REMARKS ON SCALAR CURVATURE OF YAMABE SOLITONS

LI MA, VICENTE MIQUEL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the scalar curvature of Yamabe solitons. In particular we show that, with natural conditions and non positive Ricci curvature, any complete Yamabe soliton has constant scalar curvature, namely, it is a Yamabe metric. We also show that a complete non-compact Yamabe soliton with the quadratic decay at infinity of its Ricci curvature has non-negative scalar curvature. A new proof of Kazdan-Warner condition is also presented.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 35Jxx, 53Cxx Keywords: Yamabe solitons; constant scalar curvature metric

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we study the special solutions, the so called the Yamabe solitons, to the Yamabe flow, which was introduced by R.Hamilton at the same time as Ricci flow. We note that the Yamabe flow has some similar properties as Ricci flow [7][11] [10][6][4]. Since the Yamabe solitons come naturally from the blow-up procedure along the Yamabe flow [1][4][3][7], we are lead to study the Yamabe solitons on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds. We shall study some properties of the scalar curvature of the Yamabe solitons on complete non-compact Riemannian manifolds. Recall that a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a Yamabe soliton if there are a smooth vector filed X and constant ρ such that

(1)
$$(R-\rho)g = \frac{1}{2}L_Xg \text{ on } M,$$

where R is the scalar curvature and $L_X g$ is the Lie derivative of the metric g. When $X = \nabla f$ for some smooth function f, we call it the gradient Yamabe soliton. The function f above will be called the potential function and it is determined up to a constant. In this case the equation (1) becomes

(2)
$$(R-\rho)g = \nabla^2 f$$
 on M .

When the constant $\rho \geq 0$, we call the Yamabe solitons the non-expanding Yamabe solitons.

The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 10631020 and SRFDP 20090002110019, the projects DGI (Spain) and FEDER project MTM2010-15444 and the Generalitat Valenciana project Prometeo 2009/099.

In this paper we shall prove the following results on the sign of the scalar curvature R of a Yamabe soliton depending on some asymptotic behaviour of it.

Theorem 1. Let (M,g) be a complete and non-compact gradient Yamabe soliton with $\rho \ge 0$. Assume that $\underline{\lim}_{x\to\infty} R(x) \ge 0$. Then the scalar curvature R of (M,g) is non-negative. Furthermore, if (M,g) is not scalar flat, then R > 0 on M.

We shall use the argument from [9] to get another result about nonexpanding Yamabe solitons.

Theorem 2. Let (M, g) be a complete and non-compact gradient Yamabe soliton with $\rho \ge 0$. Assume that there is some point x_0 such that for some large uniform constant $R_0 > 1$,

$$\int_{\gamma} [R - 2(n-1)Ric(\gamma', \gamma')] \le \rho \ d(x),$$

for any minimizing geodesic curve γ connecting x_0 to x with $d(x, x_0) \ge R_0$. Then $R \ge 0$.

The proof of this theorem will be given in section 4.

We can show that in some cases the Yamabe solitons are Yamabe metrics, which are Riemannian metrics with constant scalar curvature.

Theorem 3. Let (M,g) be a complete and non-compact gradient Yamabe soliton such that $|R - \rho| \in L^1(M)$, $\int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) \leq 0$, and the potential function f has at most quadratic growth on M; that is,

$$|f(x)| \le Cd(x, x_0)^2$$
, $|\nabla f| \le C(1 + d(x, x_0)^2)$,

near infinity, where C is some uniform constant and $d(x, x_0)$ is the distance function from the point x to a fixed point x_0 . Then $R = \rho$ on (M, g).

We shall also study Liouville type theorem of harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral. We show the following result.

Theorem 4. Let (M, g) be a complete and non-compact Riemmnian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature. Assume that u is a harmonic function with finite weighted Dirichlet integral, i.e., for some ball $B(x_0)$,

$$\int_{M-B(x_0)} d(x, x_0)^{-2} |\nabla u|^2 < \infty.$$

Then $\nabla^2 u = 0$ on M.

Then, we shall use the idea of the proof of the above result to study the Yamabe solitons and we shall obtain

Theorem 5. Assume that the Yamabe soliton (M, g, X) has non-positive Ricci curvature. Suppose that

(3)
$$\int_{M-B(x_0)} d(x, x_0)^{-2} |X|^2 < \infty.$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

Then $\nabla X = 0$ and $R = \rho$.

