

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3163 Programa de Doctorat en Disseny, Gestió i Avaluació de Polítiques Públiques de Benestar Social

Gender:	Male	6	37,50%
	Female	10	62,50%

Teaching	Teaching staff category:														
CU	TU	CEU	TEU	Contr. Lect.	Trainer lect.	Adjunt lect.	Ajudant	Altres							
8	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	1							
47.06%	41.18%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5,88%	0.00%	0.00%	5,88%							

		Fre	quenc	Respo	nse							
	S	Strongl	/ disag	reeS	Strongl	y agree						
TRAINING PROGRAMME	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1	2	3	4	
1. The competences taught in the doctoral programme and the graduate profile are adequate, interesting and updated	1	0	0	0	2	14	4,88	4 , 4 2	I .	i		
2. The admission profile is clearly defined and suited to the objectives of this programme	0	0	0	0	3	14	4,82	4 , 3 5				
3. The research training provided in the programme is in line with the social demand	0	0	0	0	3	14	4,82	4 , 3 4				
4. The specific activities meet the requirements of the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	3	14	4,82	4 , 3 4				
5. I consider that cross-curricular activities are adequate for the doctoral programme	0	0	0	0	7	10	4,59	4 , 1 5				
				Aver	age of	section	4,79	1	1	Ī	'	
COURSE ORGANISATION												
6. The organisation of this program is adequate	0	0	0	0	3	14	4,82	4 , 3 7				
7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the programme (objectives, admission profile, cross-curricular and specific activities, etc.) are suitable	0	0	1	1	3	12	4,53	4 , 2 6	1	i		
8. The service provided to students by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues related to the doctoral programme is adequate	1	0	0	1	6	9	4,50	4 , 1 0	<u> </u>	ì		
9. The information provided on the Doctoral School's website is useful and accessible	0	0	0	1	5	11	4,59	4 , 1 8				
10. The mechanisms of recognition of the tasks of tutoring and directing doctoral theses are adequate	0	0	0	0	4	13	4,76	3,89				
				Aver	age of	section	4,64	•	•	Ī	·	
FACILITIES AND RESOURCES												
11. The facilities and material resources offered in the doctoral programme are suitable for developing research	0	1	1	1	2	12	4,35	4 , 2 8	1	<u> </u>		
12. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and documentary holdings meet the requirements of the programme	0	0	1	2	1	13	4,53	4 , 4 8				
				Aver	age of	section	4,44		S	cale used: 1 to	5	
								X,XX : Global	UV Average			

Number of surveys: 17 Surveys period: 22/02/2022 - 04/04/2022 Printing date: 07/04/2022

Surveyed population: 27



EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3163 Programa de Doctorat en Disseny, Gestió i Avaluació de Polítiques Públiques de Benestar Social

		Fre	equency	Respo	nse										
	5	Strongl	y disag	reeS	trongl	y agree		1	2	3	4	E			
${\bf DEVELOPMENT\ OF\ TEACHING\ -MONITORING\ AND\ SUPERVISION\ COURSE\ DEVELOPMENT\ -}$	N/C	1	2	3	4	5	AVERAGE	1		ა 	4				
13. My tutees attend tutorials regularly	1	0	0	0	6	10	4,63	4 , 4 1							
14. My tutees follow the research plan carefully	1	0	0	0	8	8	4,50	4 , 3 8							
15. I consider that, during the doctoral programme, the guidance and scientific support provided to students for completing their research plan and thesis are adequate	0	0	0	1	6	10	4,53	4 , 4 4	1	i					
	Average of section														
STUDENTS								ı	1						
16. I think that students achieve the objectives set for the doctoral programme	0	0	0	2	5	10	4,47	4 , 3 9							
17. In general, students are motivated to write their doctoral thesis	0	0	0	1	9	7	4,35	4 , 3 6							
18. I think that the doctoral programme meets the students' expectations	0	0	0	1	5	11	4,59	4 , 3 0							
		Avera	ge of se	ection			4,47	ı	'	İ	ı	'			
RESEARCH								1			1				
19. Projects and research carried out by the organ responsible for the program are innovative	0	0	0	1	2	14	4,76	4 , 4 5							
							1.00		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_ i			
20. The criteria employed by the approval of the research plan are defined	0	0	0	0	3	14	4,82	4 , 4 1							
21. Defended doctoral theses are innovative and quality	1	0	0	0	5	11	4,69	4 , 4 9	1						
		Avera	ge of se	ection			4,76			Scale used: 1	to 5				
								X,XX : Globa	ıl UV Averag	e					

