

Energy of determinantal point processes in the torus and the sphere

C. Beltrán (U. Cantabria)
J. Marzo (U. Barcelona)
& J. Ortega-Cerdà (U. Barcelona)

Bilbao, March 10, 2018

Point process

We denote as $\mathcal{X}$ a (simple) random point process in a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let μ be a measure in Ω .

Point process

We denote as $\mathcal{X}$ a (simple) random point process in a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let μ be a measure in Ω .

We denote by $\mathcal{X}(D)$ the random variable counting the number of points in $D \subset \Omega$.

Point process

We denote as $\mathcal{X}$ a (simple) random point process in a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let μ be a measure in Ω .

We denote by $\mathcal{X}(D)$ the random variable counting the number of points in $D \subset \Omega$.

They are characterized by their joint intensity functions ρ_k in Ω^k satisfying that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}(D_1) \cdots \mathcal{X}(D_k)] = \int_{D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k} \rho_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) d\mu(x_1) \cdots d\mu(x_k),$$

for any mutually disjoint subsets $D_1, \dots, D_k \subset \Omega$.

Determinantal point process

Definition

A determinantal point process A is a random point process such that the joint intensities have the form:

$$\rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(K(x_i, x_j)_{i,j \leq n}).$$

Determinantal point process

Definition

A determinantal point process A is a random point process such that the joint intensities have the form:

$$\rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(K(x_i, x_j)_{i,j \leq n}).$$

The joint intensities ρ_k are very convenient. They satisfy:

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_k} f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \int f(x_1, \dots, x_k) \rho_k(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

for any f symmetric bounded and of compact support.

Determinantal process: the origin

They were systematically studied at the end of 70's by Macchi because they are a good model for fermions:

Determinantal process: the origin

They were systematically studied at the end of 70's by Macchi because they are a good model for fermions:
In quantum mechanics the position of a particle is represented by a wave function ψ such that $\int |\psi|^2 = 1$. If we have n particles, the global system is defined by a global wave function $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Determinantal process: the origin

They were systematically studied at the end of 70's by Macchi because they are a good model for fermions:

In quantum mechanics the position of a particle is represented by a wave function ψ such that $\int |\psi|^2 = 1$. If we have n particles, the global system is defined by a global wave function $\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If we want to model fermions by Pauli exclusion principle the composite wave function must be antisymmetric in the different variables. This suggests

$$\Psi = c \det(\psi_i(x_j))$$

Then

$$|\Psi|^2 = c_N^2 \det(K(x_i, x_j))$$

where $K(x, y) = \sum \psi_i(x) \bar{\psi}_i(y)$

General facts

If the point process has n points almost surely then the kernel K defines an integral operator: the orthogonal projection onto a subspace of L^2 of dimension n .

General facts

If the point process has n points almost surely then the kernel K defines an integral operator: the orthogonal projection onto a subspace of L^2 of dimension n .

In general

Theorem (Macchi, Soshnikov)

An hermitic kernel $K(x, y)$ corresponds to a determinantal point process if and only if the integral operator $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ has all eigenvalues $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

General facts

If the point process has n points almost surely then the kernel K defines an integral operator: the orthogonal projection onto a subspace of L^2 of dimension n .

In general

Theorem (Macchi, Soshnikov)

An hermitic kernel $K(x, y)$ corresponds to a determinantal point process if and only if the integral operator $T : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$ has all eigenvalues $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Moreover:

Theorem (Shirai, Takahashi)

In a determinantal process, the number of points that fall in a compact set D has the same distribution as a sum of independent Bernoulli(λ_i^D) random variables where λ_i^D are the eigenvalues of the operator T restricted to D .

