

[6] Pour le débruitage et la reconstruction d'images. Dans ce contexte, la fonctionnelle (4) méthode qui a été proposée en traitement d'images par L. Rudin, S. Osher et E. Fatemi

$$\Phi(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u|, \quad (4)$$

avec $\varphi \in L_1(\partial\Omega)$. L'équation (1), (2) est associée au problème de minimisation de la Variation Totale avec $S = (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega$

$$u(t, x) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{sur } S = (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \quad (3)$$

ou Dirichlet

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{sur } S = (0, \infty) \times \partial\Omega \quad (2)$$

ou $u_0 \in L_1(\Omega)$, avec des conditions au bord du type Neumann

$$u(0, x) = \varphi(x) \quad x \in \Omega \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \quad \text{sur } \Omega = (0, \infty) \times \Omega$$

Version fractionnaire abrégée - Soit Ω un ouvert borné dans \mathbb{R}_N^N de frontière $\partial\Omega$ Lip-schitzienne. On considère le problème d'évolution

Résumé - On montre l'existence et l'unicité de solutions faibles du fluot qui minimise la variation totale pour des données initiales dans L_1 et des conditions au bord du type Neumann. On montre que la mesure H_{N-1} des surfaces de niveau décroît au cours de l'évolution, de même, le niveau des maxima (minima) locaux décroît (croît) instantanément avec le temps. On démontre aussi des résultats d'existence et d'unicité pour le problème de Dirichlet avec des données initiales dans L_1 .

Abstract - We prove existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the minimizing Total Variation flow with initial data in L_1 under Neumann boundary conditions. We prove that the H_{N-1} measure of the boundaries of level sets of the solution decreases with time, as the H_{N-1} measure of the boundaries of level sets of the solution decreases with time, as their level with time. We shall also consider the Dirichlet problem which presents some particular difficulties for general initial data in L_1 . We also prove that local maxima (minima) strictly decrease (increase) one would expect. We also prove that local maxima (minima) strictly decrease (increase) with time, as we would expect. We prove that the solution decreases with time, as the H_{N-1} measure of the boundaries of level sets of the solution decreases with time, as we would expect.

Fuentes ANDREU, Coloma BALLESTER, Vicent CASELLAS and Jose M. MAZÓN

Mimimizing Total Variation Flow

Equations aux dérivées partielles/Partial Differential Equations

fiable) required to prove Theorem 1 is the following.
 defined by $T_k(r) = k \wedge (r \vee (-k))$, $k \geq 0$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$. The notion of weak solution (solution
 measurability of the map $t \in [0, T] \rightarrow \|Du(t)\|$). We shall use the truncated functions
 $\int_0^T \|Du(t)\| < \infty$. It is not difficult to see that the conditions on w imply the
 $L_1((0, T) \times \Omega)$, the maps $t \in [0, T] \rightarrow Du(t), \phi >$ are measurable for every $\phi \in C_0(\Omega, \mathbb{R}_N)$
 $L_1(0, T, BV(\Omega))$ we denote the space of functions $w : [0, T] \rightarrow BV(\Omega)$ such that $w \in$
 in problem (1-2). $BV(\Omega)$ will denote the space of functions of bounded variation. By
 We consider Ω an open bounded set in \mathbb{R}^N with Lipschitz boundary. We are interested

1 The Neumann problem

continue dans [1, 2].

d'une approche différente. La démonstration des résultats présentés dans cette Note est
 au bord du type Dirichlet la situation est, en général, différente et on aura aussi besoin
 comme conséquence de l'homogénéité de l'opérateur [4]. Dans le cas des conditions
 des conditions au bord du type Neumann, on démontre la régularité en temps des solu-
 tions, comme conséquence de la formule d'intégration par parties établie dans [3]. Dans le cas
 est une conséquence de la formule d'intégration par parties établie dans [3]. Dans le cas
 associée à l'équation (1) avec des conditions au bord du type Neumann (2) ou Dirichlet (3)
 complètement accrétaives [4] et au Théorème de Crandall-Liggett. L'accréativité des opérateurs
 pour démontrer les deux Théorèmes on fait appel aux techniques des opérateurs

pour tout $t \geq 0$.