Number of surveys: 17

Surveyed population: 27

Printing date:

EVALUATION OF DOCTORAL STUDIES PROGRAMMES

Academic Year: 21-22

(teacher survey)

PROGRAMME: 3163

Programa de Doctorat en Disseny, Gestió i Avaluació de Polítiques Públiques de Benestar Social

3163.- Programa de Doctorat en Disseny, Gestió i Avaluació de Polítiques Públiques de Benestar Social

						COURSE ORGANISATION										
EVO	EVOLUTION taught i program		competences In the doctoral Inme and the Ite profile are	profil defined	e admission e is clearly and suited to ectives of this	training p	3. The research training provided in the programme is in line with the social demand				5. I consider that cross- curricular activities are adequate for the doctoral programme		6. The organisation of this program is adequate		7. The mechanisms used to disseminate information on the	
A. year	Collected		AVERAGE	n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE		AVERAGE
21-22	17	16	4,88	17	4,82	17	4,82	17	4,82	17	4,59	4,79	17	4,82	17	4,53
19-20	3	3	5	3	4,67	3	5	3	5	3	4,67	4,87	3	5	3	5
18-19	6	6	4,83	6	4,67	6	4,67	6	4,83	6	4,33	4,67	6	4,83	6	4,83

			COURS	SE ORGANISA	ATION				FACILITIE	ES AND RES	SOURCES	DEVELOPMENT OF TEACHING - MONITORING				
	8. The service provided to students by the Doctoral School for dealing with issues		ol Doctoral School's		of recognition of the		Average of section	11. The facilities and material resources offered in the doctoral programme are		12. The quantity, quality and accessibility of library materials and		Average of section	tutorials regularly		the res	utees follow earch plan refully
A. year		. AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE			. AVERAGE	n°surv. AVERAGE			n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE
21-22	16	4,5	17	4,59	17	4,76	4,64	17	4,35	17	4,53	4,44	16	4,62	16	4,5
19-20	3	5	3	4,67	3	4,33	4,8	3	3,67	3	4,33	4	3	4,67	3	4,67
18-19	6	4,5	5	4,6	5	4,4	4,64	6	4,67	6	4,83	4,75	6	4,83	6	4,83

		EVELOPMENT				\$	STUDENTS				RESEARCH								
	during the doctoral programme, the		Average of section 16. I think that students achieve the objectives set for the		17. In general, students are motivated to write their		18. I think that the doctoral programme meets the students'		Average of section	19. Projects and research carried out by the organ		20. The criteria employed by the approval of the		21. Defended doctoral theses are innovative and quality		Average of section			
A. year		dance and . AVERAGE		doctoral n°surv.	programme AVERAGE		al thesis AVERAGE		expectations n°surv. AVERAGE		responsible for the n°surv. AVERAGE		research plan are n°surv. AVERAGE		n°surv.	AVERAGE			
21-22	17	4,53	4,55	17	4,47	17	4,35	17	4,59	4,47	17	4,76	17	4,82	16	4,69	4,76		
19-20	3	5	4,78	3	5	3	5	3	5	5	3	5	3	5	3	5	5		
18-19	6	4,83	4,83	6	4,83	6	4,83	6	4,67	4,78	6	4,67	6	4,67	5	4,6	4,65		

^{*} The average item is calculated from three surveys rating.

Average of a section is calculated by weighting the number of responses for each item.

Number of surveys: 17 Surveyed population: 27