

REMARKS ON VECTOR-VALUED BMOA AND VECTOR-VALUED MULTIPLIERS.

OSCAR BLASCO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the vector-valued interpretation of the space $BMOA$ defined in terms of Carleson measures and analyze the relationship with the one defined in terms of oscillation. We study the space of multipliers between H^p and $BMOA$ in the vector-valued setting. This leads us to the consideration of some geometric properties depending upon the validity of certain inequalities due to Littlewood and Paley on the g -function for vector-valued functions.

INTRODUCTION.

In [B1, B2] the author considered the vector-valued situation of the result by M. Mateljević and M. Pavlović ([MP]) which establishes that the space of multipliers between H^1 and $BMOA$ can be identified with the space of Bloch functions, i.e. $(H^1, BMOA) = Bloch$. For such a purpose it was introduced the notion of pairs (X, Y) having the (H^1, BMO) -property for those where the space of multipliers $(H^1(X), BMOA(Y))$, with its natural definition (see Section 3), coincides with $Bloch(L(X, Y))$.

It was observed there that the validity of $(H^1(X), BMOA(Y)) = Bloch(L(X, Y))$ depends on the fact that X and Y satisfy the vector-valued formulation of some inequalities due to Hardy and Littlewood (see [HL]) in the scalar-valued case.

In this paper we consider the vector-valued interpretation of the space $BMOA$ defined in terms of Carleson measures (see Definition 1.2 below) instead of the one considered in [B1] and analyze the relationship with the previous one, studying the result on vector-valued multipliers for this formulation of $BMOA$.

This leads us to the consideration of some other geometric properties coming from other inequalities due to Littlewood and Paley on the g -function which have been already considered in [B3] and more recently in [B11, B12, X].

Throughout the paper all spaces are assumed to be complex Banach spaces, D stands for the unit disc and \mathbb{T} for its boundary. Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, we shall denote by $L^p(X)$ the space of X -valued Bochner p -integrable functions on the circle \mathbb{T} and write $\|f\|_{p, X} = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|f(e^{it})\|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $M_{p, X}(F, r) = \|F_r\|_{p, X} =$

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). 46B20, 46E40.

Key words and phrases. Vector valued Hardy spaces, B.M.O and Bloch functions, vector valued multipliers, type, cotype.

The author has been partially supported by the Spanish DGICYT, Proyecto PB95-0261 .

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

$\left(\int_0^{2\pi} \|F(re^{it})\|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for an X -valued analytic function F on D . We shall write $H^p(X)$ (respec. $H_0^p(X)$) for the vector-valued Hardy spaces, i.e. space of functions in $L^p(X)$ whose negative (respec. non positive) Fourier coefficients vanish. Of course Hardy spaces $H^p(X)$ (respec. $H_0^p(X)$) can be regarded as spaces of analytic functions on the disc. Actually they coincide with the closure of the X -valued polynomials, denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ (respec. those which vanish at $z = 0$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$) under the norm given by $\sup_{0 < r < 1} M_{p,X}(f, r)$.

The paper is divided into three sections. In the first one we consider the vector valued version of $BMOA$ in terms of Carleson measures, giving the connection with the standard notion considered in [B1]. It is shown that both notions only coincide for Hilbert spaces and also a proof of the extension of Kahane's inequalities to vector-valued BMO is provided. Section 2 is devoted to the consideration of vector-valued multipliers between H^1 and $BMOA$ and some properties that will play an important role in this setting. Finally in section 3 we mention some elementary facts on vector valued Bloch functions and apply the previous theorems to get some applications.

As usual p' is the conjugate exponent of p when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and C stands for a constant that may vary from line to line.

1.- VECTOR-VALUED BMOA

Definition 1.1. Let X be a complex Banach space. $BMOA(X)$ stands for the space of functions $f \in L^1(X)$ with $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{*,X} = \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \|f(e^{it}) - f_I\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals $I \in [0, 2\pi)$, $|I|$ stands for the normalized Lebesgue measure of I and $f_I = \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi}$.

The norm in the space is given by

$$\|f\|_{BMO(X)} = \left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right\| + \|f\|_{*,X}.$$

The same technique as in the scalar-valued case allows us to replace the average over intervals by convolution with the Poisson kernel. According to this and the previous formulation one has that

$$\|f\|_{*,X} \approx \sup_{|z| < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(e^{it}) - f(z)\| P_z(e^{-it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi}$$

where P_z is the Poisson Kernel $P_z(w) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-zw|^2}$ and $f(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) P_z(e^{-it}) \frac{dt}{2\pi}$.

