

Bilinear multipliers and transference.

Oscar Blasco*

Abstract

Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a regulated function in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ and $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3$. It is shown that m defines a bilinear bounded (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, if and only if there exists a constant K so that $|\sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t, s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\})| \leq K \|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_{p_1}} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{B_{p_2}} \|\hat{\lambda}\|_{B_{p_3}}$ for all measures μ, ν, λ supported on a finite number of points, where $\|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_p} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{2T} \int_T^T |\hat{\mu}(\xi)|^p d\xi)^{1/p}$.

1 Introduction.

Let (p_1, p_2, p_3) such that $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$, $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3$ and let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded measurable function in \mathbb{R}^2 . It is said to be a bilinear (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\mathcal{C}_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) m(\xi, \eta) e^{2\pi i x(\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta$$

(defined for functions f, g in the Schwartz class \mathcal{S}) extends to a bounded bilinear operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R})$.

The theory of these multipliers has been tremendously developed after the results proved by M. Lacey and R. Thiele ([20, 21, 22]) which establish that $m(\xi, \nu) = \text{sign}(\xi + \alpha\nu)$ are (p_1, p_2) -multipliers for each triple (p_1, p_2) such that $1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$, $p_3 > 2/3$ and each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

The study of such multipliers was started by R. Coifman and Y. Meyer (see [3, 5, 6]) for smooth symbols and new results for non-smooth symbols, extending the ones given by the bilinear Hilbert transform, have been achieved

*Partially supported by Grants PB98-0146 and BFM2002-04013

by J.E. Gilbert and A.R. Nahmod (see [10, 11, 12]) and also by J. Muscalu, T. Tao and C. Thiele (see [19]).

We refer the reader also to [18, 17, 9, 13] for new results on bilinear multipliers and related topics.

In a recent paper (see [9]) D. Fan and S. Sato have shown certain DeLeeuw type theorems for transferring multilinear operators on Lebesgue and Hardy spaces from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{T}^n . Here we will consider bilinear multipliers on Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and get a characterization which allows us to transfer not only to the bilinear multipliers on \mathbb{T} but also on \mathbb{Z} . Our approach will follow closely the ideas in the original paper by DeLeeuw (see [8]) and will provide an alternative proof to some results in [9].

Let us start by setting up natural analogue versions of bilinear multipliers in the periodic and discrete cases. Let $(m_{k,k'})$ be a bounded sequence and \tilde{m} be a periodic function defined on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$. Define

$$\mathcal{P}_m(f, g)(\theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) \hat{g}(k') m_{k,k'} e^{2\pi i \theta (k+k')}$$

for periodic functions f, g defined on \mathbb{T} and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}(a, b)(k) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} P(t) Q(s) \tilde{m}(t, s) e^{2\pi i x (t+s)} dt ds$$

for sequences $(a(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(b(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where $P(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) e^{2\pi i n t}$ and $Q(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b(n) e^{2\pi i n t}$.

Now we say that $(m_{k,k'})$ (respect. \tilde{m}) is a a bilinear (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ (respect. $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$) if \mathcal{P}_m (respect. $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}$) defines a bounded bilinear operator from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{T}) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{T})$ into $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{T})$ (respect. $\ell^{p_1}(\mathbb{Z}) \times \ell^{p_2}(\mathbb{Z})$ into $\ell^{p_3}(\mathbb{Z})$).

Of course we can see these three cases as instances of the general bilinear multiplier acting on different groups. Let G be a locally compact abelian group and \hat{G} its dual. Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and m be a bounded measurable function defined on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$. We say that m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}$ if the operator

$$T_m(f, g)(x) = \int_{\hat{G}} \int_{\hat{G}} \mathcal{F}f(\gamma_1) \mathcal{F}g(\gamma_2) m(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \gamma_1(-x) \gamma_2(-x) dm(\gamma_1) dm(\gamma_2)$$

(defined for simple functions f and g) extends to a bounded bilinear operator from $L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$ to $L^{p_3}(G)$ where $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_3$.

The first transference results on linear multiplier were given by K. Deleeuw (see [8]). He showed, among other things, that if m is regulated (all its points are Lebesgue points) and m is a p -multiplier on \mathbb{R} then $(m(\varepsilon k))_k$ are uniformly bounded p -multipliers for all $\varepsilon > 0$ on \mathbb{Z} . See [25] page 264 for the converse of this result for continuous multipliers.