Determinantal processes everywhere

This algebraic structure is very prevalent. Some notorious examples of determinantal point processes:

- Some physical models of fermions. (Macchi, Soshnikov)

Determinantal processes everywhere

This algebraic structure is very prevalent. Some notorious examples of determinantal point processes:

- Some physical models of fermions. (Macchi, Soshnikov)
- The eigenvalues of random matrices: The GUE ensemble, the CUE ensemble, the Ginibre ensemble (Wigner, Weyl and Dyson, Ginibre)

Determinantal processes everywhere

This algebraic structure is very prevalent. Some notorious examples of determinantal point processes:

- Some physical models of fermions. (Macchi, Soshnikov)
- The eigenvalues of random matrices: The GUE ensemble, the CUE ensemble, the Ginibre ensemble (Wigner, Weyl and Dyson, Ginibre)
- Zeros of random polynomials of type $\sum a_n z^n$ where a_n are i.i.d. random variables with distribution $N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$. (Peres and Virag)

Determinantal processes everywhere

This algebraic structure is very prevalent. Some notorious examples of determinantal point processes:

- Some physical models of fermions. (Macchi, Soshnikov)
- The eigenvalues of random matrices: The GUE ensemble, the CUE ensemble, the Ginibre ensemble (Wigner, Weyl and Dyson, Ginibre)
- Zeros of random polynomials of type $\sum a_n z^n$ where a_n are i.i.d. random variables with distribution $N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$. (Peres and Virag)
- Non-intersecting random walks (Karlin and Mc Gregor)

Determinantal processes everywhere

This algebraic structure is very prevalent. Some notorious examples of determinantal point processes:

- Some physical models of fermions. (Macchi, Soshnikov)
- The eigenvalues of random matrices: The GUE ensemble, the CUE ensemble, the Ginibre ensemble (Wigner, Weyl and Dyson, Ginibre)
- Zeros of random polynomials of type $\sum a_n z^n$ where a_n are i.i.d. random variables with distribution $N_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$. (Peres and Virag)
- Non-intersecting random walks (Karlin and Mc Gregor)
- Uniform spanning trees (Burton and Pemantle) and (Benjamini, Lyons, Peres and Schramm)

The torus

Let $\Lambda = A\mathbb{Z}^d$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the fundamental domain. One can identify Ω with the flat torus $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$.

The torus

Let $\Lambda = A\mathbb{Z}^d$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be the fundamental domain. One can identify Ω with the flat torus $\mathbb{R}^d/\Lambda$.

The dual lattice

$$\Lambda^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad \langle x, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

is given by the matrix $(A^t)^{-1}$.

We denote by $|\Lambda| = |\det A|$, the co-volume of Λ and d_μ is the normalized measure in Ω

The periodic potential

For $s > d$, the Epstein Hurwitz zeta function for the lattice Λ defined by

$$\zeta_{\Lambda}(s; x) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|x + v|^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is the Λ -periodic potential generated by the Riesz s -energy $|x|^{-s}$.

The periodic potential

For $s > d$, the Epstein Hurwitz zeta function for the lattice Λ defined by

$$\zeta_{\Lambda}(s; x) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|x + v|^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is the Λ -periodic potential generated by the Riesz s -energy $|x|^{-s}$.

$$F_{s,\Lambda}(x) = \zeta_{\Lambda}(s; x) + \frac{2\pi^{d/2}|\Lambda|^{-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)}, \quad s > d,$$

The periodic potential

For $s > d$, the Epstein Hurwitz zeta function for the lattice Λ defined by

$$\zeta_{\Lambda}(s; x) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|x + v|^s}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

is the Λ -periodic potential generated by the Riesz s -energy $|x|^{-s}$.

$$F_{s,\Lambda}(x) = \zeta_{\Lambda}(s; x) + \frac{2\pi^{d/2}|\Lambda|^{-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)}, \quad s > d,$$

$$\sum_{v \in \Lambda} \int_1^{+\infty} e^{-|x+v|^2 t} \frac{t^{\frac{s}{2}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} dt + \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} e^{2\pi i \langle x, w \rangle} \int_0^1 \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{t^{d/2}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2 |w|^2}{t}} \frac{t^{\frac{s}{2}-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} dt$$

The energy in the torus

For $\omega \in \Omega^N$ define, for $0 < s < d$, the periodic Riesz s -energy of $\omega = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ by

$$E_{s,\Lambda}(\omega) = \sum_{k \neq j} F_{s,\Lambda}(x_k - x_j),$$

and the minimal periodic Riesz s -energy by

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,\Lambda}(N) = \inf_{\omega \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N} E_{s,\Lambda}(\omega_N).$$

This was considered by Hardin, Saff and Simanek who computed the leading terms.