$$(9) \quad \|u(t) - u(t)\|_+ \leq \|u_0 - u_0\|_+ \quad \text{et} \quad \|u(t) - u(t)\|_1 \leq \|u_0 - u_0\|_1$$

on a

soit les solutions entropiques correspondant aux données initiales u_0 et u_0 , respectivement,
 unique solution entropique $u(t, x)$ de (1), (3) sur $(0, T) \times \Omega$ avec $u(0) = u_0$. Si $u(t), u(t)$

Théorème 2 Soient $u_0 \in L_1(\Omega)$ et $\phi \in L_1(\Omega)$. Alors, pour tout $T < 0$, il existe une

$$(10) \quad \text{pour tout } t \geq 0. \text{ En plus, } \|u(t) - u_0\|_1 \leftarrow 0 \text{ quand } t \leftarrow \infty, \text{ où } u_0 = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) dx.$$

$$(11) \quad \|u(t) - u(t)\|_+ \leq \|u_0 - u_0\|_+, \quad \text{et} \quad \|u(t) - u(t)\|_1 \leq \|u_0 - u_0\|_1,$$

correspondant aux données initiales u_0 et u_0 , respectivement, on a

unique solution entropique $u(t, x)$ de (1), (2) sur $(0, T) \times \Omega$ avec $u(0) = u_0$. Si $u(t), u(t)$ sont les solutions faibles

Théorème 1 Soit $u_0 \in L_1(\Omega)$. Alors, pour tout $T > 0$, il existe une unique solution faible

Le résultat principal sont les suivants. La notion de solution utilise dans chaque cas
 sera précisée dans les sections qui suivent.

Particular, le bruit et le filtre (voir [6]).

est minimisé avec des contraintes qui modélisent le processus d'accquisition de l'image, en

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (n)^\alpha L^\alpha \int_0^T ((n)^\alpha - DT^\alpha(n)) \cdot z \, dz, \quad \text{for all } k < 0. \\
&\quad \text{Moreover, we have that } (n)^\alpha = DT^\alpha(n) \text{ for all } k < 0, \text{ and if} \\
&(8) \quad \int_0^T (n - T^\alpha(n)) \cdot z \, dz \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in BV(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega), \quad \forall k < 0. \\
&\quad \text{there exists } z \in X(\Omega) \text{ with } \|z\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad \text{and } -dy(z) \in D'_+(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\
&\quad (n, v) \in A \quad \text{if and only if } n, v \in T_1(\Omega), T^\alpha(n) \in BV(\Omega) \text{ for all } k < 0 \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 We have the following characterization of the operator A ,

in the definition of A . For that, we need first to prove that we can use test functions in $BV(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega)$ in [3]. The accretivity of the operator A is proved using the integration by parts formula given