In [9] the multilinear version this result was shown, namely that for continuous functions $m(\xi, \eta)$ one has that m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $m(\varepsilon k, \varepsilon k')_{k, k'}$ are uniformly bounded multipliers on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. An extension of the result to Lorentz spaces is achieved in [2].

We shall first characterize the boundedness of bilinear multipliers on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ by the existence of a constant K such that

$$\left| \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t, s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\}) \right| \leq K \|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_{p_1}} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{B_{p_2}} \|\hat{\lambda}\|_{B_{p'_3}}$$

for all measures μ, ν, λ of finite supports.

This allows us to present an alternative proof of the result in [9].

We also obtain the transference from the continuous case \mathcal{C}_m to the periodic case \mathcal{P}_m . Our main result establishes that m is (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $D_\varepsilon m = m_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon} \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2] \times [-1/2, 1/2]}$ are uniformly bounded (p_1, p_2) -multipliers on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Throughout the paper $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \infty$ and $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$. For a given finite Borel measure on \mathbb{R} we write $\hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i \xi t} d\mu(t)$ and, for an almost periodic function g , we denote $\|g\|_{B_p} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} (\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |g(t)|^p dt)^{1/p}$. We shall use the notations $D_\varepsilon m(x, y) = m(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon y)$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$.

2 Bilinear multipliers on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Let us start by reformulating the condition of (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ using duality. The proof is straightforward and left to the reader.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded measurable function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.*

m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exists a constant K so that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq K \|\hat{\phi}\|_{p_1} \|\hat{\psi}\|_{p_2} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{p'_3} \quad (1)$$

for all $\phi, \psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$.

Now we present some behavior of multipliers on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with respect to convolution and dilation operators to be used later on.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded measurable function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ then $m * \Phi$ a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\|C_{\Phi * m}\| \leq \|\Phi\|_1 \|C_m\|$.*

Proof. Let $\phi, \psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\|\hat{\phi}\|_{p_1} = \|\hat{\psi}\|_{p_2} = \|\hat{\nu}\|_{p'_3} = 1$. Applying Lemma 2.1 to $\phi_s, \psi_t, \nu_{t+s}$ where $f_s(x) = f(x+s)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi+s) \psi(\eta+t) \nu(\xi+\eta+t+s) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq K$$

for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi+\eta) m * \Phi(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi+\eta) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m(\xi-s, \eta-t) \Phi(s, t) ds dt \right) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi+s) \psi(\eta+t) \nu(\xi+\eta+s+t) m(\xi, \eta) \Phi(s, t) d\xi d\eta ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result applying Lemma 2.1 again. ■

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $m(\varepsilon\xi, \varepsilon\eta)$ is also a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\|C_{m(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}\| \leq \|C_m\|$.*

Proof. For $\phi, \psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\|\hat{\phi}\|_{p_1} = \|\hat{\psi}\|_{p_2} = \|\hat{\nu}\|_{p'_3} = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi+\eta) m(\varepsilon\xi, \varepsilon\eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/p_1}} \phi\left(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/p_2}} \psi\left(\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/p'_3}} \nu\left(\frac{\xi+\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

where the functions now appearing in the integral are also norm 1 for each ε . Use Lemma 2.1 again to finish the proof. ■

Theorem 2.4 Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) There exists a constant K so that

$$\left| \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t,s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\}) \right| \leq K \|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_{p_1}} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{B_{p_2}} \|\hat{\lambda}\|_{B_{p'_3}}$$

for all measures μ, ν, λ supported on a finite number of points.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Denote by ϕ the gaussian function $\phi(x) = e^{-x^2/2}$ and take $0 < \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ such that $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 2$.

