EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 24-25

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2266 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN PALEONTOLOGÍA APLICADA

(Participating Universities: UV, UA)

Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 6 Have you received any kind of 2. 5 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 14,00% 86,00% 29,00% 71,00% TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4,71 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 4,43 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 4,71 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 4,14 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 3,86 Average of section 4,37

ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT

6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed								
7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled								
8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory								
9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory								
10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De								
11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to								
12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory								
Average of section	1 31							

Average of section 4,31

INFRASTRUCTURE								
13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree	4,57							
14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate								
15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching								
16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory	4,43							
17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library were appropriate								
Average of section	4,62							

STUDENT TUTORIALS

STUDENT TUTORIALS	
18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction	4,80
19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials	4,60
Average of section	4,7

Total respondents: 7 Enrollment:	8
----------------------------------	---

Frequency Response							_	3 4				
N/C	1	2	3	4	5	1 2	3	, Z				
0	0	0	0	2	5	4 , 0 3						
0	0	0	1	2	4	3 ,5 6						
0	0	0	0	2	5	3 ,1 2						
0	0	0	2	2	3	3 , 6 8						
0	0	0	2	4	1	3 ,1 6						
						1			•			
0	0	0	1	3	3	3 , 7 4						
0	0	0	0	2	5	4 , 0 7						
0	0	0	0	5	2	3 , 2 6						
0	0	0	0	2	5	3 ,7 2						
0	0	0	0	3	4	3 , 7 6						
0	1	1	2	2	1	3 , 7 2						
0	0	0	0	4	3	3 , 4 4						
						1						
0	0	0	1	1	5	3 ,8 9						
0	0	0	2	0	5	4 , 0 1						
0	0	0	0	1	6	3 ,9 0						
0	0	0	0	4	3	4 , 3 5						
1	0	0	0	1	5	4 , 2 8						
						ı I			<u>[</u>			
									•			
2	0	0	0	1	4	4 , 1 5						
2	0	0	0	2	3	4 , 2 8						
						Scale used: 1 to 5		: Global	Average			


Period of survey: 08/05/2025 - 15/06/2025



2266 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN PALEONTOLOGÍA APLICADA

	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10
	3	1	2	1	0	0
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	43,00%	14,00%	29,00%	14,00%	0,00%	0,00%


TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS	AVERAGE										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject											
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis											
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element											
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments											
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date											
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.											
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope											
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives											
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given	4,57										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course	4,86										
Average of section	4,56										
TEACHING APPROACH	-										
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable											
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question											
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students	4,86										
Average of section	4,43										






2266 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN PALEONTOLOGÍA APLICADA

OVERALI	AVEDACE
OVERALL	AVERAGE
Satisfaction with the Masters course	4,71
Quality of the teaching staff	4,86
Relevance of the postgraduate course	4,86
Good value for money	4,29
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students	4,83
Usefulness of the postgraduate course	4,71
Δ	Average of section 4.71





2266 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN PALEONTOLOGÍA APLICADA