which is in $L_1(\Omega) \setminus L_2(\Omega)$. It may be used together with a comparison principle to build a solution $u(t, x)$ of (1-2) it may be used together with a comparison principle to build a solution $u(t, x)$ of (1-2) $0 \leq t < 1$. Obviously, this solution does not satisfy Neumann boundary conditions but $(0, 1) \times B(0, 1)$ with initial datum $u_0(x) = \frac{\|x\|_{N/2}}{1}$. Observe that $v(t) \in L_1(\Omega) \setminus L_2(\Omega)$, L_1-L_∞ nor L_1-L_2 regularizing effect. For instance, $v(t, x) = \frac{\|x\|_{N/2}}{1} - \frac{\|x\|}{t}$ solves (1) in L_1-L_∞ or L_1-L_2 regularizing effect. The answer is negative. There is neither an initial condition in $L_1(\Omega)$ and, in some sense, we give a distributional characterization of the corresponding operator. As raised by the referee, a natural question is if there initial conditions in $L_1(\Omega)$ permits to prove existence and uniqueness of strong solutions subdifferential of Φ in $L_2(\Omega)$. In this paper, we consider, in the sense of semigroups when the initial condition is in $L_2(\Omega)$. The use of the differential operator is an extension to $L_1(\Omega)$ of the subdifferential of the convex function $\Phi(u)$ defined by (4) if $u \in L_2(\Omega) \cup BV(\Omega)$, $\Phi(u) = +\infty$ if $u \in L_2(\Omega) \setminus BV(\Omega)$. This operator is an extension to $L_1(\Omega)$ of the subdifferential of the convex function $\Phi(u)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_0^T ((n)^\alpha - DT^\alpha(n)) \cdot z \, dz \geq 0, \quad \forall n \in W_{1,1}(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega), \quad \forall k < 0. \\
&\quad \text{with } \|z\|_\infty \leq 1, \quad \text{and } -dy(z) \in D'_+(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \{(z) \in X(\Omega) : dy(z) \in T_1(\Omega)\} =: T_1(\Omega) \\
&\quad \text{there exists } z \in X(\Omega) \cap T_1(\Omega) \ni z = (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow z \in X(\Omega) \text{ for all } k < 0 \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 1 we use the techniques of complete accretive operators [4] and the Crandall-Liggett's semigroup generation Theorem. For that, we introduce the following operator A in $L_1(\Omega)$.

for every $w \in W_{1,1}(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega)$, for every $k < 0$, and a.e. on $[0, T]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(T^\alpha(n) - w) \cdot \Delta z\| \geq \int_0^T (T^\alpha(n)(t) - w(t)) \cdot \Delta z \, dt, \\
&\quad \text{for all } k < 0 \text{ and there exists } z \in L_\infty([0, T] \times \Omega; \mathbb{R}_N) \text{ with } dy(z) \in D'_+(\Omega) \times \Omega \text{ such that} \\
&\quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \text{ if } u \in C([0, T], T_1(\Omega)) \cup W_{1,1}^{loc}((0, T), T_1(\Omega)), T^\alpha(n) \in L_1^w([0, T], BV(\Omega)) \\
&\quad \text{Definition 1} \quad \text{A measurable function } u : (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a weak solution of (1), (2)} \\
&\quad \text{for every } w \in W_{1,1}(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega), \text{ for every } k < 0, \text{ and a.e. on } [0, T].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta \cdot z + \int_{\Omega} z \cdot \nabla w =: \langle z, w \rangle_{BV(\Omega)}.$$

Let $R(\Omega) := W_{1,1}(\Omega) \cup L_\infty(\Omega) \cup C(\Omega)$. For $(z, \xi) \in Z(\Omega)$ and $w \in R(\Omega)$ we define

$$\langle z, \xi \rangle_{BV(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} z \cdot \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} w \cdot \nabla z.$$

We also need to introduce, as in [3], a weak trace on $\partial\Omega$ of the normal component of certain vector fields in Ω . We define

then $BV(\Omega)^2 = BV(\Omega)$.

we need to introduce the Banach space $BV(\Omega)^2 = BV(\Omega) \cup L^2(\Omega)$ (observe that if $N = 2$, Let E^* be the dual of the Banach space E . For technical reasons related to measurability

2 The Dirichlet problem

property.

This result is proved by comparison with an explicit function satisfying the same

(2). Then $u(t, x) > 1$, for all $t < 0$, $x \in \Omega$.

Proposition 2 Let Ω be a cube in \mathbb{R}^N . Let $u_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $0 \leq u_0 \leq 1$. Suppose that $\{x \in \Omega : u_0(x) = 1\} = K \subset B \subset \Omega$ for some ball B . Let u be the weak solution of (1),

(respectively, increase) with time.

Next, we prove that flat zones which are local maxima (minima) immediately decrease

the level sets decrease with time.

Note that $\|D\chi_{\{u(t) < \lambda\}}\| = H_{N-1}\{\partial_*\{u(t) < \lambda\}\}$, where H_{N-1} is the $(N-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure and $\partial_*\{u(t) < \lambda\}$ is the reduced boundary of the set $\{x \in \Omega : u(t) < \lambda\}$. Thus, the above proposition says that the length of the boundaries of

a.e. in $s, t \in (0, \infty)$, $t < s < 0$.