Recall now that in the vector valued setting, although Khintchine's inequalities do not generally remain valid, at least one still has the so called Kahane's inequalities, i.e. for any $0 < p < \infty$ there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$C_1 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq C_2 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\|^p \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

There exists an extension of Kahane-Khintchine inequalities to vector valued *BMO* which is part of the folklore. Let us present a proof based upon the following lemma.

Lemma A. (see [Pe, Pil]) *Let X be a Banach space. Let $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \geq C > 1$ and $\inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k = d > 0$. Then there exist constants $K_1, K_2 > 0$, depending only on C and d , such that for any $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$*

$$K_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i\lambda_k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq K_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Banach space. Then there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$*

$$C_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\|_{*,X} \leq C_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

Proof. Let us write $f(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k e^{i2^k t}$. Given an interval, say $J = \{e^{it} : |t - t_J| < 2\pi|J|\}$, then consider $n(J) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|J|2^{n(J)} \leq 1 < |J|2^{n(J)+1}$.

Now, assuming $n \geq n(J)$, we split $f = g + h$ where $g(e^{it}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n(J)} x_k e^{i2^k t}$.

Note that

$$(g - g_J)(e^{it}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n(J)} x_k \frac{1}{|J|} \int_{t_J - 2\pi|J|}^{t_J + 2\pi|J|} (e^{i2^k t} - e^{i2^k s}) \frac{ds}{2\pi}.$$

Hence

$$\|(g - g_J)(e^{it})\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n(J)} \|x_k\| \frac{1}{|J|} \int_{t_J - 2\pi|J|}^{t_J + 2\pi|J|} 2^k |t - s| \frac{ds}{2\pi}.$$

Now if $e^{it} \in J$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g - g_J)(e^{it})\| &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{n(J)} \|x_k\| 2^k |J| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n(J)} 2^k \right) |J| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_1 2^{n(J)} |J| \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{1,X}. \end{aligned}$$

For the function h we have that

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \|h(e^{it}) - h_J\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \frac{2}{|J|} \int_J \|h(e^{it})\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} = 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=n(J)+1}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

Now applying Lemma A for $\lambda_k = 2^k|J| \geq 1$ we get

$$\frac{1}{|J|} \int_J \|h(e^{it}) - h_J\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq 2K_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=n(J)+1}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

Now, making use of the contraction principle, we can say that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{k=n(J)+1}^n x_k e^{i2^k t} \right\| \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \|f\|_{1,X}.$$

Adding both inequalities and taking now the supremum over J we get the direct inequality.

The converse inequality is trivial and the proof is finished. \square

Let us now recall the formulation of functions in $BMOA$ in terms of Carleson measures (see [G, Z]) that we shall use later on.

Definition 1.2. Given an analytic function $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_k z^k$ we define

$$\|f\|_{C,X} = \sup_{|z|<1} \left(\int_D (1-|w|^2) \|f'(w)\|^2 P_z(\bar{w}) dA(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where P_z is the Poisson Kernel $P_z(w) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|1-z\bar{w}|^2}$.

We shall denote $BMOA_C(X)$ the space of functions such that $\|f\|_{C,X} < \infty$. $BMOA_C(X)$ becomes a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{BMOA_C(X)} = \|f(0)\| + \|f\|_{C,X}$$

Let us now recall the notions of type and cotype of a Banach space. Although they are usually defined in terms of the Rademacher functions we shall replace them by lacunary sequences $e^{i2^n t}$, which gives an equivalent definition ([MPi, Pi]).

Given $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$. A Banach space has cotype q (respectively type p) if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \in X$ one has

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^N \|x_k\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N x_k e^{2^k i t} \right\|_{1,X},$$

(respectively

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^N x_k e^{2^k i t} \right\|_{1,X} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^N \|x_k\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .)$$

Recall also the well-known result by S. Kwapien ([Kw]), which establishes that X has type 2 and cotype 2 if and only if X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.

First of all let us establish the connection between $BMOA(X)$ and $BMOA_C(X)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complex Banach space.*

(i) *If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{c,X} \leq C\|f\|_{*,X}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ then X has cotype 2.