Known results in the torus

Hardin, Saff, Simanek and Su proved that for $0 < s < d$ there exists a constant $C_{s,d}$ independent of Λ such that for $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,\Lambda}(N) = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}|\Lambda|^{-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)}N^2 + C_{s,d}|\Lambda|^{-s/d}N^{1+\frac{s}{d}} + o(N^{1+\frac{s}{d}}).$$

It is also shown that for $0 < s < d$

$$C_{s,d} \leq \inf_{\Lambda} \zeta_{\Lambda}(s),$$

where Λ runs on the lattices with $|\Lambda| = 1$.

Known results in the torus

Hardin, Saff, Simanek and Su proved that for $0 < s < d$ there exists a constant $C_{s,d}$ independent of Λ such that for $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,\Lambda}(N) = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}|\Lambda|^{-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)}N^2 + C_{s,d}|\Lambda|^{-s/d}N^{1+\frac{s}{d}} + o(N^{1+\frac{s}{d}}).$$

It is also shown that for $0 < s < d$

$$C_{s,d} \leq \inf_{\Lambda} \zeta_{\Lambda}(s),$$

where Λ runs on the lattices with $|\Lambda| = 1$. The Epstein zeta function $\zeta_{\Lambda}(s)$ defined by

$$\zeta_{\Lambda}(s) = \sum_{v \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|v|^s}, \quad s > d,$$

can be extended analytically to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{d\}$.

Some estimates

Sarnak and Strömbergsson observed that

$$\int \zeta_{\Lambda}(s) d\lambda_d(\Lambda) = 0,$$

thus $C_{s,d} < 0$.

Some estimates

Sarnak and Strömbergsson observed that

$$\int \zeta_{\Lambda}(s) d\lambda_d(\Lambda) = 0,$$

thus $C_{s,d} < 0$.

But all explicitly known lattices in large dimensions are such that the corresponding Epstein zeta function have a zero in $0 < s < d$.

Some estimates

Sarnak and Strömbergsson observed that

$$\int \zeta_{\Lambda}(s) d\lambda_d(\Lambda) = 0,$$

thus $C_{s,d} < 0$.

But all explicitly known lattices in large dimensions are such that the corresponding Epstein zeta function have a zero in $0 < s < d$.

The value of $C_{s,d}$ it is known only for $d = 1$ and $C_{s,1} = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}}(s) = 2\zeta(s)$. For $d = 2$ it is known that $\inf_{\Lambda} \zeta_{\Lambda}(s)$ is attained for the triangular lattice.

Determinantal point process

To define the processes we will consider only projection kernels.

Definition

We say that K is a projection kernel if it is a Hermitian projection kernel, i.e. the integral operator in $L^2(\mu)$ with kernel K is selfadjoint and has eigenvalues 1 and 0.

A projection kernel $K(x, y)$ defines a determinantal process with N points a.s. if the trace for the corresponding integral operator equals N , i.e. if

$$\int_{\Omega} K(x, x) d\mu(x) = N.$$

Translation invariant kernels

For $w \in \Lambda^*$, the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions $f_w(u) = e^{2\pi i \langle u, w \rangle}$ of eigenvalue $-4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle$ i.e. satisfying

$$\Delta f_w + 4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle f_w = 0,$$

are orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega)$, with respect to the normalized lebesgue measure μ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} f_w(u) \overline{f_{w'}(u)} d\mu(u) = \delta_{w, w'}$$

for $w, w' \in \Lambda^*$.

Translation invariant kernels

For $w \in \Lambda^*$, the Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions $f_w(u) = e^{2\pi i \langle u, w \rangle}$ of eigenvalue $-4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle$ i.e. satisfying

$$\Delta f_w + 4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle f_w = 0,$$

are orthonormal in $L^2(\Omega)$, with respect to the normalized lebesgue measure μ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} f_w(u) \overline{f_{w'}(u)} d\mu(u) = \delta_{w, w'}$$

for $w, w' \in \Lambda^*$.

