

Homogeneous products of characters

by

Edith Adan-Bante

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Urbana IL 61801 USA

E-mail: adanbant@uiuc.edu

Maria Loukaki

Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Crete

Knosou Av. 74 GR-71409

Heraklion-Crete GREECE

E-mail: loukaki@tem.uoc.gr

and

Alexander Moretó

Departament d'Àlgebra, Universitat de València

46100 Burjassot. València SPAIN

E-mail: mtbmoqua@lg.ehu.es

The first author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation by grant DMS 99-70030. The third author was supported by the Basque Government and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología.

1 Introduction

I. M. Isaacs [2] has conjectured that if the product of two faithful irreducible characters of a solvable group is irreducible, then the group is cyclic. In this note we discuss the following conjecture, which generalizes Isaacs conjecture.

Conjecture A. Suppose that G is solvable and that $\psi, \varphi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are faithful. If $\psi\varphi = m\chi$ where m is a positive integer and $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ then ψ and φ are fully ramified with respect to $\mathbf{Z}(G)$.

Other ways to state the conclusion of this conjecture are that φ, ψ and χ vanish on $G - \mathbf{Z}(G)$ or that $\varphi(1) = \psi(1) = \chi(1) = |G : \mathbf{Z}(G)|^{1/2}$ (by Problem 6.3 of [2]). In particular, if $m = 1$, these equalities yield $\varphi(1) = 1$ and since it is faithful, we deduce that G is cyclic. So Conjecture A is indeed a strong form of Isaacs conjecture.

Among other results, Isaacs proved that a counterexample to his conjecture has Fitting height at least 4 (see Theorem A of [3]). We can prove Conjecture A for nilpotent groups.

Theorem B. Conjecture A holds for p -groups.

Using Theorem B we can prove Conjecture A for p -special characters (see [1] for their definition and basic properties).

Theorem C. Let G be a p -solvable group and suppose that the product of two faithful p -special characters is a multiple of a p -special character. Then G is a p -group.

Theorem C is an easy consequence of the following elementary, but perhaps surprising, result.

Theorem D. Let φ be a faithful irreducible character of a finite group G and assume that $\psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Write $\varphi\psi = m\Delta$, where Δ is a (not necessarily irreducible) character of G . If $\Delta(1) \leq \min\{\varphi(1), \psi(1)\}$, then $\Delta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G - \mathbf{Z}(G)$.

We thank E. C. Dade and I. M. Isaacs for many useful conversations. The work of the third author was done while he was visiting the universities of Crete and Wisconsin, Madison. He thanks both Mathematics Departments for their hospitality.

2 Proof of Theorem B

We begin work toward a proof of Theorem B. We need two elementary lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$, where G is a p -group. Suppose $Z \subseteq Y \triangleleft G$, where $Z \triangleleft G$ and $|Y : Z| = p$. If $Z \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$ and $Y \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$, then χ vanishes on $Y - Z$.*

Proof. Let λ be the unique (linear) irreducible constituent of χ_Z . Then every irreducible constituent of χ_Y is an extension of λ , and in particular is linear. Because $Y \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$, the number of distinct linear constituents of χ_Y is a power of p exceeding 1, and so is at least p . It follows that the irreducible constituents of χ_Y are all of the extensions of λ , and they all occur with equal multiplicity, as $Y \trianglelefteq G$. Since the sum of these extensions is λ^Y , that sum vanishes on $Y - Z$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let ϵ and δ be p th roots of unity, where p is an odd prime.*

If $\delta \neq 1$, then

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^i \delta^{i(i-1)/2} \right| = \sqrt{p}.$$

Proof. Write $\epsilon = \delta^k$, where $0 \leq k < p$. Then the i th term of the sum is $\delta^{ki+i(i-1)/2}$. Since $p \neq 2$, we can write this in the form δ^{ai^2+bi} for suitable integer constants a and b , where $1 \leq a < p$ and $0 \leq b < p$. Let $\tau = \delta^a$. The i th term of our sum is then τ^{i^2+2ci} for some constant c . If we multiply the sum by τ^{c^2} , the i th term becomes $\tau^{(i+c)^2}$. Since $i+c$ runs over the same set of values (mod p) as i , we can rewrite our sum as $\sum \tau^{i^2}$. This is the well known Gauss sum, with absolute value \sqrt{p} (see, for instance, p. 84 of [4]). \square