Let us consider $\mu = \delta_a$, $\nu = \delta_b$ and $\lambda = \delta_c$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \phi^\alpha\left(\frac{\xi-a}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi^\beta\left(\frac{\eta-b}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi^\gamma\left(\frac{\xi+\eta-c}{\varepsilon}\right) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^\alpha(\xi) \phi^\beta(\eta) \phi^\gamma\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{a+b-c}{\varepsilon}\right) m(a + \varepsilon\xi, b + \varepsilon\eta) d\xi d\eta = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\alpha(\xi) \nu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\beta(\eta) \lambda * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\gamma(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^\alpha(\xi) \phi^\beta(\eta) \phi^\gamma\left(\xi + \eta + \frac{a+b-c}{\varepsilon}\right) m(a + \varepsilon\xi, b + \varepsilon\eta) = \\ \delta_c(a+b) \phi^\alpha(\xi) \phi^\beta(\eta) \phi^\gamma(\xi + \eta) m(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

the convergence Lebesgue theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \phi^\alpha\left(\frac{\xi-a}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi^\beta\left(\frac{\eta-b}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi^\gamma\left(\frac{\xi+\eta-c}{\varepsilon}\right) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ = Cm(a, b) \delta_c(a+b) = Cm(a, b) \mu(\{a\}) \nu(\{b\}) \lambda(\{a+b\}). \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^\alpha(\xi) \phi^\beta(\eta) \phi^\gamma(\xi + \eta) d\xi d\eta$.

Therefore we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\alpha(\xi) \nu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\beta(\eta) \lambda * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\gamma(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

$$= C \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t,s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\})$$

for all measures μ, ν, λ having their supports on finite sets of points.

On the other hand, from the assumption and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\alpha(\xi) \nu * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\beta(\eta) \lambda * (\phi_\varepsilon)^\gamma(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \\ & \leq K \|\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\alpha\|_{p_1} \|\widehat{\nu}(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\beta\|_{p_2} \|\widehat{\lambda}(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\gamma\|_{p_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{p_1}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{p_2}$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{p_3}$. Since $(\phi_\varepsilon)^\alpha = \frac{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}{\alpha^{1/2}} \phi_{\varepsilon\alpha^{-1/2}}$, we get $(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\alpha(\xi) = C_\alpha \varepsilon^{1/p_1} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \xi^2}{2\alpha}}$, $(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\beta(\xi) = C_\beta \varepsilon^{1/p_2} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \xi^2}{2\beta}}$ and $(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\gamma(\xi) = C_\gamma \varepsilon^{1/p_3} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 \xi^2}{2\gamma}}$.

Now taking into account that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p_1 \xi^2}{2\alpha}} d\xi = C'_\alpha \varepsilon^{-1}$ we have that

$$\|\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{\phi_\varepsilon})^\alpha\|_{p_1} = C \left(\frac{1}{A(\varepsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^{p_1} \varepsilon^{-\frac{p_1 \varepsilon^2 \xi^2}{2\alpha}} d\xi \right)^{1/p_1},$$

for $A(\varepsilon) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p_1 \xi^2}{2\alpha}} d\xi$. Hence $C \|\widehat{\mu}\|_{B_{p_1}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\widehat{\mu} \widehat{\phi_\varepsilon}^\alpha\|_{p_1}$.

Applying similar procedure for ν and λ we finish this implication.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) From the assumption we can get that the same holds for all finite measures μ, ν, λ with countable support. Let us take ϕ, ψ and ρ such that $\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\psi}$ and $\widehat{\rho}$ have compact support contain in $[-N/2, N/2]$ for N big enough. Now consider μ_N, ν_N and λ_N the measures with support in $(1/N)\mathbb{Z}$ whose Fourier transform coincide with the periodic extensions of $\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\psi}$ and $\widehat{\rho}$. In particular we have

$$\mu_N(\{\frac{n}{N}\}) = \frac{1}{N} \phi(\frac{n}{N}), \nu_N(\{\frac{n}{N}\}) = \frac{1}{N} \psi(\frac{n}{N}) \text{ and } \lambda_N(\{\frac{n}{N}\}) = \frac{1}{N} \rho(\frac{n}{N}).$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t,s) \mu_N(\{t\}) \nu_N(\{s\}) \lambda_N(\{t+s\}) \\ & = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}} m(\frac{n}{N}, \frac{m}{N}) \phi(\frac{n}{N}) \psi(\frac{m}{N}) \rho(\frac{n+m}{N}) \frac{1}{N^2} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi, \nu) \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \rho(\xi + \eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that $\|\hat{\mu}_N\|_{B_{p_1}} = (\frac{1}{2N} \int_{-N}^N |\hat{\phi}(\xi)|^{p_1} d\xi)^{1/p_1} = (\frac{1}{2N})^{1/p_1} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{p_1}$ and the same for the others.