We consider functions $\kappa = (\kappa_N)_{N \geq 0}$ where each $\kappa_N : \Lambda^* \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$ has compact support define the kernels

$$K_N(u, v) = \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) e^{2\pi i \langle u - v, w \rangle},$$

Expected Energies

The expected periodic Riesz s -energy of T_N points is

$$\mathbb{E}(E_{s,\Lambda}(x)) = \int_{\Omega^2} (T_N^2 - |K_N(u, v)|^2) F_{s,\Lambda}(u - v) d\mu(u) d\mu(v).$$

Expected Energies

The expected periodic Riesz s -energy of T_N points is

$$\mathbb{E}(E_{s,\Lambda}(x)) = \int_{\Omega^2} (T_N^2 - |K_N(u, v)|^2) F_{s,\Lambda}(u - v) d\mu(u) d\mu(v).$$

Theorem

*Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{T_N})$ be drawn from the determinantal process
Then, for $0 < s < d$, the expected energy is*

$$\frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)|\Lambda|^{-1}}(T_N^2 - T_N) - \frac{\pi^{s-\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma\left(\frac{d-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)|\Lambda|} \sum_{\substack{w, w' \in \Lambda^* \\ w \neq w'}} \frac{\kappa_N(w)\kappa_N(w')}{|w - w'|^{d-s}}.$$

Frequencies in an open set

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with $|\partial\mathcal{D}| = 0$. Take

$$k_N(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in \Lambda^* \cap N^{1/d}\mathcal{D}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Frequencies in an open set

Definition

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, bounded with $|\partial\mathcal{D}| = 0$. Take

$$k_N(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w \in \Lambda^* \cap N^{1/d}\mathcal{D}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition

Let $|\Lambda||\mathcal{D}| = 1$. Then $\mathbb{E}_{x \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{N_*}}(E_{s,\Lambda}(x))$ is

$$\frac{2\pi^{d/2}|\Lambda|^{-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(d-s)} N_*^2 - \frac{\pi^{s-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)|\Lambda|} I_{\mu^*}^{\mathcal{D}} N_*^{1+s/d} + o(N_*^{1+s/d}),$$

$$I_{\mu^*}^{\mathcal{D}} = \int_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{d-s}} d\mu^*(x) d\mu^*(y),$$

Ω^* is a fundamental domain for Λ^* and $\mu^*(\Omega^*) = 1$.

Final optimization

A natural question is now, given a fixed lattice Λ , to find the optimal $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem (Riesz inequality)

Given f, g, H nonnegative functions in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $h(x) = H(|x|)$ symmetrically decreasing. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)g(y)H(|x-y|)dxdy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{f}(x)\tilde{g}(y)H(|x-y|)dxdy,$$

where $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$ are the symmetric decreasing rearrangements of f and g .

Upper bounds for the minimal Energy

Proposition

If we take

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{B}_d(0, r_d), \text{ with } r_d = \left(\frac{d}{\omega_{d-1} |\det A|} \right)^{1/d}.$$


Then

$$\frac{\pi^{s-\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) |\Lambda|^{1-\frac{s}{d}}} I_{\mu^*}^{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-s}{2}\right) \Gamma(d+1) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{2^{d+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+s}{2} + 1\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2}\right)}.$$

$$d = 1$$

In the one-dimensional case $C_{s,1} = 2\zeta(s)$ and our bound is

$$-\frac{\pi^{s-1/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}\frac{2}{s(s+1)}.$$



Riesz Potentials in the sphere

Given a Riesz potential:

$$K_\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} |x - y|^{-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha > 0 \\ \log |x - y|^{-1} & \text{if } \alpha = 0, \end{cases}$$


and given n points $\mathcal{P}_n$ at the sphere, we want to minimize the energy

$$E_\alpha = \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{P}_n, x \neq y} K_\alpha(x, y),$$


among all collections of points $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathbb{S}^d$. When $\alpha = d - 2$ we have the Newtonian potential that corresponds to the Thomson problem. When $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we recover Tammes problem.

