ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

1928.- DOBLE GRADO EN FÍSICA Y EN MATEMÁTICAS

Category as a teacher:	CU	TU	CEU	TEU	Contr. Dr.	Ajud. Dr.	Ajudant	Associat	As. Asist.	PIF	Altres
	3	4	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	1
	21,43%	28,57%	0,00%	0,00%	21,43%	14,29%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	7,14%	7,14%

Type of teaching at this degree:

Teaches:		
theo and practica	Only theoty	Only practical
7	1	4
58,33%	8,33%	33,33%

Number of subjects taught:												
AVERAGE	Minimum	Maximum										
1,33	1	2										

N° hours:		
AVERAGE	Minimum	Maximum
51,25	10	105

RUCT:

TRAINING PROGRAMME		~									
		Stro	ngly dis	agree	Strongly		AVERAGE	1 2	3	4	:
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5					
1.The objectives of this degree and the graduates' profile are adequate, interesting and updated	0	0	0	4	5	6	4,13	4,16			
2.The applicants' profile is clearly defined and suited to the objectives of this degree	1	0	0	2	4	8	4,43	4 ,0 3			
3.In general, I consider that the specific objectives and competencies associated with each subject are consistent with the overall objectives and the profile pursued	2	0	0	3	5	5	4,15	4 ,1 7	 		
4.The structure and sequence of subject areas is adequate because it avoids duplications and gaps	1	0	1	3	3	7	4,14	3,97			
5.I think the ratio of the degree theory and practice is appropriate	3	0	0	2	5	5	4,25	4,10			
				Av	erage of	section	4,22	<u> </u>		: Global UV Av	erage*

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

Potential surveys according to POD: 67



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT:

(teacher survey)

ORGANIZATION OF TEACHING								
		Str	ongly dis	agreeS	Strongly	agree		
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1 2 3 4 5
6.The organization of the degree is adequate	1	2	2	1	3	6	3,64	4,09
7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the de	gree 1	0	1	3	3	7	4,14	4,21
(objectives, admission profile, graduates' profile,) are suitab	ole							
8.Students' interests and prior knowledge have been taken in	to account 2	0	3	3	3	4	3,62	3,88
for planning the degree								
9. The original planning and the activities scheduled have beer	n kept to 1	1	0	0	5	8	4,36	4 ,4 9
10.Satisfaction with the coordination-communication mechar	lisms							
between:								
a The different lecturers in the degree	0	2	0	2	6	5	3,8	4 ,0 2
b The theory and practice of subject area	s 1	1	1	0	4	8	4,21	4 , 2 7
c The different subject areas in the degree	e 1	2	1	1	7	3	3,57	3,81
d The different departments involved	1	3	1	2	5	3	3,29	3,72
				Ave	erage of	section	3,83	: Global UV Average*
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES								

FACILITIES AND RESOURCES											
		Stro	ngly disa	ngrees	Strongly	agree					
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3 4	4 5
11. The classrooms used for teaching and their equipment are	suitable for 1	0	0	1	5	8	4,5	3,97			
the proper development of the degree											
12.Laboratories, workshops and experimental areas, and thei	r 3	0	1	1	4	6	4,25	3,99		<u></u>	
equipment, are adequate for the number of students and for	the										
13. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials a	and 4	0	0	0	3	8	4,73	4,38			
documentary holdings meet the requirements of the degree				Av	erage of	section	4,49				
										: Global U	JV Average*

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT:

(teacher survey)

	DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING													
				Stro	ngly disa	agreeS	Strongly	agree						
			N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	5
14.The stu	udents' knowledge is adequate to follow the content	ts of my	0	0	0	2	3	10	4,53	3,62				
subject ar	ea													
15.The co	ntents (level and scope) planned for the subjects that	at I teach	0	1	1	1	4	8	4,13	4,08				
and the a	ctual time to teach them are suitable													
16.The as	sessment procedures commonly used in the degree	properly	1	1	0	1	7	5	4,07	4,11				
	e level of competencies (knowledge, skills, attitudes.) acquired				Av	erage of	section	4,25	<u> </u>		I	Global UV A	verage*
by the stu	laents											· · · ·		average.

STUDENTS										
		Stro	ngly disa	ngree	Strongly	agree				_
	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1 2 3	4	5
17.Students regularly attend classes and those activities scheduled	0	0	0	0	5	10	4,67	3,72		
18.I think that students achieve the objectives set for the degree	0	0	1	2	6	6	4,13	3,88		
19. In general, students are motivated to study the degree	0	0	1	2	6	6	4,13	3 ,7 2		
20.I think that the degree meets the students' expectations	2	0	2	3	5	3	3,69	3,82		
				Av	verage of	section	4,17	└─── ↓ ↓	: Global UV A	vorogo*
										werage

	TRAINING RECEIVED								
			Stro	ngly disa	gree	Strongly	agree		
		N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21.The	training received is in line with the needs of the degree in which I te	each. 12	0	1	0	2	0	3,33	4,01
22.I'm	satisfied with the training received.	12	0	1	0	2	0	3,33	4 ,1 8
					Av	erage of	section	3,33	: Global UV Average*

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from

the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

Potential surveys according to POD: 67



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

1928.- DOBLE GRADO EN FÍSICA Y EN MATEMÁTICAS

						TRAI	NING PROGR	AMME					ORGANIZATION OF TEACHING									
EVOI	LUTION	degre	ctives of the e and the es' profile	profile	applicants' is clearly ined	objec comp	specific ctives and etencies of	seq	structure and uence of bjects	theory a	f the degree and practice propriate	Average of section	of the	rganization degree is equate	used to	echanisms disseminate nation	degree, i the int	inning the t's consider erests of	plannir	e original ng have n kept to		
A. year	Collected	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		
23-24	15	15	4,13	14	4,43	13	4,15	14	4,14	12	4,25	4,22	14	3,64	14	4,14	13	3,62	14	4,36		
21-22	8	8	4,25	8	4,5	8	4,38	8	4	8	4,75	4,38	8	4,38	7	4,43	8	4,25	8	4,62		

				ORGANIZA	TION O	F TEACHING				FACILITIES AND RESOURCES								
		10.Satis	faction wi	th the coordinate	ation-com	munication me		srooms used			13.Library materials		Average					
		different turers		heory and of subjects		e different ect areas	d.The different departments		Average of	for teaching and their equipment		workshops and experimental areas		and documentary holdings meet		of section		
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE			
23-24	15	3,8	14	4,21	14	3,57	14	3,29	3,83	14	4,5	12	4,25	11	4,73	4,49		
21-22	8	4,12	8	4,75	8	4,25	8	4,25	4,38	8	4,5	4	4,5	7	4,57	4,53		

RUCT:

ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

		DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING						STUDENTS								
	14.The students' knowledge is enough		15.The contents (level and scope) planned		16.The assessment procedures commonly used		Average of section	17.Students regularly attend classes		18students achieve the objectives defined		19.Students are motivated		20degree meets the students' expectations		Average of section
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	
23-24	15	4,53	15	4,13	14	4,07	4,25	15	4,67	15	4,13	15	4,13	13	3,69	4,17
21-22	8	4,38	8	4,12	8	4,5	4,33	8	4,38	8	4,25	8	4,38	8	4	4,25

		TRAINING RECEIVED									
	receive with th	e training ed is in line e needs of degree	22. I'm s the train	Average of section							
A. year	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE							
23-24	3	3,33	3	3,33	3,33						
21-22		*		*	*						

* The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

** The last 6 courses are shown