(ii) *If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{*,X} \leq C\|f\|_{c,X}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ then X has type 2.

Proof.

(i) Let us take $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k z^{2^k}$. Assume first that $\|f\|_{c,X} \leq C\|f\|_{*,X}$. Note, that choosing $z = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^1 (1-s)M_{2,X}^2(f',s)ds \leq \|f\|_{c,X} \leq C\|f\|_{1,X}.$$

Since $2^n \|x_n\| r^{2^n-1} \leq M_{2,X}(f',r)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)M_{2,X}^2(f',r)dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\geq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{1-2^{-k}}^{1-2^{-(k+1)}} (1-r)2^{2k} \|x_k\|^2 r^{2(2^k-1)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_{2^k}\|^2 (1-2^{-k})2^{2(2^k-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using now the fact that $(1-2^{-k})2^{2^k} \geq Ce^{-1}$ one gets the cotype 2 condition

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^n \|x_{2^k}\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\|f\|_{1,X}.$$

(ii) Assume now that $\|f\|_{*,X} \leq C\|f\|_{c,X}$. Therefore, if $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n x_k z^{2^k}$ then

$$\|f\|_{1,X}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{*,X}^2 \leq C \sup_{z \in D} \int_D (1-|w|^2) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\| |w|^{2^k-1} \right)^2 P_z(\bar{w}) dA(w).$$

From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\| |w|^{2^k-1} \right)^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\|^2 |w|^{2^k-1} \right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n 2^k |w|^{2^k-1} \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\|^2 |w|^{2^k-1} \right) \left(\frac{C}{1-|w|^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{1,X}^2 &\leq C \int_D \sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\|^2 |w|^{2^k-1} P_z(\bar{w}) dA(w) \\ &= C \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n 2^k \|x_k\|^2 r^{2^k-1} dr = C \sum_{k=0}^n \|x_{2^k}\|^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence we get the following characterization of Hilbert spaces which is part of the folklore.

Corollary 1.1. *Let X be a complex Banach space. $BMOA(X) = BMOA_C(X)$ (with equivalent norms) if and only if X is isomorphic to a Hilbert space.*

Proof. Recall that the classical proof ([G, Theorem 3.4]) can be reproduced in the case of Hilbert spaces because it merely relies upon Plancherel's theorem.

The converse follows by combining Theorem 1.1 with Kwapien's theorem. \square

Let us give some easy sufficient conditions to get functions in $BMOA_C(X)$. We need the following

Lemma B.

Let $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ and g an X -valued analytic function. Then

$$(1.1) \quad M_{q,X}(g, r^2) \leq C(1-r)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} M_{p,X}(g, r) \quad (\text{see [D, page 84]})$$

Let $\gamma > 1$ then

$$(1.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{|1 - ze^{i\theta}|^\gamma} = O((1-|z|)^{1-\gamma}) \quad (\text{see [D, page 65]})$$

Let $\gamma < \beta$ then

$$(1.3) \quad \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^{\gamma-1}}{(1-rs)^\beta} dr = O((1-s)^{\gamma-\beta}) \quad (\text{see [SW, Lemma 6]})$$

Next theorem, with $BMOA_C(X)$ replaced by $BMOA(X)$, corresponds to Theorem 2.1 in [B1].

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be a X -valued analytic function. If there exists $0 < p < \infty$ such that*

$$M_{p,X}(f', r) = O((1-r)^{-1/p'})$$

then $f \in BMOA_C(X)$.

Proof. Notice that (1.1) implies that if there exists $0 < p_0 < \infty$ such that $M_{p_0,X}(f', r) = O((1-r)^{-1/p_0'})$ then the same property holds for any $p \geq p_0$. Therefore it suffices to prove the result assuming $2 < p < \infty$.