Let us remark that Theorem 5 applies to Yamabe solitons in general, which do not need to be gradient nor non-expanding. When applied to nonexpanding solitons, Theorem 5 states that the only non-expanding solitons with non-positive Ricci curvature and satisfying condition (3) are the Ricciflat and steady ones.

2. Proofs of theorems 1, 4, and 5

We now start to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We denote by $Ric = (R_{ij})$ the Ricci tensor in the local coordinates (x^j) .

First we shall obtain a formula for the Laplacian of the scalar curvature of a gradient Yamabe soliton. Taking the k-derivative in (2) we have

$$\nabla_k f_{ij} = \nabla_k R g_{ij}$$

Using the Ricci formula (cf [2]) we get that

$$\nabla_i f_{jk} + R_{jikl} f_l = \nabla_k R g_{ij}.$$

By contraction for j, k,

$$\nabla_i \Delta f + R_{il} f_l = \nabla_i R.$$

Then we have

$$nR_i + R_{il}f_l = R_i.$$

This gives us that

$$-R_{il}f_l = (n-1)R_l,$$

or, written in another way,

(4) $-Ric(\nabla f, \cdot) = (n-1)\nabla R.$

Taking one more derivative we have

$$(n-1)\Delta R = -R_{il,i}f_l - R_{il}f_{il}.$$

Recall the contracted Bianchi identity

$$R_{il,i} = \frac{1}{2}R_l.$$

Then we have

$$(n-1)\Delta R = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla R, \nabla f) - R(R-\rho).$$

Hence, we have

(5)
$$(n-1)\Delta R + \frac{1}{2}g(\nabla f, \nabla R) + R^2 - \rho R = 0.$$

Using the maximum principle we can conclude the result of Theorem 1. In fact, assume that $\inf_M R(x) < 0$. Since $\underline{\lim}_{x\to\infty} R(x) \ge 0$, we know that there is some point $z \in M$ such that $R(z) = \inf_M R(x) < 0$. Then we have

$$\Delta R(z) \ge 0, \quad \nabla R(z) = 0.$$

By this we have at z that

$$(n-1)\Delta R + \frac{1}{2}g(\nabla f, \nabla R) \ge 0$$

and by (5),

$$R(z)^2 - \rho R(z) \le 0.$$

This is absurd since $R(z)^2 - \rho R(z) > 0$ for $\rho \ge 0$. The strong maximum principle implies that either R(x) > 0 or R(x) = 0 on M. \Box

The proof of Theorem 4 will be carried out via the use of the Bochner formula and the trick of integration by parts.

Proof of Theorem 4. Recall the Bochner formula (cf [2])

(6)
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\nabla u|^2 = |\nabla^2 u|^2 + g(\nabla u, \nabla \Delta u) + Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u)$$

Then using the harmonicity of u, we have

$$|\nabla^2 u|^2 + Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2.$$

Choose a cut-off function $\phi = \phi_r$ on the ball $B_{2r}(x_0)$, where r > 0 (and we let $B_r = B_r(x_0)$ for simplicity) such that

$$\phi_r = 1, \ in \ B_r; \ |\nabla \phi_r|^2 \le \frac{C}{r^2},$$

and

$$\Delta \phi_r \le \frac{C}{r^2}.$$

These imply that

$$\Delta \phi_r^2 \le \frac{C}{r^2} \to 0$$

as $r \to \infty$. Then we have

$$\int [|\nabla^2 u|^2 + Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u)]\phi_r^2 = \int \frac{1}{2}\Delta |\nabla u|^2 \phi_r^2$$

Using integration by parts and our assumption, we have

$$\int \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla u|^2 \phi_r^2 = \int \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 \Delta \phi_r^2,$$

which is, by our assumption,

$$\leq \int_{B_{2r}-B_r} \frac{C}{2r^2} |\nabla u|^2 \to 0,$$

as $r \to \infty$. Hence we have

$$\int_{M} [|\nabla^2 u|^2 + Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u)] = 0,$$

which implies that $\nabla^2 u = 0$ and $Ric(\nabla u, \nabla u) = 0$ on M. \Box

We now use the idea above to study the Yamabe solitons and give the

Proof of Theorem 5. By taking the trace, from the defining equation of Yamabe soliton, we have that a Yamabe soliton satisfies

(7)
$$divX = n(R - \rho), \text{ on } M.$$

Recall the following Bochner formula (cf [12])

$$div(L_Xg)(X) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta|X|^2 - |\nabla X|^2 + Ric(X,X) + \nabla_X div(X).$$

Then we have

(8)
$$|\nabla X|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta |X|^2 + Ric(X,X) + (n-2)\nabla_X R.$$

