

**ACADEMIC YEAR:** 

22-23

## (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

Master course code: 21-2235 Name of the Masters course: M. Erasmus Mundus Psicología del Trabajo, Organiz.RR.HH.

ENTITY: Facultat Psicologia

Are you working while following your Master's Degree?    Yes   No	Have you received any kind of cholarship/grant for this Master's De	egree? 4	Yes 6 13,00%	No 8 <b>57,00%</b>	/o							
				Freq	uency	Respo	nse		i.	0	2	4
TRAINING PROGRAMME	AVERA	AGE	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3 4	+
1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described of	clearly in a transparent way 4,57	7	0	0	0	0	6	8	3 ,8 3			
2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate to the subject of the subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate to the subject of the subject o	priate for the objectives of M 4,50	0	0	0	0	2	3	9	3 ,3 0			
3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practic	ice during the course 4,14	4	0	0	0	4	4	6	2 ,8 6			
4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from	rom my graduate course 4,14	4	0	1	1	2	1	9	3 ,5 3			
5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetition	ons 3,64	4	0	0	3	1	8	2	2 ,8 9			
	Average of section 4,2	2							1			1
COURSE ORGANISATION												
6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects wa	as extensive and detailed 4,15	5	1	0	2	1	3	7	3 , 4 7			
7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled	3,62	2	1	1	2	2	4	4	3 ,8 7			
8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory	3,92	2	1	0	1	3	5	4	2 ,9 2			
9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree v	was satisfactory 4,29	9	0	0	0	1	8	5	3 ,5 1			
10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the differ	erent aspects of the Master's De 4,43	3	0	0	0	1	6	7	3 ,5 2			
11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to	4,43	3	0	1	0	0	4	9	3 ,6 5			
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory	4,21	1	0	0	0	3	5	6	3 ,1 5			
	Average of section 4,16	6							I	I		l
INFRASTRUCTURE												
13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degr	4,86	6	0	0	0	0	2	12	3 , 5 7			
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were app	propriate 4,71	1	0	0	0	1	2	11	3 , 6 2			
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment w	vere appropriate for teaching 4,77	7	1	0	0	1	1	11	3 , 5 5			
16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory	4,71	1	0	0	0	0	4	10	3 ,8 8			
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library were	e appropriate 4,64	4	0	0	0	1	3	10	3 , 8 4			

4,74

STUDENT TUTORIALS

Total respondents:

18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction		4,50
19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials		4,67
	Average of section	4,58

14

Enrollment UV: 16

Average of section

Period of survey: 01/02/2023 - 12/03/2023

Scale used: 1 to 5

Printing date: 30/06/2023

: Global UV Average



#### **ACADEMIC YEAR:**

#### 22-23


# (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

Master course code: 21-2235

Name of the Masters course: M. Erasmus Mundus Psicología del Trabajo, Organiz.RR.HH.

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	0	0	4	4	3	1
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	0,00%	0,00%	33,00%	33,00%	25,00%	8,00%

TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject										
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis										
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element										
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments										
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date										
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.										
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope										
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives										
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,29									
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,92									
TEACHING APPROACH  Average of section	4,38									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable										
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question										
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,54									
Average of section	4,64									





**ACADEMIC YEAR:** 


22-23


# (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

Master course code: 21-2235

Name of the Masters course: M. Erasmus Mundus Psicología del Trabajo, Organiz.RR.HH.

OVERALL		AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course		4,57
Quality of the teaching staff		4,71
Relevance of the postgraduate course		4,50
Good value for money		4,50
Recommend this postgraduate course to other students		4,29
Usefulness of the postgraduate course		4,71
·	Average of section	4.55







**ACADEMIC YEAR:** 

22-23

# (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

Master course code: 21-2235

Name of the Masters course: M. Erasmus Mundus Psicología del Trabajo, Organiz.RR.HH.