Set then $q = \frac{p}{2}$ and take $z \in D$. Then using Hölder's inequality and (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(1-s^2)(1-|z|^2) \|f'(se^{it})\|^2}{|1 - zse^{-it}|^2} \frac{dt}{2\pi} ds \\ & \leq \int_0^1 (1-s^2)(1-|z|^2) M_{p,X}^2(f', s) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - zse^{-it}|^{2q'}} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} ds \\ & \leq C \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\frac{2}{p'}}(1-|z|^2)}{(1-|z|s)^{2-\frac{1}{q'}}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying now (1.3) for $\gamma = \frac{2}{p}$ and $\beta = 1 + \frac{2}{p}$ one gets

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\frac{2}{p'}}}{(1-|z|s)^{2-\frac{1}{q'}}} ds \leq \frac{C}{1-|z|}.$$

This gives then that f belongs $BMOA_C(X)$. \square

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let $(\alpha_n) \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^p < \infty$ for some $1 < p < \infty$ and let s_n be an increasing sequence in $(0, 1)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = 1$. If $f_n(z) = \log\left(\frac{1}{(1-s_n z)^{\alpha_n}}\right)$ and $f(z) = (f_n(z))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then $f \in BMOA(l^p) \cap BMOA_C(l^p)$.

It suffices to see that $M_{p,l^p}(f', r) = O((1-r)^{-\frac{1}{p'}})$. Now using (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p,l^p}^p(f', r) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_p^p(f'_n, r) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^p \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{s_n}{|1 - s_n r e^{-it}|^p} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^p (1 - s_n r)^{1-p} \leq C(1-r)^{1-p}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple and useful sufficient condition for a function to belong to $BMOA_C(X)$ is given in the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. *Let f be a X -valued analytic function. If*

$$\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|z|=r} \|f'(z)\|^2 dr < \infty$$

then $f \in BMOA_C(X)$.

Proof. For any $z \in D$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_D \frac{(1-|z|^2)(1-|w|^2) \|f'(w)\|_X^2}{|1-\bar{w}z|^2} dA(w) \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|w|=r} \|f'(w)\|_X^2 \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1-r^2|z|^2}{|1-re^{-it}z|^2} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) dr \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|w|=r} \|f'(w)\|_X^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|f\|_{c,X} \leq C \left(\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|w|=r} \|f'(w)\|_X^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \quad \square$$

It was proved in [B1] Example 3.1 that if $X = l^1$ and $f(z) = \left(\frac{1}{n \log(n+1)} z^n\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|z|=r} \|f'(z)\|_{l^1}^2 dr < \infty$$

but $f \notin H^1(l^1)$.

This example shows that the condition in Proposition 1.1 is not enough to get functions in $BMOA(X)$ for general Banach spaces and gives sense to the following definition.

Definition 1.3. (see [B1]) A complex Banach space X is said to have the $(HL)^*$ -property if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{*,X} \leq C \left(\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|z|=r} \|f'(z)\|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

The reader is referred to [B1] to find spaces having and failing such a property.

2.- VECTOR VALUED MULTIPLIERS AND SOME GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES

Let us mention first some notions introduced in other papers.

Definition 2.1. (see [B1]) Let X, Y be complex Banach spaces. If $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n z^n$ is an $L(X, Y)$ -valued analytic function and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$ is an X -valued analytic function then we can define the Y -valued analytic function

$$F * f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n(x_n) z^n = \int_0^{2\pi} F(z e^{it}) (f(e^{-it})) \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

Definition 2.2. (see [AB]) Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. A complex Banach space X is said to have property $(H)_p$, to be denoted $X \in (H)_p$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\max\{2,p\}-1} M_{p,X}^{\max\{2,p\}}(f', r) dr \right)^{\frac{1}{\max\{2,p\}}} \leq C \|f\|_{p,X}$$

for any polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Remark 2.1. The property $(H)_1$ was already defined and studied in [B1], denoted there by (HL) and then again in [AB].

Remark 2.2. The property $(H)_\infty$ would mean

$$M_{\infty,X}(f', r) \leq C \frac{M_{\infty,X}(f, r)}{1-r},$$

which holds true for any Banach space.

Remark 2.3. Observe that

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\max\{p,2\}-1} M_{p,X}^{\max\{p,2\}}(f', r) dr = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{r_k}^{r_{k+1}} (1-r)^{\max\{p,2\}-1} M_{p,X}^{\max\{p,2\}}(f', r) dr,$$

for $r_k = 1 - 2^{-k}$ and then, since $M_{p,X}(f, r)$ is increasing, the properties $(HL)^*$ and $(H)_p$ can be replaced by

$$(2.1) \quad \|f\|_{*,X} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2k} \sup_{|z|=r_k} \|f'(z)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\max\{p,2\}k} M_{p,X}^{\max\{p,2\}}(f', r_k) \right)^{\frac{1}{\max\{p,2\}}} \leq C \|f\|_{p,X}.$$