The next result is Theorem B.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that G is a finite p -group, for some prime p . Assume further that φ and ψ are faithful irreducible characters of G whose product is a multiple of an irreducible character. Then φ and ψ vanish on $G - \mathbf{Z}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi\psi = m\chi$, for some positive integer m and an irreducible character χ of G . We argue by induction on $|G|$. So assume that G is a minimal counterexample. Clearly G is not abelian. So the center $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ of G is a cyclic proper subgroup of G , since G has a faithful irreducible character φ .

Step 1. G has an elementary abelian normal subgroup of order p^2 .

Assume that every normal abelian subgroup of G is cyclic. Then 4.3 of [5] yields that G is dihedral or semidihedral of order ≥ 16 or (generalized) quaternion. If $G \cong Q_8$, the result is clear. Thus, we may assume that

$|G| \geq 16$. Since φ and ψ lie over the unique non-principal irreducible character of $\mathbf{Z}(G)$, χ lies over $1_{\mathbf{Z}(G)}$. Also, it is clear that $\varphi(1) = \psi(1) = 2$ and they vanish on $G - G'$. It follows that χ is not linear, i.e, $\chi(1) = 2$ and $m = 2$. Pick $x \in \mathbf{Z}_2(G) - \mathbf{Z}(G)$. We have that

$$4 = 2|\chi(x)| = |\varphi(x)||\psi(x)| < 4,$$

because $x \in \mathbf{Z}(\chi)$ but $x \notin \mathbf{Z}(\varphi)$. This contradiction proves Step 1.

We fix an elementary abelian normal subgroup A of G of order p^2 . Then $Z = A \cap \mathbf{Z}(G)$ is the cyclic group $\Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(G))$ of order p . Furthermore $A = K \times Z$, for some subgroup K of G of order p . Put $C = \mathbf{C}_G(A) = \mathbf{C}_G(K)$. Note that $|G : C| = p$.

Step 2. φ_C and ψ_C are reducible and each has a unique irreducible constituent with kernel containing K .

The center $\mathbf{Z}(C)$ certainly contains A and thus is not cyclic. Hence C has no irreducible faithful character. Therefore φ_C and ψ_C reduce. Because C has index p in G , both φ_C and ψ_C equal the sum of p distinct irreducible constituents that form a single G -orbit. Let φ_1 be an irreducible constituent of φ_C . Note that $A \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_1$ is nontrivial since $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C)$ and A is noncyclic. Also, this intersection does not contain Z since $Z \triangleleft G$ and φ is faithful. It follows that $A \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_1$ is one of the p subgroups of order p in A other than Z , and we note that these subgroups form a G -conjugacy class. We can thus replace φ_1 by a G -conjugate and assume that $K = A \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_1$. Similarly, ψ_C is reducible and has an irreducible constituent, say ψ_1 , with kernel containing K .

Since $K = A \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_1$ and K has p distinct conjugates in G , it follows that the subgroups $A \cap \text{Ker } \varphi_i$ are distinct as φ_i runs over the p irreducible

constituents of φ_C . This establishes the uniqueness for φ_1 and a similar argument works for ψ_1 .

We now fix irreducible constituents φ_1 and ψ_1 of φ_C and ψ_C respectively, such that $K \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi_1$ and $K \subseteq \text{Ker } \psi_1$.

Step 3. φ and ψ vanish on $G - C$ and χ is faithful. Also, χ_C is reducible and $\varphi_1\psi_1 = (m/p)\chi_1$, where χ_1 is the unique irreducible constituent of χ_C with kernel containing K .

According to Clifford's theorem, $\varphi = \varphi_1^G$ and $\psi = \psi_1^G$, and thus φ, ψ vanish on $G - C$. Hence χ vanishes on $G - C$. It follows that χ_C is reducible, and thus is the sum of p distinct irreducible constituents. Since K is in the kernel of both φ_1 and ψ_1 , we see that $\varphi_1\psi_1$ is a sum (with multiplicities) of irreducible constituents of χ_C having K in their kernel.