Fixing a cut-off function ϕ as above, we then have that

$$\int X_j \nabla_j R \phi^2 = -\int div X(R-\rho)\phi^2 + 2\phi \nabla_X \phi(R-\rho).$$

Hence,

$$\int \nabla_X R\phi^2 = -n \int (R-\rho)^2 \phi^2 - 2 \int \phi \nabla_X \phi(R-\rho).$$

Integrating (8) we have

$$\int |\nabla X|^2 \phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int (\Delta \phi^2) |X|^2 + \int Ric(X, X) \phi^2 + (n-2) \int \nabla_X R \phi^2.$$

We then obtain

$$\int |\nabla X|^2 \phi^2 + n(n-2) \int (R-\rho)^2 \phi^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int (\Delta \phi^2) |X|^2 + \int Ric(X,X) \phi^2 - 2(n-2) \int \phi \nabla_X \phi(R-\rho).$$

Using the Young and Cauchy-Schwartz inequalities we can get that

$$\int |\nabla X|^2 \phi^2 + (n-1)(n-2) \int (R-\rho)^2 \phi^2$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int (\Delta \phi^2) |X|^2 + \int Ric(X,X) \phi^2 + C(n) \int |X|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2$$

for some uniform constant C(n). Then we have proved Theorem 5. \Box

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 3 AND RELATED RESULTS

The proof of Theorem 3 follows from the following proposition (see also [5]).

Proposition 6. Let (M, g) be a Yamabe solition with smooth boundary. Then we have

$$n(n-1)\int_{M}(R-\rho)^{2}-\int_{M}Ric(\nabla f,\nabla f)=(n-1)\int_{\partial M}(R-\rho)\nabla_{\nu}f,$$

where ν is the outward unit normal to the boundary ∂M .

Proof. We use the argument from [8] (see also [5]). Note that

$$\int_M |\Delta f|^2 = \int \Delta f f_{jj}.$$

Integrating by parts we get that

$$\int \Delta f f_{jj} = \int_{\partial M} \Delta f \nabla_{\nu} f - \int \nabla \Delta f \cdot \nabla f.$$

Then using the Bochner formula (6) we have

$$\int \Delta f f_{jj} = \int_{\partial M} n(R-\rho) \nabla_{\nu} f + \int_{M} \left(|\nabla^2 f|^2 + Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 \right)$$

Notice that

$$\int_{M} \Delta |\nabla f|^{2} = \int_{\partial M} \nabla_{\nu} |\nabla f|^{2} = \int_{\partial M} 2 \langle \nabla_{\nu} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle$$
$$= 2 \int_{\partial M} \nabla^{2} f \langle \nu, \nabla f \rangle = 2 \int_{\partial M} (R - \rho) \langle \nu, \nabla f \rangle.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{M} |\Delta f|^{2} = \int_{M} \left(|\nabla^{2} f|^{2} + Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) \right) + (n-1) \int_{\partial M} (R-\rho) \nabla_{\nu} f.$$

And, using (2) in the above formula, we obtain

$$(n^{2}-n)\int_{M}(R-\rho)^{2}-\int_{M}Ric(\nabla f,\nabla f)=(n-1)\int_{\partial M}(R-\rho)\nabla_{\nu}f.$$

We now prove Theorem 3.

Proof. By Proposition 6, we know that for the dimension constant
$$C_n > 0$$
,
 $C_n \int_{B_r} |R-\rho|^2 - \int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) = (n-1) \int_{\partial B_r} (R-\rho) \nabla_{\nu} f \leq Cr \int_{\partial B_r} |R-\rho|.$
We now choose $r = r$, $\rightarrow \infty$ such that

We now choose $r = r_j \to \infty$ such that

$$r \int_{\partial B_r} |R - \rho| \to 0.$$

This is obtained by using the fact that $\int_M |R-\rho| < \infty$ and Fubini's theorem. Then, when $\int_M Ric(\nabla f, \nabla f) \leq 0$, we have

$$\int_M |R - \rho|^2 = 0,$$

which implies that $R = \rho$ on M.

We take this chance to give another proof of Kazdan-Warner condition below.

Proposition 7. Assume that X is a conformal vector field on the compact Riemannian manifold (M, g), i.e., there exists a smooth function a(x) on M such that

$$L_X g = a(x)g$$

Then we have

$$\int_{M} \nabla_X R dv_g = -\frac{2n}{n-2} \int_{\partial M} (Ric - \frac{R}{n}g)(\nu, X) d\sigma_g,$$

where ν is the outer unit normal to the boundary ∂M .

