

ACADEMIC YEAR:

23-24

1212.- GRADO EN CIENCIAS GASTRONÓMICAS

(teacher survey)

Category as a teacher:

CU	TU	CEU	TEU	Contr. Dr.	Ajud. Dr.	Ajudant	Associat	As. Asist.	PIF	Altres
1	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
9,09%	45,45%	0,00%	0,00%	9,09%	9,09%	0,00%	9,09%	0,00%	9,09%	9,09%

Type of teaching at this degree:

Teaches:												
theo and practical	Only theoty	Only practical										
4	6	1										
36,36%	54,55%	9,09%										

Number of subjects taught:											
AVERAGE	AVERAGE Minimum Maximum										
1,18	1	2									

N° hours:		
AVERAGE	Minimum	Maximum
46,36	4	100

RUCT: 2503186

TRAINING PROGRAMME Strongly disagree....Strongly agree 2 3 5 AVERAGE 4,16 1. The objectives of this degree and the graduates' profile are adequate, interesting and updated 4,03 2. The applicants' profile is clearly defined and suited to the objectives of 3.89 this degree 3.In general, I consider that the specific objectives and competencies 4,17 4.33 associated with each subject are consistent with the overall objectives and the profile pursued 4,33 4. The structure and sequence of subject areas is adequate because it 3,97 avoids duplications and gaps 5.I think the ratio of the degree theory and practice is appropriate 4,3 4,10 Average of section 4,22 : Global UV Average*

Surveys collected: 11 Surveys period: 03/06/2024 - 02/07/2024 *Printing date: 26/07/2024* Pg. 1

^{*} The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24 RUCT: 2503186

ORGANIZATION OF TEACHING Strongly disagree....Strongly agree **AVERAGE** 2 5 5 6. The organization of the degree is adequate 4,3 7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the degree (objectives, admission profile, graduates' profile, ...) are suitable 8.Students' interests and prior knowledge have been taken into account 3,78 for planning the degree 9. The original planning and the activities scheduled have been kept to 4.4 10. Satisfaction with the coordination-communication mechanisms between: a.- The different lecturers in the degree 3,89 b.- The theory and practice of subject areas 4,4 4,27 c.- The different subject areas in the degree 4.1 3,81 d.- The different departments involved 3,6 Average of section 4,06 : Global UV Average*


(teacher survey)

FACILITIES AND RESOURCES		Stro	ongly dis	agree	.Strongly	agree					
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3 ,	5
11. The classrooms used for teaching and their equipment are suitable for the proper development of the degree	0	0	0	0	4	7	4,64	3 ,9 7			
12.Laboratories, workshops and experimental areas, and their equipment, are adequate for the number of students and for the	2	0	0	0	7	2	4,22	3,99	<u> </u>		
13. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and documentary holdings meet the requirements of the degree	0	0	0	1	4	6	4,45	4 , 3 8	I		
accumentary moralings meet the requirements of the degree				Av	verage of	section	4,45			: Global	JV Average*

^{*} The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

ACADEMIC YEAR:

23-24



(teacher survey)

STUDENTS											
	Strongly disagreeStrongly agree						AVERAGE	1 0	2	4	_
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVENAGE	2	3	4	<u> </u>
17.Students regularly attend classes and those activities scheduled	0	0	2	2	5	2	3,64	3 ,7 2			
18.I think that students achieve the objectives set for the degree	0	0	0	0	7	4	4,36	3 ,8 8			
19.In general, students are motivated to study the degree	0	0	0	2	5	4	4,18	3 ,7 2			
20.1 think that the degree meets the students' expectations	0	0	0	2	5	4	4,18	3 , 8 2			
				Ave	erage of	section	4,09			CL L LUW A	*
										: Global UV Aver	age"

TRAINING RECEIVED			
	Strongly disagreeStrongly agree N/C 1 2 3 4 5	AVERAGE	
21. The training received is in line with the needs of the degree in which I teach.	3 0 0 0 4 4	4,5	
22.I'm satisfied with the training received.		4,56	
	Average of section	4,53	: Global UV Average*

