

WHERE NOT TO PUBLISH: List and analysis of potential editorial scams in academic journals in Health Sciences

TABLE OF CONTENT

1. AIM	2
2. METHODOLOGY	2
2.1. Compilation of the list of core journals	2
2.2. Selection of quality resources for the analysis	2
3. COLLATION OF JOURNALS	3
4. PRESENTATION OF DATA	4
5. MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE TOOL	5
6. REFERENCES	6

1. AIM

The aim of this work is to offer a tool for identifying potentially fraudulent journals in Health Sciences, as well as for the analysis of these journals through the elaboration of a quality standard that allows corroborating or questioning the fraudulent nature of the publication.

2. METHODOLOGY

First of all, a list of potentially fraudulent health journals was compiled. It was obtained from two groups of sources: blacklists and specialized bibliography. From this list, we have worked on the selection of other types of sources to elaborate a quality standard for analyzing each of the selected publications (9 sources in total).

2.1. Compilation of the list of core journals

✓ Journals added from blacklists:

There are several blacklists of potentially fraudulent publications. One of the premises of our work was that we should work with open blacklists:

- [Beall List](#)
- [Kscien List](#)
- [\(New\) List of predatory journals - 2023](#)
- [No DOAJ](#)

All these sources are multidisciplinary, not focused on Health Sciences. In order to obtain a list of health journals, a previous work of detection and selection of titles has been performed, using terms from the MeSH Thesaurus.

✓ Journals added from articles in specific disciplines in the Health area:

There is an extensive (and growing) academic literature focusing on scam journals in specific medical disciplines. Many articles provide a detailed list of fraudulent journals in their fields, which assists researchers in that field in the task of selecting -or discarding- a journal.

These journals are also incorporated to our list, based on different articles, which are referenced at the end of the document.

2.2. Selection of quality resources for the analysis

Once the core list of potentially fraudulent journals had been compiled, the sources of quality control have been selected and used to verify their reliability. Among the

various quality indicators for measuring the degree of trustworthiness of a health journal, the following have been selected:

- ✓ **Databases.** The inclusion of a journal in any of these databases is an indicator of quality, either because the source follows strict acceptance criteria in its selection processes, or because they are comprehensive databases that include all academic journals, or all open access academic journals:
 - [Web of Science](#)
 - [Medline](#)
 - [Scopus](#)
 - [Ulrichweb](#)¹
 - [DOAJ](#)
- ✓ **Publishers' recommendations.** The presence of titles in the lists of entities that ensure compliance with the principles of transparency, ethics and good practices in the publication of academic journals is also an evidence of quality. Four different publishers' associations have been chosen to be included in the tool:
 - [WAME](#)
 - [COPE](#)
 - [ISMTE](#)
- ✓ **Scientific integrity.** As a further addition, the [Retraction Watch](#) database, which periodically publishes retractions of academic articles in scientific journals, has been included.

3. COLLATION OF JOURNALS

Thus, we have on one hand a list of potentially fraudulent health journals to analyze their reliability, and on the other hand a selection of quality sources that will be useful for this analysis.

The tool allows us to check the journals on our list against all the sources that conform the quality standard designed, verifying whether they are indexed or meet the quality criteria, in order to confirm or question their fraudulent nature.

Checking the journals against all these criteria allows us to have more information about the quality of the publication, and to offer more information to health researchers when

¹ Sólo se tuvieron en cuenta aquellas publicaciones que informaban tener evaluación por pares en el directorio *Ulrichweb*.

selecting (or discarding) a journal to publish in.

4. PRESENTATION OF DATA

The design of the tool has been done with the free software Datatables, which allows to transform regular HTML tables into interactive and dynamic tables with a wide range of features and functionalities, including searching, sorting, filters, and even the ability to export data in different formats such as Excel, CSV, PDF, etc.

At a first level of visualization, you can see the list of journals ordered by title (linked to the journal's own website), with information on ISSN and eISSN, country and subject. It allows you to choose the number of records to display (between 10 and 100), and you can search for a specific title in the search box.