Let ψ_2 be an irreducible constituent of ψ_C different from ψ_1 . Then K is not in the kernel of ψ_2 , and so it is not in the kernel of $\varphi_1\psi_2$. It follows that K is in the kernel of some irreducible constituent χ_1 of χ_C but K is not in the kernel of all of the conjugates of χ_1 .

If $Z \subseteq \text{Ker } \chi$ then $A = ZK \subseteq \text{Ker } \chi_1$, and since $A \triangleleft G$, we see that A is contained in the kernel of every irreducible constituent of χ_C , which is not the case. Thus $Z \not\subseteq \text{Ker } \chi$. This, along with the fact that $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is cyclic, implies that χ is faithful.

Therefore, the same argument we gave in Step 2 for φ , implies that χ_1 is the unique irreducible constituent of χ_C with kernel containing K . It follows that $\varphi_1\psi_1 = m_1\chi_1$ for some integer m_1 . Comparison of degrees yields $(\varphi(1)/p)(\psi(1)/p) = m_1(\chi(1)/p)$. Since $\varphi(1)\psi(1) = m\chi(1)$, we deduce that $m_1 = m/p$.

Step 4. $p \neq 2$.

Otherwise $|Z| = 2$ and Z has a unique nonprincipal irreducible character. In this case, both φ and ψ lie above this nonprincipal character. Hence $Z \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi\psi$. Then $Z \subseteq \text{Ker } \chi$, which is not the case.

Let $V/K = \mathbf{Z}(C/K)$ and write $Y = A\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Note that $Y \triangleleft G$ and that $Y \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C) \subseteq V$.

Step 5. $V > Y$.

Note that $Y = K\mathbf{Z}(G)$ and assume that $V = K\mathbf{Z}(G)$. Let $K_1 = \text{Ker } \varphi_1$. If $K_1 > K$, then $(K_1/K) \cap \mathbf{Z}(C/K) > 1$, and thus $K_1 \cap K\mathbf{Z}(G) = K_1 \cap V > K$. It follows that $K_1 \cap \mathbf{Z}(G) > 1$, and thus $Z \subseteq K_1$ as $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ is cyclic. This is not the case, however, since $Z \not\subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi_1$. We conclude that $K_1 = K$.

Similarly we show that $\text{Ker } \psi_1 = K$. Hence φ_1, ψ_1 are inflated from unique faithful characters $\bar{\varphi}_1$ and $\bar{\psi}_1$, respectively of the factor group C/K . In addition, χ_1 is also inflated from a unique character $\bar{\chi}_1$ of C/K and satisfies $\bar{\varphi}_1\bar{\psi}_1 = m_1\bar{\chi}_1$. By the minimality of G , we conclude that φ_1 and ψ_1 vanish on $C - V$.

In this situation, where $V = Y$, we see that $V \triangleleft G$, and thus all irreducible constituents of φ_C vanish on $C - V$. We conclude that φ vanishes on $G - V$. But $|V : \mathbf{Z}(G)| = p$ and $V \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\varphi)$. By Lemma 2.1, therefore, φ vanishes on $V - \mathbf{Z}(G)$, and hence on $G - \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Similarly, ψ vanishes on $G - \mathbf{Z}(G)$, and this is a contradiction since G is a counterexample.

Step 6. $\mathbf{Z}(C) > Y$.

Certainly, $Y = AZ(G) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C)$ and we suppose that equality occurs. Since $V > Y$, we can choose a subgroup U such that $Y \subseteq U \subseteq V$ and $|U : Y| = p$. We have $1 < [C, U] \subseteq [C, V] \subseteq K$, and thus $[C, U] = K$. In particular, we see that $U \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\varphi_1)$ and so all values of φ_1 on U are nonzero.