Proof. Set

$$\dot{Ric} = Ric - \frac{R}{n}g.$$

Then by the contracted Bianchi identity we get

$$\delta \dot{Ric} = -\frac{n-2}{2n}dR.$$

We now compute

$$\int_{M} \nabla_X R dv_g = -\frac{2n}{n-2} \int_{M} \delta \dot{Ric}(X) dv_g.$$

Integrating by parts we get that

$$\int_{M} \delta \dot{Ric}(X) dv_{g} = -\int_{M} (\dot{Ric}, \nabla X) dv_{g} + \int_{\partial M} \dot{Ric}(\nu, X) d\sigma_{g}.$$

We then have

$$\int_{M} \delta \dot{Ric}(X) dv_{g} = \int_{\partial M} \dot{Ric}(\nu, X) d\sigma_{g} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} (\dot{Ric}, L_{X}g) dv_{g}.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{1}{2}L_Xg = \frac{1}{2}a(x)g.$$

Since $(\dot{Ric}, g) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\int_{M} \delta \dot{Ric}(X) dv_g = \int_{\partial M} \dot{Ric}(\nu, X) d\sigma_g.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 7.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 will follow the argument of pseudo-locality theorem due to Perelman [9]. The idea of proof of Theorem 2 is similar to Perelman's Li-Yau Harnack differential inequality. To make it, we recall some well-known facts.

Define $d(x) = d(x, x_0)$. Let $\gamma(s)$ $(\gamma : [0, (x)] \to M)$ be a shortest geodesic curve from x_0 to x. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the distance function d(x) is smooth at x. Choose an orthonormal basis $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ at x_0 with $e_1 = \gamma'(0)$. Extend the basis into a parallel basis $(e_1(\gamma(s)), e_2(\gamma(s)), ..., e_n(\gamma(s)))$ along the curve $\gamma(s)$. Let $X_j(s)$ be the

Jacobian vector field along $\gamma(s)$ with $X_j(0) = 0$ and $X_j(d(x)) = e_j(d(x))$. Then we have

$$\Delta d(x) = \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{d(x)} (|X'_{j}(s)|^{2} - R(\gamma', X_{j}, \gamma', X_{j})) ds.$$

Fix some $r_0 > 0$ such that $|Ric| \le (n-1)K$ on $B_{r_0}(x_0)$. Define

$$Y_j(s) = a_j(s)e_j(s)$$

for $j \ge 2$, where $a_j(s)$ is $\frac{s}{r_0}$ on $[0, r_0]$ and $a_j(s) = 1$ on $[r_0, d(x)]$. Using the minimizing property of the Jacobi field we have

$$\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{d(x)} (|X'_{j}(s)|^{2} - R(\gamma', X_{j}, \gamma', X_{j})) ds$$
$$\leq \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{d(x)} (|Y'_{j}(s)|^{2} - R(\gamma', Y_{j}, \gamma', Y_{j})) ds.$$

By direct computation (as in[9]) we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{d(x)} (|Y_{j}'(s)|^{2} - R(\gamma', Y_{j}, \gamma', Y_{j})) ds \\ &= -\int_{0}^{d(x)} Ric(\gamma', \gamma') + \int_{0}^{r_{0}} (\frac{n-1}{r_{0}^{2}} + (1 - \frac{s^{2}}{r_{0}^{2}}) Ric(\gamma', \gamma')) ds \end{split}$$

and the latter is less than

$$-\int_{\gamma} Ric(\gamma',\gamma') + (n-1)\left(\frac{2}{3}Kr_0 + \frac{1}{r_0}\right).$$

It is easy to see that

$$g(\nabla f, \nabla d) = \nabla_{\gamma'} f(x) \le \int_{\gamma} \nabla^2 f(\gamma', \gamma') + |\nabla f(x_0)|.$$

Using

$$\nabla^2 f(\gamma', \gamma') = R - \rho,$$

we then have

$$g(\nabla f, \nabla d) \le -\rho d(x) + \int_{\gamma} R + |\nabla f(x_0)|.$$

Hence, we have, for some uniform constant C > 0, (9)

$$2(n-1)\Delta d(x) + g(\nabla f, \nabla d) \le -\rho d(x) + \int_{\gamma} [-2 \ (n-1)Ric(\gamma', \gamma') + R] + C/r_0^2$$

We may choose r_0 such that the latter is less than $\frac{4(n-1)}{r_0^2}$. For any fixed A > 2 we shall consider the new function

$$u(x) = \phi(\frac{d(x)}{Ar_0})R(x),$$

We compute

$$\Delta u(x) = R\Delta\phi + 2g(\nabla R, \nabla\phi) + \phi\Delta R.$$

Note that u = 0 outside the ball of radius $2Ar_0$.

