

### WORLD ATLAS OF DISTRIBUTION OF RECENT POLYCYSTINA (RADIOLARIA)

Demetrio Boltovskoy, Stanley A. Kling, Kozo Takahashi, and Kjell Bjørklund

### ABSTRACT

This work is based on the compilation of currently accessible (published and unpublished) data on Radiolaria (Polycystina) distributions from plankton and sediment trap samples, and from surface sediment materials. The database assembled covers a total of 307 polycystine taxa in 6719 samples. Data sources are 91 publications and databases produced between 1958 and 2008, yielding a total of 338,127 datapoints (including absolute abundances, percentage data, and binary records). Taxa included in the various publications were critically evaluated in order to identify the same forms based on descriptions, illustrations, and synonymy lists. This information is used to produce (1) Maps showing species abundances above 150 m (plankton samples), below 150 m (plankton and sediment trap samples), and in the surface sediments (based on data pooled for 5x5 degree Marsden squares); (2) Maps of absolute radiolarian abundances in the plankton, in sediment trap materials, and in the surface sediments; (3) Summary diagrams of vertical distributions (plankton samples); (4) Patterns of species richness; and (5) Maps and graphs of geographic distribution of higher-level taxa (families and orders). In addition, some interoceanic and water-column vs. sediment comparisons emerging from this information are summarized in graphs and tables.

Demetrio Boltovskoy. Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina; Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas; Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia", demetrio@ege.fcen.uba.ar

Stanley A. Kling.416 Shore View Lane, Leucadia, California 92024, USA s.kling@sbcglobal.net Kozo Takahashi. Department of Earth & Planetary Sciences, Graduate School of Sciences, Kyushu University, Hakozaki 6-10-1, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan kozo@geo.kyushu-u.ac.jp Kjell Bjørklund.Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1172 Blindern, Oslo, Norway k.r.bjorklund@nhm.uio.no

KEY WORDS: Radiolaria Polycystina, Recent, distribution, abundance, World Ocean

PE Article Number: 13.3.18A Copyright: Palaeontological Association November 2010 Submission: 7 September 2009. Acceptance: 26 July2010

Boltovskoy, Demetrio, Kling, Stanley A., Takahashi, Kozo, and Bjørklund, Kjell, 2010. World Atlas of Distribution of Recent Polycystina (Radiolaria). *Palaeontologia Electronica* Vol. 13, Issue 3; 18A:230p.; http://palaeo-electronica.org/2010\_3/215/index.html

### INTRODUCTION

Unlike much hard-shelled micro-plankton, radiolarians are distributed to all depths of the oceans, and individual species are variously restricted to horizontal and vertical provinces. Furthermore, these provinces are not static, but become modified geographically and bathymetrically as the water masses and currents responsible for these habitats change. This makes them uncommonly useful for studying oceanographic conditions in both present-day oceans and the preserved sedimentary record. There are by now a large number of sources of data relating to the ecology and distribution of radiolarians. However, these studies are generally of regional scale within individual oceans, and we believe it is timely to summarize this information on a worldwide basis.

We have undertaken a compilation of currently accessible (published and unpublished) data on Radiolaria (Polycystina) distributions from plankton and sediment trap samples, and from surface sediment materials. The database we have assembled covers a total of 307 polycystine taxa (145 spumellarians and 162 nassellarians, plus 18 family and order-level categories), in 6719 samples from the World Ocean (3492 from the Pacific, 2186 from the Atlantic, 696 from the Indian Ocean, and 345 from the Arctic; see Table 1 and Appendix 1).

A deterrent to this scale of synthesis has been disagreement in the taxonomic concepts among authors and the consequent fragmentation of the distributional significance of radiolarian species. Thus, the initial phase of this project was to list the taxa included in the various publications and then identify forms that are the same based on descriptions, illustrations and synonymy lists, rather than on the names under which they were cited (which vary widely between publications). The process we used to achieve this conformity is elaborated in the Methods section. The first stage of results from this

	Plankton	Sediment traps	Surface sediments	Total
N Pacific	732	1080	794	2606
S Pacific	234	318	334	886
N Atlantic	335	116	676	1127
S Atlantic	382	43	634	1059
Indian	141	50	505	696
Arctic	30	101	214	345
Total	1854	1708	3157	6719

**TABLE 1.** Numbers of samples for each source and ocean basin.

project is the production of an atlas of distribution patterns of Recent radiolarians, which is the subject of this report. The basic displays are world maps showing species abundances above and below 150 m and in sediment trap and surface sediment samples. In addition to the maps, we have also plotted latitudinal sections of vertical distributions. Equitability and specific diversity, radiolarian fluxes (sediment traps), assessment of seasonality as a function of latitude (sediment traps), and biogeographic zonations, are also presented.

These displays will allow the reader to view the results of numerous regional studies simultaneously, to reveal the relationships between the radiolarian distributions and worldwide water mass and circulation patterns. Furthermore, comparison of distributions in the water column with those on the sea floor provide insights into the dynamics of settling shells. This information will help users to interpret future changes in distributions as they are affected by climatic and oceanographic changes. And paleontologists will be better able to interpret past climatic and oceanographic conditions. Finally, the maps may point up reports of species far from otherwise restricted local distributions, thus suggesting re-examinations of taxonomic relationships.

It should be stressed that this work is not aimed at solving taxonomic or nomenclature problems, but at illustrating our current knowledge of the geographic distribution of polycystine taxa. Neither does it include all the Recent polycystine species described because the forms covered are restricted to those cited in the 88 sources used. However, the size of this database and its geographic coverage allow assuming that all but the extremely rare Recent forms have been accounted for. Because one of our goals was assessing objectively the overall number of polycystine species, even taxa with only one single record were incorporated in the database (see Appendix 2).

This atlas is a presentation of data, and therefore we have generally refrained from making interpretations of the illustrations, or drawing conclusions from them.

### SOURCES AND METHODS

### Sources of information

This atlas is based on practically all the information available on the distribution of Recent polycystine radiolarians in the World Ocean. Information has been compiled from 91 different sources, including publications, Master and Doc-



Figure 1. Geographic distribution of the plankton, sediment trap, and surface sediment samples used in this compilation. Overlapping positions are denoted with triangles.

toral dissertations, publicly available internet databases (PANGAEA, JGOFS), and unpublished records (Figure 1, Appendix 1). Our main target was reports that (1) covered at least a large majority of the radiolarian species in the samples (as opposed to papers dealing with one or a few selected species only), (2) included at least relative (%) abundance data, and (3) supplied basic station data unequivocally (mainly position and water depth). Nevertheless, we also covered several papers that provide binary (presence-absence) radiolarian data, works that dealt with only one or a few radiolarian species, as well as a few publications restricted to the assessment of radiolarian absolute abundances (i.e., cells per liter of water filtered - plankton samples, cells per square meter per day - sediment trap samples, and skeletons per g dry sediment - surface sediment samples). Appendix 1 provides an overview of the sources used and the type of information extracted from each.

The database thus compiled covered the following information:

- Source publication
- Type of radiolarian data (presence-absence, species percentages, absolute density estimates, etc.)
- Sample type (plankton, sediment trap, surface sediments) and identification
- Sampler type
- Type and depth of tow, volume of water filtered, net mesh size, date (for plankton samples)
- Depth of trap, type of trap, dates of trap deployment (for sediment trap samples)
- Sample preparation and analysis: sieve mesh size, number of radiolarians counted per sample
- · Latitude and longitude, bottom depth
- Number of radiolarians per cubic meter of water fitered (plankton), per g of dry sediment (sediments), or per square meter per day (sediment traps) (when available).

In some cases, the total numbers of samples included in our database (Appendix 1) may differ from the numbers reported by the corresponding author because we eliminated samples barren of polycystines (occasionally included in the original sample lists). On the other hand, samples with no polycystines in surveys aimed at absolute radiolarian abundances only were retained in our data. Table 1 illustrates the geographic distribution of the samples (Figures 1, 2) and their type. Sedimentary materials yielded the highest numbers of samples. In addition, these samples are much more evenly spread across the oceans, thus yielding a better coverage than plankton and sediment trap materials. Many of the plankton samples, and especially sediment trap samples, are from intensive studies covering small areas, often represented by tens or even hundreds of samples taken at the same geographic locations (e.g., vertical net or bottle castings, serial sediment traps collections).

The North Pacific Ocean is by far better covered than any other area, both in absolute terms of number of samples and in terms of samples per unit surface. It is followed by the North Atlantic, with other oceanic areas lagging behind (Figure 2).

When information was extracted from two or more partially overlapping sources the records in question were cross-checked in order to avoid duplicates. However, in a few cases, when the provenance of the data was not fully detailed in the source(s) duplicate information may have been retained in our database. We estimate that these duplicates represent <1% of the datapoints compiled.

### Standarization of the data

Raw data extracted from each source were critically evaluated to identify synonyms and other suspicious identifications, as well as apply a uniform nomenclature throughout.

Recent polycystines include a number of more or less well-established species whose characteristics are generally agreed upon and whose nomenclature is fairly stable among authors, and forms whose nomenclature is less uniform but whose restricted variability and rather well-defined morphology allows establishing synonyms easily and securely. Another category comprises problematic forms whose nomenclature varies widely among authors. In some cases these discrepancies can be traced to turn of the century monographs where several of Ehrenberg's and Haeckel's species were redescribed under new names. In most cases, however, morphological species concepts are more uniform and stable than species names, which allow grouping them under a single name with reasonable confidence. Species of these two categories were included in the database under the name currently most widely used. Synonyms were assigned on the basis of descriptions, illustrations, and/or synonyms provided by



#### Number of samples per ocean basin

Figure 2. Numbers of samples per ocean basin.

the author. In addition, when combining names, we considered the distributions of the taxa, so that if two similar forms had clearly different distribution ranges they were left separated.

At the other end are the highly problematic forms, with very complicated and variable morphology, still requiring research to establish the species limits. In these cases we resorted to using less precise taxonomic categories such as "species groups". In extreme cases these forms were lumped under the corresponding family epithets.

Summarizing, the names used by the original authors were maintained unless:

- 1. From the illustrations, descriptions, and/or synonymies provided it was clear that the same species had been reported under a different name by other authors.
- 2. The species is a member of a problematic group and is normally identified conditionally or in nomenclatura aperta (ex gr., aff., sp. or spp., s.l., etc.). In these cases we placed it either in an unresolved species group or under the corresponding family name.
- The taxon consists of two or more very closely related morphotypes that were considered separately by one or a few authors, but were counted jointly by most others. In most of these cases the two forms were lumped in our review (e.g., Lamprocyclas maritalis maritalis, Lamprocyclas maritalis polypora and Lampro-

cyclas maritalis ventricosa; Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus tetrathalamus and Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus coronatus; etc.). In a few cases, especially when there was evidence that the siblings have dissimilar distributional ranges, we retained the three alternatives: records of sibling A, records of sibling B, and records of both siblings undifferentiated.

4. Some species that are newly erected, but inadequately described and illustrated. These were placed under the corresponding family level group.

As a rule of thumb, species named differently in the original sources were merged only when there was clear evidence that we were dealing with synonyms. Whenever there were doubts about the status of a species, or evidence for merging it was considered inconclusive, the taxon was either left separated under the original name, or lumped in the corresponding "species group" or family (Appendix 2). Overall, our resulting database retained a very large proportion of the species treated in the original reports used for the compilation: on average, over 95% of the taxa with firm (i.e., non-conditional) identifications were incorporated into our database.

Eleven of the species listed (Appendix 2) have no records in the database. This is because they have been figured and described (and are clearly valid species), but they have no records in the data compiled (ommitted in the counts by the corresponding author). These taxa are: *Arachnosphaera* sp. 1, *Clathrocyclas* sp. 1, *Clathromitra ptero-phormis*, Cromyomma sp. 1, *Dictyophimus* sp. 1, *Heliosoma* sp. 1, *Liriospyris* sp. 1, *Phrenocodon clathrostomium*, *Plegmosphaera oblonga*, *Styptosphaera* sp. B, and *Tetraplecta corynephorum*?

### Maps and graphs

Illustrations depicting the quantitative distribution of radiolarian species are based on percentage data (either reported as such in the original paper, or recalculated from absolute or relative counting figures), and because of the nature of the information used, they are subject to some constraints:

These representations assume that the totals of the samples involved include unidentified specimens so that the percentages in question are effectively of the overall assemblage, rather than proportions of identified specimens only. Data sets that did not meet this condition (e.g., Hays 1965), or recorded only binary information (presenceabsence; e.g., Johnson and Nigrini 1980, 1982) were incorporated but with a notation that the taxon in question was present only. Percentage values are given only in those cases when the corresponding estimate was based on counts of a reasonably high number of specimens per sample. In some of the surveys used, the numbers of radiolarians per sample retrieved were extremely low, vielding unreliable percentage values. For the maps, we used a cutoff value of 50 identified specimens per sample; below this figure, the species (if recorded) is designated as "present" only.

One of the problems of representating these data is associated with the absences of species. Negative records in original sources may result from different circumstances: (1) the species was looked for but was not found, or (2) the species was present in the sample(s) but was excluded from the counting categories used by the author. These two circumstances have guite different implications, yet their graphic representation is identical. We therefore indicated absences only for those data sets where the taxon was recorded at least once. Thus, its presence in at least one sample indicates that it has been searched for and recognized when present. For example: in the database of Hollis and Neil (2005) Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus was recorded in 11 of the 31 samples, therefore for the 20 samples where it was not found, it is denoted as absent in the maps. On the other hand, Casey (1971) did not include Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus (=Panartus tetrathalamus,

=Ommatartus tetrathalamus) in his survey (although given its known distribution it must have been present in most of his samples, see Figure 37 below). Thus, in Casey's (1971) mapped samples Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus is not indicated as absent.

Because of the extremely high numbers of data points, and the fact that many of them overlap (especially in water-column materials), graphic representation of all the records on a reasonably sized map is impossible. Furthermore, because of the large sample-to-sample variability, it is more important in this type of review to offer a clear picture of the overall distributional trend, rather than to show all the raw, unprocessed information. Thus, in order to circumvent the problem of overlapping data points and extract meaningful trends from the data, distributional maps are based on information averaged for 5 x 5 degree Marsden squares.

Graphic representation of summarized vertical distribution data is complicated by the fact that plankton tow intervals vary widely between surveys and are often not based on established oceanographic depth zones. To circumvent this problem for each plankton tow we calculated the central depth and subsequently used this value for grouping the vertical plankton data illustrated in the diagrams. In most cases the nominal (figured) depth interval is reasonably close to the actual depth interval sampled. In some, however, the intervals sampled were very large, in which case the nominal depth interval may not adequately reflect the actual depth interval sampled (see below).

### List of species (Appendix 2)

Taxa are listed alphabetically. For each entry the following information is given: species name, authority, family (in parentheses), up to 3 references illustrating the species concept used ("Ref."), other names used variously for this species in the literature surveyed ("Syn."), and remarks ("Rem.").

### NUMBERS OF RADIOLARIAN SHELLS

### Vertical distribution of cell numbers (Figure 3)

Included are all plankton samples with absolute quantitative polycystine data. Depth intervals shown are calculated as half of the distance between the bottom and the top of each plankton tow. For example, for a tow from 550 to 320 m the mid-depth is 435 m [((550-320)/2)+320], and the corresponding data are included in the 300 to 500 nominal depth interval. Agreement between nomi-



Figure 3. Vertical distribution of cell numbers (depth intervals shown are calculated as half of the distance between the bottom and the top of each plankton tow). N: number of samples used.

nal and actual depth intervals is best in the upper 100 m where the mean difference between nominal and actual top and bottom depths is around 3 m. Between 100 and 500 m this mean difference is ca. 19 m, whereas at 500 to 5000 m it is around 90 m.

### Polycystine fluxes (Figure 4)

Data illustrated are mean annual values based on sediment trap deployments of at least 9 consecutive months. Total sediment trap samples used for deriving the means: 905, total datapoints illustrated: 45.

#### Polycystine fluxes vs. mean annual chlorophyll a and (Figure 5.1)

Polycystine flux data are the same as those in Figure 4. Chlorophyll data are mean annual values at 10 m depth (from Conkright et al. 1998a, b, c).

### Polycystine production half-time as a function of latitude (Figure 5.2)

Graph illustrates the time (as a percentage of overall trapping time) it takes to generate ≥50, 75, and 90% of the overall radiolarian flux during the entire trapping period when chronological flux data are sorted in descending order. This measure gives an idea of the intermittency or seasonality of polycystine flux (see Berger and Wefer 1990). Figures are based on a total of 44 sediment trap moorings (1225 discrete trapping periods) deployed for at least 300 consecutive days.

### Geographic distribution of the numbers of shells in the surface sediments (Figure 6)

Map is based on a total of 11 reports, but over 85% of the data are from Goll and Bjørklund (1971, 1974) (Atlantic Ocean, 59% of the datapoints), Bjørklund and Kruglikova (2003) (northern North Atlantic, 17%), and Kruglikova (1966) (North Pacific, 9%). Since these figures are based on dried samples, where radiolarian shells have been



Figure 4. Polycystine fluxes (mean annual values based on sediment trap deployments of at least 9 consecutive months). N: number of samples used.

shown to undergo sometimes significant destruction (Itaki and Hasegawa, 2000), the values depicted may be underestimated.

### Shell concentrations in the sediments vs. mean annual chlorophyll a and dissolved Si (Figure 7)

Chlorophyll data are mean annual values at 10 m depth (from Conkright et al. 1998a, b, c). Si data (mean annual values for 10 and 1500 m) are from García et al. (2006)

### GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF THE SPECIES (FIGURES 8-229)

Note: figures 10-262 appear after ReferenceS and BEFORE appendix pages

This section consists of maps depicting the geographic distribution of the species. Of the 307 radiolarian taxa covered in this survey, 222 are included in these maps (asterisked in Appendix 2).

Three levels of detail are used depending on the amount and type of distributional information available:

 For the most abundant taxa (94 radiolarians; Figures 8-101) data are presented separately for the upper 150 m of the water-column (plankton samples), for depths below 150 m (plankton and sediment trap samples), and for the sediments. The plankton tows included in each category were recorded as the middepth of the layer sampled. Thus, a few of the samples included in the 0 to150 m category actually collected materials from depths deeper than 150 m, while some of those included in the >150 m group sampled shallower layers. The map data are plotted in these categories: (a) relative abundance (percentage of the overall polycystine assemblage) in 6 classes (<0.5 to >10%), (b) "present" - where the species was recorded but not quantified, and (c) "absent" - where it was not recorded but had positive records in other samples from the same survey.

 For the less abundant forms (128 species; Figures 102-229) a single map for each species is given. In this case, depending on the numbers of datapoints available, maps show either absences, presences, and percentages (4 classes) for water-column and sedimentary materials separately, or positive records only (in the water-column and in the sediments separately).

In all cases original percentage data were pooled or averaged in 5 x 5 degree Marsden squares. For maps where water-column and sedimentary records are graphed separately, symbols are located in the middle of each Marsden square. For those where all available data are represented on a single map, to avoid overlaps symbols are slightly offset from the center of the square (position of symbols that fall on land has not been corrected).

N-values at the top of the maps indicate total number of positive records (first number), and total



Figure 5.1. Polycystine fluxes vs. mean annual chlorophyll a. Polycystine flux data are the same as those in Figure 4; chlorophyll data are mean annual values at 10 m depth (from Conkright et al. 1998a, 1988b, 1988c). Figure 5.2. Polycystine production half-time (i.e., the time it takes to generate  $\geq$ 50, 75 and 90% of the overall radiolarian flux during the entire trapping period when chronological flux data are sorted in descending order; as a percentage of overall trapping time) as a function of latitude. Based on 44 sediment trap moorings deployed for at least 300 consecutive days.



Polycystines per gram of dry carbonate-free sediment N=1335

Figure 6. Geographic distribution of the numbers of shells in the surface sediments. N: number of samples used.

number of samples where the species was presumably sought (that is, samples forming part of data sets where the species was recoded at least once; second number, in square brackets).

Shadings are intended to serve as an aid for fast visual identification of the general area where the species was recorded, rather than denoting its distribution range. Shadings have not been extrapolated on the basis of the distribution of oceanographic parameters (currents, temperature, salinity, etc.). Negative records are usually outside of the shaded areas, except when densely surrounded by positive records.

Empty circles, indicating absence of the corresponding species, should not necessarily be interpreted as indicative of areas beyond the distribution range of the species; however, they do provide a general idea of the area where the species is at least scarcer.

No maps are given for the very scarce species, as well as for some poorly defined morphotypes for which the distributional information is quite likely inconsistent because of disagreements in their nomeclature and taxonomy.

Maps are arranged alphabetically within the two abundance categories defined above: first the 94 abundant taxa and then the 128 less abundant ones.

### VERTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF THE SPECIES (Figures 230-236)

Although our database covers a large number of plankton tows (1854), summarization of this

informantion is strongly hindered by the fact that radiolarian vertical density profiles in the plankton are affected by many variables, both environmental (e.g., latitude and longitude, season) and methodological (plankton net mesh size, sieve mesh size, preparation procedures), which complicate comparisons across surveys.

For species with reasonably abundant information, we reviewed vertical distribution patterns from two different perspectives: (a) The depth of maximum abundance of the taxon ("Vertical abundance profiles of individual species," Figures 230-234); and (b) the species that dominate the radiolarian assemblage at different depth layers in warm and cold waters ("Dominant species at different depths," Figures 235, 236).

For category (a) the information in the figures of vertical distribution of selected species was derived as follows:

- 1. We selected the 857 plankton tows with absolute cell concentrations per unit water filtered;
- within each series of vertical plankton tows from the same location (450 series in total), absolute abundances were pooled and averaged for the following depth intervals: 0-50 m, 50-150 m, 150-500 m, 500-1000 m, and 1000-5000 m;
- each of these series of 5 values (in numbers of shells per unit volume filtered) was transformed to percentages. Thus, for each geographic location and each species considered we derived the proportions of individuals



Figure 7.1. Shell concentrations in the sediments vs. mean annual chlorophyll a at 10 m (chlorophyll data from Conkright et al. 1998a, 1988b, 1988c). Figure 7.2. Shell concentrations in the sediments vs. mean annual Si concentration at the surface (10 m) (Si data from García et al. 2006). Figure 7.3. Shell concentrations in the sediments vs. mean annual Si concentration at depth (1500 m) (Si data from García et al. 2006).



Figure 8. Geographic distribution of *Acanthodesmia vinculata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrush-evskaya (1971); C, Itaki (2009).



Figure 9. Geographic distribution of *Acanthosphaera actinota*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Popofsky (1913); C, Original; D, Original.

recovered from the various depth intervals; (4) for each species, these percentages were averaged across all geographic sites.

For category (b) we selected the 50 species with highest numbers of records in the 857 plankton samples available. Proportions of each of these in the overall polycystine assemblage were averaged for the same 5 depth intervals as above and for samples obtained in warm waters (mean annual water temperature at 10 m >12°C, according to Antonov et al. 1998a, b, c), and samples obtained in cold waters of the northern hemisphere (mean annual water temperature at 10 m <12°C). A similar data set for the southern hemisphere was too small to yield meaningful information.

### RADIOLARIAN DIVERSITY (FIGURES 237-241)

Numbers of species in the plankton (Figure 237), in sediment trap samples Figure 238), and in the sediments (Figure 239).

Data were pooled in 5 x 5 degree bins. The figures shown are averages and maximum values for these sectors. Plankton data include tows at all depths. For sediment trap data, each cup is a discrete sample. Data are restricted to materials where all radiolarians present in the slides were identified.

## Mean number of species per sample (Figure 240)

Comparative figures of numbers of species identified in plankton, sediment trap, and surface sediment samples. Graph shows the average numbers of species in samples collected with different techniques. Data are restricted to materials where all radiolarians present in the slides were identified, and numbers of specimens per sample scanned were at least 100. Data were averaged for 20 x 20 degree sectors. Total numbers of samples included in this figure: plankton - 760, sediment traps - 983, surface sediments - 761.

### Number of species identified as a function of the number of specimens scanned (Figure 241)

These graphs show how the overall specific inventory increases as more specimens are incorporated in the analysis. Increases are less pronounced in the polar waters, where species numbers are lower, and therefore the sample size needed to account for the entire specific inventory is smaller. Data are restricted to samples where (a) all radiolarian species were identified, (b) information on the numbers of specimens scanned was **TABLE 2.** Taxa recorded in <10% of the samples from the Atlantic and >50% of the samples from the Indian and/or Pacific (body of table indicates numbers of samples where the species was recorded).

	Atlantic	Indian	Pacific
Actinomma	8	6	1744
delicatulum			
Cycladophora	14	2	1671
davisiana cornutoides			
Dictyophimus	51	0	1479
infabricatus			
Druppatractus	80	95	1509
irregularis			
Lamprocyrtis nigriniae	86	178	1575
Larcospira	85	298	1732
quadrangula			
Peripyramis	84	101	1532
circumtexta			
Phormospyris stabilis	70	95	1557
scaphipes			
Pterocanium korotnevi	8	0	1772

provided, and (c) at least 50 specimens per sample were observed.

### DISTRIBUTION OF HIGHER-LEVEL TAXA (FIGURES 242-258)

Maps are based on all the publications (including plankton, sediment trap, and surface sediment materials) where we assume that the entire radiolarian assemblage was identified (rather than subsets of selected species). Original percentages were pooled in  $5 \times 5$  degree bins and averaged.

### INTEROCEANIC COMPARISONS (TABLES 2, 3, 4)

### Interoceanic differences (Tables 2, 3)

The tables included in this section list taxa that are absent or very scarce in either the Atlantic or the Indian Ocean, and quite abundant in either of the other two major oceanic basins. None of the species covered was scarce in the Pacific but abundant in either the Atlantic or the Indian oceans. Figures are based on all samples where radiolarians were identified (Atlantic: 982 samples, Indian: 698 samples, and Pacific: 2953 samples).

### Endemisms (Table 4)

Species recorded at one of the poles only or restricted to the tropics and subtropics. Figures based on the entire dataset.

**TABLE 3.** Taxa recorded in <10% of the samples from the Indian Ocean and >50% of the samples from the Atlantic and/or Pacific (body of table indicates numbers of samples where the species was recorded).

	Atlantic	Indian	Pacific
Actinomma delicatulum	8	6	1744
Cycladophora davisiana cornutoides	14	2	1671
Dictyophimus infabricatus	51	0	1479
Pterocanium korotnevi	8	0	1772
Larcopyle buetschlii	245	52	2186
Stylochlamydium venustum	219	0	1876

### WATER-COLUMN VS. SEDIMENTS COMPARISONS (FIGURES 259-275)

### Comparison of specific occurrences of selected species in water column and sediment samples (Figure 259)

All water-column (N: 3562) and sediment (N: 3157) samples were used for this graph. The figure for each species is the average latitude (absolute values) of all the samples where the species was present. Because the mean latitude of sedimentary samples is 1.32 times that of all plankton samples, their index was divided by this figure. The graph is restricted to the 100 most abundant species.

# Comparison of the occurrences of selected species in water column and sediment samples (Figure 260)

This graph illustrates the most important differences in the numbers of times a given species was recorded in the water-column vs. the number of times it was recorded in the sediments. Species selected are those that had at least 70 records in the water column or sediments and occurred at least 16 times more frequently in one of the two types of materials than in the other. Numbers in parentheses after each species name denote numbers of samples where it was recorded in water column materials (first figure) and in sedimentary materials (second figure). For columns graphed, the total number of occurrrences in the water column and in the sediments were corrected for total number of water column and sediment samples in the database.

### Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments (data averaged for 5x5 degree Marsden squares) (Figures 261, 262)

All available percentage data were pooled in 5 x 5 degree Marsden squares and averaged for water column (plankton and sediment traps) and

sedimentary materials separately. For those Marsden squares where data for both the water-column and the sediments were available mean proportions in the sediments were substracted from the mean proportions in the water-column. N values indicate total numbers of original data points involved in each comparison. Graphs are restricted to species where a strong bias toward one of the sources is apparent.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support for this undertaking was provided by the Census of Marine Zooplankton (CMarZ), a field project of the Census of Marine Life (CoML). Nancy Correa and Michiko Matsubara spent many hours assisting us in compiling the information from the different sources, digitizing data and proofreading the materials assembled at various stages in the project. A.G. Matul and S.M. Gupta provided their original databases for this work. R. Benson, G. Cortese, J. Dolven, B. Goll, C. Hollis, T. Itaki, S. Kamikuri, S. Kruglikova, A. Matsuoka, W. Riedel, and L. Welling kindly allowed us to reproduce their published photographs.

### REFERENCES

- Sources used for compiling radiolarian distributional data are asterisked.
- \* Abelmann, A. 1992. Radiolarian flux in Antarctic waters (Drake Passage, Powell Basin, Bransfield Strait). *Polar Biology*, 12:357-372.
- \* Abelmann, A. and Gowing, M.M. 1997. Spatial distribution pattern of living polycystine radiolarian taxa baseline study for paleoenvironmental reconstructions in the Southern Ocean (Atlantic sector). *Marine Micropaleontology*, 30:3-28.
- \* Alder, V.A., Thompson, G., Uliana, E., and Boltovskoy, D. Partly published data [Alder, V.A., Thompson, G., Uliana, E., and Boltovskoy, D. 1997. Radiolarian abundance in Southwestern Atlantic (30-60°S) waters: latitudinal and year-to-year variations in the surface layers. *INTERRAD VIII, Bierville, France*; Boltovskoy, D. and Alder, V.A. 1992. Paleoecological

implications of radiolarian distribution and standing stocks vs. accumulation rates in the Weddell Sea, p. 377-384. In Kennet, J.P. and Warnke, D.A. (eds.), *The Antarctic Paleoenvironment: A Perspective on Global Change*. Antarctic Research Series, American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C.].

- \* Alperín, M.I. 1987. Radiolarios de un testigo cuaternario del talud continental Argentino. *Revista de la Asociación Geológica Argentina*, 41:290-315.
- Antonov, J.I., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., and Stephens, C. 1998a. World Ocean Atlas 1998, Vol. 1: Temperature of the Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 27, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Antonov, J.I., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., and Stephens, C. 1998b. World Ocean Atlas 1998 Vol. 2: Temperature of the Pacific Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 28, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Antonov, J.I., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., Stephens, C., and Trotsenko, B. 1998c. World Ocean Atlas 1998 Vol. 3: Temperature of the Indian Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 29, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Benson, R.N. 1966. Recent Radiolaria from the Gulf of California. Ph.D. Diss., Univ. Minnesota, pp. 1-577.
- Berger, W.H. and Wefer, G. 1990. Export production: seasonality and intermittency, and paleoceanographic implications. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 89:245-254.
- \* Bernstein, R.E., Betzer, P.R., and Takahashi, K. 1990. Radiolarians from the western North Pacific Ocean: a latitudinal study of their distribution and fluxes. *Deep-Sea Research*, 37:1677-1696.
- \* Bjørklund, K.R. 1972. Radiolarienes (Rhizopoda, Protozoa) arvariasjon og vertikalfordeling i Korsfjorden, Vest Norge, med bemerkninger om de vanligste artenes systematikk og geografiske utbredelse. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.
- Bjørklund, K.R. 1976 Radiolaria from the Norwegian Sea, leg 38 of the Deep Sea Drilling Project. In Talwani, M., Udintsev, V., et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 38:1101-1168.
- Bjørklund, K.R. 1981. The taxonomical status of colonial radiolarians, p. 1031-1034. In Baumgartner, P.O., Eurorad II, 1980 - Second Europaean meeting of radiolarian paleontologists: current research on Cenozoic and Mesozoic radiolarians. Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae, 74:1027-1061.
- Bjørklund, K.R. and Goll, R.M. 1986. Final stages of morphogenesis and early stages of disintegration for Modern polycystine Radiolaria. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 11:171-183.
- \* Bjorklund, K.R. and Kruglikova, S.B. 2003. Polycystine radiolarians in surface sediments in the Arctic Ocean basins and marginal seas. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 49:231-273.

- Bjorklund, K.R. and Swanberg, N.R. 1987. The distribution of two morphotypes of the radiolarian *Amphimelissa setosa* Cleve (Nassellarida): a result of environmental variability? *Sarsia*, 72:245-254.
- Bjørklund, K.R., Cortese, G., Swanberg, N., and Schrader, H.J. 1998. Radiolarian faunal provinces in surface sediments of the Greenland, Iceland and Norwegian (GIN) Seas. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 35:105-140.
- \* Boltovskoy, D. 1987. Sedimentary record of radiolarian biogeography in the equatorial to Antarctic western Pacific Ocean. *Micropaleontology*, 33:267-281.
- \* Boltovskoy, D. 1992. Current and productivity patterns in the equatorial Pacific across the last glacial maximum based on radiolarian east-west and downcore faunal gradients. *Micropaleontology*, 38:397-413.
- Boltovskoy, D. 1999. Radiolaria Polycystina, p. 149-212. In Boltovskoy, D., South Atlantic Zooplankton, Backhuys Publishers, Leiden.
- \* Boltovskoy, D. and Jankilevich, S.S. 1985. Radiolarian distribution in east equatorial Pacific plankton. *Oceanologica Acta*, 8:101-123.
- \* Boltovskoy, D. and Riedel, W.R. 1980. Polycystine Radiolaria from the Southwestern Atlantic Ocean plankton. *Revista Española de Micropaleontología*, 12:99-146.
- \* Boltovskoy, D. and Riedel, W.R. 1987. Polycystine Radiolaria of the California Current region: seasonal and geographic patterns. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 12:65-104.
- Boltovskoy, D. and Vrba, A. 1988. Classification and geographic distribution of *Stylodictya* type radiolarians. *Micropaleontology*, 34:362-370.
- Boltovskoy, D. and Vrba, A. 1989. Latitude-related shell patterns in Radiolaria: *Botryostrobus auritus/australis* morphotypes in the equatorial to Antarctic Pacific. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 13:309-323.
- \* Boltovskoy, D., Alder, V.A., and Abelmann, A. 1993a. Annual flux of Radiolaria and other shelled plankters in the eastern equatorial Atlantic at 853 m: seasonal variations and Polycystine species-specific responses. *Deep-Sea Research*, 40:1863-1895.
- <sup>5</sup> Boltovskoy, D., Alder, V.A., and Abelmann, A. 1993b. Radiolarian sedimentary imprint in Atlantic equatorial sediments: comparison with the yearly flux at 853 m *Marine Micropaleontology*, 23:1-12.
- Boltovskoy, D., Bender Kotzian, S., and Pedrozo, F.L. 1983. Some new techniques for the preparation and illustration of Polycystina (Radiolaria). *Micropaleontology*, 29:382-390.
- \* Boltovskoy, D., Oberhaensli, H., and Wefer, G. 1996a. Radiolarian assemblages in the eastern tropical Atlantic: patterns in the plankton and in sediment trap samples. *Journal of Marine Systems*, 8:31-51.
- \* Boltovskoy, D., Uliana, E., and Wefer, G. 1996b. Seasonal variation in the flux of microplankton and radiolarian assemblage composition in the northeastern tropical Atlantic at 2195 m. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 41:615-635.

- \* Boltovskoy, D., Kogan, M., Alder, V.A., and Mianzan, M. 2003. First record of a brackish radiolarian (Polycystina): *Lophophaena rioplatensis* n. sp. in the Río de la Plata estuary. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 25:1551-1559.
- \* Casey, R.E. 1971 Radiolarians as indicators of past and present water masses, p. 331-341. In Riedel, W.R. and Funnell, B.M. (eds.), *The micropalaeontology of oceans*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Caulet, J.P. and Nigriri, C. 1988. The genus *Pterocorys* (Radiolaria) from the tropical Late Neogene of the Indian and Pacific Oceans. *Micropaleontology*, 34:217-235.
- \* Chang, F., Zhuang, L., Li, T., Yan, J., Cao, Q., and Cang, S. 2003. Radiolarian fauna in surface sediments of the northeast China Sea. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 48:169-204.
- \* Cheng, Y.-N. and Yeh, K.-Y. 1989. Radiolaria in surface sediments from west central Pacific near Taiwan. *Bulletin of the National Museum of Natural History*, Taiwan, 1:177-211.
- \* CLIMAP. 1997. Relative abundance of radiolaria in surface sediments, doi:10.1594/PANGAEA.51928 [CLI-MAP Project Members (1976): The surface of the ice-age earth, *Science*, 191:1131-1144 ]
- \* Coco, B.C. 1982. Radiolarios de un testigo antarctico (Mioceno-Reciente). *Ameghiniana*, 19:335-352.
- Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Stephens, C., and Antonov, J.I. 1998a. World Ocean Atlas 1998 Vol. 10: Nutrient and Chlorophyll of the Atlantic Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 36, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Stephens, C., and Antonov, J.I. 1998b. World Ocean Atlas 1998 Vol. 11: Nutrient and Chlorophyll of the Pacific Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 37, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Conkright, M.E., O'Brien, T.D., Levitus, S., Boyer, T.P., Stephens, C., and Antonov, J.I. 1998c. World Ocean Atlas 1998 Vol. 12: Nutrient and Chlorophyll of the Indian Ocean. NOAA Atlas NESDIS 38, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Cortese, G. and Bjorklund, K.R. 1998a. Morphometry and taxonomy of Hexacontium species from western Norwegian fjord. *Micropaleontology*, 44:161-172.
- Cortese, G. and Bjorklund, K.R. 1998b. The taxonomy of boreal Atlantic Ocean Actinommida (Radiolaria). *Micropaleontology*, 44:149-160.
- \* Cortese, G., Bjørklund, K.R., and Dolven, J.K. 2003. Polycystine radiolarians in the Greenland–Iceland– Norwegian Seas: species and assemblage distribution. *Sarsia*, 88:65–88.
- Dreyer, F. 1913. Die Polycystinen der Plankton-Expedition. *Ergebnisse Plankton-Expedition Humboldt-Stiftung*, vol. 3, no. L, pp. 1-104.

- Dumitrica, P. 1973. Cretaceous and Quaternary Radiolaria in deep sea sediments from the Northwest Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. In Ryan, W.B.F. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 13:829-901.
- Dumitrica, P. 1988. New families and subfamilies of Pyloniacea (Radiolaria). *Revue de Micropalèntologie*, 31:178-195.
- \* Dworetzky, B.A. and Morley, J.J. 1987. Vertical distribution of Radiolaria in the eastern equatorial Atlantic: analysis of a multiple series of closely-spaced plankton tows. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 12:1-19.
- Garcia, H.E., Locarnini, R.A., Boyer, T.P., and Antonov, J.I. 2006. In Levitus, S. (ed.), World Ocean Atlas 2005, Nutrients (phosphate, nitrate, silicate). NOAA Atlas NESDIS 64, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 4:1-396.
- Goll, R.M. 1969. Classification and phylogeny of Trissocyclidae (Radiolaria) in the Pacific and Caribbean Bassins. Pt. II. *Journal of Paleontology*, 43:322-339.
- Goll, R.M. 1972. Leg 9 synthesis, Radiolaria. In Hays, J.D. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 9:947-1058.
- Goll, R.M. 1976. Morphological intergradation between *Lophospyris* and *Phormospyris* (Trissocyclidae, Radiolaria) *Micropaleontology*, 22:379-418.
- Goll, R.M. 1980. Pliocene-Pleistocene radiolarians from the East Pasific Rise and the Galapagos spreading center. In Rosendahl, B.R. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 54:425-453.
- \* Goll, R.M. and Bjørklund, K.R. 1971. Radiolaria in surface sediments of the North Atlantic Ocean. *Micropaleontology*, 17:434-454.
- \* Goll, R.M. and Bjørklund, K.R. 1974. Radiolaria in surface sediments of the South Atlantic. *Micropaleontol*ogy, 20:31-75.
- \* Gowing, M.M. 1986. Trophic biology of phaeodarian radiolarians and flux of living radiolarians in the upper 2000 m of the North Pacific central gyre. *Deep-Sea Research*, 33:655-674.
- \* Gowing, M.M. 1993. Seasonal radiolarian flux at the VERTEX North Pacific time-series site. *Deep-Sea Research*, 40:517-545.
- \* Gowing, M.M. and Coale, S.L. 1989. Fluxes of living radiolarians and their skeletons along a northeast Pacific transect from coastal upwelling to open ocean waters. *Deep-Sea Research*, 36:561-576.
- \* Gupta, S.M. 1996. Quantitative Radiolarian assemblages in surface sediments from the Central Indian Basin and their paleomonsoonal significance. *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 47:339-354.
- \* Gupta, S.M., Mohan, R., and Guptha, M.V.S. 2002. Radiolarian fluxes from the southern Bay of Bengal: sediment trap results *Deep-Sea Research*, 49:1669-1688.
- Haeckel, E. 1862. Die Radiolarien (Rhizopoda Radiaria). Eine Monographie. Reimer, Berlin.