10 entries per page

Search:

Title	ISSN	eISSN	Country	Subject
▶ 21st Century Pathology	No ISSN	No EISSN		Pathology
▶ Academic Journal of Medical Sciences (AJMS)		2708-2725		Medical Sciences
▶ Academic Journal of Oral and Dental Medicine	2222-128X			Dentistry
▶ Acta Kinesiologica	1840-2976	1840-3700		Orthopaedics
▶ Acta Medica International	2349-0578	2349-0896		Medical Sciences
▶ Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica	0001-6837	2353-5288		Pharmacy and Pharmacology
▶ Acta Scientiae et Intellectus	2410-9738	2519-1896		Multidisciplinary Sciences
▶ Acta Velit		2394-3092		Pharmacy and Pharmacology
▶ Adalya Journal – hijacker of a legitimate journal		1301-2746		Multidisciplinary Sciences
▶ Advance Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Discoveries		2456-1045		Multidisciplinary Sciences

Showing 1 to 10 of 1,110 entries

« < 1 2 3 4 5 ... 111 > »

To obtain the information resulting from the analysis of the journal (inclusion or not in the sources that make up the quality standard), please click on the arrow next to the title of each journal to expand the content.

For each source, there is a graphic indication of whether the journal is indexed or not, and at the end the source that has been used to determine its inclusion in the list of potentially fraudulent journals is shown.

10 entries per page Search:

Title	ISSN	eISSN	Country	Subject
▼ 21st Century Pathology	No ISSN	No EISSN		Pathology
Publisher 21st Century Publisher Start Year 2021- WoS ✗ Medline ✗ Scopus ✗ Doaj ✗ Ulrich's ✗ JournalGuide ✗ JournalTOCs ✗ Retraction Watch ✗ ICMJE ✗ COPE ✗ WAME ✗ ISMTE ✗ References: http://kscien.org/predatory.php?id=2				

5. MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE TOOL

For detailed information on the design of the *Where Not to Publish* tool, please refer to the following article:

Álvarez-Vizcaino, M., & Climent Piqueras, B. (2023). Herramienta para la identificación y análisis de revistas depredadoras en ciencias biomédicas. *Revista Española De Documentación Científica*, 46(4), e373. <https://doi.org/10.3989/redc.2023.4.1445>

6. REFERENCES

These references have been updated in 2025, including a list of predatory journals in specific medical disciplines:

1. AlAhmad YM, Abdelhafez I, Cyprian FS, Skenderi F, Akhtar S, Vranic S. A Critical Appraisal of Predatory Journals in Pathology. *BioRxiv* [Internet]. 2018; Available from: <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/482174v1.full>
2. Boukacem-Zeghmouri C., Pergola L, Castaneda H. Exploring authors engagement in journals with questionable practices: a case study of OMICS. *Malaysian J Libr Inf Sci* [Internet]. 2023 Aug 23;28(2):103–28. Available from: <https://mjlis.um.edu.my/index.php/MJLIS/article/view/44336>
3. Byard RW. The forensic implications of predatory publishing. *Forensic Sci Med Pathol* [Internet]. 2016 Dec 2;12(4):391–3. Available from: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12024-016-9771-3>
4. Calzolari A, Odetto D. Un caso de publicación en revista médica pirata. *Rev del Hosp Ital Buenos Aires*. 2022 Dec 29;42(4).
5. Dal-Ré R. Publicaciones en revistas potencialmente depredadoras. *An Pediatría* [Internet]. 2019 Jun;90(6):409–10. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S169540331930027X>
6. Deora H, Tripathi M, Chaurasia B, Grotenhuis JA. Avoiding predatory publishing for early career neurosurgeons: what should you know before you submit? *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* [Internet]. 2021 Jan 26;163(1):1–8. Available from: <https://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00701-020-04546-9>
7. Gallo M, Gallo L, Mowakket S, Murphy J, Duku E, Thoma A. Identifying Predatory Journals in Plastic Surgery: A Prospective Study. *Plast Surg* [Internet]. 2022 May 19;30(2):144–50. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/22925503211002456>
8. Greenblatt DJ, Bertino JS. Opportunistic Journals in the Clinical Pharmacology Space. *J Clin Pharmacol* [Internet]. 2018 May 20;58(5):567–71. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jcph.1130>
9. Hansoti B, Langdorf M, Murphy L. Discriminating Between Legitimate and Predatory Open Access Journals: Report from the International Federation for Emergency Medicine Research Committee. *West J Emerg Med* [Internet]. 2016 Sep 1;17(5):497–507. Available from: <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/64f3v9fj>
10. Hosain MS. Why do some authors from developing countries publish papers in predatory journals? Evidence from phone interviews. *Equity Educ Soc* [Internet]. 2023 Nov 23;2(3):292–302. Available from: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/27526461231163328>
11. Huseynova Z, Pandis N, Faggion CM. Presumed predatory journals are abundant in oral health. *J Evid Based Dent Pract* [Internet]. 2021 Jun;21(2):101539. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1532338221000142>

