3

Total respondents:

Enrollment:

EVALUATION OF OFFICIAL MASTERS COURSES. ACADEMIC YEAR: 24-25

(End-Of-Course Survey. STUDENTS)

2268 - MÁSTER UNIVERSITARIO EN GESTIÓN DE NEGOCIOS INTERNACIONALES (IMBA)

Yes No Yes No Are you working while following your 2 Have you received any kind of 2 Master's Degree? scholarship/grant for this Master's Degree? 33.00% 67.00% 33,00% 67,00% Frequency Response 2 5 TRAINING PROGRAMME AVERAGE N/C 1 2 3 5 0 0 1. The objectives and graduate profile of the Master's Degree were described clearly in a transparent way 4.00 0 0 0 4 , 0 3 2. The subjects taught during the Master's Degree and their content were appropriate for the objectives of MD 4,00 0 0 0 3,56 3. I belive that there has been an appropriate balance between theory and practice 2.67 0 0 0 3 ,1 2 3,68 4. I belive that the content of the Master's Degree was significantly different from ... my graduate course 0 0 2 0 3,67 5. The sequence of the subjects was satisfactory and avoided gaps and repetitions 3,00 0 0 Average of section 3,47 ORGANITZACIÓ DE L'ENSENYAMENT 6. The information in the educational programmes or guides of the subjects was extensive and detailed 4.67 7. The educational programmes or guides were available before I enrolled 2,67 0 0 0 8. The organization and management of the Master's Degree were satisfactory 4,00 9. The information given to students to manage/organize the Master's Degree was satisfactory 2.67 0 0 0 0 0 0 10. The means of communication used to disseminate information on the different aspects of the Master's De 2,67 4.33 0 0 0 2 11. The initial planning and programmed activities were adhered to 4.00 0 0 0 0 3 12. I belive that coordination between teaching staff was satisfactory 0 Average of section 3.57 INFRASTRUCTURE 3,33 13. The classrooms and their equipment were appropriate for the Master's Degree 14. The areas set aside for working and studying and their equipment were appropriate 4,00 0 0 0 15. The laboratories, workshops and experimental areas and their equipment were appropriate for teaching. 3,00 0 16. I consider that the library and reading room facilities were satisfactory 3,67 0 0 0 0 17. The quantity, quality and accessibility of information in the library ... were appropriate 0 0 0 3,00 3,4 Average of section STUDENT TUTORIALS 18. The tutorial needs were met to my satisfaction 4,67 4,00 0 19. The professors adhered to the schedule established for tutorials Average of section 4,33 Scale used: 1 to 5 Global Average

29




	0	1	2-4	5-7	8-10	>10	
	0	0	0	1	0	2	
20. Total hours spent in tutorials during this course	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	33,00%	0,00%	67,00%	


TEACHING-LEARNING PROCESS										
21. In general, the teaching methodologies used encouraged students to get involved in the subject	3,33									
22. The teaching/learning methodologies used developed the skills of analysis and synthesis										
23. The practical work in the modules adequately supported the theoretical element										
24. The recommended course material was appropriate for educational requeriments										
25. The bibliography of the different modules was appropriate and up-to-date										
26. The initial work schedule was fulfilled satisfactorily with regard to course contents, etc.										
27. The knowledge, skills and approaches proposed in the educational guides or programmes were develope										
28. In general, the appraisal procedures and criteria were in line with the proposed objectives										
29. The standard demanded in the appraisal was in line with the teaching given										
30. I regulary attended the 'in situ' classes and other activities programmed in the Masters course										
TEACHING APPROACH Average of section	3,97									
31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable										
32. The professors demonstrated that they had satisfactory knowledge of the subjects in question										
33. The professors had a positive attitude towards the students										
Average of section	4,33									

	Fre	quency	Respo	nse	
N/C	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	3	0
0	0	1	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	3	0
0	0	0	1	2	0
0	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	0	0	3	0
0	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	0	1	0	2



OVERALL		AVERAGE			
Satisfaction with the Masters course		3,67			
Quality of the teaching staff		4,00			
Relevance of the postgraduate course		4,00			
Good value for money		3,00			
Recommend this Master's Degree to other students		3,00			
Jeefulness of the postgraduate course					
	Average of section	3,59			





