

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2242 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ECONOMÍA

| | Yes | No | | Yes | No |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|
| Are you working while following your | 1 | 5 | Have you received any kind of | 1 | 5 |
| Master's Degree? | 17,00% | 83,00% | scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? | 17,00% | 83,00% |

| TRAINING PROGRAMME | AVERAGE | | | | | | |
|---|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way | 3,67 | | | | | | |
| 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD | | | | | | | |
| 3. I belive that there has been an appropiate balance between theory and practice | | | | | | | |
| 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from my graduate course | 3,60 | | | | | | |
| 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions | 3,83 | | | | | | |
| Average of section | 3,62 | | | | | | |

ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT

| 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed | 4,00 | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled | 4,00 | | | | | | |
| 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory | 3,50 | | | | | | |
| 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory | | | | | | | |
| 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De | 3,67 | | | | | | |
| 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to | 4,00 | | | | | | |
| 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory | | | | | | | |
| Average of section | 3.69 | | | | | | |

INFRASTRUCTURE

| 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree | 4,20 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate | 4,20 | | | | | | | |
| 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching | | | | | | | | |
| 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory | | | | | | | | |
| 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library were appropriate | 4,25 | | | | | | | |
| Average of section | 4.21 | | | | | | | |

STUDENT TUTORIALS


| 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction | | 4,00 | |
|--|--------------------|------|--|
| 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials | | 4,33 | |
| | Average of section | 4,17 | |

6

Total respondents:

Enrollment:

22



Period of survey: 21/05/2024 - 25/06/2024



0

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2242 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ECONOMÍA

| | 0 | 1 | 2-4 | 5-7 | 8-10 | >10 |
|---|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 |
| 20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course | 0,00% | 0,00% | 17,00% | 50,00% | 0,00% | 33,00% |

| TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS | AVERAGE | N/0 | | | | |
|--|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject | 3,17 | 0 | | | | |
| 22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis | 3,50 | 0 | | | | |
| 23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element | 3,83 | 0 | | | | |
| 24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments | 4,00 | 0 | | | | |
| 25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date | 4,00 | 0 | | | | |
| 26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc. | 4,00 | 0 | | | | |
| 27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develop | e 3,67 | 0 | | | | |
| 28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives | 4,00 | 0 | | | | |
| 29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given | ducational guides or programmes were develope 3,67 line with the proposed objectives 4,00 | | | | | |
| 30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course | 3,83 4,00 4,00 4,00 4,00 3,67 4,00 3,67 3,80 1,380 1,383 | | | | | |
| Average of section | 3,78 | | | | | |

| | Fre | quency | Respo | nse | | |
|---|-----|--------|-------|-----|---|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 2 3 4 |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3,42 |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3,46 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 3,59 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3,74 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3,86 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3,95 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3,78 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 ,7 7 |
| | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3,67 |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 ,7 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | _ | _ | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 ,2 8 |
| | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 ,2 0 |
| | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4,10 |
| | | | | | | Scale used: 1 to 5 : Global UV Average |

TEACHING APPROACH

| 31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question | | | | | | | |
| 33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students | | 4,00 | | | | | |
| | Average of section | 4.33 | | | | | |

0 0



(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2242 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ECONOMÍA

| | | | Fre | quency | y Respo | onse | | | |
|--|---------|-----|-----|--------|---------|------|---|--------------------|---------------------|
| OVERALL | AVERAGE | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 2 | 3 4 5 |
| Satisfaction with the Masters course | 3,33 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3,48 | |
| Quality of the teaching staff | 4,33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3,89 | |
| Relevance of the postgraduate course | 4,17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3,78 | |
| Good value for money | 3,80 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3,60 | |
| Recommend this Master's Degree to other students | 3,50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3,44 | |
| Usefulness of the postgraduate course | 3,67 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3,69 | |
| Average of section | 3,8 | | | | | | | Scale used: 1 to 5 | : Global UV Average |



(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2242 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ECONOMÍA

| | | | | | | | TRAIN | COURSE ORGANISATION | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|--|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|--------------------|--|-----------|---|-----------|--|-----------|---------|
| EVOLUTION | | 1. The objectives and graduate profile clearly | | 2. The subjects and their content were appropriate | | 3 an appropiate balance between theory and practice | | 4 content was different from my graduate course | | 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory | | Average of section | 6. The information in guides was extensive | | 7 guides were available before I enrolled | | 8. Organization Management were satisfactory | | |
| A. year | Collected | Cod | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average |
| 23-24 | 6 | 23-2242 | 6 | 3,67 | 6 | 3,67 | 6 | 3,33 | 5 | 3,6 | 6 | 3,83 | 3,62 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3,5 |
| 22-23 | 10 | 22-2242 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 3,5 | 10 | 3,8 | 10 | 4 | 3,86 | 10 | 3,4 | 8 | 4,12 | 10 | 3,7 |
| 21-22 | 7 | 21-2242 | 7 | 2,71 | 7 | 3,71 | 7 | 3,29 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 3,43 | 3,40 | 7 | 3,29 | 7 | 3,71 | 7 | 3,71 |
| 20-21 | 5 | 20-2242 | 5 | 3,8 | 5 | 3,6 | 5 | 2,6 | 5 | 4,2 | 5 | 3,2 | 3,48 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2,8 | 5 | 3,2 |

