-23	12	4	12	3,67	3,83	20	4,25	20	4,45	20	4,15	4,28
21-22	15	3,8	16	3,5	3,65	16	3,81	18	4,39	18	4,28	4,17
20-21	10	3,7	6	3,83	3,75	10	4,2	10	4,4	10	3,6	4,07
19-20	7	4,57	8	4,62	4,60	9	4,67	9	4,56	9	4,44	4,56
18-19	15	2,93	7	1,71	2,55	18	3,28	18	4,22	18	3,06	3,52

	OVERALL												
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree		Quality of the teaching staff		Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
23-24	13	4	13	4,23	13	4,38	13	4,08	13	4,08	13	4,15	4,15
22-23	20	3,6	20	4	19	4,05	20	3,15	20	3,55	20	3,4	3,62
21-22	18	3,11	18	3,78	18	3,5	18	2,72	18	2,94	18	3,17	3,20
20-21	10	3,8	10	3,6	10	4	10	3,9	10	3,8	10	3,4	3,75
19-20	10	3,6	10	4	9	4,22	10	3	10	3	10	3,7	3,58
18-19	18	2,78	18	3	17	2,88	17	2,41	17	2,12	16	2,75	2,66

** Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.*

Printing date: 01/07/2024