(6)
$$\|D\chi_{\{u(t) < \lambda\}}\| \leq \|D\chi_{\{u(s) < \lambda\}}\| \quad \text{almost all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

Proposition 1 Let $u_0 \in L_1(\Omega)$. Let $u(t, x)$ be the weak solution of (1), (2). Then, for

of the boundaries of the level sets of the solution decreases with time.

Let us mention an interesting geometric feature of the equation: the H_{N-1} measure

boundary conditions.

regularizing effect due to the homogeneity of the operator [4] in the case of Neumann regularization I requires the regularity in time of the solution. This is proved using the definition I proof of both existence and uniqueness of weak solutions in the sense of (1-2). The proof of the existence of a semigroup solution (also called mild solution) generates Theorem proves the existence of a semigroup solution (also called mild solution) of (1-2).

Using this Lemma, we prove the accretivity of A . Then Crandall-Liggett's semigroup

for all $w \in L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T)$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t H p(x) w [z, \zeta] dt = \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t w [z, \zeta] dt < (t) w(t) \zeta > \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} z, D w(z) dt$$

Definition 4 Let $\zeta \in (L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*, z \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T), \zeta \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathcal{H}_N)$. We say that $\zeta = \text{div}(z)$ in $(L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*, z \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T))$, such that $[z, \zeta] \in L_\infty((0, T) \times \mathcal{O}_T)$, such that $(L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*, z \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T))$ is a Radon measure in \mathcal{O}_T with normal boundary values

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_T)$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t H p(x) w [z, \zeta] dt = \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t w [z, \zeta] dt - \langle (t) \phi(t) \zeta, w(t) \rangle =: \langle z, D w \rangle, \quad (10)$$

exists $\zeta \in (L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*, z \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T))$ with $\text{div}(z) = \zeta$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{O}_T)$, we can define, associated to the pair (z, ζ) , the distribution $(z, D w)$ in \mathcal{O}_T by

Observe that if $w \in L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T)$ and $z \in L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T, \mathcal{H}_N)$ such that there

weak derivative $\Theta \in L_1^m(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T)$,
for all test functions $\Psi \in L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U}))$ with compact support in time which admit a

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t \Theta(x) \Psi(t, x) dx dt = \int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t \Psi(x) \Theta(t, x) dx dt$$

space $(L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*, \Theta)$ of a function $u \in L_1(0, T, \mathcal{U})$ if
Definition 3 Let $\zeta \in (L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})^2)_*$. We say that ζ is the time derivative in the

that $\Psi(t) = \int_t^0 \Theta(s) ds$, the integral being taken as a Pettis integral.

$L_1^m(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T)$ if there is a function $\Theta \in L_1^m(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U})) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{O}_T)$ such
Definition 2 Let $\Psi \in L_1(0, T, BV(\mathcal{U}))$. We say Ψ admits a weak derivative in the space

we shall denote $\gamma_{z, \zeta}(x)$ by $[z, \zeta](x)$.
 $\gamma_{z, \zeta}(x)$ is the weak trace of the normal component of (z, ζ) . For simplicity of the notation,
In case $z \in C_1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}_N)$, we have $\gamma_z(x) = z(x) \cdot v(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence, the function