- Haeckel, E. 1887. Report on Radiolaria collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-1876. *Rep. Sci. Res. Voyage H.M.S. Challenger 1873-76*, 18:1-1803.
- \* Haslett, S.K. 2003. Upwelling-related distribution patterns of radiolarians in Holocene surface sediments of the eastern equatorial Pacific. *Revista Española de Micropaleontología*, 35:365-381.
- \* Hays, J.D. 1965. Radiolarians and late Tertiary and Quaternary history of Antarctic seas. Biology of the Antarctic Seas II, Antarctic Research Series 5, American Geophysical Union, pp. 125-184.
- Hollande, A. and Enjumet, M. 1960. Cytologie, évolution et systématique des Sphaeroidés (Radiolaires). Archives du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, série 7, 7:1-134.
- \* Hollis, C.J. and Neil, H.L. 2005 Sedimentary record of radiolarian biogeography offshore eastern New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 39:165-192.
- \* Ishitani, Y. and Takahashi, K. 2007. The vertical distribution of Radiolaria in the waters surrounding Japan. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 65:113-136.
- \* Itaki, T. 2003. Depth-related radiolarian assemblage in the water-column and surface sediments of the Japan Sea. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 47:253-270.
- Itaki, T. 2009. Last Glacial to Holocene Polycystine radiolarians from the Japan Sea. *News of Osaka Micropaleontologists (NOM),* Special Volume 14:43-89.
- Itaki, T. and Hasegawa, S. 2000. Destruction of radiolarian shells during sample drying and its effect on apparent faunal composition. *Micropaleontology*, 46:179-185.
- \* Itaki, T., Khim B.-K., and Ikehara, K. 2008. Last glacial– Holocene water structure in the southwestern Okhotsk Sea inferred from radiolarian assemblages. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 67:191-215.
- \* Itaki, T., Masashi, I., Hisashi, N., Naokazu, A., and Sakai, I. 2003a. Depth distribution of radiolarians from the Chukchi and Beaufort seas, western Arctic. *Deep-Sea Research*, 50:1507-1522.
- \* Itaki, T., Matsuoka, A., Yoshida, K., Machidori, S., Shinzawa, M., and Todo, T. 2003b. Late spring radiolarian fauna in the surface water off Tassha, Aikawa Town, Sado Island, central Japan. *Scientific Reports, Niigata University, Series E (Geology)*, 18:41-50.
- \* Johnson, D.A. and Nigrini, C. 1980. Radiolaria biogeography in surface sediments of the western Indian ocean. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 5:111-152.
- \* Johnson, D.A. and Nigrini, C. 1982. Radiolaria biogeography in surface sediments of the eastern Indian Ocean. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 7:237-281.
- Jørgensen, E. 1905. The protist plankton and the diatoms in bottom samples. *Bergens Museum Skrifter*, 1905, pp. 49-151, 195-225.
- \* Kamikuri, S., Motoyama, I., and Nishimura, A. 2008. Radiolarian assemblages in surface sediments along longitude 175°E in the Pacific Ocean. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 69:151-172.

- Kling, S.A. 1973. Radiolaria from the eastern north Pacific, Deep Sea Drilling Project, Leg 18. In Kulm, L.D. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 18:617-671.
- \* Kling, S.A. 1979. Vertical distribution of polycistine radiolarians in the central North Pacific. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 4:295-318.
- \* Kling, S.A. and Boltovskoy, D. 1995. Radiolarian vertical distribution patterns across the southern California Current. *Deep-Sea Research*, 42:191-231.
- \* Kruglikova, S.B. 1966. Quantitative distribution of radiolaries in surface sediments of the northern half of the Pacific. Geokhimiya Kremnezema, Nauka, Moskva, pp. 246-261.
- \* Lange, C.A., Weinheimer, A.L., Reid, F.M.H., Tappa, E., and Thunnell, R.C. 2000. Response of siliceous microplankton from the Santa Barbara basin to the 1997-98 El Niño event. *CalCOFI Reports*, 41:186-193.
- \* Ling, H.Y. and McPherson, L.M. 1973. Polycystine Radiolaria from surface sediments of the northern Tasman Sea, p. 281-284. In Fraser, R. (comp.), *Oceanography of the South Pacific*, New Zealand National Commission for UNESCO, Wellington.
- Matsuoka, A. 1993. Observations of living radiolarians from the surface water in the Caribbean Sea. *News of Osaka Micropaleontologists*, Special Volume 9:349-363.
- Matsuoka, A. 2009. Late autumn living radiolarian fauna from sub-tropical surface waters in the East China Sea off Sesoko Island, Okinawa, southwest Japan. *News of Osaka Micropaleontologists (NOM), Special Volume* 14:11-29.
- \* Matul, A.G. 1989. Radiolarian distribution in the surface sediment layer in the north Atlantic. *Okeanologiya*, 29:992-998.
- \* Matul, A.G. 1990a. Radiolarians thanatoceonocises of sediment surface layer in the North Atlantic as reflection of environmental conditions. *Okeanologiya*, 30:102-107.
- \* Matul, A.G. 1990b. The distribution of radiolarians in the surface layer of North Atlantic bottom sediments. Okeanologuya, 29:740-745.
- \* Matul, A.G. 1999. On the correlation between Radiolarian distribution and water masses in the North Atlantic deep water formation. *Okeanologiya*, 39:152-157.
- \* McMillen, J.K. and Casey, R.E. 1978. Distribution of living polycystine radiolarians in the Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Sea, and comparison with the sedimentary record. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 3:121-145.
- \* Molina-Cruz, A. 1978. Late Quaternary oceanic circulation along the Paciic coast of South America. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Oregon State University, Oregon, USA.
- Molina-Cruz, A. and Bernal-Ramírez, R. 1996. Radiolaria biogeography and its relation to the oceanography of the Iceland and Greenland Seas. *Sarsia*, 81:315-328.

- \* Morley, J.J. 1977. Upper Pleistocene climatic variations in the South Atlantic derived from a quantitative radiolarian analysis: accent on the last 18,000 years. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, New York, USA.
- \* Morley, J.J. 1980. Analysis of the abundance variations of the subspecies of *Cycladophora davisiana*. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 5:205-214.
- \* Morley, J.J. and Stepien, J.C. 1985. Antarctic Radiolaria in late winter/early spring Weddell Sea water. *Micropaleontoogy*, 31:365-371.
- \* Motoyama, I., Ota, M., Kokushou, T., and Tanaka, Y. 2005. Seasonal changes in fluxes and assemblages of radiolarians collected by sediment trap experiments in the northwestern Pacific: a family-level analysis. *Journal of the Geological Society of Japan*, 111:404-416.
- \* Nigrini, C.A. 1967. Radiolaria in pelagic sediments from the Indian and Atlantic Oceans. Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, 11:1-125.
- \* Nigrini, C.A. 1968. Radiolaria from eastern tropical Pacific sediments. *Micropaleontology*, 14:51-63.
- \* Nigrini, C.A. 1970. Radiolarian assemblages in the North Pacific and their application to a study of Quaternary sediments in core V20-130. The Geological Society of America, Memoir 126:139-183.
- \* Nigrini, C.A. 1971. Radiolarian zones in the Quaternary of the Equatorial Pacific Ocean, p. 443-461. In Riedel, W.R. and Funnell, B.M. (eds.), *The Micropalaeontology of Oceans*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Nigrini, C.A. and Caulet, J.P. 1992. Late Neogene radiolarian assemblages characteristic of Indo-Pacific areas of upwelling. *Micropaleontology*, 38:139-164.
- \* Nigrini, C.A. and Moore, T.C. 1979. *A guide to Modern Radiolaria*. Special Publication 16 of the Cushman Foundation for Foraminiferal Research, Washington, D.C.
- \* Nimmergut, A-P. 2002. Radiolarienfauna im Ochotskischen Meer - eine aktuopaläontologische Charakterisierung der Biozönose und Taphozönose (Radiolarians in the Sea of Okhotsk - actuopaleontological characterisation of the biocenosis and taphocenosis). *Berichte zur Polarforschung*, 406:1-151.
- \* Okazaki. Y., Takahashi, K., and Asahi, H. 2008. Temporal fluxes of radiolarians along the W-E transect in the central and western equatorial Pacific, 1999-2002. *Micropaleontology*, 54:71-86.
- \* Okazaki, Y., Takahashi, K., Itaki, T., and Kawasaki, Y. 2004. Comparison of radiolarian vertical distributions in the Okhotsk Sea near Kuril Islands and the northwestern North Pacific off Hokkaido Island. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 51:257-284.
- \* Okazaki, Y., Takahashi, K., Onodera, J., and Honda, M.C. 2005. Temporal and spatial flux changes of radiolarians in the northwestern Pacific Ocean during 1997-2000. *Deep-Sea Research*, 52:2240-2274.

- \* Okazaki, Y., Takahashi, K., Yoshitani, H., Nakatsuka, T., Ikehara, M., and Wakatsuchi, M. 2003. Radiolarians under the seasonally sea-ice covered conditions in the Okhotsk Sea: flux and their implications for paleoceanography. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 49:195-230.
- \* Paverd, P.J. van de. 1995. *Recent Polycystine Radiolaria from the Snellius-II Expedition*. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Petrushevskaya, M.G. 1965. Osobennosti konstruktsii skeleta radiolyarii Botryoidae (otr. Nassellaria). *Trudy Zoologicheskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 35:79-118.
- Petrushevskaya, M.G. 1967. Radiolyarii otryadov Spumellaria i Nassellaria Antarkticheskoi oblasti (po materialam Sovetskoi Antarkticheskoi Ekspeditzii). *Issledovaniya Fauny Morei*, Nauka, Leningrad, 9(12), 3:5-186.
- \* Petrushevskaya, M.G. 1971. *Radiolyarii Nassellaria v planktone Mirovogo Okeana*. Issledovaniya Fauny Morei, Nauka, Leningrad, 9(17):1-420.
- \* Pisias, N.G., Roelofs, A., and Weber, M. 1997. Radiolarian-based transfer functions for estimating mean surface ocean temperatures and seasonal range. *Paleoceanography*, 12:365-379.
- Popofsky, A. 1913. Die Nassellarien des Warmwassergebietes. *Deutsche Südpolar-Expedition 1901-1903*, 14(Zoologie 6):217-416.
- Renz, G.W. 1974. Radiolaria from Leg 27 of the Deep Sea Drilling Project. In Veevers, J.J. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 27:769-841.
- \* Renz, G.W. 1976. The distribution and ecology of Radiolaria in the Central Pacific: plankton and surface sediments. *Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego*, 22:1-267.
- \* Riedel, W.R. 1958. *Radiolaria in Antarctic sediments*. Reports of the British-Australian-New Zealand Antarctic Research Expedition, B, 6(10):219-255.
- Riedel, W.R. and Sanfilippo, A. 1970. Radiolaria, Leg 4, Deep Sea Drilling Project. In Bader, R.G. et al. *Initial Reports of the Deep-Sea Drilling Project*, 4:503-575.
- \* Robertson, J.H. 1975. Glacial to interglacial oceanographic changes in the Northwest Pacific, including continuous record of the last 400,000 years. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Columbia University, New York.
- \* Rogers, J. and De Deckker, P. 2007. Radiolaria as a reflection of environmental conditions in the eastern and southern sectors of the Indian Ocean: a new statistical approach. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 65:137-162.
- \* Romero, O.E., Hebbeln, D., and Wefer, G. 2001. Temporal and spatial variability in export production in the SE Pacific Ocean: evidence from siliceous plankton fluxes and surface sediment assemblages. *Deep Sea Research*, 48:2673-2697.

- \* Sachs, H.M. 1973. *Quantitative radiolarian-based* paleo-ocenography in late Pleistocene subarctic Pacific sediments. Ph.D. Thesis, Brown University, Rhode Island, USA.
- \* Schröder-Ritzrau, A. 1995. Aktuopaläontologische Untersuchung zur Verbreitung und Vertikalfluss von Radiolarien sowie ihre räumliche und zeitliche Entwicklung im Europäischen Nordmeer. Berichte aus dem Sonderforschungsbereich 313, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Kiel, 52:1-99.
- \* Strelkov, A.A. and Reshetnjak, V.V. 1971. Koloniyalnie radiolyarii Spumellaria Mirovogo Okeana. Issledovaniya Fauny Morei, Nauka, Leningrad, 9(17):295-373.
- \* Swanberg, N.R. 1979. The ecology of colonial radiolarians: their colony morphology, trophic interactions and associations, behavior, distribution, and the photosynthesis of their symbionts. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Woods Hole, USA.
- Swanberg, N.R. and Bjørklund, K.R. 1987. Radiolarians in the plankton of some fjords in western and northern Norway: the distribution of species. *Sarsia*, 72:231-244.
- Swanberg, N.R., Anderson, R.O., and Bennett, P. 1990. Skeletal and cytoplasmic variability of large spongiose spumellarian Radiolaria (Actinopodea: Polycystina). *Micropaleontology*, 36:379-387.
- \* Swanberg, N.R. and Eide, L.K. 1992. The radiolarian fauna at the ice edge in the Freenland Sea during summer 1988. *Journal of Marine Research*, 50:297-320.
- \* Takahashi, K. 1987. Radiolarian flux and seasonality: climatic and El Niño response in the Subarctic Pacific, 1982-1984. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 1:213-231.
- \* Takahashi, K. 1991. Radiolaria: flux, ecology, and taxonomy in the Pacific and Atlantic. Ocean Biocoenosis Series, 3:1-303, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution.

- Takahashi, K. and Honjo, S. 1981. Vertical flux of Radiolaria: a taxon-quantitative sediment trap study from the western tropical Atlantic. *Micropaleontology*, 27:140-190.
- \* Takahashi, K. and Yamashita, H. 2004. Temporal and vertical flux changes of radiolarians in the western and central equatorial Pacific during the 1999 La Nina conditions. *The Journal of the Geological Society of Japan*, 110:463-479.
- \* Tanaka, S. and Takahashi, K. 2008. Detailed vertical distribution of radiolarian assemblage (0-3000 m, fifteen layers) in the central subarctic Pacific, June 2006. *Memories of the Faculty of Sciences, Kyushu University, Series D, Earth & Planetary Sciences*, 32:49-72.
- \* Uliana, E. 1997. *Flujo anual de microplancton en el Atlántico ecuatorial oriental.* Tesis de Licenciatura, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- \* van de Paverd, P.J. van de. 1995. *Recent Polycystine Radiolaria from the Snellius-II Expedition*. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- \* Wang, R., Xiao, A., Li, Q., and Chen, R. 2006. Polycystine radiolarians in surface sediments from the Bering Sea Green Belt area and their ecological implication for paleoenvironmental reconstructions. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 59:135-152.
- \* Welling, L.A. 1990. Radiolarian microfauna in the northern California current system: spatial and temporal variability and implications for paleoceanographic reconstructions. Ms.Sci. Thesis, Oregon State University, Oregon, USA.
- \* Welling, L.A. 1997. Environmental control of radiolarian abundance in the Central Equatorial Pacific and implications for paleoceanographic reconstructions. Ph.D. Thesis, Oregon State University, Oregon, USA.
- \* Yamashita, H., Takahashi, K., and Fujitani, N. 2002. Zonal and vertical distribution of radiolarians in the western and central Equatorial Pacific in January 1999. *Deep-Sea Research*, 49:2823-2862.
- \* Zapata, J. and Olivares, J. 2005. Radiolarios (Protozoa, Actinopoda) sedimentados en el Puerto de Caldera (27°04'S; 70°51'W), Chile. *Gayana*, 69:78-93.

 TABLE 4. Species recorded at one of the poles only or restricted to the tropics and subtropics.

 Number of Number of Number of Number of records north records

		recordshorth	records	1000103
	Total number of	of	between 40°N	south of 40°S
Taxon	positive records	40°N	and 40°S	

No records north of 40°N, >10 records south of 40°S

* Acanthosphaera actinota	522	0	491	31
* Actinomma sol	146	0	93	53
* Actinosphaera acanthophora	179	0	149	30
* Cubotholus sp.	89	0	65	24
Heliodiscus echiniscus	206	0	185	21
* Hexacontium arachnoidale	38	0	27	11
* Lophophaena hispida-cylindrica	750	0	732	18
* Ommatodiscus murrayi	66	0	51	15
* Plegmosphaera pachyplegma	41	0	30	11
* Triceraspyris antarctica	270	0	36	234
No records south of 40°S, >10 records nort	h of 40°N			
* Actinomma sp. 1	68	68	0	0
* Amphimelissa setosa	516	512	4	0
* Artobotrys borealis	475	465	10	0
* Ceratospyris borealis	885	674	211	0
* Cladococcus cervicornis	363	161	202	0
* Cladococcus viminalis	140	26	114	0
* Conarachnium polyacanthum	292	194	98	0
* Drymyomma elegans	23	23	0	0
* Euscenium corynephorum	35	35	0	0
Gonosphaera primordialis	206	160	46	0
* Lipmanella dictyoceras	454	208	246	0
* Lithocampe platycephala	259	259	0	0
* Lithostrobus hexagonalis	446	83	363	0
* Lophophaena capito	483	106	377	0
*** Lophospyris pentagona	556	35	521	0
* Plectacantha cremastoplegma	145	140	5	0
* Plegmosphaera coelopila	149	64	85	0
* Plegmosphaera lepticali	132	86	46	0
No records polewards of 40°, >10 records b	etween 40°N and 40°S			
Acanthodesmia zonaria	15	0	15	0
* Acanthosphaera castanea	35	0	35	0
Acrobotrys sp. group	196	0	196	0
* Actinosphaera capillacea	73	0	73	0
* Androspyris ramosa	35	0	35	0
* Arachnocorys circumtexta	90	0	90	0
* Arachnosphaera myriacantha	304	0	304	0
* Astrosphaera hexagonalis	224	0	224	0
* Botryocephalina armata	36	0	36	0
* Buccinosphaera invaginata	235	0	235	0
* Centrobotrys thermophila	278	0	278	0
* Cephalospyris cancellata	44	0	44	0
Cephalospyris platybursa	348	0	348	0
Cladococcus megaceros	34	0	34	0
* Clathrocorys teuscheri	570	0	570	0
* Conarachnium facetum	78	0	78	0
* Corocalyptra krugeri	79	0	79	0

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
* Dictyocodon elegans	41	0	41	0
* Dictyocodon palladius	86	0	86	0
* Dictyospyris sp. 1	36	0	36	0
* Drymosphaera dendrophora	12	0	12	0
* Elatomma penicillus	13	0	13	0
* Haeckeliella macrodoras	41	0	41	0
* Haliomma castanea	30	0	30	0
* Hexacromyum elegans	207	0	207	0
* Hexalonche amphisiphon	144	0	144	0
* Liriospyris thorax thorax	262	0	262	0
* Lithopera bacca	294	0	294	0
Myelinastrinae	190	0	190	0
* Nephrospyris paradictyum	117	0	117	0
* Nephrospyris renilla	163	0	163	0
* Octodendron cubocentron	17	0	17	0
* Phormospyris stabilis capoi	167	0	167	0
* Sethoconus myxobrachia	26	0	26	0
* Sethodiscus macrococcus	37	0	37	0
* Solenosphaera polysolenia	60	0	60	0
* Solenosphaera zanguebarica	601	0	601	0
* Sphaeropyle mespilus	29	0	29	0
* Spongobrachium sp. 1	60	0	60	0
* Spongodictyon spongiosum	50	0	50	0
* Spongolena sp. 1	45	0	45	0
* Tessarastrum straussii	38	0	38	0
* Tholospyris anthophora	25	0	25	0
* Tholospyris baconiana	125	0	125	0
* Tholospyris procera	13	0	13	0
* Tholospyris tripodiscus	38	0	38	0
* Udan undulata	11	0	11	0
* Xiphosphaera tessaractis	105	0	105	0
All other species				
* Acanthodesmia vinculata	1043	8	1028	7
* Acanthosphaera dodecastyla	64	0	62	2
* Acanthosphaera pinchuda	20	0	19	1
* Acrosphaera cyrtodon	27	1	26	0
* Acrosphaera murrayana	603	37	552	14
* Acrosphaera spinosa	1238	145	1071	22
* Actinomma antarcticum	328	1	103	224
* Actinomma arcadophorum	694	76	607	11
Actinomma capillaceum	2	0	2	0
Actinomma delicatulum	919	313	501	105
Actinomma leptodermum	1584	826	594	164
* Actinomma medianum	529	112	311	106
Actinosphaera tenella	3	0	3	0
* Amphirhopalum ypsilon	435	4	422	9
* Androcyclas gamphonycha	105	1	40	64