Hence X has the $(H)_p$ -property if and only if the operator $f \rightarrow (2^{-k} f'(r_k e^{it}))_k$ is bounded from $H_0^p(X)$ into $l^{\max\{p,2\}}(L^p(X))$.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $X = c_0$ fails to have $(H)_p$ -property for any $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Indeed, take $f_N(z) = \sum_{n=1}^N e_n z^n$. On the one hand $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_N\|_{p,c_0} = 1$ and on the other hand $M_{p,c_0}(f'_N, r_k) = \sup_{1 \leq n \leq N} n r_k^{n-1}$. Hence $M_{p,c_0}(f'_N, r_k) \geq C 2^k$ for $N \geq 2^k$. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\log_2(N)} 2^{-\max\{p,2\}k} M_{p,c_0}^{\max\{p,2\}}(f'_N, r_k) \geq C \log(N).$$

This completes the proof, using (2.2). \square

Regarding properties $(H)_p$ the reader is referred to [AB, B4] for different results and examples.

Let us introduce other property which appears from the consideration of Hardy spaces in terms of the g -function. The reader is referred to [B3] for some related properties and to [X] for similar formulations on the Lusin area function for vector-valued Lebesgue spaces.

Definition 2.3. A complex Banach space X is said to have property (g) , in short $X \in (g)$, if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^1 (1-r) \|f'(r e^{i\theta})\|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \leq C \|f\|_{1,X}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $X \in (g)$. If $f \in H^1(X)$ and $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is an analytic function satisfying that

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-r)(1-s) M_{\infty, L(X,Y)}^2(g'', rs) dr ds < \infty$$

then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|z|=r} \|(F * f)'(z)\|^2 dr < \infty.$$

In particular $F * f \in BMOA_C(Y)$.

Proof. Let us write $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n z^n$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(F * f)'(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nT_n(x_n)z^{n-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 (1-s^2) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2(n-1)T_n(x_n)z^{n-1}s^{2n-3}ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} (1-s^2) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n-1)T_n z^{n-2} s^{n-2} e^{i(n-2)t} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx_n s^{n-1} e^{-i(n-1)t} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} z e^{it} ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} (1-s^2) F''(zse^{it})(f'(se^{-it})) z e^{it} \frac{dt}{2\pi} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using that $X \in (g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(F * f)'(z)\| &\leq 2|z| \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s^2) \|f'(se^{i\theta})\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s^2) \|F''(sze^{i\theta})\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \\
&\leq 2|z| \left(\int_0^1 (1-s^2) M_{\infty}^2(F'', s|z|) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s^2) \|f'(se^{i\theta})\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta \\
&\leq C|z| \left(\int_0^1 (1-s^2) M_{\infty}^2(F'', s|z|) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{1,X}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{|z|=r} \|(F * f)'(z)\|^2 \leq C \int_0^1 (1-s) M_{\infty}^2(F'', s|z|) ds \|f\|_{1,X}^2.$$

Now

$$\int_0^1 (1-r) \sup_{|z|=r} \|(F * f)'(z)\|^2 dr \leq C \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-s)(1-r) M_{\infty,X}^2(F'', sr) ds dr \|f\|_{1,X}^2. \quad \square$$

Let us now give a result which improves the previous theorem as well as Theorem 3.2 in [B1].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and X, Y be Banach spaces with $X \in (H)_p$. If $f \in H^p(X)$ and $F : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is an analytic function such that*

$$M_{p', L(X, Y)}(F', r) = O\left(\frac{1}{1-r}\right) \quad (r \rightarrow 1)$$

then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\max\{p, 2\}-1} \sup_{|z|=r} \|(F * f)'(z)\|^{\max\{p, 2\}} dr < \infty.$$

In particular $F * f \in BMOA_C(Y)$ provided $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

Proof. Let us write $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n z^n$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$.