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_T} u \partial_t H p(x) w(x) \zeta^* \gamma_{z, \zeta}(x) dt = \langle u, \zeta \rangle$$

with $\gamma(z, \zeta) := \gamma_{z, \zeta}$, satisfying
Theorem 1.1. of [3], we can prove that there exists a linear operator $\gamma : Z(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow L_\infty(\mathcal{O})$,
where w is any function in $R(\mathcal{U})$ such that $w = u$ on \mathcal{O} . Again, working as in the proof of

$$\langle \gamma(z, \zeta), u \rangle = \langle u, \gamma(z, \zeta) \rangle$$

$(z, \zeta) \in Z(\mathcal{U})$, we define $\langle z, \zeta \rangle, u \rangle$ by setting
As a consequence, we can give the following definition: Given $u \in BV(\mathcal{U}) \cup L_\infty(\mathcal{U})$ and
 $w, v \in R(\mathcal{U})$ with $w = v$ on \mathcal{O} , then $\langle z, \zeta \rangle, u \rangle = \langle z, \zeta \rangle, v \rangle$ for all $(z, \zeta) \in Z(\mathcal{U})$.
Working as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. in [3], we obtain that $(z, \zeta) \in Z(\mathcal{U})$ and

- Spain Phone: 93 5422421, Fax: 93 5422449, email: coloma.ballester, vicente.caselles@etecn.upf.es
 Department of Technology, University of Pompeu-Fabra, La Rambla 30-32, 08002 Barcelona,
 Spain Phone: 96 3864721, Fax:, email: fuenasanta.Ambrona,Jose.M.Mazon@uv.es
 Department of Analysis, University of Valencia, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia,
 Spain Phone: 96 3864721, Fax:, email: fuenasanta.Ambrona,Jose.M.Mazon@uv.es
 [6] L. Rudin, S. Osher and E. Fatemi, *Total Variation based Noise Removal Algorithms*, Physica D. **60** (1992), 259-268.
 [5] S.N. Kruzhkov, *First order quasilinear equations in several independent variables*,
 Math. USSR-Sb. **10** (1970), 217-243.
 [4] Ph. Benilan and M.G. Crandall, *Completely Accretive Operators*, in Semigroups
 Theory and Evolution Equations, Ph. Clement et al. editors, Marcel Dekker, 1991,
 pp. 41-76.
 [3] G. Anzellotti, *Pairings Between Measures and Functions and Compensation*, Ann. di Matematica Pura ed Appl. IV (135)
 [2] F. Andreu, C. Ballester, V. Caselles and J.M. Mazón, *The Dirichlet Problem for the
 Total Variation Flow*, To appear in J. Funct. Anal.
 [1] F. Andreu, C. Ballester, V. Caselles and J.M. Mazón, *Minimizing Total Variation
 Flow*, To appear in Diff. and Int. Eq.

References

- Kruzhkov's method of doubling variables [5].
 This is the notion of solution required in Theorem 2. To prove the existence we
 approximate u_0 in L^1 norm by $u_{0n} \in L^2(\Omega)$ and use the semigroup solutions in $L^2(\Omega)$
 with initial condition u_{0n} . The uniqueness of entropy solutions is proved by means of
 a priori estimate $\|u\|_{L^1} \leq \|u_{0n}\|_{L^2}$. To prove the uniqueness we
 consider the function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, and $p \in \mathcal{T}$, where $j(p) = \int_0^T p(s) ds$.
 for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $\eta \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega_T})$, with $\eta \geq 0$, $\eta(t,x) = \phi(t)\psi(x)$, being $\phi \in D([0,T])$,
 $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, and $p \in \mathcal{T}$, where $j(p) = \int_0^T p(s) ds$.
 $\zeta \in BV(\Omega^2)_*$ is the time derivative of u in $(L^1(0,T;BV(\Omega^2))_*)$ with $\|\zeta\|_\infty \leq 1$, and $\xi \in (L^1(0,T;BV(\Omega^2))_*)$ such that
 there exist $(z(t),\zeta(t)) \in Z(\Omega)$ with $\|z(t)\|_\infty \leq 1$, and $\xi \in (L^1(0,T;BV(\Omega^2))_*)$ such that
 (3) in $\mathcal{Q}_T = (0,T) \times \Omega \times C([0,T];L^1(\Omega);L^1(0,T;BV(\Omega)))$ A $p \in \mathcal{T}$ and
Definition 5 A measurable function $u : (0,T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an entropy solution of (1),
 We consider the set $\mathcal{T} = \{T_k^+, T_k^-, T_k^- : k < 0\}$.