

ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

1929.- DOBLE GRADO EN FÍSICA Y EN QUÍMICA

| Category as a teacher: | CU | TU | CEU | TEU | Contr. Dr. | Ajud. Dr. | Ajudant | Associat | As. Asist. | PIF | Altres |
|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|--------|--------|
| | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | 20,00% | 60,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 0,00% | 10,00% | 10,00% |

Type of teaching at this degree:

| Teaches: | | |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| theo and practical | Only theoty | Only practical |
| 6 | 2 | 2 |
| 60,00% | 20,00% | 20,00% |

| jects taught: | |
|---------------|---------|
| Minimum | Maximum |
| 1 | 1 |
| | |

| Nº hours: | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| AVERAGE | Minimum | Maximum |
| 48,80 | 15 | 75 |

RUCT:

| TRAINING PROGRAMME | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|---------|------|---|---|------------------|------|
| | | Stroi | ngly dis | agree | Strongly | / agree | AVERAGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | AVERAGE | | | | | - |
| 1.The objectives of this degree and the graduates' profile are adequate, interesting and updated | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4,67 | 4,16 | | | | |
| 2.The applicants' profile is clearly defined and suited to the objectives of this degree | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4,75 | 4,03 | | | | |
| 3.In general, I consider that the specific objectives and competencies associated with each subject are consistent with the overall objectives and the profile pursued | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 4,44 | 4,17 | 1 | | | |
| 4.The structure and sequence of subject areas is adequate because it avoids duplications and gaps | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4,22 | 3,97 | | | | |
| 5.I think the ratio of the degree theory and practice is appropriate | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4,44 | 4,10 | | | | |
| | | | | Av | erage o | f section | 4,5 | | 1 | | : Global UV Aver | age* |

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

Potential surveys according to POD: 97

Surveys collected: 10

EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT:

(teacher survey)

| | ORGANIZATION OF TEACHING | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------|-----|------|-----------|------|----------|---------|---------|------|--------|-----------------|---|
| | | | | Stro | ngly disa | gree | Strongly | agree | AVERAGE | | | | |
| | | ٦ | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | AVENAGE | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 6.The | organization of the degree is adequate | | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4,25 | 4,09 | | | |
| 7.The | mechanisms used to disseminate information on the de | gree | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4,33 | 4,21 | | | |
| (obje | ctives, admission profile, graduates' profile,) are suitab | le | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8.Stu | dents' interests and prior knowledge have been taken int | o account | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 4,33 | 3,88 | | | |
| for p | anning the degree | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9.The | original planning and the activities scheduled have been | kept to | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 4,67 | 4,49 | | | |
| 10.Sa | tisfaction with the coordination-communication mechan | isms | | | | | | | | | I | I | I |
| betw | een: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | a The different lecturers in the degree | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4,02 | | | |
| | b The theory and practice of subject area | S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 4,3 | 4,27 | | | |
| | c The different subject areas in the degre | e | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3,8 | 3,81 | |] | |
| | d The different departments involved | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3,8 | 3,72 | | | |
| | | | | | | Av | erage of | section | 4,17 | ļ | : Glot | bal UV Average* | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FACILITIES AND RESOURCES | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| FACILITIES AND RESOURCES | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|---|------------------|------|
| | | Strong | gly disagr | eeStrongly | agree | | | | | | |
| | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | AVERAGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The classrooms used for teaching and their equipment are sui | itable for 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 2 | 7 | 4,6 | 3,97 | | | | |
| the proper development of the degree | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12.Laboratories, workshops and experimental areas, and their | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 1 | 6 | 4,86 | 3,99 | | | | |
| equipment, are adequate for the number of students and for the | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 4 | 5 | 4,56 | 4,38 | | | | |
| documentary holdings meet the requirements of the degree | | | | Average of | f section | 4,65 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | : Global UV Aver | age* |
| | | | | | | | | | | | ugo |

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

Potential surveys according to POD: 97

EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24

RUCT:

(teacher survey)

| | DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING | | | Stror | ngly disa | igreeS | trongly | agree | | | | | |
|-------|---|------------|-----|-------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|-----------------|---|
| | | | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | AVERAGE | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | e students' knowledge is adequate to follow the contents ct area | of my | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 4,3 | 3,62 | | | |
| 15.Tł | e contents (level and scope) planned for the subjects that he actual time to teach them are suitable | : I teach | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4,2 | 4 ,0 8 | | | |
| | e assessment procedures commonly used in the degree p s the level of competencies (knowledge, skills, attitudes) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4,2 | 4,11 | | | |
| | e students | Jacquilleu | | | | Ave | erage of | f section | 4,23 | | : Glo | bal UV Average* | |

| STUDENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----|------|-----------|--------|------------|---------|---------|------|---|---------|-----------------|-----|
| | | | Stro | ngly disa | agreeS | Strongly a | agree | | | | | | |
| | | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | AVERAGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 17.Students regularly attend classes and those activi | ties scheduled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4,5 | 3,72 | | | | |
| 18.I think that students achieve the objectives set fo | r the degree | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 4,5 | 3,88 | | | | |
| 19. In general, students are motivated to study the d | egree | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 4,5 | 3,72 | | | | |
| 20.I think that the degree meets the students' expec | tations | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4,33 | 3,82 | | | | |
| | | | | | Av | erage of : | section | 4,46 | Ļ | | ······· | Global UV Avera | ao* |
| | | | | | | | | | | | · · | Global UV Avela | ge |

| | TRAINING RECEIVED | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----|------|-----------|--------|------------|---------|---------|------|---|-------------|------------|--|
| | | | Stro | ngly disa | agreeS | Strongly a | agree | | | | | | |
| | | N/C | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | AVERAGE | | | | | |
| 21.The | training received is in line with the needs of the degree in which I teach | . 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4,17 | 4,01 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 22.I'm | satisfied with the training received. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4,4 | 4,18 | | | | |
| | | | | | Ave | erage of s | section | 4,27 | L | • | : Global UV | / Average* | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | . Global C | riverage | |

* The global averages compared in the graphs have been calculated with data from

the last academic year in which all master degrees were evaluated: 23-24

Potential surveys according to POD: 97



ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

1929.- DOBLE GRADO EN FÍSICA Y EN QUÍMICA

| | | | | | | TRAI | NING PROGR | RAMME | | | | | | | OR | GANIZATION | N OF TEA | CHING | | |
|---------|-----------|---------|---|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|----------|--|--------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|
| EVOI | LUTION | degre | ctives of the e and the es' profile | profile | applicants' is clearly ined | obje comp | specific ctives and etencies of | seq | structure and uence of bjects | theory a | the degree nd practice propriate | Average of section | of the | rganization degree is equate | used to | echanisms disseminate nation | degree, in the inte | nning the 's consider erests of | plannii | e original ng have n kept to |
| A. year | Collected | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE |
| 23-24 | 10 | 9 | 4,67 | 8 | 4,75 | 9 | 4,44 | 9 | 4,22 | 9 | 4,44 | 4,5 | 8 | 4,25 | 9 | 4,33 | 9 | 4,33 | 9 | 4,67 |
| 21-22 | 4 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 5 | 4,85 | 4 | 4,5 | 4 | 4,5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |

| | | | | ORGANIZA | TION O | F TEACHING | ŗ | | | |] | FACILITIES AND RESOURCES | | | | |
|---------|---------|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------------|
| | | 10.Satis | sfaction wi | th the coordinate | ation-com | munication me | chanisms | between: | | | rooms used | ······ · ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · | | 13.Library materials | | Average |
| | | different cturers | | heory and of subjects | | e different ect areas | - | e different artments | Average of | for teaching and their equipment | | | | | | of section |
| A. year | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | |
| 23-24 | 10 | 4 | 10 | 4,3 | 10 | 3,8 | 10 | 3,8 | 4,17 | 10 | 4,6 | 7 | 4,86 | 9 | 4,56 | 4,65 |
| 21-22 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4,33 | 3 | 4,33 | 3 | 3,67 | 4,45 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4,92 |

RUCT:

EVALUATION OF DEGREE STUDIES

ACADEMIC YEAR: 23-24



(teacher survey)

| | | DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING | | | | | | STUDENTS | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------------------|---|---------|--|---------|--------------------------|--|---------|---|---------|---------------------------|---------|---|---------|--------------------------|
| | 14.The students' knowledge is enough | | 15.The contents (level and scope) planned | | 16.The assessment procedures commonly used | | Average of section | 17.Students regularly attend classes | | 18students achieve the objectives defined | | 19.Students are motivated | | 20degree meets the students' expectations | | Average of section |
| A. year | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | |
| 23-24 | 10 | 4,3 | 10 | 4,2 | 10 | 4,2 | 4,23 | 10 | 4,5 | 8 | 4,5 | 10 | 4,5 | 9 | 4,33 | 4,46 |
| 21-22 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4,75 | 4 | 4,5 | 4,75 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4,75 | 4,94 |

| | | TRAINING RECEIVED | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | receive with the | e training ed is in line e needs of degree | 22. I'm s the traini | Average of section | | | | | | |
| A. year | | n°surv. | AVERAGE | n°surv. | AVERAGE | | | | | | |
| 23-24 | | 6 | 4,17 | 5 | 4,4 | 4,27 | | | | | |
| 21-22 | | | * | | * | * | | | | | |

* The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

** The last 6 courses are shown