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
* Androspyris huxleyi	10	0	10	0
Androspyris reticulodisca	1	0	1	0
Anomalacantha dentata	174	57	104	13
* Antarctissa denticulata-strelkovi	705	115	280	310
* Anthocyrtidium ophirense	1087	6	1071	10
* Anthocyrtidium zanguebaricum	690	28	655	7
Arachnocorallium sp. group	1525	614	900	11
Arachnocorys sp. group	472	177	293	2
Arachnosphaera sp. 1	1	0	1	0
* Archipilium sp. 1	13	3	9	1
* Artostrobus annulatus	422	299	115	8
* Artostrobus jorgenseni	343	319	22	2
* Bathropyramis woodringii	51	2	49	0
* Botryocyrtis scutum	998	51	933	14
* Botryopyle dictyocephalus	85	2	79	4
* Botryostrobus aquilonaris	1041	444	456	141
* Botryostrobus auritus-australis	1437	380	956	101
* Callimitra carolotae	466	0	461	5
* Callimitra solocicribrata	72	55	16	1
* Calocyclas monumentum	58	0	56	2
* Carpocanarium papillosum	572	150	393	29
* Carpocanium sp.	1142	124	992	26
* Carposphaera acanthophora	113	12	97	4
Carposphaera capillacea	1	0	1	0
Cenosphaera cristata	194	21	77	96
Cenosphaera elysia	117	38	62	17
Cenosphaera hirsuta	3	0	2	1
Cenosphaera spp.	130	78	39	13
* Centrocubus cladostylus	158	29	128	1
Ceratocyrtis sinuosa	6	1	5	0
* Cladococcus abietinus	117	29	80	8
Cladococcus bifurcus	1	0	1	0
* Cladococcus scoparius	227	3	224	0
Cladococcus sp. 1	5	0	5	0
* Cladoscenium ancoratum	759	295	460	4
* Cladoscenium limbatum	19	5	14	0
* Clathrocanium coarctatum	491	2	484	5
Clathrocyclas sp. 1	1	0	1	0
Clathromitra pentacantha	2	0	0	2
Clathromitra pterophormis	1	0	1	0
Clathrosphaera arachnoides	1	0	1	0
* Collosphaera huxleyi	433	46	373	14
* Collosphaera macropora	269	2	265	2
* Collosphaera tuberosa	772	4	764	4
Conarachnium sp. 1	4	4	0	0
Conicavus tipiopsis	1	0	1	0
* Cornutella profunda	865	240	587	38

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
Corocalyptra cervus	951	236	702	13
* Cromyechinus antarctica	964	512	339	113
Cromyechinus sp. 1	5	0	5	0
* Cromyomma circumtextum	7	0	7	0
Cromyomma sp. 1	1	0	1	0
* Cromyomma villosum	9	0	9	0
** Cycladophora davisiana cornutoides	816	389	408	19
** Cycladophora davisiana davisiana	1519	916	414	189
Cyclampterium neatum	1	0	1	0
* Cyrtidosphaera reticulata	15	9	6	0
* Cyrtolagena laguncula	390	209	172	9
* Dictyocoryne profunda	1661	164	1417	80
* Dictyocoryne truncatum	787	23	754	10
* Dictyophimus hirundo	1027	403	529	95
* Dictyophimus histricosus	23	21	0	2
* Dictyophimus infabricatus	427	78	346	3
Dictyophimus mawsoni	4	0	0	4
Dictyophimus sp. 1	1	0	1	0
* Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus	1860	106	1694	60
* Dipylissa bensoni	8	2	5	1
Druppatractus irregularis	803	273	521	9
* Ellipsoxiphium palliatum	16	1	15	0
* Enneaphormis rotula	680	312	336	32
* Eucecryphalus clinatus	98	2	93	3
* Euchitonia elegans-furcata	1471	45	1391	35
* Eucyrtidium acuminatum	1293	289	891	113
* Eucyrtidium anomalum	375	26	347	2
Eucyrtidium erythromystax	157	17	125	15
* Eucyrtidium hexagonatum	1008	67	923	18
* Eucyrtidium hexastichum	403	0	401	2
* Haliomma macrodoras	10	0	10	0
Haliomma sp. 1	1	0	1	0
Haliomma sp. 2	5	0	5	0
* Heliodiscus asteriscus	1184	60	980	144
Heliodiscus sp. 1	6	0	6	0
Heliosoma sp. 1	1	0	1	0
* Helotholus histricosa	1056	629	277	150
Heterosphaera sp. 1	8	0	8	0
Heterosphaera sp. 2	4	0	4	0
Hexacontium armatum-hostile group	1420	395	993	32
Hexacontium heracliti	5	0	5	0
Hexacontium heteracantha	7	0	3	4
Hexacontium hystricina	1	0	1	0
* Hexacontium laevigatum	141	47	71	23
* Hexastylus dimensivius	27	0	26	1
Hexastylus triaxonius	1	0	1	0
* Lamprocyclas maritalis	858	65	707	86

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
* Lamprocyrtis junonis	608	113	440	55
* Lamprocyrtis nigriniae	765	93	651	21
* Lampromitra cracenta	20	0	19	1
* Lampromitra quadricuspis	607	158	430	19
* Lampromitra schultzei	142	2	139	1
* Larcopyle buetschlii	1582	491	988	103
* Larcospira quadrangula	1011	53	934	24
* Larnacalpis sp. 1	41	2	39	0
Leptosphaera minuta	1	0	1	0
* Lipmanella bombus	116	0	115	1
* Lipmanella virchowii	337	5	327	5
* Liriospyris reticulata	867	5	861	1
Liriospyris sp. 1	1	0	1	0
Liriospyris thorax laticapsa	8	0	8	0
* Litharachnium tentorium	966	346	613	7
Lithelius minor group	2065	668	1204	193
* Lithelius nautiloides	768	169	372	227
* <i>Lithocampe</i> sp. 1	234	49	163	22
Lithomelissa hystrix	441	362	70	9
* Lithomelissa setosa	981	756	201	24
* Lithopilium reticulatum	6	0	6	0
Lithostrobus cornutus	3	0	3	0
* Lophocorys polyacantha	324	37	286	1
Lophophaena decacantha group	566	193	367	6
Lophophaena rioplatensis	4	0	4	0
Lophophaena variabilis group	868	86	775	7
Lophospyris pentagona pentagona	1174	202	967	5
Lophospyris pentagona quadriforis	496	40	297	159
Lychnosphaera regina	2	0	2	0
* Mitrocalpis araneafera	137	112	16	9
* Neosemantis distephanus	859	196	661	2
* Octopyle stenozona/Tetrapyle octacantha	2010	321	1596	93
* Otosphaera polymorpha	227	1	226	0
* Peripyramis circumtexta	696	82	530	84
* Peromelissa phalacra	705	84	618	3
* Phormacantha hystrix	709	450	231	28
Phormospyris sp. 1	2	0	2	0
* Phormospyris stabilis scaphipes	948	79	812	57
* Phormospyris stabilis stabilis	305	58	244	3
* Phormostichoartus corbula	824	162	639	23
* Phorticium pylonium	1080	516	445	119
Phrenocodon clathrostomium	2	0	2	0
Plectacantha oikiskos	289	164	92	33
* Plectacantha trichoides	30	6	24	0
Plectanium sp. 1	6	0	6	0
* Plectopyramis dodecomma	27	3	12	12
Plegmosphaera entodictyon	135	0	130	5

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
Plegmosphaera oblonga	2	0	2	0
* Porodiscus microporus	51	1	50	0
* Pseudocubus obeliscus	663	163	496	4
Pseudocubus octostylus	1	0	1	0
* Pseudodictyophimus bicornis	156	49	106	1
* Pseudodictyophimus gracilipes	2107	1088	951	68
* Pterocanium auritum	127	3	103	21
* Pterocanium korotnevi	747	305	418	24
* Pterocanium praetextum	1243	43	1169	31
* Pterocanium trilobum	1239	103	1105	31
* Pterocorys hertwigii	322	5	313	4
* Pterocorys minythorax	333	1	322	10
* Pterocorys zancleus	1660	269	1283	108
* Pterocyrtidium dogieli	21	1	13	7
Pteropilium stratiotes	3	0	3	0
* Pteroscenium pinnatum	304	4	294	6
* Pylolena armata	665	16	606	43
* Pylospira octopyle	224	52	168	4
* Rhizoplegma boreale	817	773	27	17
* Saccospyris antarctica	218	165	1	52
* Saccospyris conithorax	422	354	51	17
* Saturnalis circularis	163	16	127	20
* Sethoconus anthocyrtis	81	0	79	2
* Sethoconus tabulatus	199	165	26	8
* Sethophormis aurelia	284	10	271	3
* Siphocampe arachnea	443	285	153	5
* Siphocampe lineata	374	326	40	8
* Siphonosphaera martensi	151	4	140	7
* Siphonosphaera polysiphonia	605	18	581	6
* Siphonosphaera socialis	129	4	124	1
* Solenosphaera collina	69	2	67	0
Solenosphaera tenuissima	4	0	4	0
* Spirocyrtis scalaris	606	5	598	3
Spongaster pentas	104	1	102	1
* Spongaster tetras	1457	40	1397	20
* Spongodiscus resurgens	855	177	635	43
Spongodrymus elaphococcus	1	0	1	0
* Spongoliva ellipsoides	183	23	152	8
Spongoplegma antarcticum	4	0	4	0
* Spongoplegma rugosa	20	0	17	3
* Spongopyle osculosa	1098	344	612	142
Spongosphaera sp. aff. S. helioides	4	0	4	0
* Spongosphaera streptacantha	345	13	331	1
* Spongotrochus glacialis	1975	792	1064	119
* Spongurus cylindricus	862	177	635	50
* Spongurus pylomaticus	725	210	355	160
* Spongurus sp. 1	1102	417	590	95

Taxon	Total number of positive records	Number of records north of 40°N	Number of records between 40°N and 40°S	Number of records south of 40°S
* Stichopilium bicorne	534	96	431	7
Stigmosphaera cruciata	2	0	2	0
Stylatractus sp. 1	1075	325	608	142
* Stylochlamydium asteriscus	501	94	392	15
* Stylochlamydium venustum	1087	482	599	6
* Stylodictya aculeata	501	159	249	93
Stylodictya aculeata-multispina	544	60	480	4
* Stylodictya multispina	1378	461	805	112
* Stylosphaera melpomene	308	0	303	5
Styptosphaera sp. 1	1	0	1	0
Styptosphaera sp. 2	1	0	1	0
Styptosphaera spongiacea	384	4	380	0
* Styptosphaera spumacea	229	114	87	28
* Tetracorethra tetracorethra	169	0	168	1
Tetraplecta corynephorum?	1	0	1	0
* Tetraplecta pinigera	722	285	435	2
Tetraplecta plectaniscus	4	0	4	0
* Thecosphaera inermis	275	2	255	18
* Theocalyptra bicornis	970	314	470	186
* Theocorys veneris	443	2	440	1
* Theocorythium trachelium	981	59	855	67
* Theopilium tricostatum	637	69	554	14
Tholospyris macropora	6	0	6	0
* Tribonosphaera centripetalis	9	0	9	0
* Tricolocampe cylindrica	99	0	97	2
* Trisulcus triacanthus	147	17	129	1
Xiphosphaera gaea	4	0	4	0
* Zygocircus productus	1384	432	951	1

\* Map of geographic distribution provided

\*\* Both subspecies pooled in distributional map

\*\*\* Lophospyris pentagona pentagona, L. p. hyperborea and L. p. quadriforis pooled in distributional map



Figure 10. Geographic distribution of *Acrosphaera murrayana*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 11. Geographic distribution of *Acrosphaera spinosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original; C, Original; D, Ishitani and Takahashi (2007).



Figure 12. Geographic distribution of *Actinomma antarcticum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Nigrini (1968); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Riedel (1958).



Figure 13. Geographic distribution of *Actinomma arcadophorum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Nigrini (1967); C, Original.



Figure 14. Geographic distribution of *Actinomma medianum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Nigrini (1967); B, Itaki (2009); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 15. Geographic distribution of *Amphimelissa setosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Radiolaria.org (photo Bjørklund and Kruglikova); B, Bjørklund and Swanberg (1987).



Figure 16. Geographic distribution of *Amphirhopalum ypsilon*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Nigrini (1971); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 17. Geographic distribution of *Antarctissa denticulata-strelkovi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1967); D, Original; E, Original.



Figure 18. Geographic distribution of *Anthocyrtidium ophirense*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original; D, Original; E, Original.


 Relative abundances

 • Absent

 + Present

 • <0.5%</td>

 • 0.5-1%

 • 1-3%

 • 3-5%

 • 5-10%

 • >10%



Figure 19. Geographic distribution of *Anthocyrtidium zanguebaricum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original.



 Relative abundances

 ○ Absent

 + Present

 < 0.5%</td>

 0.5-1%

 1-3%

 3-5%

 5-10%

 >10%

Figure 20. Geographic distribution of *Artobotrys borealis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund); B, Radiolaria.org (photo J. Dolven); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Original.



Figure 21. Geographic distribution of *Botryocyrtis scutum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1971); D, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 22. Geographic distribution of *Botryostrobus aquilonaris*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1967).



Figure 23. Geographic distribution of *Botryostrobus auritus-australis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original; C, Boltovskoy (1999); D, Original; E, Original.



Figure 24. Geographic distribution of *Carpocanarium papillosum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Riedel (1958); C, Original.



Figure 25. Geographic distribution of *Carpocanium*sp. group. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Nigrini (1968); D, Original.



Figure 26. Geographic distribution of *Centrobotrys thermophila*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1965); C, Original; D, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 27. Geographic distribution of *Ceratospyris borealis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Itaki (2009); B, Itaki (2009); C, Original.



Figure 28. Geographic distribution of *Clathrocanium coarctatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrush-evskaya (1971).



Figure 29. Geographic distribution of *Collosphaera huxleyi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Paverd (1995); B, Kamikuri et al. (2008).



Figure 30. Geographic distribution of *Collosphaera tuberosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Paverd (1995); C, Original.



Figure 31. Geographic distribution of *Cornutella profunda*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 32. Geographic distribution of *Cromyechinus antarctica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy et al. (1983); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 33. Geographic distribution of *Cyrtolagena laguncula*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 34. Geographic distribution of *Dictyocoryne profunda*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original.



Figure 35. Geographic distribution of *Dictyocoryne truncatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991); C, Original.



Figure 36. Geographic distribution of *Dictyophimus hirundo*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Riedel (1958); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 37. Geographic distribution of *Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Popofsky (1913); B, Original; C, Original; D, Original; E, Original; F, Original.



Figure 38. Geographic distribution of *Enneaphormis rotula*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991); C, Original.



Figure 39. Geographic distribution of *Euchitonia elegans-furcata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 40. Geographic distribution of *Eucyrtidium acuminatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original; C, Itaki (2009).



Figure 41. Geographic distribution of *Eucyrtidium hexagonatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1971); D, Takahashi (1991); E, Original.



Figure 42. Geographic distribution of *Eucyrtidium hexastichum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 43. Geographic distribution of *Heliodiscus asteriscus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Haeckel (1887); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 44. Geographic distribution of *Helotholus histricosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund); B, Itaki (2009); C, Original.



Figure 45. Geographic distribution of *Lamprocyclas maritalis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Original.



Figure 46. Geographic distribution of *Lamprocyrtis junonis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Welling (1997); B, Welling (1997); C, Original.



Figure 47. Geographic distribution of *Lamprocyrtis nigriniae*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1980); B, Hollis and Neal (2005); C, Radiolaria.org (photo Bjørklund and Benson); D, Radiolaria.org (photo Bjørklund and Benson).



Figure 48. Geographic distribution of *Larcopyle buetschlii*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Itaki (2009); D, Original; E, Original.

<0.5% 0.5-1% 1-3% 3-5% 5-10% >10%



Figure 49. Geographic distribution of Larcospira quadrangula. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Original.



Figure 50. Geographic distribution of *Lipmanella virchowii*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 51. Geographic distribution of *Liriospyris reticulata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 52. Geographic distribution of *Litharachnium tentorium*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Paverd (1995); C, Boltovskoy (1999); D, Original.

Absent Present <0.5% 0.5-1% 1-3% 3-5% 5-10% >10%



Figure 53. Geographic distribution of Lithelius nautiloides. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1965); C, Boltovskoy (1999); D, Boltovskoy et al. (1983); E, Boltovskoy et al. (1983).



Figure 54. Geographic distribution of *Lithomelissa setosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Radiolaria.org (photo J. Dolven); B, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund); C, Radiolaria.org (photo Bjørklund and Benson).


Figure 55. Geographic distribution of *Lithopera bacca*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Bjørklund and Goll (1986); B, Benson (1966).



Figure 56. Geographic distribution of *Lophophaena hispida-cylindrica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1971); D, Matsuoka (2009).

Absent Absent Present <0.5% 0.5-1% 1-3% 3-5% 5-1000

5-10% >10%



Figure 57. Geographic distribution of Neosemantis distephanus. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 58. Geographic distribution of *Octopyle stenozona/Tetrapyle octacantha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original; D, Original; E, Original.



Figure 59. Geographic distribution of *Otosphaera polymorpha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 60. Geographic distribution of *Peripyramis circumtexta*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Benson (1966); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Original; D, Original.





Figure 61. Geographic distribution of *Peromelissa phalacra*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Original.



Figure 62. Geographic distribution of *Phormacantha hystrix*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 63. Geographic distribution of *Phormospyris stabilis scaphipes*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1976); B, Goll (1976); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 64. Geographic distribution of *Phormospyris stabilis stabilis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1976); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 65. Geographic distribution of *Phormostichoartus corbula*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrush-evskaya (1971); C, Original.



Figure 66. Geographic distribution of *Phorticium pylonium*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original.





Figure 67. Geographic distribution of *Pseudocubus obeliscus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Okazaki et al. (2008); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Original.



Figure 68. Geographic distribution of *Pseudodictyophimus gracilipes*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Paverd (1995); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Welling (1997).



Figure 69. Geographic distribution of *Pterocanium korotnevi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Welling (1997).



Figure 70. Geographic distribution of *Pterocanium praetextum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 71. Geographic distribution of *Pterocanium trilobum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrush-evskaya (1971); C, Original; D, Original; E, Original.



Figure 72. Geographic distribution of *Pterocorys hertwigii*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 73. Geographic distribution of *Pterocorys minythorax*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Welling (1997).



Figure 74. Geographic distribution of *Pterocorys zancleus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Boltovskoy (1999); D, Paverd (1995).



Figure 75. Geographic distribution of *Pylolena armata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original; C, Boltovskoy (1999); D, Original.



Figure 76. Geographic distribution of *Pylospira octopyle*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Paverd (1995); B, Haeckel (1887).



Figure 77. Geographic distribution of *Rhizoplegma boreale*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Bjørklund (1976); B, Bjørklund (1976).



Figure 78. Geographic distribution of *Sethophormis aurelia*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 79. Geographic distribution of *Siphocampe arachnea*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrush-evskaya (1967); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 80. Geographic distribution of *Siphocampe lineata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Petrushevskaya (1967); C, Cortese et al. (2003); D, Original.



Figure 81. Geographic distribution of *Siphonosphaera polysiphonia*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B,

Stelkov and Reshetnjak (1971); C, Paverd (1995); D, Original.

 Relative abundances

 ● Absent

 + Present

 < 0.5%</td>

 0.5-1%

 1-3%

 3-5%

 5-10%

 >10%

99



Figure 82. Geographic distribution of *Solenosphaera zanguebarica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Paverd (1995); D, Paverd (1995); E, Original.



Figure 83. Geographic distribution of *Spirocyrtis scalaris*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Matsuoka (1993); D, Benson (1966); E, Original.



Figure 84. Geographic distribution of *Spongaster tetras*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Matsuoka (2009).





Figure 85. Geographic distribution of Spongodiscus resurgens. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original.



Figure 86. Geographic distribution of *Spongopyle osculosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991); C, Cortese et al. (2003); D, Original; E, Takahashi (1991); F, Original.



Figure 87. Geographic distribution of *Spongosphaera streptacantha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Hackel (1862); D, Hollande and Enjumet (1960).



Figure 88. Geographic distribution of *Spongotrochus glacialis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original; D, Benson (1966).

Absent Present <0.5% 0.5-1% 1-3% 3-5% 5-10% >10%



Figure 89. Geographic distribution of Spongurus cylindricus. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Original.



Figure 90. Geographic distribution of *Spongurus pylomaticus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Riedel (1958); B, Petrushevskaya (1967); C, Itaki (2009); D, Original.


Figure 91. Geographic distribution of *Spongurus* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 92. Geographic distribution of *Stichopilium bicorne*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Haeckel (1887); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Original; E, Original.

Absent Present <0.5% 0.5-1% 1-3% 3-5% 5-10% >10%



Figure 93. Geographic distribution of Stylochlamydium asteriscus. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Petrushevskaya (1967); C, Okazaki et al. (2005).



Figure 94. Geographic distribution of *Stylochlamydium venustum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original.



Figure 95. Geographic distribution of *Stylodictya aculeata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Vrba (1988); B, Original; C, Petrushevskaya (1967).