Now let us observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
z(F * f)'(z^2) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nT_n(x_n)z^{2n-1}s^{2n-1}ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2T_n(x_n)z^{2n-1} \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nT_n z^{n-1} s^{n-1} e^{i(n-1)t} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nx_n z^{n-1} s^{n-1} e^{-i(n-1)t} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} ds \\
&= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} F'(zse^{it})(f'(zse^{-it}))se^{it} \frac{dt}{2\pi} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $q = \max\{p, 2\}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z(F * f)'(z^2)\| &\leq 2 \int_0^1 M_{p,X}(f', s|z|)M_{p',L(X,Y)}(F', s|z|)ds \\
&\leq C \left(\int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s|z|)^{q'}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\int_0^{|z|} M_{p,X}^q(f', s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
&\leq C \frac{\left(\int_0^{|z|} M_{p,X}^q(f', s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{q}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{|z|=r} \|z(F * f)'(z^2)\| \leq \frac{C}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(\int_0^r M_{p,X}^q(f', s)ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Now, using the $(H)_p$ -property on X , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{q-1} \sup_{|z|=r^2} \|(F * f)'(z)\|^q r dr &\leq C_q \int_0^1 (1-r)^{q-2} \left(\int_0^r M_{1,X}^q(f', s)ds \right) dr \\
&= C \int_0^1 (1-s)^{q-1} M_{p,X}^q(f', s)ds \leq C \|f\|_{p,X}^q. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Since $Bloch(L(X, Y))$ corresponds to $M_{\infty, L(X, Y)}(g', r) = O(\frac{1}{1-r})$ then we recover the following

Corollary 2.1. ([B1]) *Let X, Y be a Banach spaces such that $X \in (H)_1$ and $Y \in (HL)^*$.*

*If $f \in H^1(X)$ and $F \in Bloch(L(X, Y))$ then $F * f \in BMOA(Y)$.*

3.- VECTOR VALUED BLOCH FUNCTIONS AND APPLICATIONS.

Let us now recall some results on vector valued Bloch functions.

Definition 3.1. Given a complex Banach space E we shall use the notation $Bloch(E)$ for the space of E -valued analytic functions on D , say $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$, such that

$$\sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|) \|f'(z)\| < \infty.$$

We endow the space with the following norm

$$\|f\|_{Bloch(E)} = \max\{\|f(0)\|, \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|) \|f'(z)\|\}.$$

Remark 3.1. It follows clearly from the definition that, for any Banach space E and $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n$, one has that $F \in Bloch(E)$ if and only if

$$F_{x^*}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x^*, x_n \rangle z^n \in Bloch$$

for any $x^* \in E^*$. Moreover

$$\|F\|_{Bloch(E)} = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|F_{x^*}\|_{Bloch}.$$

Remark 3.2. Let $E = L(X, Y)$, the space of bounded linear operators from X into Y and $(T_n) \subset L(X, Y)$. It is elementary to see that $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n z^n \in Bloch(L(X, Y))$ if and only if the functions $F_{x, y^*}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle T_n(x), y^* \rangle z^n \in Bloch$ for any $x \in X, y^* \in Y^*$. Moreover

$$\|F\|_{Bloch(L(X, Y))} = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1, \|y^*\| \leq 1} \|F_{x, y^*}\|_{Bloch}.$$

Remark 3.3. In the case $E = l^\infty$ one can identify $Bloch(l^\infty) = l^\infty(Bloch)$. Moreover if $f = (f_n)$

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_n\|_{Bloch} = \|f\|_{Bloch(l^\infty)}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and

$$f_p(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{1}{p}} e_n z^n, \quad f_\infty(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n$$

where e_n stands for the canonical basis in l^p and $a_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$. Then $f_p \in Bloch(l^p)$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and

$$g_p(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad g_\infty(z) = \log \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

Then $F_p(z) = (g_p)_z \in Bloch(H^p)$.

There are also other procedures to get X -valued Bloch functions that we state in the following propositions, already pointed out in [B1].

Proposition 3.1. (see [B1], Prop. 1.2). Let X be a Banach space and $T \in L(L^1(D), X)$ where $L^1(D)$ stands for the Lebesgue space on the disc with the area measure. Then $f(z) = T(K_z)$ is a X -valued Bloch function, where K_z denotes the Bergman Kernel $K_z(w) = \frac{1}{(1-zw)^2}$.

Proposition 3.2. (see [B1] Prop. 1.1) Let E be a Banach space and $x_n \in E$.

- (i) If $\sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\langle x^*, x_k \rangle| < \infty$ then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n \in \text{Bloch}(E)$.
- (ii) $\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^{2^n}\|_{\text{Bloch}(E)} \approx \sup_{n \geq 0} \|x_n\|$.