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

RUCT: 2503186

ACADEMIC YEAR:

23-24

RUCT: 2503186

1212.- GRADO EN CIENCIAS GASTRONÓMICAS

(teacher survey)

						TRAI	NING PROGE	RAMME	ORGANIZATION OF TEACHING											
EVO	EVOLUTION		1.Objectives of the degree and the graduates' profile 2.The applicants' profile is clearly defined		obje comp	3 specific objectives and sequence of subjects		5.ratio of the degree theory and practice is appropriate		Average of section	6.The organization of the degree is adequate		7.The mechanisms used to disseminate information		8.In planning the degree, it's consider the interests of		9.The original planning have been kept to			
A. year	Collected	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE
23-24	11	9	4,22	9	3,89	9	4,33	9	4,33	10	4,3	4,22	10	4,3	9	4	9	3,78	10	4,4
21-22	17	16	4,19	14	3,71	16	4,19	16	3,62	14	4	3,95	15	4,13	16	4,12	16	3,88	15	4,53
19-20	22	20	4	20	3,95	21	4	22	3,86	17	3,94	3,95	20	4,05	17	4,24	19	3,89	19	4,32
17-18	11	11	3,64	11	3,36	11	3,91	10	3,6	11	3,82	3,67	11	3,73	10	3,7	9	3,67	11	3,82
15-16	8	6	3,67	8	3	8	4,12	8	4	7	4,29	3,81	7	3,86	7	4	8	3,38	7	4

				ORGANIZA	TION OI	F TEACHING	;			FACILITIES AND RESOURCES								
		10.Satis	faction w	ith the coordina	ation-com	munication me	echanisms	between:			rooms used			•		Average		
		e different cturers	b.The theory and practice of subjects		c.The different subject areas		d.The different departments		Average of	for teaching and their equipment		workshops and experimental areas		and documentary holdings meet		of section		
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			
23-24	9	3,89	10	4,4	10	4,1	10	3,6	4,06	11	4,64	9	4,22	11	4,45	4,45		
21-22	17	3,76	14	4,14	16	3,81	16	4,06	4,05	17	4,06	14	3,93	14	4,14	4,04		
19-20	21	4,1	20	4,45	19	4,11	19	4	4,14	22	4,5	21	4,19	21	3,9	4,2		
17-18	11	3,45	11	4,45	11	3,82	11	3,36	3,75	11	4,27	11	3,91	11	3,91	4,03		
15-16	8	3,88	8	4,62	7	3,71	7	3,43	3,86	8	4,5	8	3,88	8	3,88	4,08		

Printing date: 26/07/2024 Pg. 4

VNIVERSITAT ID VALÈNCIA

EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

(teacher survey)

		Γ	EVELOI	PMENT OF T	EACHIN	NG					S	TUDENT	rs.			
	14.The students' 15.The contents (level and scope) enough planned		16.The assessment procedures commonly used		Average of section	17.Students regularly attend classes		18students achieve the objectives defined		19.Students are motivated		20degree meets the students' expectations		Average of section		
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	nºsurv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	11	3,55	11	4	11	4,27	3,94	11	3,64	11	4,36	11	4,18	11	4,18	4,09
21-22	17	3,65	17	4,29	17	4,12	4,02	17	3,88	15	3,93	17	4,06	16	4,12	4
19-20	22	3,77	22	4,32	21	4,1	4,06	22	3,77	21	4	22	3,82	21	3,95	3,88
17-18	11	2,91	11	3,82	11	3,82	3,52	11	3,91	11	3,64	10	3,3	11	3,18	3,51
15-16	8	2,62	8	3,88	8	4,38	3,62	8	3,62	8	3,62	8	3,38	7	3	3,42

	TRAINING RECEIVED											
	receive with the	e training ed is in line e needs of	22. I'm s the traini	Average of section								
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE								
23-24	8	4,5	9	4,56	4,53							
21-22		*		*	*							
19-20		*		*	*							
17-18		*		*	*							
15-16		*		*	*							

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

^{**} The last 6 courses are shown