Figure 96. Geographic distribution of *Stylodictya multispina*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Petrushevskaya (1967).



Figure 97. Geographic distribution of *Tetraplecta pinigera*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 98. Geographic distribution of *Theocalyptra bicornis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Welling (1997); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 99. Geographic distribution of *Theocorythium trachelium*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original; D, Original; E, Original.



Figure 100. Geographic distribution of *Theopilium tricostatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Original; C, Original; D, Original; F, Original; F, Original.



Figure 101. Geographic distribution of *Zygocircus productus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (above 150 m and below 150 m; plankton and sediment trap materials) and surface sediment materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Original; C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 102. Geographic distribution of *Acanthosphaera castanea*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original.



Figure 103. Geographic distribution of *Acanthosphaera dodecastyla*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Popofsky (1913).



Figure 104. Geographic distribution of *Acanthosphaera pinchuda*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); B, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980).



Figure 105. Geographic distribution of *Acrosphaera cyrtodon*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971).



Figure 106. Geographic distribution of *Actinomma sol*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 107. Geographic distribution of *Actinomma* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Okazaki et al. (2005); B, Okazaki et al. (2005).



Figure 108. Geographic distribution of *Actinosphaera acanthophora*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 109. Geographic distribution of *Actinosphaera capillacea*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Matsuoka (2009); B, Matsuoka (2009); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 110. Geographic distribution of *Androcyclas gamphonycha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Bjørklund (1976); B, Bjørklund (1976); C, Original.



Figure 111. Geographic distribution of *Androspyris huxleyi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).

## PALAEO-ELECTRONICA.ORG



Figure 112. Geographic distribution of *Androspyris ramosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 113. Geographic distribution of *Arachnocorys circumtexta*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); B, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 114. Geographic distribution of *Arachnosphaera myriacantha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 115. Geographic distribution of *Archipilium* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 116. Geographic distribution of *Artostrobus annulatus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Riedel (1958); C, Original.



Figure 117. Geographic distribution of *Artostrobus joergenseni*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Original; C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 118. Geographic distribution of *Astrosphaera hexagonalis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 119. Geographic distribution of *Bathropyramis woodringii*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 120. Geographic distribution of *Botryocephalina armata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Petrushevskaya (1965).



Figure 121. Geographic distribution of *Botryopyle dictyocephalus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Petrushevskaya (1965).



Figure 122. Geographic distribution of *Buccinosphaera invaginata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Paverd (1995); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 123. Geographic distribution of *Callimitra carolotae*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Haeckel (1887); C, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 124. Geographic distribution of *Callimitra solocicribrata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 125. Geographic distribution of *Calocyclas monumentum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 126. Geographic distribution of *Carposphaera acanthophora*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Benson (1966); B, Benson (1966).



Figure 127. Geographic distribution of *Centrocubus cladostylus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).



Figure 128. Geographic distribution of *Cephalospyris cancellata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Paverd (1995).



Figure 129. Geographic distribution of *Cladococcus abietinus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Haeckel (1887); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 130. Geographic distribution of *Cladococcus cervicornis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 131. Geographic distribution of *Cladococcus scoparius*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Haeckel (1887); C, Original.



Figure 132. Geographic distribution of *Cladococcus viminalis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Bjørklund (1976).



Figure 133. Geographic distribution of *Cladoscenium ancoratum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 134. Geographic distribution of *Cladoscenium limbatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Jorgensen (1905); B, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund).



Figure 135. Geographic distribution of *Clathrocorys teuscheri*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Haeckel (1887).



Figure 136. Geographic distribution of *Collosphaera macropora*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Dumitrica (1973); B, Dumitrica (1973); C, Original; D, Paverd (1995).



Figure 137. Geographic distribution of *Conarachnium facetum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 138. Geographic distribution of *Conarachnium polyacanthum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 139. Geographic distribution of *Corocalyptra krugeri*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Paverd (1995).



Figure 140. Geographic distribution of *Cromyomma circumtextum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887).



Figure 141. Geographic distribution of *Cromyomma villosum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887).



Figure 142. Geographic distribution of *Cubotholus* sp. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Hollis and Neal (2005).



Figure 143. Geographic distribution of *Cycladophora davisiana*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Bjørklund and Kruglikova (2003); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 144. Geographic distribution of *Cyrtidosphaera reticulata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Itaki (2009); B, Itaki (2009).



Figure 145. Geographic distribution of *Dictyocodon elegans*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 146. Geographic distribution of *Dictyocodon palladius*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 147. Geographic distribution of *Dictyophimus histricosus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Schröder-Ritzrau (1995).



Figure 148. Geographic distribution of *Dictyophimus infabricatus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 149. Geographic distribution of *Dictyospyris* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 150. Geographic distribution of *Dipylissa bensoni*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Hollis and Neal (2005).



Figure 151. Geographic distribution of *Drymosphaera dendrophora*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Haeckel (1887); C, Original.


Figure 152. Geographic distribution of *Drymyomma elegans*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund).



Figure 153. Geographic distribution of *Elatomma penicillus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 154. Geographic distribution of *Ellipsoxiphium palliatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 155. Geographic distribution of *Eucecryphalus clinatus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 156. Geographic distribution of *Eucyrtidium anomalum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 157. Geographic distribution of *Euscenium corynephorum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Jorgensen (1905); B, Radiolaria.org (photo K. Bjørklund).



Figure 158. Geographic distribution of *Haeckeliella macrodoras*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 159. Geographic distribution of *Haliomma castanea*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 160. Geographic distribution of *Haliomma macrodoras*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).



Figure 161. Geographic distribution of *Hexacontium arachnoidale*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Hollande and Enjumet (1960); B, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).



Figure 162. Geographic distribution of *Hexacontium laevigatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Benson (1966); B, Paverd (1995).



Figure 163. Geographic distribution of *Hexacromyum elegans*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 164. Geographic distribution of *Hexalonche amphisiphon*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Hollande and Enjumet (1960).



Figure 165. Geographic distribution of *Hexastylus dimensivius*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Paverd (1995).



Figure 166. Geographic distribution of *Lampromitra cracenta*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original.



Figure 167. Geographic distribution of *Lampromitra quadricuspis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original.



Figure 168. Geographic distribution of *Lampromitra schultzei*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 169. Geographic distribution of *Larnacalpis* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 170. Geographic distribution of *Lipmanella bombus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 171. Geographic distribution of *Lipmanella dictyoceras*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Matsuoka (2009); B, Original.



Figure 172. Geographic distribution of *Liriospyris thorax thorax*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 173. Geographic distribution of *Lithocampe platycephala*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Cortese et al. (2003); B, Cortese et al. (2003); C, Cortese et al. (2003).



Figure 174. Geographic distribution of *Lithocampe* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Nigrini (1967); B, Nigrini (1967).



Figure 175. Geographic distribution of *Lithopilium reticulatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Boltovskoy and Jankilevich (1985).



Figure 176. Geographic distribution of *Lithostrobus hexagonalis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 177. Geographic distribution of *Lophocorys polyacantha*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Welling (1997); B, Original.



Figure 178. Geographic distribution of *Lophophaena capito*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Original.



Figure 179. Geographic distribution of *Lophospyris pentagona* s.l. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Goll (1976); C, Goll (1976).



Figure 180. Geographic distribution of *Mitrocalpis araneafera*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Riedel (1958); B, Riedel (1958); C, Original.



Figure 181. Geographic distribution of *Nephrospyris paradictyum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 182. Geographic distribution of *Nephrospyris renilla*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 183. Geographic distribution of *Octodendron cubocentron*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 184. Geographic distribution of *Ommatodiscus murrayi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1987); B, Benson (1966); C, Takahashi and Honjo (1981).



Figure 185. Geographic distribution of *Phormospyris stabilis capoi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1976); B, Goll (1976).



Figure 186. Geographic distribution of *Plectacantha cremastoplegma*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Nigrini (1968); C, Nigrini (1968).



Figure 187. Geographic distribution of *Plectacantha trichoides*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Petrushevskaya (1971); C, Original.



Figure 188. Geographic distribution of *Plectopyramis dodecomma*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Benson (1966).



Figure 189. Geographic distribution of *Plegmosphaera coelopila*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Okazaki et al. (2005); B, Okazaki et al. (2005).



Figure 190. Geographic distribution of *Plegmosphaera lepticali*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Matsuoka (2009).



Figure 191. Geographic distribution of *Plegmosphaera pachyplegma*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 192. Geographic distribution of *Porodiscus microporus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1987).



Figure 193. Geographic distribution of *Pseudodictyophimus bicornis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Petrushevskaya (1971); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 194. Geographic distribution of *Pterocanium auritum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Welling (1997).



Figure 195. Geographic distribution of *Pterocyrtidium dogieli*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 196. Geographic distribution of *Pteroscenium pinnatum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Itaki (2009); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Haeckel (1987); D, Original.



Figure 197. Geographic distribution of *Saccospyris antarctica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Petrushevskaya (1965); D, Petrushevskaya (1965); E, Original.



Figure 198. Geographic distribution of *Saccospyris conithorax*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Okazaki et al. (2004); B, Petrushevskaya (1967).



Figure 199. Geographic distribution of *Saturnalis circularis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original.



Figure 200. Geographic distribution of *Sethoconus anthocyrtis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Paverd (1995); B, Haeckel (1887).



Figure 201. Geographic distribution of *Sethoconus myxobrachia*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 202. Geographic distribution of *Sethoconus tabulatus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Riedel (1958); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 203. Geographic distribution of *Sethodiscus macrococcus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 204. Geographic distribution of *Siphonosphaera martensi*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Paverd (1995).



Figure 205. Geographic distribution of *Siphonosphaera socialis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Original; B, Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971); C, Takahashi (1991); D, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 206. Geographic distribution of *Solenosphaera collina*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 207. Geographic distribution of *Solenosphaera polysolenia*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971); B, Okazaki et al. (2005); C, Okazaki et al. (2005).



Figure 208. Geographic distribution of *Sphaeropyle mespilus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 209. Geographic distribution of *Spongobrachium* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Renz (1974); B, Original.



Figure 210. Geographic distribution of *Spongodictyon spongiosum*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Original; C, Original.



Figure 211. Geographic distribution of *Spongolena* sp. 1. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).



Figure 212. Geographic distribution of *Spongoliva ellipsoides*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Takahashi (1991); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 213. Geographic distribution of *Spongoplegma rugosa*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 214. Geographic distribution of *Stylosphaera melpomene*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Benson (1966); B, Original; C, Original; D, Matsuoka (2009).



Figure 215. Geographic distribution of *Styptosphaera spumacea*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Swanberg et al. (1990).



Figure 216. Geographic distribution of *Tessarastrum straussii*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Haeckel (1887); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 217. Geographic distribution of *Tetracorethra tetracorethra*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 218. Geographic distribution of *Thecosphaera inermis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original.



Figure 219. Geographic distribution of *Theocorys veneris*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Boltovskoy (1999); C, Original; D, Original.



Figure 220. Geographic distribution of *Tholospyris anthophora*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1972).



Figure 221. Geographic distribution of *Tholospyris baconiana*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).



Figure 222. Geographic distribution of *Tholospyris procera*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Nigrini and Moore (1979); B, Nigrini and Moore (1979).



Figure 223. Geographic distribution of *Tholospyris tripodiscus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Haeckel (1887).


Figure 224. Geographic distribution of *Tribonosphaera centripetalis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999).



Figure 225. Geographic distribution of *Triceraspyris antarctica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Goll (1976); B, Petrushevskaya (1967); C, Petrushevskaya (1967); D, Original.



Figure 226. Geographic distribution of *Tricolocampe cylindrica*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1967); C, Original.



Figure 227. Geographic distribution of *Trisulcus triacanthus*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Boltovskoy (1999); B, Petrushevskaya (1971).



Figure 228. Geographic distribution of *Udan undulata*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Renz (1976); B, Renz (1976).



Figure 229. Geographic distribution of *Xiphosphaera tessaractis*. N: total number of positive records [total number of samples where the species was presumably sought], for water-column (WC) and surface sediment (Sed.) materials. Sources of species illustrations: A, Takahashi (1991); B, Takahashi (1991).

## Vertical abundance profiles of individual species Species peaking in the upper 50 m



Mean proportion of total population of th species in the vertical profile



Figure 230. Vertical abundance profiles of species peaking in the upper 50 m.

#### Species peaking at 50 to 150 m



species in the vertical profile



Species peaking at 50 to 150 m (continued)



Figure 232. Vertical abundance profiles of species peaking at 50 to 150 m (continued).

Species peaking at 50 to 150 m (continued)







## Species peaking at 150 to 500 m



0-50 m 50-150 m 150-500 m 500-1000 m 1000-5000 m

Figure 234. Vertical abundance profiles of species peaking at 150-500 m.

#### Dominant species at different depths

Tropics and subtropics (mean annual temperature at 10 m >12°C)



Samples used

Mean

A -40 -20 0 20 40 6014 Latitude

Water temperature at the sites of the samples used

Figure 235. Dominant species at different depths intervals in the tropics and subtropics (mean annual temperature al 10 m >12°C).

## Dominant species at different depths

Temperate and cold areas, northern hemisphere (mean annual temperature at 10 m <12°C)





Figure 236. Dominant species at different depths intervals in temperate and cold areas, northern hemisphere only (mean annual temperature al 10 m <12 $^{\circ}$ C).



Maximum values for 5 x 5 degree sectors



Figure 237. Mean and maximum numbers of species in plankton samples (all depths included; data are pooled in 5 x 5 degree bins). N: number of samples used.



Maximum values for 5 x 5 degree sectors



Figure 238. Mean and maximum numbers of species in sediment trap samples (each cup is a discrete sample; all depths included; data are pooled in 5 x 5 degree bins). N: number of samples used.



Maximum values for 5 x 5 degrees sectors



Figure 239. Mean and maximum numbers of species in surface sediment samples (data are pooled in 5 x 5 degree bins). N: number of samples used.



Figure 240. Comparative figures of numbers of species identified in plankton, sediment trap, and surface sediment samples as a function of latitude (averaged data for 20 x 20 degree sectors).



Figure 241. Number of species identified as a function of the number of specimens scanned at different latitudinal intervals. N: number of samples used.



dae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 243. Geographic distribution of Actinommidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 244. Geographic distribution of Coccodiscidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 245. Geographic distribution of Phacodiscidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 246. Geographic distribution of Spongodiscidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 247. Geographic distribution of Litheliidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 248. Geographic distribution of Pyloniidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



<sup>;</sup>igure 249. Geographic distribution of Tholoniidae (Spumellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 250. Geographic distribution of Spyridae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 251. Geographic distribution of Plagoniidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 252. Geographic distribution of Theoperidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 253. Geographic distribution of Carpocaniidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 254. Geographic distribution of Pterocorythidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 255. Geographic distribution of Artostrobiidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



Figure 256. Geographic distribution of Cannobotryidae (Nassellaria). N: number of samples used.



 Spumellaria
 Nassellaria

 (%)
 (%)

 > 90
 <10</td>

 90-75
 10-25

 75-60
 25-40

 60-40
 40-60

 40-25
 60-75

 25-10
 75-90

 <10</td>
 >90

Figure 257. Proportions of Spumellaria vs. Nassellaria. N: number of samples used.







# Comparison of specific occurrences of selected species in water column and in sediment samples

Figure 259. Comparison of specific occurrences of the 100 most abundant species in water column and in sediment samples. For each species the average latitude (absolute values) of all the samples where the species was present is shown. Because the mean latitude of sedimentary samples is 1.32 times that of all plankton samples, their index was divided by this figure.



Figure 260. Comparison of specific occurrences of selected species in water column and in sediment samples, as indicated by the ratio between the number of times a given species was recorded in the water-column vs. the number of times it was recorded in the sediments. Numbers in parentheses after each species name denote numbers of samples where it was recorded in water column materials (first figure) and in sedimentary materials (second figure). For columns graphed, the total number of occurrences in the water column and in the sediments were corrected for total number of water column and sediment samples in the database.

PALAEO-ELECTRONICA.ORG



Figure 261. Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments. Species with enhanced presence in water-column samples. Data pooled in 5 x 5 degree Marsden squares and averaged for water column (plankton and sediment traps) and sedimentary materials separately. For those Marsden squares where data for both the water-column and the sediments were available mean proportions in the sediments were substracted from the mean proportions in the water-column. N values indicate total numbers of original data points involved in each comparison. Graphs are restricted to species where a strong bias toward the water-column is apparent.



Figure 262. Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments. Species with enhanced presence in surface sediment samples. Data pooled in 5 x 5 degree Marsden squares and averaged for water column (plankton and sediment traps) and sedimentary materials separately. For those Marsden squares where data for both the water-column and the sediments were available mean proportions in the sediments were substracted from the mean proportions in the water-column. N values indicate total numbers of original data points involved in each comparison. Graphs are restricted to species where a strong bias toward the sediments is apparent.

#### **APPENDIX 1.**

Sources used for compiling the present database and details of the information extracted from each.

Source	Materials	Type of data	Number of samples
Abelmann (1992)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day spp %	55
Abelmann and Gowing (1997)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> counts only, no ID	33
Alder et al. (Unpublished)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> counts only, no ID	232
Alperín (1987)	Sediments	Spp. %	1
Bernstein et al. (1990)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	42
Bjørklund (1972)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	130
Bjørklund and Kruglikova (2003)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., counts only, no ID	229
Boltovskoy (1987)	Sediments	Spp. %	18
Boltovskoy (1992)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., spp. %	6
Boltovskoy and Jankilevich (1985)	Plankton	Spp. % (approx.)	44
Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980)	Plankton	Spp. % (approx.)	47
Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	48
Boltovskoy et al. (1993a)	Sediment trap	Shells/m²/day, spp. %	20
Boltovskoy et al. (1993b)	Sediments	Spp. %	2
Boltovskoy et al. (1996a)	Plankton	Spp. %	40
Boltovskoy et al. (1996b)	Sediment trap	Shells/m²/day, spp. %	13
Boltovskoy et al. (2003)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	4
Casey (1971)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	30
Chang et al. (2003)	Sediments	Spp. %	70
Cheng and Yeh (1989)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	12
CLIMAP. (1997)	Sediments	Spp. %	67
Coco (1982)	Sediments	Spp. %	1
Cortese et al. (2003)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., spp. %	160
Dworetzky and Morley (1987)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	15
Goll and Bjørklund (1971)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., counts only, no ID	334
Goll and Bjørklund (1974)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., counts only, no ID	452
Gowing (1993)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	57
Gowing (1986)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	13
Gowing and Coale (1989)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	20
Gupta (1996) *	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., spp. %	42
Gupta et al. (2002)	Sediment trap	Shells/m²/day, spp. %	50
Haslett (2003)	Sediments	Spp. %	40
Hays (1965) **	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	92
Hollis and Neil (2005)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., spp. %	31
Ishitani and Takahashi (2007)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	56
Itaki (2003)	Plankton & sediments	Spp. %	37
Itaki et al. ( 2003b)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	1
Itaki et al. (2003a)	Plankton & sediments	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	20
Itaki et al. (2008)	Sediments	Spp. %	15
Johnson and Nigrini (1980)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	47
Johnson and Nigrini (1982)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	74

Source	Materials	Type of data	Number of samples
Kamikuri et al. (2008)	Sediments	Spp. %	21
Kling (1979)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	16
Kling and Boltovskoy (1995)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	36
Kruglikova (1966)	Sediments	Shells/g dry sed., counts only, no ID	124
Lange et al. (2000)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	89
Ling and McPherson (1973)	Sediments	Spp. %	10
Matul (Several papers) ***	Sediments	Spp. %	84
McMillen and Casey (1978)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>C,</sup> spp. %	22
Molina-Cruz (1978)	Sediments	Spp. %	121
Molina-Cruz and Bernal-Ramírez (1996)	Sediments	Spp. %	30
Morley (1977)	Sediments	Spp. %	57
Morley (1980)	Sediments	Spp. %	34
Morley and Stepien (1985)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	14
Motoyama et al. (2005)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	49
Nigrini (1968)	Sediments	Spp. % (approx.)	26
Nigrini (1970)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	68
Nigrini (1967)	Sediments	Spp. %	32
Nimmergut (2002)	Plankton & sediments	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	153
Okazaki et al. (2004)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	11
Okazaki et al. (2003	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, spp. %	160
Okazaki et al. (2005)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, spp. %	142
Okazaki. et al. (2008)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, spp. %	331
Paverd (1995)	Plankton	Spp. %	76
Petrushevskaya (1971)	Plankton & sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	466
Pisias et al. (1997)	Sediments	Spp. %	170
Renz (1976)	Plankton & sediments	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	73
Riedel (1958)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	6
Robertson (1975)	Sediments	Spp. %	66
Rogers and De Deckker (2007)	Sediments	Spp. %	95
Romero et al. (2001)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	96
Sachs (1973)	Sediments	Spp. %	44
Schröder-Ritzrau (1995)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, spp. %	161
Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971)	Plankton & sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	200
Swanberg (1979)	Plankton [Scuba diving]	Binary (pres./absence)	126
Swanberg and Eide (1992)	Plankton	Spp. %	18
Takahashi (1987)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	47
Takahashi (1991)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, spp. %	13
Takahashi and Yamashita (2004)	Sediment traps & sediments	Shells/g dry sed., spp. %	162
Tanaka and Takahashi (2008)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	15
Tanaka et al. ****	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	24
Tanaka and Takahashi *****	Sediment trap	Spp. %	117
Uliana (1997)	Sediment trap	Shells/m <sup>2</sup> /day, counts only, no ID	19
Wang et al. (2006)	Sediments	Spp. %	8
Welling (1990)	Sediment traps & sediments	Spp. %	101

Source	Materials	Type of data	Number of samples
Welling (1997)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	248
Yamashita et al. (2002)	Plankton	Shells/m <sup>3,</sup> spp. %	37
Zapata and Olivares (2005)	Sediments	Binary (pres./absence)	1

\* Species identified to genera, data used at family level only.