“Well distributed” points on the sphere

$$\mathbb{S}^d = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_1^2 + \dots + x_{d+1}^2 = 1\}$$



“Well distributed” points on the sphere



· R. Womersley web <http://web.maths.unsw.edu.au/~rsw/Sphere/> 529 Fekete points

It is known that (Alexander, Stolarsky, Wagner, Kuijlaars, Saff, Brauchart) for $d \geq 2$ and $0 < s < d$ there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that

$$-cn^{1+s/d} \leq \mathcal{E}(s, n) - V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 \leq -Cn^{1+s/d},$$

for $n \geq 2$.

It is known that (Alexander, Stolarsky, Wagner, Kuijlaars, Saff, Brauchart) for $d \geq 2$ and $0 < s < d$ there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that

$$-cn^{1+s/d} \leq \mathcal{E}(s, n) - V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 \leq -Cn^{1+s/d},$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Conjecture (BHS) : there is a constant $A_{s,d}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(s, n) = V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 + \frac{A_{s,d}}{\omega_d^{s/d}} n^{1+s/d} + o(n^{1+s/d}).$$

Furthermore, when $d = 2, 4, 8, 24$

$$A_{s,d} = |\Lambda_d|^{s/d} \zeta_{\Lambda_d}(s), \quad (1)$$

where $|\Lambda_d|$ stands for the co-volume and $\zeta_{\Lambda_d}(s)$ for the Epstein zeta function of the lattice Λ_d . Here Λ_d denotes the hexagonal lattice for $d = 2$, the root lattices D_4 for $d = 4$ and E_8 for $d = 8$ and the Leech lattice for $d = 24$.

It is known that (Alexander, Stolarsky, Wagner, Kuijlaars, Saff, Brauchart) for $d \geq 2$ and $0 < s < d$ there exist constants $C, c > 0$ such that

$$-cn^{1+s/d} \leq \mathcal{E}(s, n) - V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 \leq -Cn^{1+s/d},$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Conjecture (BHS) : there is a constant $A_{s,d}$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}(s, n) = V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 + \frac{A_{s,d}}{\omega_d^{s/d}} n^{1+s/d} + o(n^{1+s/d}).$$

Furthermore, when $d = 2, 4, 8, 24$

$$A_{s,d} = |\Lambda_d|^{s/d} \zeta_{\Lambda_d}(s), \tag{1}$$

where $|\Lambda_d|$ stands for the co-volume and $\zeta_{\Lambda_d}(s)$ for the Epstein zeta function of the lattice Λ_d . Here Λ_d denotes the hexagonal lattice for $d = 2$, the root lattices D_4 for $d = 4$ and E_8 for $d = 8$ and the Leech lattice for $d = 24$.

Recall that in the logarithmic case the constant exist.

Spherical ensembles

Krishnapur considered the following point process: Let A, B be n by n random matrices with i.i.d. Gaussian entries. Then he proved that the generalized eigenvalues associated to the pair (A, B) , i.e. the eigenvalues of $A^{-1}B$ have joint probability density (wrt Lebesgue measure):

$$C_n \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 + |z_k|^2)^{n+1}} \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^2.$$

Spherical ensembles


Krishnapur considered the following point process: Let A, B be n by n random matrices with i.i.d. Gaussian entries. Then he proved that the generalized eigenvalues associated to the pair (A, B) , i.e. the eigenvalues of $A^{-1}B$ have joint probability density (wrt Lebesgue measure):

$$C_n \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 + |z_k|^2)^{n+1}} \prod_{i < j} |z_i - z_j|^2.$$


If we consider the stereographic projection to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, then the joint density (with respect to the product area measure in the sphere) is

$$K_n \prod_{i < j} \|P_i - P_j\|_{\mathbb{R}^3}^2.$$

Spherical ensemble dimension: 3200



Spherical ensemble 25281 points



The harmonic ensemble in $\mathbb{S}^d$

Let Π_L of spherical harmonics of degree at most L in $\mathbb{S}^d$.