It is clear that if $\inf_M u = 0$ for every A, then we have $R \ge 0$ on M.

If $\inf_M u < 0$ for some $A = A_0$, then $\inf_M u < 0$ for every $A > A_0$, and there is some point $x_1 \in B_{2Ar_0}(x_0)$ such that

$$u(x_1) = \inf_M u < 0.$$

Then we have $R(x_1) < 0$. By this we have

$$\phi'(x_1)R(x_1) > 0,$$

which implies $x_1 \notin B_{Ar_0}(x_0)$. Moreover, at the minimum x_1 ,

(10)
$$\nabla u = 0, \quad \Delta u \ge 0.$$

The following differential inequality by now is more or less a standard computation (see [9]), but we shall give the details for the convenience of the reader. Using these two properties (10) and the equations (5) and (9) we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u(x_1) &= \left(\frac{D}{(Ar_0)^2} + \frac{h}{Ar_0}\Delta d\right) u(x_1) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\frac{h}{Ar_0}(\nabla f, \nabla d)u(x_1) \\ &+ \frac{1}{n-1}\rho \ u(x_1) - \phi R^2 - 2h^2 \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2}u(x_1) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{D}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{2h^2}{(Ar_0)^2}\right) u(x_1) - \frac{1}{n-1}\phi R^2 \\ &+ \frac{h}{Ar_0}[\Delta d + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}\langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle]u(x_1) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{D}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{2h^2}{(Ar_0)^2}\right) u(x_1) - \frac{1}{(n-1)\phi}u(x_1)^2 + \frac{2h}{(Ar_0)^2}u(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Delta u(x_1) \le \frac{|u(x_1)|}{\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{A^2 r_0^2} \left[\frac{2\phi'^2}{\phi} + 2|\phi'| + |\phi''| \right] - \frac{1}{n-1} |u(x_1)| \right\}.$$

For some uniform constant C > 0, we have

$$2|\phi'| \le C, \quad \frac{2\phi'^2}{\phi} \le C, \quad |\phi''| \le C.$$

Then we can show that

$$|u(x_1)| \le \frac{(n-1) C}{A^2 r_0^2}.$$

The latter implies that

$$R(x) \ge -\frac{(n-1) C}{A^2 r_0^2}$$
 on $B_{2Ar_0}(x_0)$.

Sending $A \to \infty$, we get that $R \ge 0$ on M.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was done when the first named author was visiting Valencia University and he would like to thank the hospitality of its Department of Geometry and Topology. The authors thank the unknown referee for helpful comments.

References

- Yinglian An and Li Ma, *The Maximum Principle and the Yamabe Flow*, in "Partial Differential Equations and Their Applications", World Scientific, Singapore, pp.211-224, 1999.
- [2] T. Aubin, Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry, Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] B.Chow, Yamabe flow on locally conformal flat manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 45 (1992), pp. 1003-1014.
- [4] X.Dai and L.Ma, Mass under Ricci flow, Commun. Math. Phys., 274(2007)65-80.
- [5] P.Daskalopoulos, N.Sesum, The classification of locally conformally flat Yamabe solitons, arxiv.org, 2011.
- [6] R. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, Mathematics and general relativity, Contemporary Math., Vol. 71, AMS, (1988), 237-262.
- [7] L. Ma, L.Cheng, properties of Yamabe solitons, Ann. Global. Ann. and Geom., 40(2011)379-387.
- [8] L.Ma, S.Du, Extension of Reilly formula with applications to eigenvalue estimates for drifting Laplacians, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 348 (2010)1203-1206.
- [9] Grisha Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211159v1.
- [10] M.Struwe, H.Schwetlick, Convergence of the Yamabe flow for 'large' energies, J. Reine Angew. Math., 562(2003)59-100.
- [11] R. Ye, Global existence and convergence of the Yamabe flow, J. Differential Geom., 39(1994)35-50.
- [12] Yano, S.Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers, Princeton Univ. Press, 1949.

LI MA: DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HENAN NOR-MAL UNIVERSITY, XINXIANG, 453007, CHINA

E-mail address: lma@tsinghua.edu.cn

VICENTE MIQUEL: DEPARTMENT OF GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF VALENCIA, AV. VICENT ANDRÉS ESTEIIÉS, 1 46100, BURJASSOT, VALENCIA, SPAIN *E-mail address*: miquel@uv.es

10