Now let φ_2 be any irreducible constituent of φ_C other than φ_1 . We argue $U \not\subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\varphi_2)$ since otherwise, $K = [C, U] \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi_2$, which is not the case. But $Y \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C)$, and $|U : Y| = p$, so Lemma 2.1 implies that φ_2 vanishes on $U - Y$. Since φ_2 is an arbitrary constituent of φ_C other than φ_1 , it follows that if $u \in U - Y$, then

$$\varphi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^p \varphi_i(u) = \varphi_1(u) \neq 0.$$

Similarly, $\psi(u) = \psi_1(u) \neq 0$ and also $\chi(u) = \chi_1(u) \neq 0$. We now have

$$m\chi_1(u) = m\chi(u) = \varphi(u)\psi(u) = \varphi_1(u)\psi_1(u) = (m/p)\chi_1(u)$$

and this is a contradiction.

We choose $W \triangleleft G$ with $Y \subseteq W \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C)$ and $|W : Y| = p$. We also fix elements $g \in G - C$ and $w \in W - Y$ and we write $[w, g] = a$ and $[a, g] = z$. Then we can show

Step 7. $1 \neq a \in A$, $1 \neq z \in Z$ and $w^{g^i} = wa^i z^{i(i-1)/2}$.

Since $|W : Y| = p$, we have $W/Y \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(G/Y)$, and thus $[W, G] \leq Y$. Hence $a = [w, g]$ is an element of Y and thus $a^p \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Also, $|Y : \mathbf{Z}(G)| = p$, and similarly we get $z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Then $a^p = (a^p)^g = (az)^p = a^p z^p$. We conclude that $z \in Z = \Omega_1(\mathbf{Z}(G))$.

Because $w^g = wa$ and $a^g = az$ we can easily calculate that $w^{g^i} = wa^i z^{i(i-1)/2}$ for integers i with $1 \leq i \leq p$. Note that $g^p \in C$ while $w \in$

$W \leq \mathbf{Z}(C)$. So $w^{g^p} = w$. Also, since $p \neq 2$ and $z^p = 1$, we see that $z^{p(p-1)/2} = 1$. It follows that $w = w^{g^p} = wa^p$ and so $a^p = 1$. Since $a \in Y$ and $A = \Omega_1(Y)$, we have $a \in A$, as wanted.

Finally, we must show that $a \neq 1$ and $z \neq 1$. If $a = 1$ then $w \in \mathbf{Z}(C)$ is centralized by g , and thus $w \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$ contradicting the way w was picked. Also if $z = 1$, then g centralizes $a \in \mathbf{Z}(C)$, and thus $a \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$. Hence $a \in A \cap \mathbf{Z}(G) = Z$. Note that since A is not central in G we have $1 < [A, G] \triangleleft G$. It follows that $[A, G] = Z$ and thus $[Y, G] = Z$. Hence $[W, g] \subseteq Z$ since $W = Y\langle w \rangle$. But W is abelian, and it follows that $|W : \mathbf{C}_W(g)| \leq |Z| = p$. This is a contradiction, however since $\mathbf{C}_W(g) = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ has index p^2 in W .

Step 8. We have a contradiction.

Since $W \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(C)$, there exists a linear character $\alpha \in \text{Lin}(W)$ such that $(\varphi_1)_W = \varphi_1(1)\alpha$. Furthermore, as $A \subseteq W$ we can write $\alpha(a) = \epsilon$ and $\alpha(z) = \delta$, where ϵ and δ are p th roots of unity and $\delta \neq 1$ since $z \neq 1$ and φ is faithful. We see now that

$$\varphi(w) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varphi_1(w^{g^i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \varphi_1(1)\alpha(w)\alpha(a^i)\alpha(z^{i(i-1)/2}) = \varphi_1(w)A,$$

where $A = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \epsilon^i \delta^{i(i-1)/2}$. By Lemma 2.2, therefore, we have $|A| = \sqrt{p}$. We also have similar formulas $\psi(w) = \psi_1(w)B$ and $\chi(w) = \chi_1(w)D$, where $|B| = |D| = \sqrt{p}$. Also $\chi_1(w) \neq 0$ since $w \in \mathbf{Z}(C)$.