\*\* Values given in this work are proportions of identified specimens only (rather than proportions of all polycystines), for which reason they were used as presence-absence data.

\*\*\* Data provided by the author, based on Matul (1989, 1990a, b, 1999).

\*\*\*\* Data provided by S. Tanaka, K. Takahashi and A. Yamaguchi, A., based on results of the project "Comparison of 0-3000 m radiolarian vertical distributions between the Bering Sea and the central subarctic Pacific in June 2003 and July 2004".

\*\*\*\*\* Data provided by S. Tanaka and K. Takahashi, based on results of the project " Ten year-long temporal flux changes of radiolarians reflecting the environmental conditions in the Bering Sea and the central subarctic Pacific, 1990-2000".

#### **APPENDIX 2.**

Polycystine species retained in the database used for this work listed in alphabetical order. For each each entry the following information is given: species name, authority, family (in parentheses), up to 3 references illustrating the species concept used ("Ref."), other names used variously for this species in the literature surveyed ("Syn."), and remarks ("Rem.").

Acanthodesmia vinculata (Müller) (Spyridae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Nigrini and Moore (1979) (as Giraffospyris angulata); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Giraffospyris angulata.

Acanthodesmia zonaria (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887).

- Acanthosphaera actinota (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Acanthosphaera corloca, Acanthosphaera tunis, Acanthosphaera tenuissima.
- Acanthosphaera castanea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Heliaster hexagonium). Syn.: Heliaster hexagonium.

Acanthosphaera dodecastyla Mast (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Hexastylus triaxonius.

Acanthosphaera pinchuda Boltovskoy and Riedel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999).

Acrobotrys sp. group (Cannobotryidae). . Syn.: Acrobotrys sp. A, Acrobotrys sp. B, Acrobotrys sp. C, Acrobotrys chelinobotrys, Acrobotrys teralans, Acrobotrys tessarolobon, Neobotrys quadrituberosa. Rem.: Heterogeneous grouping, most probably includes 3-4 different species.

Acrosphaera cyrtodon (Haeckel) (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnkak (1971); Takahashi (1991).

- Acrosphaera murrayana (Haeckel) (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnkak (1971); Nigrini and Moore (1979); Swanberg (1979). Syn.: Choenicosphaera murrayana, Collosphaera murrayana, Polysolenia murrayana.
- Acrosphaera spinosa (Haeckel) (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Acrosphaera arktios, Polysolenia spinosa, Polysolenia lappacea, Polysolenia flammabunda. Rem.: Differences in the species limits between authors do not allow consistent separation of morphotypes. Probably includes several forms or subspecies.
- Actinomma antarcticum (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958) (as Diploplegma banzare); Nigrini (1967); Petrushevskaya (1967) (as Diploplegma (?) aquatica). Syn.: Diploplegma banzare, Diploplegma aquatica.

Actinomma arcadophorum Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979).

Actinomma capillaceum Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Actinomma delicatulum (Dogel and Reshetnjak) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Welling (1997); Okazaki et al. (2004). Syn.: Actinomma popofskii, Echinomma delicatum, Echinomma popofskii, Haliometta miocenica.
- Actinomma leptodermum (Jørgensen) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Actinomma boreale, Actinomma leptoderma leptoderma, Actinomma leptoderma longispina, Actinomma hastatum, Actinomma haysi, Echinomma leptodermum. Rem.: Poorly defined morphotype, probably includes several species, including the juvenile or partially dissolved 3-shelled stages of Cromyechinus antarctica. Cortese and Bjørklund (1998a, b) reviewed the morphology and taxonomy of the boreal representatives of this taxon.
- Actinomma medianum Nigrini (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Rem.: Separation between this morphotype and Atinomma antarcticum and Actinomma arcadophorum may be questionable in some surveys.
- Actinomma sol Cleve (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Thecosphaera radians, Thecosphaera entactinata.
- Actinomma sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Okazaki et al. (2005a) (as Actinomma spp.).

Actinosphaera acanthophora (Popofsky) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

Actinosphaera capillacea (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Actinosphaera tenella (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Amphimelissa setosa (Cleve) (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Swanberg and Bjørklund (1987); Schröder-Ritzrau (1995); Cortese et al. (2003).
- Amphirhopalum ypsilon Haeckel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Amphirhopalum cf. Tessarastrum straussii, Trigonastrum sp., Amphirhopalum virchowii, Amphimenium sp. A, Perichlamydium wirchowii.

Androcyclas gamphonycha (Jørgensen) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Hays (1965); Nigrini and Moore (1979); Bjørklund (1976).

Androspyris huxleyi (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Lamprospyris hookeri.

Androspyris ramosa (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Tholospyris ramosa). Syn.: Tholospyris fornicata, Tholospyris ramosa, Tripospyris cortiniscus, Tripospyris palmipes, Tripospyris semantis.

Androspyris reticulodisca Takahashi (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Anomalacantha dentata Mast (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1970) (as Heteracantha dentata). Syn.: Astrosphaera sp., Heteracantha dentata.
- Antarctissa denticulata-strelkovi (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967) (as Antarctissa denticulata and Antarctissa strelkovi). Rem.: Includes the morphotypes identified as Antarctissa denticulata, Antarctissa strelkovi, and Antarctissa cylindrica. These forms have very often been lumped in radiolarian surveys, which precludes their separation herewith.
- Anthocyrtidium ophirense (Ehrenberg) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Anthocyrtidium cf. ophirensis, Anthocyrtidium cineraria, Anthocyrtidium euryclathrum.

Anthocyrtidium zanguebaricum (Ehrenberg) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999).

- Arachnocorallium sp. group (Plagoniidae). . Rem.: An artificial grouping of several (5-10?) mostly unisegmented plagoniids. These morphotypes have been mentioned in the literature under a wide variety of names (e.g., Arachnocorallium calvata, Arachnocorallium spp., Arachnocorys pentacantha, Dimelissa apis, Dimelissa thoracites, Lithomelissa thoracites, Lophophaena spp., Peridium longispinum, Peridium spinipes, Peromelissa phalacra, Phormacantha spp., Psilomelissa calvata, etc.).
- Arachnocorys circumtexta Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971).
- Arachnocorys sp. group (Plagoniidae). . Rem.: Morphotypes designated as Arachnocorys pentacantha, Arachnocorys umbellifera, Cladoscenium tricolpium. Probably includes 3-4 different poorly resolved species, although in some restricted areas these morphotypes are adequately delimited (e.g., Arachnocorys umbellifera and Cladoscenium tricolpium in the Nordic Seas).
- Arachnosphaera myriacantha Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1862); Takahashi (1991).
- Arachnosphaera sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Archipilium sp. 1 (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Archipilium macroporus? and Archipilium sp. aff. Archipilium orthopterum). Syn.: Sethopilium macropus.
- Artobotrys borealis (Cleve) (Carpocaniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Schröder-Ritzrau (1995). Syn.: Artobotrys boreale.
- Artostrobus annulatus (Bailey) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Petrushevskaya (1967); Bjørklund (1976).
- Artostrobus Jørgenseni Petrushevskaya (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Bjørklund and Kruglikova (2003). Syn.: Eucecryphalus histricosus.
- Astrosphaera hexagonalis Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Bathropyramis woodringii (Campbell and Clark) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Kling (1973). Syn.: Cinclopyramis infundibulum.
- Botryocephalina armata Petrushevskaya (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1965). Rem.: Probably synonymous with Saccospyris conithorax.
- Botryocyrtis scutum (Harting) (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1967); Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Botryopyle dictyocephalus Haeckel (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1965); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Acrobotrissa cribrosa, Botryopyle cribrosa.
- Botryostrobus aquilonaris (Bailey) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Artostrobium miralestense, Artostrobium tumidulum, Siphocampe aquilonaris, Siphocampe erucosa.
- Botryostrobus auritus-australis (Ehrenberg) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Vrba (1989); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Artostrobium auritum group, Artostrobium eupora, Botryostrobus auritus, Botryostrobus bramlettei, Botryostrobus seriatus, Lithamphora furcaspiculata, Lithostrobus (?) seriatus, Lithostrobus (?) seriatus, Lithostrobus lithobotrys, Lithostrobus botryocyrtis, Spirocyrtis subscalaris, Spirocyrtis? platycephala group, Stichocorys seriata, Stichocorys seriatus. Rem.: Differences in the species limits between authors do not allow consistent separation of morphotypes. Probably includes several forms, species or subspecies (e.g., Stichocorys seriata may be a different organism)
- Buccinosphaera invaginata Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971); Nigrini (1971).
- Callimitra carolotae Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Callimitra annae, Callimitra emmae.
- Callimitra solocicribrata Takahashi (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Calocyclas monumentum Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Clathrocyclas monumentum and Clathrocyclas cassiopejae). Syn.: Clathrocyclas cassiopeiae, Clathrocyclas monumentum.
- Carpocanarium papillosum (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967) (as Dictyocephalus (?) papillosus). Syn.: Dictyocephalus papillosus, Tricolocapsa papillosa, Tricolocapsa papillosa mediterranea.
- Carpocanium sp. group (Carpocaniidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979) (as Carpocanistrum spp. and Carpocanistrum sp. A); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Carpocanarium sp., Carpocanistrum acutidentatum, Carpocanistrum cephalum, Carpocanistrum evacuatum, Carpocanistrum favosum, Carpocanistrum flosculum, Carpocanistrum sp. A, Carpocanistrum sp. B, Carpocanium blastogenicum, Carpocanium calycoides, Carpocanium gemmula, Carpocanium obliqua, Carpocanium obliquum, Carpocanium palmipes, Carpocanopsis obovata. Rem.: Differences in the species limits between authors do not allow consistent separation of morphotypes. Probably includes several forms, species or subspecies

- Carposphaera acanthophora (Popofsky) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980). Syn.: Actinosphaera sp., Lonchosphaera sp. C, Cenosphaera coronata, Haliomma erinaceum.
- Carposphaera capillacea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Cenosphaera cristata Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958) (as Cenosphaera cristata?). Syn.: Carposphaera acanthorphora, Carposphaera sp. aff. Carposphaera acanthorphora, Cenosphaera compacta. Rem.: Limits between this species and Cenosphaera elysia, Cenosphaera hirsuta and Cenosphaera spp. are often imprecise. Assignements in this work are therefore conditional.
- Cenosphaera elysia Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980). Syn.: Carposphaera melitomma, Cenosphaera favosa, Cenosphaera riedeli, Cenosphaera spp., Cenosphaera vesparia. Rem.: Limits between this species and Cenosphaera cristata, Cenosphaera hirsuta and Cenosphaera spp. are often imprecise. Assignements in this work are therefore conditional.
- Cenosphaera hirsuta Ehrenberg (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980). Rem.: Limits between this species and Cenosphaera cristata, Cenosphaera elysia and Cenosphaera spp. are often imprecise. Assignements in this work are therefore conditional.
- Cenosphaera spp. (Actinommidae). . Rem.: Heterogeneous group of various single-shelled actinommids without main spines provisionally inluded in this genus.
- Centrobotrys thermophila Petrushevskaya (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1965).
- Centrocubus cladostylus Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991) (as Centrocubus cladostylus and Centrocubus octostylus); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Centrocubus octostylus, Octodendron pinetum.
- Cephalospyris cancellata Haeckel (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Cephalospyris platybursa (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Cantharospyris platybursa). Syn.: Cantharospyris platybursa, Platybursa clathrobursa.
- Ceratocyrtis sinuosa (Popofsky) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971).
- Ceratospyris borealis Bailey (Spyridae). Ref.: Kamikuri et al. (2008). Syn.: Tristylospyris sp..
- Cladococcus abietinus Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi and Honjo (1981).
- Cladococcus bifurcus Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980).
- Cladococcus cervicornis Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Cladococcus arborescens, Cladococcus viminalis.
- *Cladococcus megaceros* Hollande and Enjumet (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Cladococcus scoparius Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi and Honjo (1981); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Cladococcus viminalis Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Cladococcus sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980) (as Cladococcus sp.).
- Cladoscenium ancoratum Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Cladoscenium tricolpium.
- Cladoscenium limbatum Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Jørgensen (1905); Nigrini (1968) (as Plectacantha cremastoplegma). Syn.: Plectacantha cremastoplegma.
- Clathrocanium coarctatum Ehrenberg (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Welling (1997). Syn.: Clathrocanium coronatum, Clathrocanium diadema, Clathrocanium ornatum, Clathrocanium reginae, Clathrocorys sp., Pteropilium reticulatum.
- Clathrocorys teuscheri Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Clathrocorys giltschii, Clathrocorys murrayi.
- Clathrocyclas sp. 1 (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Clathromitra pentacantha Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971).
- Clathromitra pterophormis Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Clathrosphaera arachnoides Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Collosphaera huxleyi Muller (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnkak (1971); Swanberg (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Collosphaera confossa. Rem.: Living colonies have been shown to host skeletons identifyable as Collosphaera huxleyi and as Collosphaera tuberosa (Bjørklund, 1981), thus casting doubts on the separation between these morphotypes
- Collosphaera macropora Popofsky (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Collosphaera glebulenta, Collosphaera polygona.
- Collosphaera tuberosa Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnkak (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Rem.: Living colonies have been shown to host skeletons identifyable as Collosphaera huxleyi and as Collosphaera tuberosa (Bjørklund, 1981), thus casting doubts on the separation between these morphotypes
- Conarachnium facetum (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

Conarachnium polyacanthum (Popofsky) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Conarachnium polyacantha.

Conarachnium sp. 1 (Theoperidae). Ref.: Tanaka and Takahashi (2008).

Conicavus tipiopsis Takahashi (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

Cornutella profunda Ehrenberg (Theoperidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999).

- Corocalyptra cervus (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Benson (1966). Syn.: Clathrocyclas danaes, Corocalyptra craspedota, Eucecryphalus aberrans, Eucecryphalus cervus, Eucecryphalus craspedota, Eucecryphalus elizabethae, Eucecryphalus europae, Eucecryphalus gegenbauri, Eucecryphalus gegenbauri/cervus group, Theocalyptra gegenbauri. Rem.: Heterogeneous group, probably includes several species.
- Corocalyptra krugeri Popofsky (Theoperidae). Ref.: Popofsky (1913); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Corocalyptra killmari, Dictyophimus (?) killmari.
- Cromyechinus antarctica (Dreyer) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967). Syn.: Actinomma boreale, Actinomma imperfecta, Cromyechinus antarcticum, Cromyechinus borealis, Cromyechinus icosacanthus, Prunopyle antarctica, Prunopyle buspinigerum, Sphaeropyle langii, Sphaeropyle robusta. Rem.: Probably includes several species, including the adult 4-shelled stages of Actinomma leptodermum. Specimens from the Nordic seas identified as Actinomma boreale are probably not conspecific with Cromyechinus antarctica..

Cromyechinus sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Cromyechinus ? sp.).

Cromyomma circumtextum Haeckel (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Boltovskoy (1999).

Cromyomma villosum Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Cromyomma sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1987) (as Cromyomma sp.).
- Cubotholus sp. (Tholoniidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Amphitholus acanthometra, Amphitholus tricolonium, Cubotholus octoceras, Cubotholus regularis, Cubotholus rhombicus, Cubotholus sp. aff. C. octoceras, Tholoma metallasson.
- Cycladophora davisiana davisiana (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Theocalyptra davisiana davisiana). Syn.: Cycladophora davisiana, Cycladophora davisiana davisiana, Diplocyclas sp A., Theocalyptra davisiana, Theocalyptra davisiana, theocalyptra davisiana, and davisiana, Rem.: Separation between the subspecies of Cycladophora davisiana is uncertain.
- Cycladophora davisiana (Ehrenberg) cornutoides (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Theocalyptra davisiana cornutoides). Syn.: Cycladophora cornuta, Cycladophora cornutoides, Cycladophora davisiana, Cycladophora davisiana semeloides, Cycladophora davisiana var. Semeloides, Theocalyptra davisiana, Theocalyptra davisiana cornutoides. Rem.: Separation between the subspecies of Cycladophora davisiana is uncertain.

Cyclampterium neatum Sanfilippo and Riedel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Renz (1976).

Cyrtidosphaera reticulata Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1862); Itaki et al. (2003a).

- Cyrtolagena laguncula Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Cyrtopera laguncula). Syn.: Cyrtocapsa sp., Cyrtolagena cuspidata, Cyrtopera laguncula, Cyrtopera aglaolampa, Stichoformis cornutella, Stichopera pectinata, Stichophormis cf. cornutella.
- Dictyocodon elegans (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887) (as Artopilium elegans); Takahashi (1991).
- Dictyocodon palladius Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Tripocyrtis pteides.
- Dictyocoryne profunda Ehrenberg (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Dictyocoryne abyssorum, Dictyocoryne euclidis, Dictyocoryne euclidis/profunda group, Euchitonia koellikeri, Euchitonia mulleri, Euchitonia triangulum, Hymeniastrium euclidis, Hymeniastrum koellikeri. Rem.: In some surveys separation between this species and Dictyocoryne truncatum is dubious.
- Dictyocoryne truncatum (Ehrenberg) (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Hymeniastrum sp. A, Hymeniastrum sp. C, Hymeniastrum sp. E, Rhopalastrum profundum. Rem.: In some surveys separation between this species and Dictyocoryne profunda is dubious.
- Dictyophimus hirundo (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Dictyophimus hirundo/crisiae, Dictyophimus crisiae, Dictyophimus crisiae/hirundo, Dictyophiumus clevei, Pterocorys hirundo. Rem.: In some surveys the limits of this species are uncertain.

Dictyophimus histricosus Jørgensen (Theoperidae). Ref.: Schröder-Ritzrau (1995).

Dictyophimus infabricatus Nigrini (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Dictyophimus crisiae, Dictyophimus sp. aff. D. infabricatus.

Dictyophimus mawsoni Riedel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958). Rem.: Probably an extinct species.

Dictyophimus sp. 1 (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Dictyophimus sp. A).

Dictyospyris sp. 1 (Spyridae). Ref.: Renz (1976) (as Lophospyris sp.); Takahashi (1991) (as Dictyospyris sp. group). Syn.: Lophospyris sp.. Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus (Haeckel) (Coccodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Didimocyrtis group, Didymocyrtis messanensis, Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus coronatus, Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus tetrathalamus, Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus, Ommatartus coronatus, Ommatartus sp. A, Ommatartus sp. B, Ommatartus tetrathalamus, Ommatartus tetrathalamus, Panartus tetrathalamus, Panartus tetrathalamus, Coronatus, Panartus tetrathalamus, Panartus tetrathalamus, and tetrathalamus, Thecosphaera? diplococcus. Rem.: Includes the subspecies Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus tetrathalamus and Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus coronatus (in most datasets surveyed these two subspecies were considered jointly).

Dipylissa bensoni Dumitrica (Pyloniidae). Ref.: Dumitrica (1988); Boltovskoy (1999).