| | | | | COURS | E ORGAN | ISATION | | | | INFRASTRUCTURE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------|---|---------|---|---------|--|---------|--------------------|---|---------|--|---------|--|---------|-----------|---------|---|---------|--------------------|--|--|
| | 9. Information to students to manage/organize | | 10. The means of communication were appropriate | | 11. The initial planning and programmed activities | | 12. Coordination between teaching staff satisfactory | | Average of section | 13. Classrooms and their equipment were | | 14. Areas for working and studying and their | | 15. Laboratories, experimental areas and equipment | | 0 | | 17 library and documentary archives | | Average of section | | |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | | |
| 23-24 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 3,67 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3,67 | 3,69 | 5 | 4,2 | 5 | 4,2 | 5 | 3,8 | 5 | 4,6 | 4 | 4,25 | 4,21 | | |
| 22-23 | 10 | 3,2 | 10 | 3,6 | 9 | 4,11 | 10 | 3,8 | 3,69 | 10 | 4,8 | 9 | 4,89 | 5 | 4,4 | 10 | 4,3 | 9 | 4,22 | 4,53 | | |
| 21-22 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3,57 | 3,73 | 7 | 4,57 | 7 | 4,29 | 5 | 4,8 | 7 | 4,71 | 7 | 4,29 | 4,52 | | |
| 20-21 | 5 | 3,6 | 3 | 3,33 | 4 | 3,25 | 4 | 3 | 3,16 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4,75 | 2 | * | 2 | * | 4,94 | | |

| | | | | | | | | | T | EACHING | LEARNIN | G PROCES | 55 | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--|---------|---|---------|------------------|---------|--|----------|---|---------|---|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--------------------------|
| | 21. Encoura_ gement the involvement of students | | 22methodo_ logies skills of analysis and synthesis | | 23. The practical work adequately to the theoretical | | 24. The recommended course material | | bibliography was | | 26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily | | 27. Knowledge, skills and approaches in | | 28. Appraisal procedures and criteria appropriate | | 29. The standard demanded in the appraisal | | 30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities | | Average of section |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | |
| 23-24 | 6 | 3,17 | 6 | 3,5 | 6 | 3,83 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3,67 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3,8 | 6 | 3,83 | 3,78 |
| 22-23 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 3,2 | 10 | 3,5 | 10 | 3,7 | 9 | 3,78 | 10 | 4,1 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 3,78 | 9 | 3,33 | 9 | 4,67 | 3,69 |
| 21-22 | 7 | 3,14 | 7 | 3,43 | 7 | 3,71 | 6 | 3,83 | 7 | 4,14 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 3,71 | 6 | 4,5 | 3,81 |
| 20-21 | 4 | 2,5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2,75 | 4 | 3,25 | 4 | 4,5 | 4 | 2,75 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3,25 | 4 | 4,75 | 3,28 |



(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2242 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN ECONOMÍA

| | | STUD | ENT TUTO | ORIALS | | TEACHING APPROACH | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|---|---------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|---|---------|--|---------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction | | 19. The professors adhered to the schedule for tutorials | | Average of section | | | 32. The professors demonstrated knowledge | | 33. The professors had a positive attitude towards | | Average of section | | |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | | |
| 23-24 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4,33 | 4,17 | 6 | 4,5 | 6 | 4,5 | 6 | 4 | 4,33 | | |
| 22-23 | 6 | 4,33 | 7 | 4,57 | 4,46 | 9 | 4,44 | 9 | 4,44 | 8 | 4 | 4,31 | | |
| 21-22 | 7 | 4,29 | 7 | 4,71 | 4,50 | 7 | 4,43 | 7 | 4,43 | 7 | 3,57 | 4,14 | | |
| 20-21 | 5 | 4,2 | 5 | 4,4 | 4,30 | 4 | 3,25 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 4,25 | 4,08 | | |

| | OVERALL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|--|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--|
| | Satisfaction with the Master's Degree | | Quality of the teaching staff | | Relevance of the Master's Degree | | Good value for money | | Recommend this Master's Degree to other students | | Usefulness of the Master's Degree | | Average of section | |
| A. year | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | no. resp. | average | | |
| 23-24 | 6 | 3,33 | 6 | 4,33 | 6 | 4,17 | 5 | 3,8 | 6 | 3,5 | 6 | 3,67 | 3,80 | |
| 22-23 | 9 | 3,89 | 9 | 3,89 | 9 | 3,89 | 8 | 4,25 | 9 | 3,56 | 8 | 3,62 | 3,85 | |
| 21-22 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 3,86 | 7 | 4,14 | 6 | 3,17 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3,83 | 3,65 | |
| 20-21 | 4 | 3,5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4,5 | 4 | 4,5 | 4 | 3,25 | 4 | 2,75 | 3,75 | |

* Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.