Using that $\|\hat{\mu}_N\|_{B_{p_1}} \cdot \|\hat{\nu}_N\|_{B_{p_2}} \|\hat{\lambda}_N\|_{B_{p_3'}} = \frac{1}{2N}$ and passing to the limit we get the result. ■

Recall that a function m is called regulated if

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} m(x-s, y-t) ds dt = m(x, y)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 2.5 *Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded regulated function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exists a constant K so that*

$$\left| \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t, s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\}) \right| \leq K \|\hat{\mu}\|_{B_{p_1}} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{B_{p_2}} \|\hat{\lambda}\|_{B_{p_3'}} \quad (2)$$

for all measures μ, ν, λ having their supports on finite sets of points.

Proof. Assume that m is (p_1, p_2) -multiplier. Denote $\Phi(s, t) = \frac{1}{4} \chi_{[-1,1]}(s) \chi_{[-1,1]}(t)$ and $\Phi_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \Phi(\frac{\xi}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon})$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Now Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.4 and the fact that $m(x, y) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} m * \Phi_\varepsilon(x, y)$ gives the direct implication.

Conversely, assume (2) for μ, ν, λ having finite supports,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m * \Phi_\varepsilon(t, s) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\}) \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t-u, s-v) \mu(\{t\}) \nu(\{s\}) \lambda(\{t+s\}) \right) \Phi_\varepsilon(u, v) du dv \\ &= \int \left(\sum_{(t,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}} m(t, s) \mu(\{t+u\}) \nu(\{s+v\}) \lambda(\{t+s+u+v\}) \right) \Phi_\varepsilon(u, v) du dv. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $m * \Phi_\varepsilon$ verifies (2) with uniform constant for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Now apply Theorem 2.4 to get that $m * \Phi_\varepsilon$ are (p_1, p_2) -multipliers with uniform norm.

Finally we have that for $\phi, \psi, \nu \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi + \eta) m(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(\xi) \psi(\eta) \nu(\xi + \eta) m * \Phi_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \\ &\leq C \|\hat{\phi}\|_{p_1} \|\hat{\psi}\|_{p_2} \|\hat{\nu}\|_{p_3'}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows now from Lemma 2.1. ■

3 Transference theorems

Let us mention the formulations for (p_1, p_2) -multipliers on the groups \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{Z} which follows directly from duality.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\tilde{m}(t, s)$ be a bounded measurable function on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$.
 m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$ if and only if there exists a constant K so that*

$$\left| \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} P_a(t)P_b(s)P_c(t+s)\tilde{m}(t, s)dtds \right| \leq K\|a\|_{p_1}\|b\|_{p_2}\|c\|_{p'_3}$$

for all finite sequences $(a(n))_n, (b(n))_n, (c(n))_n$ where $P_a(t) = \sum_n a(n)e^{2\pi int}$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $(m_{k,k'})$ be a bounded sequence on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$
 m is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if there exists a constant K so that*

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{k,k'} \hat{P}(k)\hat{Q}(k')\hat{R}(k+k') \right| \leq K\|P\|_{p_1}\|Q\|_{p_2}\|R\|_{p'_3}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials P, Q and R .

Theorem 3.3 (See [9]) *Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a regulated bounded function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. If $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ then $(m(k, k'))_{k,k'}$ is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. According to Lemma 3.2 we have to show that there exists a constant K so that

$$\left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} m(k, k') \hat{P}(k)\hat{Q}(k')\hat{R}(k+k') \right| \leq K\|P\|_{p_1}\|Q\|_{p_2}\|R\|_{p'_3}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials P, Q and R .