- Druppatractus irregularis Popofsky (Actinommidae). Ref.: Benson (1966) (as Druppatractus irregularis and Druppatractus pyriformis). Syn.: Doryodruppa bensoni, Drupatractus sp A, Druppatractus hastatus, Druppatractus pyriformis, Druppatractus variabilis, Stylosphaera pyriformis, Stylosphaera sp. B. Rem.: Unresolved grouping, most probably includes several species.
- Drymosphaera dendrophora Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Drymyomma elegans Jørgensen (Actinommidae). Ref.: Jørgensen (1905).
- Elatomma penicillus Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Ellipsoxiphium palliatum Haecker (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Enneaphormis rotula (Haeckel) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Sethophormis rotula). Syn.: Enneaphormis (?) sp., Enneaphormis enneastrum, Sethophormis rotula, Plectagonidium deflandrei, Sethophormis sp. A, Tetraphormis rotula rotula.
- Eucecryphalus clinatus Takahashi (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Euchitonia elegans-furcata (Ehrenberg) (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Euchitonia elegans, Euchitonia elegans/furcata, Euchitonia elegans/furcata group, Euchitonia furcata, Euchitonia sp. A, Euchitonia sp. B, Euchitonia sp. C, Rhopalastrum spp.. Rem.: It is unclear whether Euchitonia elegans and Euchitonia furcata represent different species, but intergrades are at least as comon as typical shells of either morphotype. In many of the databases surveyed they have been considered jointly or the limits of the two forms were blurry, which precluded us from considering them separately in this review.
- Eucyrtidium acuminatum (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Eucyrtidium acuminatum acuminatum, Eucyrtidium acuminatum octocolum, Eucyrtidium sp. aff. E. anomalum, Eucyrtidium acuminatum/E. tropezianum, Eucyrtidium hexastichum, Eucyrtidium octocolum, Eucyrtidium sp. aff. E. acuminatum octocolum, Stichopilium annulatum, Stichopodium dictyopodium.
- Eucyrtidium anomalum (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Eucyrtidium erythromystax Nigrini and Caulet (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Caulet (1992). Syn.: Eucyrtidium calvertense, Eucyrtidium cienkowskii, Eucyrtidium heptacolum, Eucyrtidium teuscheri, Lithomitra infundibulum. Rem.: Probably an heterogeneous grouping.
- Eucyrtidium hexagonatum Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Benson (1966) (as Eusyringium siphonostoma); Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Eucyrtidium acuminatum octocolum, Eucyrtidium cienkowskii, Eucyrtidium dictyopodium, Eucyrtidium dictyopodium siphonostomum.
- Eucyrtidium hexastichum (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Eucyrtidium annulatum.
- Euscenium corynephorum Jørgensen (Theoperidae). Ref.: Jørgensen (1905); Schröder-Ritzrau (1995).
- Gonosphaera primordialis Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Jørgensen (1905); Bjørklund (1976). Syn.: Pseudocubus primordialis?.
- Haeckeliella macrodoras (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Cladococcus stalactites.

Haliomma castanea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1862); Takahashi (1991).

- Haliomma macrodoras Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Haliomma sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Haliomma sp. A). Syn.: Heliaster hexagonium.
- Haliomma sp. 2 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Haliomma sp. B).
- Heliodiscus asteriscus Haeckel (Phacodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Heliodiscus macrococcus.
- Heliodiscus echiniscus Haeckel (Phacodiscidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Heliodiscus phacodiscus. Rem.: Apparently lumped with Heliodiscus asteriscus in most of the reports surveyed.
- Heliodiscus sp. 1 (Phacodiscidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Heliodiscus? sp.). Syn.: Heliodiscus echiniscus, Heliosoma sp..
- Heliosoma sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Heliosoma sp. aff. radians). Syn.: Heliosoma spp. aff. radians.
- Helotholus histricosa Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Antarctissa strelkovi, Ceratocyrtis galea, Ceratocyrtis galeus, Ceratocyrtis histricosus, Ceratocyrtis histricosa, Ceratospyris histricosa, Dictyophimus histricosus, Helotholus sp cf. H. Vema, Lampromitra spp.. Rem.: Highly variable morphotype. Organisms grouped under this name are very likely to include several species..

Heterosphaera sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Heterosphaera sp. A).
Heterosphaera sp. 2 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Heterosphaera sp. B).

- Hexacontium arachnoidale Hollande and Enjumet (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980) (as Hexalonche aristarchi); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Hexalonche aristarchi.
- Hexacontium armatum-hostile group(Actinommidae). . Syn.: Haxalonche aristarchi, Hexacontarium sp., Hexacontium entacanthum, Hexacontium hostile, Hexacontium pachydermum, Hexacontium armatum, Hexacontium asteracanthion, Hexacontium axotrias, Hexacontium hexagonum, Hexacontium phaenaxonium, Hexacontium pythagoraea, Hexacontium sceptrum, Hexalonche amaximandri, Hexalonche aristarchi, Hexalonche philosophica. Rem.: Very heterogeneous grouping encompassing generally 3-shelled actinommids with usually 6 main spines perpendicular to each other, but up to 9 have been reported, in which case they resemble the genus Actinomma . Several (5-10?) species included. This group needs much additional work to sort out its troubled taxonomy.

Hexacontium heracliti (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Hexacontium heteracantha (Popofsky) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Benson (1966) (as Hexacontium cf. heteracantha).
- Hexacontium hystricina (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi and Honjo (1981); Boltovskoy (1987).
- Hexacontium laevigatum Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Nigrini and Moore (1979); Welling (1997).
- Hexacromyum elegans Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi and Honjo (1981).
- Hexalonche amphisiphon Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960) (as Heliosoma echinaster); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Heliosoma echinaster). Syn.: Cenosphaera sp. E, Centracontarium hexacontarium, Centrolonche hexalonche, Heliosoma echinaster, Tetrapetalon elegans.
- Hexastylus dimensivius Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Paverd (1995).
- Hexastylus triaxonius Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Lamprocyclas maritalis Haeckel (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lamprocyclas maritalis maritalis, Lamprocyclas maritalis polypora, Lamprocyclas maritalis ventricosa, Lamprocyclas nupitalis. Rem.: Includes morphotypes described under the names Lamprocyclas maritalis maritalis, Lamprocyclas maritalis polypora and Lamprocyclas maritalis ventricosa.
- Lamprocyrtis junonis (Haeckel) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Welling (1997); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lamprocyclas hannai, Lamprocyrtis hannai, Lamprocyrtis junonis, Pterocorys spp..

Lamprocyrtis nigriniae (Caulet) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Conarachnium ? sp. A, Lamprocyrtis haysi.

Lampromitra cracenta Takahashi (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

- Lampromitra quadricuspis Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Anthocyrtidium sp. aff. A. anthemis, Dictyophimus butschlii, Lampromita sinuosa, Lampromitra cachoni, Lampromitra spinosiretis, Tetraphormis butschlii, Tetraphormis dodecaster.
- Lampromitra schultzei (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lampromitra coronata, Sethophormis pentalactis, Theocalyptra cornuta.
- Larcopyle buetschlii Dreyer (Litheliidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Tholospironium cervicorne.
- Larcospira quadrangula Haeckel (Litheliidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Larnacalpis sp. 1 (Coccodiscidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Larnacalpis sp.). Syn.: Larnacalpis sp. aff. L. lentellipsis, Didymocyrtis sp..
- Leptosphaera minuta Popofsky (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Leptosphaera minuta?).
- Lipmanella bombus (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Dictyoceras mellitta, Eucyrtidium anomalum f. bombus, Lipmanella pyramidale, Theopilium pyramidale.
- Lipmanella dictyoceras (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971). Syn.: Dictyoceras acanthicum, Lipmanella virchowii, Lipmanella xiphephorum. Rem.: Is some instances limits between this taxon and Lipmanella virchowii are unclear.
- Lipmanella virchowii (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Dictyoceras neglectum, Dictyoceras vichowii, Eucyrtidium anomalum f. Virchowii, Lipmanella dictyoceras, Lithopilium sphaerocephalum. Rem.: Is some instances limits between this taxon and Lipmanella dictyoceras are unclear.
- Liriospyris reticulata (Ehrenberg) (Spyridae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Acanthodesmia reticulata, Amphispyris costata, Amphispyris costata-thorax group, Amphispyris reticulata, Liriospyris (?) toxarium, Liriospyris reticulata, Liriospyris toxarium, Lithocircus reticulatus, Lithocircus zonaris.

Liriospyris thorax laticapsa (Takahashi) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).

Liriospyris thorax thorax (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887) (as Amphispyris thorax); Takahashi (1991).

Liriospyris sp. 1 (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Liriospyris sp.).

Litharachnium tentorium Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Litharachnium eupilium, Litharachnium permagnum. Lithelius minor group(Litheliidae). . Syn.: Larcospira haliomma, Larcospira minor, Lithelius alveolina, Lithelius ex gr. minor/spiralis, Lithelius sp. aff L. spiralis, Lithelius spiralis, Lithelius spp., Ommatodiscus sp. A, Spongurus minor, Stylodictya ? sp., Stylotrochus geddesi. Rem.: Very heterogeneous grouping encompassing variously shaped litheliids. Most probably includes several species.

Lithelius nautiloides Popofsky (Litheliidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Petrushevskaya (1967). Syn.: Lithelius spiralis.

Lithocampe platycephala (Ehrenberg) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Schröder-Ritzrau (1995).

- Lithocampe sp. 1 (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979) (as Lithocampe sp.).
- Lithomelissa hystrix Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Bjørklund (1976); Bjørklund et al. (1998). Syn.: Lithomelissa stigi, Lophophaena witjazii, Lophophaenoma sp. aff. L. witjazii, Lophophaenoma witjazii.
- Lithomelissa setosa Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Jørgensen (1905); Bjørklund (1976); Bjørklund et al. (1998).
- Lithopera bacca Ehrenberg (Theoperidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999).
- Lithopilium reticulatum Popofsky (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Lithostrobus cornutus Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Renz (1976).
- Lithostrobus hexagonalis Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Welling (1997); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Lophocorys polyacantha (Popofsky) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Welling (1997). Syn.: Artopilium undulatum, Lophocorys undulata, Stichopilium anocor, Triacartus undulatum.
- Lophophaena capito Ehrenberg (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Lophophaena cf. capito). Syn.: Dimelissa thoracites, Lithomeliss cf. thoracites, Lithomelissa laticeps, Lithomelissa sp., Lithomelissa thoracites, Lophophaenoma spp., Lophophaenoma witjazii, Peromelissa sp.. Rem.: Heterogeneous grouping. Probably includes several species.
- Lophophaena decacantha group(Plagoniidae). . Syn.: Dimelissa laticeps, Dimelissa thoracites, Lithomelissa cf. galeata, Lithomelissa monoceras, Lithomelissa thoracites, Lophophaena buetschlii, Lophophaena cf. capito, Lophophaena clevei, Lophophaena nadezdae. Rem.: Very heterogeneous grouping. Includes several species.
- Lophophaena hispida-cylindrica (Ehrenberg) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971) (as Lophophaena cylindrica and Lophophaena ena hispida). Syn.: Lophophaena cylindrica, Lophophaena hispida.
- Lophophaena rioplatensis Boltovskoy et al. (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy et al. (2003).
- Lophophaena variabilis group(Plagoniidae). Ref.: Welling (1997) (as Amphiplecta acrostoma, Lophophaena butschlii, and Lophophaena variabilis). Syn.: Acanthocorys cf. variabilis, Amphiplecta acrostoma, Lophophaena nadezdae, Lithomelissa bütschlii, Lophophaena buetschlii. Rem.: Heterogeneous grouping. Probably includes several species.
- Lophospyris pentagona hyperborea (Jørgensen) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Ceratospyris hyperborea, Lophospyris damaecornis, Phormospyris herdisae, Phormospyris sp. aff. Lophospyris pentagona hyperborea, Tholospyris sp.. Rem.: Goll (1976) revised Ceratospyris hyperborea and erected Lophospyris pentagona hyperborea.
- Lophospyris pentagona pentagona (Ehrenberg) (Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1976); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Ceratospyris sp., Giraffospyris circumflexa, Lophospyris cheni, Lophospyris pentagona.
- Lophospyris pentagona quadriforis (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1976). Syn.: Acanthodesmia micropora, Lophospyris quadriforis, Lophospyris sp., Semantis micropora?.
- Lychnosphaera regina Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Mitrocalpis araneafera Popofsky (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Nigrini (1970).
- Myelinastrinae (group?) (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Renz (1976) (as Myelinastinae subfamily group); Takahashi (1991) (as Myelinastrum quadrifoleum and Myelinastrum trinibrachium).
- Neosemantis distephanus (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Campylacantha cladophora, Neosemantis cladophora, Semanthes distephanus, Tetraplagia distephanis.
- Nephrospyris paradictyum Haeckel (Spyridae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971) (as Paradictyum paradoxum); Renz (1976). Syn.: Paradictyum paradoxum.
- Nephrospyris renilla Haeckel (Spyridae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Nephrodictyum renilla, Nephrospyris docris, Nephrospyris renilla Iana, Nephrospyris renilla renilla.
- Octodendron cubocentron Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Paverd (1995) (as Spongodrymus cubocentron); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Spongoplegma sp., Spongodrymus cubocentron.
- Octopyle stenozona/Tetrapyle octacantha (group?) (Pyloniidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999) (as Octopyle stenozona group?). Syn.: Octopyle octostyle, Octopyle stenozoa, Octopyle stenozona, Phorticium sp., Tetrapyle larnacilla, Tetrapyle octacantha. Rem.: Probably two species lumped in this category (see, for example, Nigrini and Moore, 1979), but inconsistencies in their identification throughout the works surveyed do not allow considering them separately.
- Ommatodiscus murrayi Dreyer (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Benson (1966) (as Ommatodiscus pantanelli). Syn.: Circodiscus micropora, Ommatodiscus pantanelli, Prunulum coccymelium?, Stylodictya centrospira.

- Otosphaera polymorpha Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnkak (1971) (as Solenosphaera chierchiae); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Otosphaera auriculata, Otosphaera auriculata/Otosphaera polymorpha, Solenosphaera chierchiae, Solenosphaera polymorpha.
- Peripyramis circumtexta Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Peripyramis circumtexta/Plectopyramis dodecomma.
- Peromelissa phalacra (Haeckel) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Arachnocorallium sp. A, Lithomelissa monoceras.
- Phormacantha hystrix (Jørgensen) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Plectacantha trichoides. Rem.: Heterogenous group, probably two different species.
- Phormospyris stabilis capoiGoll (Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1976); Takahashi (1991).
- Phormospyris stabilis scaphipes(Goll)(Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1976); Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Ceratospyris angulata, Lophospyris /Phormospyris, Phormospyris scaphipes, Phormospyris stabilis scaphipes, Tholospyris scaphipes, Tristylospyris scaphipes.
- Phormospyris stabilis (tabilis (Goll) (Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1976); Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Dendrospyris sp. aff. D. stabilis, Desmospyris anthocyrtoides, Phormospyris stabilis.

Phormospyris sp. 1 (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Phormospyris? sp.). Syn.: Phormospyris? sp..

- Phormostichoartus corbula (Harting) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lithostrobus botryocyrtis, Siphocampe corbula, Siphocampium sp..
- Phorticium pylonium (Haeckel) (Pyloniidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958). Syn.: Phorticium clevei.
- Phrenocodon clathrostomium Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Plectacantha cremastoplegma Nigrini (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1968).
- Plectacantha oikiskos Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Bjørklund (1976). Rem.: Limits with Phormacantha hystrix unclear.
- Plectacantha trichoides Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971). Rem.: Limits with Phormacantha hystrix unclear.
- Plectanium sp. 1 (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Plectanium sp.).
- Plectopyramis dodecomma Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979).
- Plegmosphaera coelopila Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi and Honjo (1981) (as Plegmosphaera coelopila and Plegmosphaera pachypila). Syn.: ?Plegmosphaera maxima, Plegmosphaera pachypila.
- Plegmosphaera entodictyon Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Takahashi (1991). Rem.: Probably synonymous with Plegmosphaera pachyplegma.
- Plegmosphaera lepticali Renz (Actinommidae). Ref.: Renz (1976); Takahashi (1991) (as Plegmosphaera sp. aff. P. lepticali).
- Plegmosphaera oblonga Takahashi (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Plegmosphaera pachyplegma Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980). Rem.: Probably synonymous with Plegmosphaera entodictyon.
- Porodiscus microporus (Stohr) (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Circodiscus spp.); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987) (as Lithocyclia heteropora). Syn.: Circodiscus microporus, Circodiscus sp. group, Lithocyclia heteropora.
- Pseudocubus obeliscus Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Plectophora triacantha.
- Pseudocubus octostylus Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971).
- Pseudodictyophimus bicornis (Ehrenberg) (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Welling (1997). Syn.: Dictyophimus bicornis, Theocalyptra bicornis.
- Pseudodictyophimus gracilipes Bailey (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Dictyophimus gracilipes). Syn.: ? Dictyophimus bicornis, Dictyophimus clevii, Dictyophimus spp., Dictyophimus tetracanthus, Dictyophymus hirundo, Dictyophimus gracilipes, Pseudodictyophimus multispinus, Pseudodictyophimus tetracanthus. Rem.: In some surveys the limits of this species are uncertain.
- Pterocanium auritum Nigrini and Caulet (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Caulet (1992). Syn.: Dictyophimus infabricatus, Pterocanium sp..
- Pterocanium korotnevi (Dogiel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Carpocanarium sp., Dictyophimus macropterus, Dictyophimus sp., Lychnocanium grande.
- Pterocanium praetextum (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Pterocanium praetextum aff. eucolpum, Pterocanium praetextum eucolpum, Pterocanium praetextum praetextum, Pterocanium virgineum. Rem.: Includes the subspecies Pterocanium praetextum praetextum and Pterocanium praetextum eucolpum recognized by some authors (e.g., Nigrini and Mo0re, 1979).

Pterocanium trilobum Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lychnocanium sp. aff. Lychnocanium sigmopodium, Pterocanium charybdeum, Pterocanium grandiporus, Pterocanium orcinum, Pterocanium polypylum.

Pterocorys hertwigii (Haeckel) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Eucyrtidium hertwigii.

Pterocorys minythorax (Nigrini) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1968); Caulet and Nigrini (1988).

- Pterocorys zancleus (Muller) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Pterocorys campanula, Pterocorys clausus, Pterocorys macroceras, Pterocorys sabae, Theoconus zancleus, Theoconus junonis.
- Pterocyrtidium dogieli Petrushevskaya (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Gondwanaria campanulaeformis, Sethoconus (?) reschetnhakae, Sethoconus (?) sp. cf. S.(?) dogieli.
- Pteropilium stratiotes Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel(1887); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Pteroscenium pinnatum Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Benson (1966); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Clathrocorys murrayi, Verticillata hexacantha.
- Pylolena armata Haeckel (Pyloniidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Hexapyle armata, Hexapyle dodecacantha, Hexapyle sp., Pylolena hexagona.
- Pylospira octopyle Haeckel (Litheliidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: ? Tholospira (?) sp., Phorticium octopyle, Tholospyra group.
- Rhizoplegma boreale Cleve (Actinommidae). Ref.: Bjørklund (1976); Schröder-Ritzrau (1995).
- Saccospyris antarctica Haecker (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958) (as Botryopyle? antarctica); Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Botryopyle? antarctica, Saccospyris cf. antarctica, Saccospyris preantarctica.
- Saccospyris conithorax Petrushevskaya (Cannobotryidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967). Syn.: Botryocampe inflata, Acrobotrissa cribrosa, Saccospyris preantarctica.
- Saturnalis circularis Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1967); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Sethoconus anthocyrtis Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Conarachnium facetum, Conarachnium parabolicum, Lampromitra parabolica.
- Sethoconus myxobrachia Strelkov and Reshetnjak (Theoperidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Renz (1976); Takahashi (1991).
- Sethoconus tabulatus (Ehrenberg) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958) (as Sichopilium variabile); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987). Syn.: Sethoconus (Artostrobus) tabulatus, Sichopilium variabile.
- Sethodiscus macrococcus Haeckel (Phacodiscidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980).
- Sethophormis aurelia Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Theophormis callipilium.
- Siphocampe arachnea (Ehrenberg) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lithomitra arachnea, Lithomitra sp.aff. L. lineata.
- Siphocampe lineata (Ehrenberg) (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lithomitra lineata, Siphocampe nodosaria.
- Siphonosphaera martensi Brandt (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971) (as Siphonosphaera cyathina and Siphonosphaera martensi); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Siphonosphaera cyathina, Siphonosphaera sp. B.
- Siphonosphaera polysiphonia Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Siphonosphaera compacta, Siphonosphaera macropora, Siphonosphaera spp., Siphonosphaera tenera, Siphonosphaera tubulosa. Rem.: Probably synonymous with Siphonosphaera socialis.
- Siphonosphaera socialis Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971) (as Siphonosphaera socialis, Siphonosphaera socialis mazosphaeroides, and Siphonosphaera socialis tubuliloba). Syn.: Siphonosphaera socialis mazosphaeroides, Siphonosphaera socialis tubuliloba. Rem.: Probably synonymous with Siphonosphaera polysiphonia.
- Solenosphaera collina (Haeckel) (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971). Syn.: Disolenia collina, Solenosphaera sp. aff. S. collina.
- Solenosphaera polysolenia Strelkov and Reshetnjak (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Siphonosphaera magnisphaera, Siphonosphaera sp. aff. S. hippotis, Solenosphaera sp. aff. S. polisolenia.
- Solenosphaera tenuissima Hilmers (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971). Syn.: Otosphaera tenuissima.
- Solenosphaera zanguebarica (Ehrenberg) (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Disolenia quadrata, Disolenia sp., Disolenia sp. A, Disolenia sp. B, Disolenia spp., Disolenia zanguebarica, Solenosphaera pandora, Solenosphaera polymorpha, Solenosphaera quadrata, Solenosphaera spp., Solenosphaera zanguebarica pyriformis, Trisolenia magalactis megalactis, Trisolenia zanguebarica. Rem.: Variable morphotype, probably includes more than one species. Bjørklund (1981) recorded colonial shells ascribable to Disolenia, Trisolenia, Tertasolenis, and Polysolenia in the same living colony. This group needs extensive research to clarify its taxonomy.

