

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3124 Programa de Doctorat en Ètica i Democràcia

Gender:	Male	6	75,00%
	Female	2	25,00%

Teaching staff category:														
CU	TU	CEU	TEU	Contr. Lect.	Trainer lect.	Adjunt lect.	Ajudant	Altres						
3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
37,50%	62,50%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%						

		Fre	quenc	y Respo	onse							
	S	Strongl	y disag	greeS	Strongl	y agree						
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	, 1	2	3	4	
The competences taught in the doctoral programme and the graduate profile are adequate, nteresting and updated	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 4 2	I .	i		
2. The admission profile is clearly defined and suited to the objectives of this programme	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 5				
3. The research training provided in the programme is in line with the social demand	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 4		_		
The specific activities meet the requirements of the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 4				
5. I consider that cross-curricular activities are adequate for the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 1 5				
				Aver	age of	section	5,00	1	1	İ	'	
COURSE ORGANISATION												
6. The organisation of this program is adequate	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 7				
7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the programme (objectives, admission profile, cross-curricular and specific activities, etc.) are suitable	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 2 6		ì	<u> </u>	
3. The service provided to students by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 1 0	1	Ì		
O. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 1 8				
0. The mechanisms of recognition of the tasks of tutoring and directing doctoral theses are adequate	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	3,89		-		
				Aver	age of	section	5,00	•	•	Ī		
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES												
1. The facilities and material resources offered in the doctoral programme are suitable for developing research	0	1	0	0	0	7	4,50	4 , 2 8	1	i		
2. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and documentary holdings meet the equirements of the programme	0	0	0	1	1	6	4,63	4 , 4 8				
				Aver	age of	section	4,56		S	cale used: 1 to	5	
								X,XX : Global	UV Average			

Number of surveys: 8 Surveys period: 22/02/2022 - 04/04/2022 Printing date: 07/04/2022

Surveyed population: 13



EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3124 Programa de Doctorat en Ètica i Democràcia

		Fre	equency	Respo	nse			
			y disag			y agree	<u>I</u>	
DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING - MONITORING AND SUPERVISION COURSE DEVELOPMENT -	N/C	1	2	3	4		AVERAGE	1 2 3 4
13. My tutees attend tutorials regularly	0	0	0	0	1	7	4,88	4 , 4 1
14. My tutees follow the research plan carefully	0	0	0	1	0	7	4,75	4 , 3 8
15. I consider that, during the doctoral programme, the guidance and scientific support provided to students for completing their research plan and thesis are adequate	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 4 4
received the first of the second first t		Avera	ge of se	ction			4,88	
STUDENTS								
16. I think that students achieve the objectives set for the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 9
17. In general, students are motivated to write their doctoral thesis	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 6
18. I think that the doctoral programme meets the students' expectations	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 3 0
		Avera	ge of se	ction			5,00	ı İ
RESEARCH								
19. Projects and research carried out by the organ responsible for the program are innovative	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 4 5
20. The criteria employed by the approval of the research plan are defined	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 4 1
21. Defended doctoral theses are innovative and quality	0	0	0	0	0	8	5,00	4 , 4 9
		Avera	ge of se	ction			5,00	Scale used: 1 to 5
			X,XX : Global UV Average					

Number of surveys: 8
Surveyed population: 13



EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3124

Programa de Doctorat en Ètica i Democràcia

3124.- Programa de Doctorat en Ètica i Democràcia

						COURSE ORGANISATION										
EVOLUTION		taught ir progran	competences In the doctoral Inme and the Ite profile are	profil defined	e admission e is clearly and suited to ectives of this	training p	e research rovided in the ame is in line social demand	activitie requiren	e specific s meet the nents of the programme	curricular adequa	ler that cross- activities are ate for the programme	Average of section	this program is		7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the programme	
A. year	Collected	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE
21-22	8	8	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	8	5	8	5
19-20	8	8	5	8	4,88	8	5	8	5	8	4,62	4,9	8	5	8	4,88
18-19	11	11	5	11	5	11	4,91	11	5	11	4,82	4,95	11	5	11	4,64
16-17	12	12	4,83	12	4,83	12	4,92	12	4,92	11	4,64	4,83	12	4,92	12	4,75

			COURS	SE ORGANIS	ATION				FACILITIE	S AND RES	SOURCES	DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING - MONITORING				
	8. The service provided to students by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues		ded to students provided on the Doctoral School Doctoral School's		10. The mechanisms of recognition of the tasks of tutoring and directing doctoral		materia offered in	11. The facilities and material resources offered in the doctoral programme are		12. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and		verage 13. My tutees attend of tutorials regularly ection		14. My tutees follow the research plan carefully		
A. year		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE			n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE
21-22	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	8	4,5	8	4,62	4,56	8	4,88	8	4,75
19-20	8	4,75	8	4,62	8	4,88	4,82	8	4,88	8	4,75	4,81	8	4,75	8	4,88
18-19	9	4,44	11	4,27	11	4,55	4,58	10	4,8	11	4,82	4,81	10	4,8	10	4,8
16-17	12	4,58	12	4,42	12	4,5	4,63	12	4,83	12	4,83	4,83	12	4,67	12	4,67

		EVELOPMENT					STUDENTS				RESEARCH								
	during the doctoral of		Average of section	16. I think that students achieve the objectives set for the doctoral programme		17. In general, students are motivated to write their doctoral thesis		18. I think that the doctoral programme meets the students' expectations		Average of section	19. Projects and research carried out by the organ responsible for the		20. The criteria employed by the approval of the research plan are		21. Defended doctoral theses are innovative and quality		Average of section		
A. year		AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE			n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv. AVERAG		n°surv.	AVERAGE			
21-22	8	5	4,88	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	8	5	8	5	8	5	5		
19-20	8	5	4,88	8	4,88	8	4,88	8	5	4,92	8	5	8	5	8	5	5		
18-19	11	4,91	4,84	11	5	11	5	11	5	5	11	4,73	11	4,82	11	4,82	4,79		
16-17	12	4,92	4,75	12	4,92	12	4,92	12	5	4,94	12	4,92	12	4,83	12	4,67	4,81		

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

Number of surveys: 8 Surveyed population: 13