This follows by selecting in Theorem 2.5 the measures μ, ν, λ such that $\hat{\mu} = P, \hat{\nu} = Q$ and $\hat{\lambda} = R$. ■

Theorem 3.4 Let $m(\xi, \eta)$ be a bounded regulated function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $m(\xi, \eta)$ is a (p_1, p_2) -multiplier on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $m(\varepsilon \cdot, \varepsilon \cdot) \chi_{[-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}]} \chi_{[-\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}]}$ are uniformly bounded (p_1, p_2) -multipliers on $\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Using Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show that for any finite sequences $(a(n))_n$, $(b(n))_n$ and $(c(n))_n$ with $\|a\|_{p_1} = \|b\|_{p_2} = \|c\|_{p'_3} = 1$ there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} m(\xi, \eta) P_a(\xi) P_b(\eta) P_c(\xi + \eta) d\xi d\eta \right| \leq K$$

where $P_a(\xi) = \sum_n a(n) e^{2\pi i n \xi}$.

Since $P_a(x) \chi_{[-1/2, -1/2]}(x) = \hat{\phi}_a(x)$ where $\phi_a(x) = \sum_n a(n) \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)}$ and $P_c(x) \chi_{[-1, -1]}(x) = \hat{\psi}_c(x)$ where $\psi_c(x) = \sum_n c(n) \frac{\sin(2\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} m(\xi, \eta) P_a(\xi) P_b(\eta) P_c(\xi + \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi, \eta) \hat{\phi}_a(\xi) \hat{\phi}_b(\eta) \hat{\psi}_c(\xi + \eta) d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

Using now the assumption and the known facts that $\|\phi_a\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \approx \|a\|_{\ell_p} \approx \|\psi_a\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we obtain the desired inequality.

Now we apply Lemma 2.3 to get the result for each ε .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let us take ϕ and ψ such that $\text{supp}\phi$ and $\text{supp}\psi$ are contained in $[-1/4, 1/4]$. For a fixed $u \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ consider the periodic extension of the functions $\hat{\phi}(\xi) e^{2\pi i u \xi}$, $\hat{\psi}(\eta) e^{2\pi i u \eta}$ to be denoted \tilde{P}_u and \tilde{Q}_u respectively.

If $a^u(n) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \tilde{P}_u(\xi) e^{-i2\pi n \xi} d\xi$, $b^u(n) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \tilde{Q}_u(\xi) e^{-i2\pi n \xi} d\xi$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that if $x = k + u$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in [-1/2, 1/2]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} m(\xi, \eta) \hat{\phi}(\xi) \hat{\psi}(\eta) e^{2\pi i x(\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta = \\ &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} m(\xi, \eta) \tilde{P}_u(\xi) \tilde{Q}_u(\eta) e^{2\pi i k(\xi + \eta)} d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\tilde{m}(\xi, \eta) = m(\xi, \eta) \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}(\xi) \chi_{[-1/2, 1/2]}(\eta)$. Hence for $x = u + k$

$$\mathcal{C}_m(\phi, \psi)(x) = \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}(a^u, b^u)(k).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{C}_m(\phi, \psi)(x)|^{p_3} dx = \\
&= \sum_k \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |\mathcal{C}_m(\phi, \psi)(k+u)|^{p_3} du \\
&= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_k |\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}(a^u, b^u)(k)|^{p_3} du \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}\|^{p_3} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left(\sum_k |a^u(k)|^{p_1} \right)^{p_3/p_1} \left(\sum_k |b^u(k)|^{p_2} \right)^{p_3/p_2} du \\
&\leq \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}\|^{p_3} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_k |a^u(k)|^{p_1} du \right)^{p_3/p_1} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_k |b^u(k)|^{p_2} du \right)^{p_3/p_2} \\
&= \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}\|^{p_3} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_k |\phi(u+k)|^{p_1} du \right)^{p_3/p_1} \left(\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_k |\psi(u+k)|^{p_2} du \right)^{p_3/p_2} \\
&= \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{m}}\|^{p_3} \|\phi\|_{p_1}^{p_3} \|\psi\|_{p_2}^{p_3}
\end{aligned}$$

In the general case if ϕ, ψ are such that $\hat{\phi}, \hat{\psi}$ have compact support, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\hat{\phi}_\varepsilon, \hat{\psi}_\varepsilon$ have their support in $[-1/4, 1/4]$. Now observe that

$$\mathcal{C}_m(\phi, \psi)(x) = \varepsilon^2 C_{m(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(\phi_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon)(\varepsilon x).$$