It is well known (see [D, page 103]) that the space of multipliers (H^1, H^2) can be identified with the space of sequences (λ_n) such that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\lambda_k|^2 < \infty.$$

Therefore one has the following:

If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n z^n \in \text{Bloch}(X)$ then $\langle f(z), x^* \rangle \in (H^1, BMOA)$. In particular, since $BMOA \subset H^2$, we have that $\langle f(z), x^* \rangle \in (H^1, H^2)$ and then

$$\sup_{\|x^*\|=1} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\langle x^*, x_k \rangle|^2 < \infty.$$

We shall see that we can get better information assuming some conditions on X .

Let us recall the notion of Fourier-type introduced by J. Peetre ([Pee]). Given $1 \leq p \leq 2$, a Banach space X is said to have Fourier type p if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(n)\|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(X)}.$$

Typical examples of spaces of Fourier type p are the Lebesgue spaces $L^r(\mu)$ for $p \leq r \leq p'$ or those obtained by interpolation $[X, H]_{\theta}$ between any Banach space X and a Hilbert space H for $1/p = 1 - \theta/2$.

Proposition 3.3. Let X be a Banach space with $(HL)^*$ -property and Fourier type p .

If $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n z^n \in \text{Bloch}(X)$ then $\|x_n\| \in (H^1, l^{p'})$.
In particular

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} \|x_k\|^{p'} < \infty.$$

Proof. Using that $\|f * \phi\|_{p, X} \leq C \|f * \phi\|_{BMOA(X)}$ and Corollary 2.1 we have

$$\|f * \phi\|_{p, X} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Bloch}(X)} \|\phi\|_1$$

for any function $\phi \in H^1$.

Applying now the Fourier type condition

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n^{p'} \|x_n\|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Bloch}(X)} \|\phi\|_1$$

for any $\phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n \in H^1$.

This means that $\|x_n\| \in (H^1, l^{p'})$.

Choosing $\phi_r(z) = \frac{1}{(1-rz)^2}$ we shall have

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{p'} \|x_n\|^{p'} r^{np'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{Bloch}(X)} \frac{1}{(1-r)}.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^N n^{p'} \|x_n\|^{p'} \leq CN^{p'} \|f\|_{\text{Bloch}(X)}^{p'}.$$

Which obviously gives (3.1) \square

Let me point out now another applications.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a Banach space with the $(HL)^*$ -property and $f \in \text{Bloch}(X)$. Then*

$$\|f_r\|_{\text{BMOA}(X)} \leq C \log \frac{1}{1-r} \|f\|_{\text{Bloch}(X)}$$

where $f_r(z) = f(rz)$.

Proof. It is a simple consequence of Corollary 2.1 and the fact

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - re^{it}|} \frac{dt}{2\pi} \approx \log \frac{1}{1-r}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a Banach space with the $(H)_1$ -property.*

If $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n z^n \in H^1(X)$ and $(x_n^) \subset X^*$ satisfies $\sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}} |\langle x_k^*, x \rangle| < \infty$ then*

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle|^2 < \infty.$$

Proof. It follows from (i) in Proposition 3.2 that for any sequence $\varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ we have $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n x_n^* z^n \in \text{Bloch}(X^*)$ with norm bounded by a constant independent of the choice of ε_n . Then, from Corollary 2.1, since $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n z^n \in H^1(X)$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle z^n \right\|_{\text{BMOA}} \leq C \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_n x_n^* z^n \right\|_{\text{Bloch}(X^*)} \|f\|_{1, X}$$