We have

$$m\chi_1(w)D = m\chi(w) = \varphi(w)\psi(w) = \varphi_1(w)\psi_1(w)AB = (m/p)\chi_1(w)AB,$$

and thus $AB = pD$. But this is not consistent with $|A| = |B| = |D| = \sqrt{p}$, and the proof is complete. \square

3 Proof of Theorems C and D

In order to prove Theorem D, we need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let n be a positive integer and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c_1, \dots, c_n$ complex numbers. If both $\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2$ do not exceed $\sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2$, then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|c_j|^2 \geq |a_j||b_j|$.*

Proof. Write $S = \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2$ and assume that $|c_j|^2 < |a_j||b_j|$ for all j .

Then

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 < \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i||b_i| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n |b_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = S,$$

a contradiction. The second inequality is Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality. \square

Proof of Theorem D. Set $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in G - \mathbf{Z}(G) \mid \Delta(x) \neq 0\}$. We want to prove that \mathcal{S} is the empty set. Assume not and we will work to find a contradiction. Using the orthogonality relations, we have that

$$\sum_{x \in G} |\Delta(x)|^2 = \sum_{x \in G} \Delta(x) \overline{\Delta(x)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2 \right) |G|,$$

where $\Delta = m_1 \chi_1 + \dots + m_n \chi_n$ with $\chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Similarly,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} |\Delta(x)|^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2 \chi_i(1)^2 \right) |\mathbf{Z}(G)|.$$

We deduce that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\Delta(x)|^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2 \right) |G| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2 \chi_i(1)^2 \right) |\mathbf{Z}(G)|.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\psi(x)|^2 &\leq |G| - \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} |\psi(x)|^2 \leq |G| - \Delta(1)^2 |\mathbf{Z}(G)| \\
&= |G| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \chi_i(1) \right)^2 |\mathbf{Z}(G)| \leq |G| - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i^2 \chi_i(1)^2 \right) |\mathbf{Z}(G)| \\
&\leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\Delta(x)|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way, $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\varphi(x)|^2 \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\Delta(x)|^2$. Now, we can use Lemma 3.1 to deduce that there exists $x \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $|\Delta(x)|^2 \geq |\varphi(x)||\psi(x)|$.

Thus

$$\frac{|\varphi(x)|^2 |\psi(x)|^2}{m^2} = |\Delta(x)|^2 \geq |\varphi(x)||\psi(x)|$$

and we deduce that $|\varphi(x)||\psi(x)| \geq m^2$.

On the other hand, we have that $|\psi(x)| \leq \psi(1) = m\Delta(1)/\varphi(1)$ and, since $x \notin \mathbf{Z}(\varphi)$, $|\varphi(x)| < \varphi(1) = m\Delta(1)/\psi(1)$. Thus,

$$|\varphi(x)||\psi(x)| < \varphi(1)\psi(1) = m^2\Delta(1)^2/\varphi(1)\psi(1) \leq m^2,$$

by hypothesis. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem C is an immediate consequence of the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a finite group and suppose that $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ are faithful and $\varphi\psi = m\chi$ with $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. Then for every proper nilpotent subgroup H of G , φ_H, ψ_H or χ_H is not irreducible.*

Proof. Assume that all three restrictions to a proper nilpotent subgroup H are irreducible. We can apply Theorem B and deduce that $\chi(1) = \varphi(1) = \psi(1)$. By Theorem D, we have that χ is fully ramified with respect to $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ and it follows that χ_H is not irreducible, a contradiction.

\square

Finally, we prove Theorem C, which we restate.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a p -solvable group and suppose that the product of two faithful p -special characters is a multiple of a p -special character. Then G is a p -group.*

Proof. Note that the restriction of p -special characters to a Sylow p -subgroup is irreducible (see [1]). Apply Theorem 3.2. \square

References

- [1] D. Gajendragadkar, A characteristic class of characters of finite π -separable groups, *J. Algebra* **59** (1979), 237–259.
- [2] M. Isaacs, “Character Theory of Finite Groups”, Dover, New York, 1994.
- [3] M. Isaacs, Irreducible products of characters, *J. Algebra* **223** (2000), 630–646.
- [4] S. Lang, “Algebraic Number Theory”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [5] M. Suzuki, “Group Theory II”, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.