By Christoffel-Darboux formula the reproducing kernel of Π_L

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\pi_L}{\binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}} P_L^{(1+\lambda, \lambda)}(\langle x, y \rangle), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

where $\lambda = \frac{d-2}{2}$ and the Jacobi polynomials are

$$P_L^{(1+\lambda, \lambda)}(1) = \binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}.$$

By definition

$$P(x) = \langle P, K_L(\cdot, x) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d} K_L(x, y) P(y) d\mu(y), \text{ for } P \in \Pi_L.$$

Π_L is the space of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^d$,

$$\dim \Pi_L = \pi_L = \frac{2}{\Gamma(d+1)} L^d + o(L^d),$$

and $K_L(x, x) = \pi_L$ for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^d$.

The harmonic ensemble in $\mathbb{S}^d$

The harmonic ensemble is the determinantal point process in $\mathbb{S}^d$ with π_L points a.s. induced by the kernel

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\pi_L}{\binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}} P_L^{(1+\lambda, \lambda)}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

.

The harmonic ensemble in $\mathbb{S}^d$

The harmonic ensemble is the determinantal point process in $\mathbb{S}^d$ with π_L points a.s. induced by the kernel

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\pi_L}{\binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}} P_L^{(1+\lambda, \lambda)}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

We study different aspects of this process:

The harmonic ensemble in $\mathbb{S}^d$

The harmonic ensemble is the determinantal point process in $\mathbb{S}^d$ with π_L points a.s. induced by the kernel

$$K_L(x, y) = \frac{\pi_L}{\binom{L+\frac{d}{2}}{L}} P_L^{(1+\lambda, \lambda)}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

We study different aspects of this process:

- Expected Riesz energies
- Linear statistics and spherical cap discrepancy
- Separation distance
- Energy optimality among isotropic processes

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $n = \pi_L$ be drawn from the harmonic ensemble. Then, for $0 < s < d$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in (\mathbb{S}^d)^n}(E_s(x)) = V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 - C_{s,d}n^{1+s/d} + o(n^{1+s/d}),$$

for some explicit constant $C_{s,d} > 0$.

The general case (and the limiting cases) are more difficult: we improve the constants or match the order ($s=d$).

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $n = \pi_L$ be drawn from the harmonic ensemble. Then, for $0 < s < d$,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \in (\mathbb{S}^d)^n}(E_s(x)) = V_s(\mathbb{S}^d)n^2 - C_{s,d}n^{1+s/d} + o(n^{1+s/d}),$$

for some explicit constant $C_{s,d} > 0$.


The general case (and the limiting cases) are more difficult: we improve the constants or match the order ($s=d$).

For $d = 2$ the BHS conjecture is

$$\mathcal{E}(s, n) = V_s(\mathbb{S}^2)n^2 + \frac{(\sqrt{3}/2)^{s/2}\zeta_{\Lambda_2}(s)}{(4\pi)^{s/2}}n^{1+s/2} + o(n^{1+s/2}),$$

where $\zeta_{\Lambda_2}(s)$ is the zeta function of the hexagonal lattice (Dirichlet L-series).

d=2



: Graphic of $-\frac{(\sqrt{3}/2)^{s/2}\zeta_{\Lambda_2}(s)}{(4\pi)^{s/2}}$ in black, $2^{-s}\Gamma(1 - \frac{s}{2})$ (spherical) in red, the constant $C_{s,2}$ (harmonic) in green and $1/(2\sqrt{2\pi})^s$ in blue.

Optimality

Can we find the best determinantal process? i.e. the kernel such that the expected energy is minimal?

Some assumptions:

Optimality

Can we find the best determinantal process? i.e. the kernel such that the expected energy is minimal?

Some assumptions:

- Invariant by rotations i.e.

$$d(x, y) = d(z, t) \implies K(x, y) = K(z, t), \quad x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

and then $K(\langle x, y \rangle)$ for some $K : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

Optimality

Can we find the best determinantal process? i.e. the kernel such that the expected energy is minimal?