							TRA	INING PRO	GRAMME							COUR	RSE ORGAI	NISATIO	ON		
EVO	LUTIO	N	and	e objectives graduate e clearly	their o	subjects ar content were ropriate	e balan	n appropiate ce between and practice	differer	ontent was nt from my ate course	the sub	equence of ects was actory	f Average of section	in g	in guides was available before I Manager		8. Organ Managem satisfa	ent were	Э		
A. year	Collected	Cod	no. resp	o. average	no. res	p. average	no. resp	. average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp	. averaş	ge no	o. resp. av	erage	no. resp.	average)
24-25		23-226	8 3	4	3	4	3	2,67	3	3,67	3	3	3,47	3	4,67		3 _ 2	,67		4	
23-24	6	22-206	9 6	3,83	6	3,33	6	2,33	6	3,17	6	3,5	3,23	6	3,5		6 2	,83	6	3,33	
22-23	4	21-206	9 4	4	4	4,25	4	4,25	4	3,75	4	4	4,05	4	4,5		4 3	3,5	4	3,75	
21-22	9	20-206	9 9	4,11	9	3,78	9	3,22	7	3	9	3,56	3,56	9	3,89		8 2	,88	9	2,22	
20-21	11	19-206	9 10	4,1	10	4,3	10	3,5	10	3,9	10	4,1	3,98	10	4,5		8 3	,12	9	3,67	
19-20	10	18-206	9 10	3,6	10	4	10	3,8	10	3,8	10	3,2	3,68	10	4		10 3	3,1	10	2,7	
				COURS	E ORGAN	ISATION								INFRA	STRUCT	URE					
	9. Inform stude manage/		commu	means of inication opropriate	plann	ne initial ing and ammed	between	rdination teaching tisfactory	Average of section	ar equip	lassrooms nd their ment were	wor studyir	Areas for king and ng and their	15. Labora experiment and equip	al areas	read	e library an ding room cilities	d	library locumenta archives	ry of	Average f section
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp		no. resp		no. resp.	average	no. resp	p. average	no. 1	esp. ave	rage	
24-25	3	2,67	3	2,67	3	4,33	3	4	3,57	3	3,33	3	4	3	3	3	3,67	3	3 3		3,40
23-24	6	3,17	6	3,17	6	2,33	6	2,83	3,02	6	4,83	6	4,33	4	3,75	6	5	5	4,	5	4,56
22-23	4	3	4	4	4	4,25	4	4	3,86	4	4,25	4	4,75	3	5	4	4,75	4	4,	5	4,63
21-22	9	2,11	9	3	9	3,44	8	2,88	2,92	9	4	9	4,22	6	4,17	9	3,89	8	4,3	8	4,12
20-21	10	3,1	10	3,8	10	3,9	10	3,5	3,67	10	4,6	10	4,4	8	3,88	8	4,12	1	0 4,	3	4,28
19-20	10	3,2	10	3,5	8	3,38	10	3,2	3,29	10	4,8	10	4,4	8	4,38	10	4,4	10	0 4,	5	4,50
									TEA	ACHING-L	EARNING 1	PROCESS									
	21. Engeme	nt the ment of	logies analys	ethodo_ . skills of sis and	work ade	practical quately to oretical	recomn course i	nended material	25. Ti bibliograp appropriate	hy was and up-f	26. The initia schedule ulfilled satis	was	27. Knowled skills and approache	d pro	. Appraisa cedures a ia approp	ınd	29. The sta demanded appraisa	in the	attende situ' clas	egulary d the 'in sses and	d secti
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average r	o. resp.	average	no. resp. a	verage n	o. resp. av	erage no. re	sp. aver	age r	no. resp. a	verage	no. resp.	average	:
24-25	3	3,33	3	4	3	3,33	3	_ 4	3	3,67	3	4,33	3	4 _ 3	4,3	33	3	4	3	4,67	3,9
23-24	6	3,5	6	4,17	6	3,17	6	3,83	6	3,5	6	2,33	6	4 6	4		6 4	1,67	5	3,6	3,68
22-23	4	4,5	4	4,25	4	4,5	4	4,5	4	4	4	3,25	4	1, 5 4	4,	5	4	4	3	5	4,23
21-22	9	4	9	4,11	9	4,22	8	3,88	8	4,25	7	2,86	7 3	,57 8	3,8	88	8 4	1,12	7	4,43	3,9
20-21	10	4,3	10	4,2	10	3,6	9	4,11	10	4,4	8	3,62	10	1,3 9	4		9 4	1,33	6	4,5	4,1
19-20	9	4,22	9	4.44	9	4.11	8	4,25	9	3.89	8	3.62	9 7	.89 9	4.1	1	9	4	8	4.62	4,1

		STUD	ENT TUTO	ORIALS		TEACHING APPROACH								
	needs w	e tutorial ere met to tisfaction	adhere sched	orofessors d to the lule for	Average of section	31. The professors complied with the scheduled timetable		32. The professors demonstrated knowledge		33. The professors had a positive attitude towards		Average of section		
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average			
24-25	3	4,67	3	4	4,33	3	4,33	3	4,33	3	4,33	4,33		
23-24	4	3,75	4	2,75	3,25	6	2,5	6	4,5	6	5	4,00		
22-23	2	*	2	*	4,50	4	4,5	4	4,25	4	4,75	4,50		
21-22	5	3	6	3,17	3,09	9	3,78	9	4,67	9	4,44	4,30		
20-21	8	4	8	3,5	3,75	10	3,9	10	4,5	10	4,5	4,30		
19-20	9	3,89	9	3,67	3,78	9	3,89	9	4,22	9	3,89	4,00		

	OVERALL													
	Satisfaction with Quality of the the Master's Degree teaching staff			ce of the s Degree		alue for oney	Master's	nend this Degree to students	Usefulne Master'	Average of section				
A. year	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average	no. resp.	average		
24-25	3	3,67	3	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	2	*	3,59	
23-24	6	3,83	6	4,5	6	3	6	4,5	6	3,33	6	2,83	3,67	
22-23	4	4,5	44	4,75	4	4,5	4	3,75	4	4,25	4	4,5	4,38	
21-22	9	3,33	9	3,89	9	4,33	9	3,67	9	3,56	9	4	3,80	
20-21	9	4,11	9	4,33	9	4,44	88	3,62	9	3,78	8	4,38	4,12	
19-20	9	3,67	9	3,78	9	4,22	99	3	9	3,89	9	4,11	3,78	

^{*} Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.