This shows that for any $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n(t) \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle z^n \right\|_{BMOA} \leq C \|f\|_{1,X}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\approx \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n(t) \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle e^{in\theta} \right\| dt \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n(t) \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle z^n \right\|_{H^1} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r_n(t) \langle x_n^*, x_n \rangle z^n \right\|_{BMOA} dt \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{1,X} < \infty. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. The author should like to thank Q. Xu for conversations on the subject and for helping him to fix a detail in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [ACP] J.M. Anderson, J. Clunie, Ch Pommerenke, *On bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12-37.
- [AS] J.M. Anderson, A.L. Shields, *Coefficient multipliers on Bloch functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **224** (1976), 256-265.
- [AB] J.L. Arregui, O. Blasco, *Convolution of three functions by means of bilinear maps and applications*, Illinois J. (to appear).
- [BST] G. Bennett, D.A. Stegenga and R.M. Timoney, *Coefficients of Bloch and Lipschitz functions*, Illinois J. of Math. **25** (1981), 520-531.
- [BL] J. Berg and J. Lofstrom, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
- [B1] O. Blasco, *Vector valued analytic functions of bounded mean oscillation and geometry of Banach spaces*, Illinois J. **41** (1997), 532-558.
- [B2] O. Blasco, *A characterization of Hilbert spaces in terms of multipliers between spaces of vector valued analytic functions*, Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), 537-543.
- [B3] O. Blasco, *On the area function for $H(\sigma_p)$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. **44** (1996), 285-292.
- [B4] O. Blasco, *Convolution by means of bilinear maps and applications*, Contemporary Math. **232**, 85-103.
- [BP] O. Blasco and A. Pelczynski, *Theorems of Hardy and Paley for vector valued analytic functions and related classes of Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **323** (1991), 335-367.
- [Bl1] G. Blower, *Quadratic integrals and factorization of linear operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **56** (1997), 333-346.
- [Bl2] G. Blower, *Multipliers of Hardy spaces, quadratic integrals and Foias-Williams-Peller operators*, Studia Math. **131** (1998), 179-188.
- [Bo1] J. Bourgain, *Vector valued singular integrals and the $H^1 - BMO$ duality*, Probability theory and Harmonic Analysis, (J-A. Chao and W.A. Woyczynski, eds), Marcel Decker, New York and Basel.
- [Bo2] J. Bourgain, *A Hausdorff-Young inequality for B-convex spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **101** (1982), 255-262.

- [Bo3] J. Bourgain, *Vector-valued Hausdorff-Young inequality and applications*, Geometric Aspects in Functional Analysis, Israel Seminar (GAFA) 1986-87. Lecture Notes in Math..
- [CP] J.A. Cima and K.E. Petersen, *Some analytic functions whose boundary values have bounded mean oscillation*, Math. Z. **147** (1976), 237-247.
- [D] P. Duren, *Theory of H_p -spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [GR] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [G] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [HL1] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals II*, Math. Z. **34** (1932), 403-439.
- [HL2] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, *Theorems concerning mean values of analytic or harmonic functions*, Quart. J. Math. **12** (1941), 221-256.
- [HL3] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, *Notes on the theory of series (XX) Generalizations of a theorem of Paley*, Quart. J. Math. **8** (1937), 161-171.
- [Kw] S. Kwapien, *Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector coefficients*, Studia math. **44** (1972), 583-595.
- [LT] J. Lindenstrauss, L Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [L] J.E. Littlewood, *Lectures on the theory of functions*, Oxford Univ. Press., London, 1944.
- [LP] J.E. Littlewood and R.E.A.C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series (II)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **42** (1936), 52-89.
- [L-PP] F. Lust-Piquard and G. Pisier, *Non commutative Khintchine and Paley inequalities*, Ark. Math. **29** (1991), 241-260.
- [MP] M. Mateljevic, M. Pavlovic, *Multipliers of H^p and BMO*, Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), 71-84.
- [MPi] B. Maurey, G Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. **58** (1976), 45-90.
- [Pa] R.E.A.C. Paley, *On the lacunary coefficients of power series*, Ann. Math. **34** (1933), 615-616.
- [Pee] J.Peetre, *Sur la transformation de Fourier des fonctions a valeurs vectorielles*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **42** (1969), 15-46.
- [Pe] A. Pelczynski, *On commensurate sequences of characters*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 525-531.
- [Pi] G. Pisier, *Les inégalités de Kintchine-Kahane d'après C. Borel*, Exposé VII, Ecole Polytechnique, Centre de Mathématiques., Séminaire sr le Géométrie d'Espaces de Banach (1977-1978).
- [SW] A.L. Shields, D.L. Williams, *Bounded projections, duality and multipliers in spaces of analytic functions.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971), 287-302.
- [X] Q. Xu, *Littlewood-Paley theory for function with values in uniformly convex spaces*, J. reine angew. Math. **504**, 195-226.
- [Z] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1990.
- [Zy] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, Cambrigde Univ. Press., New York, 1959.

OSCAR BLASCO. DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE VALENCIA, 46100 BURJASSOT (VALENCIA), SPAIN.

E-mail: Oscar.Blasco@uv.es