## 2235.- Màster Universitari en Psicologia del Treball, de les Organitzacions i dels Recursos Humans (Erasmus Mundus)

							TRAI	NING PRO	GRAMME	E						COUR	RSE ORGA	NISAT	ION		
EVO	LUTIO	N	and	objectives graduate clearly	and th	ne subjects neir content ppropriate	baland	appropiate e between and practice	differe	ontent was nt from my ate course	the sub	equence of jects was actory	f Average of section	in	e information guides was xtensive		7 guides were available before I enrolled		8. Organization Management were satisfactory		
A. year	Collected	l Cod	no. resp	. average	no. resp	o. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. re	sp. averag	ge no	o. resp. a	verage	no. resp.	averag	zе
22-23	14	21-2235	14	4,57	14	4,5	14	4,14	14	4,14	14	3,64	4,20	13	4,15		13 3	3,62	13	3,92	
21-22	14	20-2235	14	4,29	14	4,43	14	4,14	14	4,21	14	3,07	4,03	13	4		13	3,38	14	3,93	
20-21	16	19-2235	16	3,94	16	4,19	16	4,12	16	4,5	16	3,44	4,04	16	4,25		16	3,38	16	2,94	
19-20	17	18-2235	17	3,94	17	3,59	17	3,18	17	4,29	17	3,12	3,62	17	3,76		16	3,06	17	3,35	
18-19	16	17-2235	16	4,38	16	4,12	16	3,94	16	4,75	14	4	4,24	16	3,94		15	3,67	16	3,38	
				COURSE	ORGAN	ISATION								INFI	RASTRUCT	URE					
	9. Inform stude manage		commu	means of nication propriate	planni	ne initial ing and ammed	12. Cool between staff sat		Average of section	aı equip	classrooms nd their ment were	wor studyir	Areas for king and and their		ratories, ntal areas pment	rea	ne library ar ding room acilities…		7 library documenta archives .	ary	Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resj		no. resp		no. resp.	average	no. resp	p. averag	e no.	resp. ave	erage	
22-23	14	4,29	14	4,43	14	4,43	14	4,21	4,16	14	4,86	14	4,71	13	4,77	14	4,71	]	14 4,	,64	4,74
21-22	14	3,71	14	4	13	3,77	14	3,5	3,76	14	4,29	12	3,92	12	4	10	4,1		9 4,	,44	4,14
20-21	16	3,5	16	3,31	15	3,87	16	3,69	3,56	16	4,38	16	4,12	15	3,73	13	4,15		12 4	4	4,08
19-20	17	4	16	3,62	17	3,59	17	3,41	3,55	17	4,71	17	4,76	17	4,47	14	4,36		14 4,	,64	4,59
18-19	16	3,88	16	4,19	16	4,12	16	3,88	3,86	16	4,62	16	4,62	15	4,6	16	4,81		14 4,	,57	4,65
									TE	ACHING.I	EARNING	PROCESS									
	21. Engeme	nt the	22me logies analys	skills of	the the	practical quately to oretical	24. Trecomm	ended naterial	25. T bibliograp	he was and up-	26. The inition schedule fulfilled satis	al work was	27. Knowled skills and approaches	d pi	28. Appraisa ocedures a eria approp	ınd	29. The st demanded apprais	I in the	attende situ' cla	regulary ed the 'ii asses an	n o
A. year	no. resp.		no. resp.		no. resp.		no. resp.				no. resp. a	verage n		erage no.	resp. aver	age 1	no. resp.	average	no. resp.		
22-23	14	4,36	14	4,21	14	4,57	14	4,36	14	4,21	14	4,29	13 4	,54	4 4,0	)7	14	4,29	13	4,92	4,
21-22	14	4,14	14	4,5	14	4,43	14	4,64	14	4,57	14	4,14	14	1,5	4 4,2	21	14	3,93	12	4,83	4,
20-21	16	4,12	16	4,25	16	4,62	16	4,31	16	4,5	16	3,88	16 4	,31	6 4,0	)6	16	4	15	4,8	4,
19-20	17	3,82	17	3,94	17	3,88	17	3,94	17	3,82	17	3,41	17 3	,94	7 3,4	17	17	3,47	16	4,81	3,
18-19	16	3,75	16	4	16	4,19	16	3,81	16	3,75	16	3,75	16 3	,88	6 3,4	14	16	3,31	16	4,69	3,
						, -		,		*		*			- 7 ·			,		, -	



## **ACADEMIC YEAR:**

#### 22-23

# (End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

Master course code: 21-2235

Name of the Masters course: M. Erasmus Mundus Psicología del Trabajo, Organiz.RR.HH.

		STUDI	ENT TUTO	ORIALS		TEACHING APPROACH										
	needs we	e tutorial ere met to isfaction	19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials		Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professor demonstrated knowledge				Average of section				
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average					
22-23	12	4,5	12	4,67	4,58	13	4,62	13	4,77	13	4,54	4,64				
21-22	10	4,4	12	4,5	4,45	13	4,62	14	4,64	14	4,71	4,66				
20-21	16	4	16	4,5	4,25	16	4,38	16	4,62	16	4,31	4,44				
19-20	15	4,33	14	4,21	4,28	17	3,82	17	4,53	17	4,29	4,22				
18-19	16	4,06	16	4,5	4,28	16	4,44	16	4	16	4,25	4,23				

	OVERALL												
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree teaching staff		4		ce of the s Degree		alue for oney	Master's	nend this Degree to students	Usefulne Master's	Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
22-23	14	4,57	14	4,71	14	4,5	12	4,5	14	4,29	14	4,71	4,55
21-22	14	4,21	14	4,43	14	4,5	14	4,29	14	4,43	14	4,64	4,42
20-21	15	3,8	15	4,27	15	4,4	13	4,08	15	4,07	15	4,4	4,17
19-20	16	4	16	4,06	16	4,19	15	4,07	16	4	16	4,06	4,06
18-19	16	4,06	16	4,12	16	4,31	15	4	16	3,81	16	4,5	4,14

<sup>\*</sup> Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.