Applying the previous case and the assumption we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{C}_m(\phi, \psi)\|_{p_3} &= \varepsilon^{2-1/p_3} \|C_{m(\varepsilon, \varepsilon)}(\phi_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon)\|_{p_3} \\
&\leq K \varepsilon^{2-1/p_3} \|\phi_\varepsilon\|_{p_1} \|\psi_\varepsilon\|_{p_2} \\
&= K \varepsilon^{2-1/p_3} \|\phi\|_{p_1} \varepsilon^{-1/p_1'} \|\psi\|_{p_1} \varepsilon^{-1/p_2'} \\
&= K \|\phi\|_{p_1} \|\psi\|_{p_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

References

- [1] Aucher, P. and Carro, M.J., *On relations between operators on $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}^n$* . Studia Math., **101** [1992] pp. 165-182.

- [2] Blasco, O. and Villarroya, F., *Transference of bilinear multiplier operators on Lorentz spaces*. To appear.
- [3] Coifman R.R., Meyer Y. *Commutateurs d' intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble),bf 28, pp.177-202 [1978]
- [4] Coifman R.R., and Weiss, G. *Transference methods in Analysis*, Memiors Amer. Math. Soc., 31 [1977]
- [5] Coifman R.R., Meyer Y. *Fourier analysis of multilinear convolutions, Calderón's theorem and analysis of Lipschitz curves*, Euclidean harmonic analysis (Proc. Sem. Univ. Maryland, College Park, Md.), pp.104-122, Lecture Notes in Math., 779 [1979]
- [6] Coifman R.R., and Meyer Y. *Ondelettes and opérateurs III, Opérateurs multilinéaires*, Actualités Mathematiaues, Hermman, Paris [1991]
- [7] Coifman R.R., and Weiss, G. *Transference methods in Analysis*, Memiors Amer. Math. Soc., 31 [1977]
- [8] DeLeeuw, K. *On L_p -multipliers* . Ann. Math. **91** [1965] pp. 364-379.
- [9] Fan, D. and Sato, S. , *Transference on certain multilinear multiplier operators* , J. Austral. Math. Soc. **70**, [2001], pp. 37-55.
- [10] Gilbert J., Nahmod A., *Boundedness of bilinear operators with non-smooth symbols* Math. Res. Lett. **7** [2000] pp. 767-778
- [11] Gilbert J., Nahmod A., *Bilinear operators with non-smooth symbols* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **7** [2001] pp. 437-469.
- [12] Gilbert J., Nahmod A., *L^p boundedness for time-frekuensi paraproducts* J. Fourier Anal. Appl. (To appear)
- [13] Grafakos L., Torres, R., *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory* Advances in Math. **165** [2002] pp. 124-164.
- [14] Grafakos L., Weiss, G., *Transference of multilinear operators*. Illinois J. Math. **40** [1996] pp. 344-351.

- [15] Hewitt, E, Ross, K. A., *Abstract Harmonic Analysis II* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, [1970].
- [16] Kenig, C. E. and Thomas, P. A., *Maximal operators defined by Fourier multipliers* Studia Math. **68** [1980] pp. 79-83.
- [17] Kenig, C. E. and Stein, E. M., *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration* Math. Res. Ledtt. **6** [1999] pp. 1-15.
- [18] Kalton, N.J. and Grafakos, L., *The Marcinkiewicz multiplier condition for bilinear operators* Studia Math. **146** [2001] pp. 115-156.
- [19] Muscalu, J., Tao, T. and Thiele, C *Multilinear operators given by singular multipliers* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** [2002] pp. 469-496.
- [20] Lacey M., Thiele C., *L^p bounds on the bilinear Hilbert transform for $2 < p < \infty$* Ann. Math. 146, [1997], pp. 693-724.
- [21] M. Lacey y C. M. Thiele, *Weak bounds for the bilinear Hilbert transform on L^p* . Documenta Mathematica, extra volume ICM 1-1000, [1997].
- [22] Lacey M., Thiele C., *On Calderón's conjecture*. Ann. Math. **149** n^o2 [1999] pp. 475-496
- [23] Stein E. M., *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, [1970].
- [24] Stein E. M., *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and oscilatory integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, [1993].
- [25] Stein E. M. and Weiss G., *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces* , Princeton Univ. Press, [1971].