Some assumptions:

- Invariant by rotations i.e.

$$d(x, y) = d(z, t) \implies K(x, y) = K(z, t), \quad x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

and then $K(\langle x, y \rangle)$ for some $K : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

- We need that for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{S}^d$ the matrix

$$(K(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

is nonnegative definite.

Optimality

Can we find the best determinantal process? i.e. the kernel such that the expected energy is minimal?

Some assumptions:

- Invariant by rotations i.e.

$$d(x, y) = d(z, t) \implies K(x, y) = K(z, t), \quad x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

and then $K(\langle x, y \rangle)$ for some $K : [-1, 1] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

- We need that for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{S}^d$ the matrix

$$(K(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

is nonnegative definite.

- If we want n points a.s. in $\mathbb{S}^d$ then all the eigenvalues must be 1 (projection kernel).

Schoenberg theorem

We must have

$$K(x, y) = K(\langle x, y \rangle), \quad K(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k C_k^{d/2-1/2}(t),$$

where $C_k^{d/2-1/2}$ is a Gegenbauer polynomial and the $a_k \in \left[0, \frac{2k+d-1}{d-1}\right]$ satisfy:

$$\text{trace}(K) = K(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \binom{d+k-2}{k} < \infty.$$

Schoenberg theorem

We must have

$$K(x, y) = K(\langle x, y \rangle), \quad K(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k C_k^{d/2-1/2}(t),$$

where $C_k^{d/2-1/2}$ is a Gegenbauer polynomial and the $a_k \in \left[0, \frac{2k+d-1}{d-1}\right]$ satisfy:

$$\text{trace}(K) = K(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \binom{d+k-2}{k} < \infty.$$

To have a projection kernel with n points we take

$$a_k \in \left\{0, \frac{2k+d-1}{d-1}\right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \binom{d+k-2}{k} = n. \quad (*)$$

Theorem

Let K_a and K_b be two kernels with coefficients $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ and $b = (b_0, b_1, \dots)$ satisfying conditions (*). Let $\mathbb{E}_a$ and $\mathbb{E}_b$ denote respectively the expected value of

$$E_2(x) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\|x_i - x_j\|^2},$$

when $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is given by the determinantal point process associated to K_a and K_b . Assume that for every $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$\text{if } i < j, a_i = 0 \text{ and } a_j > 0 \text{ then } b_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

Then, $\mathbb{E}_a \leq \mathbb{E}_b$, with strict inequality unless $a = b$. In particular, the harmonic kernel is optimal since (2) is trivially satisfied in that case.

Discrepancy

There are other ways of quantifying the “equidistribution” of the point process: A measure of the uniformity of the distribution of a set $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}^d$ of n points is the spherical cap discrepancy. We denote as $d(x, y) = \arccos \langle x, y \rangle$ the geodesic distance in $\mathbb{S}^d$. A spherical cap is a ball with respect to the geodesic distance.

The spherical cap discrepancy of the set x is

$$\mathbb{D}(x) = \sup_A \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_A(x_i) - \mu(A) \right|,$$

where A runs on the spherical caps of $\mathbb{S}^d$.

Lubotzky, Philips and Sarnak found (a deterministic) construction with discrepancy smaller than $\frac{(\log n)^{2/3}}{n^{1/3}}$.

This was improved by T. Wolff to $\frac{c}{n^{1/3}}$ and by Beck to $n^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{d})} \log n$

Theorem

Let $A = A_L$ be a spherical cap of radius $\theta_L \in [0, \pi)$ with

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \theta_L \in [0, \pi),$$

and $L\theta_L \rightarrow \infty$ when $L \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\phi = \chi_A$. Then

$$\text{Var}(\mathcal{X}(\phi)) \lesssim L^{d-1} \log L + O(L^{d-1}),$$

where the constant is $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \theta_L^{d-1} \frac{4}{2^d \pi \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})^2}$.

Corollary

For every $M > 0$ the spherical cap discrepancy of a set of $n = \pi_L$ points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ drawn from the harmonic ensemble satisfies

$$\mathbb{D}(x) = O(L^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} \log L) = O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{1}{d})} \log n)$$