									(Particip	ating Univ	ersities: U v	, UA)								
						TRAI	NING PRO	GRAMM	E					COURSE ORGANISATION						
EVO	LUTIO	1	and	objectives graduate clearly	their co	ubjects and intent were opriate	baland	n appropiate ce between and practice	differe	content wa ent from m uate cours	y the sub	equence of signification equipments of the signification equipment equipments of the signification equipment equipments of the signification equipment equipment equipment equipments of the signification equipment equ		in g	e informatio uides was tensive		guides we ailable before enrolled		8. Organization lanagement value satisfactor	were
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp	. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp	. average	no. resp	. average	e no. resp.	average	?	no. resp	p. averag	e no.	resp. avera	ige no	o. resp. avei	rage
24-25	7	24-2266	5 7	4,71	7	4,43	7	4,71	7	4,14	7	3,86	4,37	7	4,29	7	7 4,71		7 4,2	.9
23-24	7	23-2200	7	4,14	7	3,86	7	4,14	7	4	7	3,29	3,89	6	3,83	7	7 4,14	ļ	7 3,4	.3
22-23	6	22-2200) 6	4	6	4	6	3,83	6	4,83	6	3,83	4,10	6	4,17	6	5 4,5		6 3,3	3
21-22	6	21-2200	6	3,5	6	3,17	6	3,5	6	3,5	6	1,67	3,07	6	3,33	4	4		6 1,8	3
20-21	7	20-2200	6	4,17	7	3,14	7	3	6	4,67	7	2,71	3,48	6	3,5	5	5 3		7 2	
19-20	4	19-2200	4	4,5	4	3,75	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	2,75	3,90	4	2,75	4	3,5		4 2	
				COURSE	ORGANI	SATION								INFR	ASTRUCT	URE				
	9. Inform studer manage/	nts to	10. The r commu were ap		11. The plannir program	ig and mmed	between		Average of section	n a equi	Classrooms and their pment were	wo studyi	Areas for rking and ing and their	15. Labora experiment and equip	tal areas	readir	library and ng room lities	doc	library and tumentary chives	Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. res	sp. average			no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp	p. average	
24-25	7	4,71	7	4,57	7	3,14	7	4,43	4,31	7	4,57	7	4,43	7	4,86	7	4,43	6	4,83	4,62
23-24	7	3,71	7	3,57	7	3,29	7	2,86	3,54	7	4,57	7	4,57	7	4,57	7	4	6	4,17	4,38
22-23	6	3,33	6	4	6	3	6	3,67	3,71	6	4,5	6	4,5	6	5	6	4,83	6	4,83	4,73
21-22	6	2,83	5	3,4	6	1,83	6	1,67	2,62	6	4	6	4,17	6	4,17	5	3,6	4	3,5	3,93
20-21	7	3	6	3,83	7	2,29	7	2,29	2,80	7	4,29	7	4,29	7	4,14	7	4,43	7	4,29	4,29
19-20	4	3,5	4	3,5	3	2,33	4	2,25	2,85	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4,25	4,25
									ТЕ	FACHING.	LEARNING	PROCES	c							
	21. End gemer involver	nt the nent of	22me logies analys	skills of is and	23. The p work adeq the theo	uately to retical	24. recomm	nended naterial	25. bibliogra	The phy was te and up-	26. The inition schedule fulfilled satisf	al work was	27. Knowled skills and approaches	d pro	3. Appraisa cedures a ria appropi	nd de	9. The stand emanded in appraisal .	the	30. I regula attended the situ' classes	e in of and section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average 1	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp. ave	erage no. re	esp. avera	age no	. resp. aver	age n	o. resp. aver	age
24-25	7	4,57	_7	4,57	7	5	7	4,86	7	4,71	7	3,57	7 4	-,57 7	4,2	9	7 4,5	7	7 4,8	36 4,56
23-24	7	3,86	7	4	7	4	_ 7	4,14	7	4,14	7	3,43	7 3	5,71 7	3,8	6	7 3,8	6	7 4,2	3,93
22-23	6	4,17	6	4,67	6	4,33	6	4,83	6	4,83	6	3,33	6 4	,17 6	4		6 4,5	j 	6 5	4,38
21-22	6	3,67	6	3,67	6	3,33	6	3,67	6	4,17	6	2	6	3,5 6	3,5	5	6 3,3	3	6 5	3,58
20-21	7	3,57	7	3,86	7	4,14	6	4,5	5	4,2	7	2,43	7	3 7	3,2	9	7 3,2	9	7 5	3,70
19-20	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	4,5	4	4,25	4	4	4	2	4 2	2,75 4	3,2	5	4 2		4 5	3,62

2266 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN PALEONTOLOGÍA APLICADA

		STUD	ENT TUTO	DRIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section			
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
24-25	5	4,8	5	4,6	4,70	7	3,86	7	4,57	7	4,86	4,43		
23-24	6	4,83	6	4,67	4,75	7	4	7	4,43	7	4,71	4,38		
22-23	6	4,83	5	5	4,91	6	3,5	6	5	6	4,83	4,44		
21-22	6	3,5	5	3,4	3,45	6	2,5	6	4,33	6	4,33	3,72		
20-21	6	3,67	3	3,67	3,67	7	3,43	7	4,43	7	4	3,95		
19-20	3	4	3	4,33	4,17	4	2,25	4	4	4	4,25	3,50		

							OVERAL	L					
	Satisfaction with the Master's Degree			y of the ng staff	Relevance of the Master's Degree		Good value for money		Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		Usefulness of the Master's Degree		Average of section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	
24-25	7	4,71	7	4,86	7	4,86	7	4,29	6	4,83	7	4,71	4,71
23-24	7	4,14	7	4,14	7	4,14	7	4	7	4	7	4,14	4,10
22-23	6	4,17	6	4,67	6	4,5	6	3,67	6	4,17	6	4,5	4,28
21-22	6	2,83	6	4	6	3,67	6	3,17	6	2,33	6	3,67	3,28
20-21	7	3,29	7	3,86	7	4,29	6	3,67	7	2,57	7	3,86	3,59
19-20	4	3,5	4	3,75	4	4	3	4,33	4	3	4	3,75	3,70

^{*} Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.