12. Leducq S, Bonsu N, Clement K, Barlow R, Williams HC. Predator and Alien: the threat of predatory journals and conferences. Clin Exp Dermatol [Internet]. 2023 Jul 21;48(8):847–53. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/ced/article/48/8/847/7107418>
13. Lüers JC, Jansen S, Klußmann JP. Raubtier-Journale im HNO-Fachgebiet. Laryngo-Rhino-Otologie [Internet]. 2023 Feb 27;102(02):111–7. Available from: <http://www.thieme-connect.de/DOI/DOI?10.1055/a-1956-9479>
14. Maddy AJ, Tosti A. Predatory journals in dermatology. Br J Dermatol. 2017;177(1):307–9.
15. Manca A, Martinez G, Cugusi L, Dragone D, Dvir Z, Deriu F. The surge of predatory open-access in neurosciences and neurology. Neuroscience [Internet]. 2017 Jun;353:166–73. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0306452217302634>
16. Manca A, Martinez G, Cugusi L, Dragone D, Mercurio G, Deriu F. Predatory Open Access in Rehabilitation. Arch Phys Med Rehabil [Internet]. 2017 May;98(5):1051–6. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0003999317300114>
17. Manterola C, Salgado C, Rivadeneira J. Revistas depredadoras y secuestradas en cirugía. Qué se debe saber sobre ellas y cómo evitarlas. Rev Cir (Mex) [Internet]. 2023 Jul 18;75(4). Available from: <https://revistacirugia.cl/index.php/revistacirugia/article/view/1787>
18. Sharma K, Rani M, Sharma L. Scrutinizing predator journals in pharmacology and calculating their predatory rate. Indian J Pharmacol [Internet]. 2019;51(3):208. Available from: <http://www.ijp-online.com/text.asp?2019/51/3/208/262458>
19. Türp J, Antes G. “Greetings for the day!” Unsolicited e-mails from questionable journals. Dtsch Zahnärztl Z Int [Internet]. 2020;2(4):109–13. Available from: <https://www.quintessence-publishing.com/deu/de/article/3667863/dzz-international/2020/04/greetings-for-the-day-unsolicited-e-mails-from-questionable-journals>
20. van Loon OR, van Loon AJ (Tom). Keeping medical science trustworthy: The threat by predatory journals. Complement Ther Med [Internet]. 2023 Sep;76:102943. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0965229923000304>
21. Yan JR, Baldawi H, Lex JR, Simchovich G, Baisi L-P, Bozzo A, et al. Predatory Publishing in Orthopaedic Research. J Bone Jt Surg [Internet]. 2018 Nov;100(21):e138. Available from: <http://insights.ovid.com/crossref?an=00004623-201811070-00011>

Date of update: 15/07/2025