Sphaeropyle mespilus Dreyer (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Sphaeropyle mespilus?).

- Spirocyrtis scalaris Haeckel (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Botryostrobus scalaris, Spirocyrtis cornutella, Spirocyrtis scalaris/cornutella, Spirocyrtis spirocyrtis scalaris, Spyrocyrtis cornutella, Spirocyrtis scalaris/cornutella.
- Spongaster pentas Riedel and Sanfilippo (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Riedel and Sanfilippo (1970); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Spongaster sp. aff. S. pentas.
- Spongaster tetras Ehrenberg (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979) (as Spongaster tetras tetras and Spongaster tetras irregularis). Syn.: Spongaster tetras irregularis, Spongaster tetras tetras, Spongodiscus tetras. Rem.: Includes Spongaster tetras tetras and Spongaster tetras irregularis (cf. Nigrini, 1967).
- Spongobrachium sp. 1 (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979) (as Spongobrachium sp.). Syn.: Spongaster berminghami, Spongaster sp. A, Spongobrachium sp., Spongobrachium sp. aff. Spongobrachium ellipticum.
- Spongodictyon spongiosum (Muller) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Spongodiscus resurgens Ehrenberg (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: *Elliptical spongodiscid, Spongaster* cf. pentas, Spongodiscus anomalus, Spongodiscus biconcavus, Spongodiscus sp., Spongotrochus brevispinus, Spongotrochus glacialis. Rem.: In a few of the databases surveyed this species was counted together with Spongotrochus glacialis.
- Spongodrymus elaphococcus Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980) (as Spongodrymus sp. aff. Spongdrymus elaphococcus). Syn.: Spongodrymus sp. aff. Spongdrymus elaphococcus.
- Spongolena sp. 1 (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Renz (1976); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Spongoliva ellipsoides Popofsky (Coccodiscidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Takahashi (1991); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Cypassis irregularis, Didymocyrtis ellipsoidis, Pylonium sp., Spongoliva cf. ellipsoides.
- Spongoplegma antarcticum Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980).
- Spongoplegma rugosa Hollande and Enjumet (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Jankilevich (1985) (as Spongoplegma rugosa and Spongoplegma sp. aff. S. rugosa).
- Spongopyle osculosa Dreyer (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979). Syn.: Spongodiscus osculosus, Spongopyle setosa.
- Spongosphaera streptacantha Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Hexadoidium streptacanthum, Spongosphaera polyacantha, Staurolonche sp..
- Spongosphaera sp. aff. S. helioides Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Spongosphaera sp..
- Spongotrochus glacialis Popofsky (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Spongodiscus glacialis, Spongotrochus multispinus, Spongotrochus sp. A, Spongotrochus sp. B, Stylochlamidium venustum.
  Rem.: In a few of the databases surveyed this species was counted together with Spongodiscus resurgens. Heterogenous group, probably includes more than one species.
- Spongurus cylindricus Haeckel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Spongocore diplocylindrica, Spongocore puella, Spongocore cylindrica.
- Spongurus pylomaticus Riedel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Spongurus ellipticus, Larcopyle pylomaticus, Spongurus cf. elliptica, Spongurus sp..
- Spongurus sp. 1 (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy (1999) (as Spongurus spp. group?). Syn.: Larcopyle weddellium, Prunopyle titan, Spongurus? sp., Spongurus cf. elliptica, Spongurus elliptica, Spongurus ellipticus, Spongurus pylomaticus, Spongurus sp., Spongurus sp. aff. S. elliptica, Spongurus spindalis.
- Stichopilium bicorne Haeckel (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Benson (1966); Takahashi (1991).
- Stigmosphaera cruciata Hollande and Enjumet (Actinommidae). Ref.: Hollande and Enjumet (1960); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Stylatractus sp. 1 (Actinommidae). . Syn.: Amphisphaera group, Axoprunum bispiculum, Axoprunum spp., Axoprunum stauraxonium, Axoprunum stauraxonium/Stylosphaera lithatractus, Drupatractus sp., Druppatractis acquilonius, Druppatractus ostracion, Druppatractus ostracion group, Druppatractus pyriformis, Druppatractus variabilis, Ellipsoxiphus atractus, Spumellarian form B, Stylacontarium bispiculum, Stylatractus neptunus, Stylatractus pluto, Stylatractus pyriformis, Stylatractus sp., Stylatractus sp. cf. S. universus, Stylosphaera hispida, Stylosphaera lithatractus, Stylosphaera stauraxonium, Xiphatractus brevispina, Xiphatractus pluto, Xiphatractus sp., Rem.: Very heterogeneous grouping. Includes several species.
- Stylochlamydium asteriscus Haeckel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Boltovskoy and Vrba (1988); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Porodiscus (?) sp. B, Stylodictya stellata.
- Stylochlamydium venustum (Bailey) (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Welling (1997). Syn.: Ommatodiscus murrayi, Sylochlamydium asteriscus.
- Stylodictya aculeata Jørgensen (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1967); Boltovskoy and Vrba (1988). Syn.: Porodiscus micromma, Stylodictia validispina. Rem.: Records of this taxon are restricted to the few publications where it was counted separately from Stylodictya multispina.
- Stylodictya aculeata-multispina (Spongodiscidae). . Syn.: Ommatodiscus sp. A, Ommatodiscus sp. B, Ommatodiscus spp., Perichlamydium arachnium, Porodiscus micromma, Spongotrochus multispina, Stylodictya multispina, Stylodictya sp., Stylodictya validispina. Rem.: Includes records where the two taxa were not identified separately.

- Stylodictya multispina Haeckel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Vrba (1988); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Ommatodiscus sp., Porodiscus sp., Porodiscus sp. aff P. micromma, Stlodictya validispina, Stylochlamydium asteriscus, Stylodictya asteriscus/ multispina, Stylodictya sp., Stylodictya sp. A, Stylodictya sp. B, Stylodictya tenuispina, Stylodictya validispina. Rem.: Records of this taxon are restricted to the few publications where it was counted separately from Stylodictya aculeata.
- Stylosphaera melpomene Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Benson (1966); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: ?Stylosphaera melpomene, Hexacontium melpomene, Hexacontium melpomene, Stylacontarium bispiculum, Stylosphaera? sp. A.
- Styptosphaera spongiacea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Spongodrymus elaphococcus, Styptosphaera (?) spongiacea. Rem.: Synonymy of this species with Spongodrymus elaphococcus is conditional.
- Styptosphaera spumacea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Nigrini (1970) (as Styptosphaera? spumacea); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).
- Styptosphaera sp. 1 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Styptosphaera sp. B).
- Styptosphaera sp. 2 (Actinommidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Styptosphaera sp. C).
- Tessarastrum straussii Haeckel (Spongodiscidae). Ref.: Renz (1976); Takahashi (1991) (as Amphirhopalum straussi). Syn.: Amphirhopalum straussii.
- Tetracorethra tetracorethra (Haeckel) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Renz (1976); Takahashi (1991).
- Tetraplecta corynephorum? Jørgensen (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Tetraplecta pinigera Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Plagiacantha arachnoides.
- Tetraplecta plectaniscus Haeckel (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Takahashi (1991).
- Thecosphaera inermis (Haeckel) (Actinommidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Actinomma sp. A, Stylosphaera sp. C, Thecosphaera phaenaxonia.
- Theocalyptra bicornis (Popofsky) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Riedel (1958); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Clathrocyclas bicornis, Cycladophora bicornis, Eucecryphalus alcmenae, Eucecryphalus bicornis, Pterocorys bicornis.
- Theocorys veneris (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Clathrocanium insectum, Corocalyptra columba.
- Theocorythium trachelium (Ehrenberg) (Pterocoryidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Calocyclas amicae, Theocorythium trachelium dianae, Theocorythium trachelium trachelium.
- Theopilium tricostatum (Haeckel) (Theoperidae). Ref.: Haeckel (1887); Benson (1966); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Cecryphalium sestrodiscus, Eucecryphalus sestrodiscus, Eucecryphalus tricostatum, Eucecryphalus tricostatus, Theocalyptra sp..
- Tholospyris anthophora (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Goll (1969); Goll (1972); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Tholospyris distoma, Tholospyris ris ramosa, Tholospyris sp. 2.
- Tholospyris baconiana (Haeckel) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Tholospyris baconiana baconiana and Tholospyris baconi ana variabilis). Syn.: Tholospyris baconiana baconiana, Tholospyris baconiana variabilis.
- Tholospyris macropora (Popofsky) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991).
- Tholospyris procera Goll (Spyridae). Ref.: Nigrini and Moore (1979); Goll and Bjørklund (1974). Syn.: Amphispyris sp. D, Amphispyris subquadrata.
- Tholospyris tripodiscus Haeckel (Spyridae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Renz (1976); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Tribonosphaera centripetalis Haeckel (Collosphaeridae). Ref.: Strelkov and Reshetnjak (1971) (as Collosphaera armata and Tribonosphaera centripetalis); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1980); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Collosphaera armata.
- Triceraspyris antarctica (Haecker) (Spyridae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Desmospyris spongiosa, Phormospyris stabilis antarctica.
- Tricolocampe cylindrica Haeckel (Artostrobiidae). Ref.: Benson (1966) (as Siphocampium cf. cylindrica); Petrushevskaya (1971); Boltovskoy (1999). Syn.: Lithomitra lineata, Siphocampe lineata, Siphocampe lineata group.
- Trisulcus triacanthus Popofsky (Plagoniidae). Ref.: Petrushevskaya (1971); Renz (1976); Boltovskoy (1999).
- Udan undulata Renz (Theoperidae). Ref.: Renz (1976).
- Xiphosphaera gaea Haeckel (Actinommidae). Ref.: Goll (1969); Takahashi (1991).
- Xiphosphaera tessaractis Dreyer (Actinommidae). Ref.: Dreyer (1913); Takahashi (1991). Syn.: Xiphosphaera gaea/tesseractis, Diplosphaera lychnosphaera.

Zygocircus productus (Hertwig) (Spyridae). Ref.: Takahashi (1991) (as Zygocircus productus group); Boltovskoy and Riedel (1987).

## **APPENDIX 3.**

## Index of species included in maps, graphs, and tables.

	Geographic distribution of the species (Figs. 8-229)	Vertical abundance profiles (Figs. 230-234)	Dominant species at different depths (Figs. 225, 236)	Occurrences of selected species in water column and in sediment samples (Figs. 259-260)	Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments (Figs. 261-275)	Interoceanic differences (Table 4)	Interoceanic differences (Table 5)	Endemisms (Table 6)
Acanthodesmia vinculata	8	231	225, 236	259				Х
Acanthodesmia zonaria								Х
Acanthosphaera actinota	9							Х
Acanthosphaera castanea	102							Х
Acanthosphaera dodecastyla	103							Х
Acanthosphaera pinchuda	104							Х
Acrobotrys sp. group								Х
Acrosphaera cyrtodon	105							Х
Acrosphaera murrayana	10			259				Х
Acrosphaera spinosa	11	230	225, 236	259				Х
Actinomma antarcticum	12							Х
Actinomma arcadophorum	13			259				Х
Actinomma capillaceum								Х
Actinomma delicatulum				259	261	Х	Х	Х
Actinomma leptodermum		230	225, 236	259				Х
Actinomma medianum	14			259				Х
Actinomma sol	106							Х
Actinomma sp. 1	107							Х
Actinommidae, family	243							
Actinosphaera acanthophora	108							Х
Actinosphaera capillacea	109			260				Х
Actinosphaera tenella								Х
Amphimelissa setosa	15			259				Х
Amphirhopalum ypsilon	16			259				Х
Androcyclas gamphonycha	110							Х
Androspyris huxleyi	111							Х
Androspyris ramosa	112							Х
Androspyris reticulodisca								Х
Anomalacantha dentata				260				Х
Antarctissa denticulata-strelkovi	17			259				Х
Anthocyrtidium ophirense	18	231	225, 236	259				Х
Anthocyrtidium zanguebaricum	19	231	225, 236	259	269			Х
Arachnocorallium sp. group				259				Х
Arachnocorys circumtexta	113							Х
Arachnocorys sp. group				260				Х
Arachnosphaera myriacantha	114			260				Х
Arachnosphaera sp. 1								Х
Archipilium sp. 1	115							Х
Artobotrys borealis	20			259				Х

Artostrobildae, family	255						
Artostrobus annulatus	116			259			Х
Artostrobus joergenseni	117						Х
Astrosphaera hexagonalis	118			260			Х
Bathropyramis woodringii	119						Х
Botryocephalina armata	120						Х
Botryocyrtis scutum	21			259			Х
Botryopyle dictyocephalus	121						Х
Botryostrobus aquilonaris	22			259	262		Х
Botryostrobus auritus-australis	23	233	225, 236	259			Х
Buccinosphaera invaginata	122						Х
Callimitra carolotae	123			259			Х
Callimitra solocicribrata	124						Х
Calocyclas monumentum	125						Х
Cannobotryidae, family	256						
Carpocanarium papillosum	24	232	225, 236	259			Х
Carpocaniidae, family	253						
Carpocanium sp.group	25	232	225, 236	259			Х
Carposphaera acanthophora	126						Х
Carposphaera capillacea							Х
Cenosphaera cristata							Х
Cenosphaera elysia							Х
Cenosphaera hirsuta							Х
Cenosphaera spp.							Х
Centrobotrys thermophila	26						Х
Centrocubus cladostylus	127						Х
Cephalospyris cancellata	128						Х
Cephalospyris platybursa				260			Х
Ceratocyrtis sinuosa							Х
Ceratospyris borealis	27						Х
Cladococcus abietinus	129						Х
Cladococcus bifurcus							Х
Cladococcus cervicornis	130			260			Х
Cladococcus megaceros							Х
Cladococcus scoparius	131			260			Х
Cladococcus sp. 1							Х
Cladococcus viminalis	132			260			Х
Cladoscenium ancoratum	133			260			Х
Cladoscenium limbatum	134						Х
Clathrocanium coarctatum	28			259			Х
Clathrocorys teuscheri	135						Х
Clathrocyclas sp. 1							Х

	aphic distribution of the s (Figs. 8-229)	il abundance profiles 230-234)	ant species at different t (Figs. 225, 236)	ences of selected specie. column and in sediment ss (Figs. 259-260)	trison of mean species tages in the water-colum e sediments (Figs. 261-27	eanic differences (Table ،	:eanic differences (Table	iisms (Table 6)
	Geogra specie	Vertica (Figs. 1	Domin depths	Occurr water o sample	Compa percen and th	Interoc	Interoc	Endem
Clathromitra pentacantha								Х
Clathromitra pterophormis								Х
Clathrosphaera arachnoides								Х
Coccodiscidae, family	244							
Collosphaera huxleyi	29							Х
Collosphaera macropora	136							Х
Collosphaera tuberosa	30	230	225, 236	259				Х
Collosphaeridae, family	242							
Conarachnium facetum	137			260				Х
Conarachnium polyacanthum	138			260				Х
Conarachnium sp. 1								Х
Conicavus tipiopsis								Х
Cornutella profunda	31	233	225, 236	259				Х
Corocalyptra cervus		233	225, 236	259				Х
Corocalyptra krugeri	139							Х
Cromyechinus antarctica	32	234	225, 236	259				Х
Cromyechinus sp. 1								Х
Cromyomma circumtextum	140							Х
Cromyomma sp. 1								Х
Cromyomma villosum	141							Х
Cubotholus sp.	142							Х
* Cycladophora davisiana cornutoides	143			259		Х	Х	Х
* Cycladophora davisiana davisiana	143			259				Х
Cyclampterium neatum								Х
Cyrtidosphaera reticulata	144							Х
Cyrtolagena laguncula	33			259				Х
Dictyocodon elegans	145							Х
Dictyocodon palladius	146			260				Х
Dictyocoryne profunda	34	231	225, 236	259				Х
Dictyocoryne truncatum	35			259				Х
Dictyophimus hirundo	36	232	225, 236	259				Х
Dictyophimus histricosus	147							Х
Dictyophimus infabricatus	148		225, 236	259		Х	Х	Х
Dictyophimus mawsoni								Х
Dictyophimus sp. 1								Х
Dictyospyris sp. 1	149							Х
Didymocyrtis tetrathalamus	37	230	225, 236	259				Х
Dipylissa bensoni	150							Х
Druppatractus irregularis				259		Х		Х
Drymosphaera dendrophora	151							Х
		ı	•			L		

PALAEO-ELECTRONICA.ORG

Geographic distribution of the species (Figs. 8-229) Vertical abundance profiles (Figs. 230-234) Dominant species at different depths (Figs. 225, 236)	Occurrences of selected species in water column and in sediment samples (Figs. 259-260) Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments (Figs. 261-275)	Interoceanic differences (Table 4)	Interoceanic differences (Table 5)	Endemisms (Table 6)
---	---	------------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------

Drymyomma elegans	152						Х
Elatomma penicillus	153						Х
Ellipsoxiphium palliatum	154						Х
Enneaphormis rotula	38			259			Х
Eucecryphalus clinatus	155						Х
Euchitonia elegans-furcata	39	234	225, 236	259	264		Х
Eucyrtidium acuminatum	40	232	225, 236	259			Х
Eucyrtidium anomalum	156			259			Х
Eucyrtidium erythromystax							Х
Eucyrtidium hexagonatum	41	230	225, 236	259			Х
Eucyrtidium hexastichum	42			259			Х
Euscenium corynephorum	157						Х
Gonosphaera primordialis				260			Х
Haeckeliella macrodoras	158						Х
Haliomma castanea	159						Х
Haliomma macrodoras	160						Х
Haliomma sp. 1							Х
Haliomma sp. 2							Х
Heliodiscus asteriscus	43	230	225, 236	259	265		Х
Heliodiscus echiniscus							Х
Heliodiscus sp. 1							Х
Heliosoma sp. 1							Х
Helotholus histricosa	44	234	225, 236	259			Х
Heterosphaera sp. 1							Х
Heterosphaera sp. 2							Х
Hexacontium arachnoidale	161						Х
Hexacontium armatum-hostile				259			Х
group							
Hexacontium heracliti							Х
Hexacontium heteracantha							Х
Hexacontium hystricina							Х
Hexacontium laevigatum	162						Х
Hexacromyum elegans	163			260			Х
Hexalonche amphisiphon	164						Х
Hexastylus dimensivius	165						Х
Hexastylus triaxonius							Х
Lamprocyclas maritalis	45			259			Х
Lamprocyrtis junonis	46						Х
Lamprocyrtis nigriniae	47			259		Х	Х
Lampromitra cracenta	166						Х
Lampromitra quadricuspis	167	231	225, 236	259			Х
Lampromitra schultzei	168						Х

omparison of mean species Comparison of mean species Dercentages in the water-column and the sediments (Figs. 261-275 interoceanic differences (Table 5) Interoceanic differences (Table 5)
--

Larcospira quadrangula 49 232 225, 236 259 X	N/
	Х
Larnacalpis sp. 1 169	Х
Leptosphaera minuta	Х
Lipmanella bombus 170	Х
Lipmanella dictyoceras 171 225, 236 259	Х
Lipmanella virchowii 50 50	Х
Liriospyris reticulata 51 234 225, 236 259	Х
Liriospyris sp. 1	Х
Liriospyris thorax laticapsa	Х
Liriospyris thorax thorax 172 260	Х
Litharachnium tentorium 52 233 225, 236 259 270	Х
Litheliidae, family 247	
Lithelius minor group 225, 236 259	Х
Lithelius nautiloides 53 259	Х
Lithocampe platycephala 173 260	Х
Lithocampe sp. 1 174	Х
Lithomelissa hystrix	Х
Lithomelissa setosa 54 259	Х
Lithopera bacca 55 5	Х
Lithopilium reticulatum 175	Х
Lithostrobus cornutus	Х
Lithostrobus hexagonalis 176 231 225, 236 259 271	Х
Lophocorys polyacantha 177	Х
Lophophaena capito 178 259	Х
Lophophaena decacantha group 259	Х
Lophophaena hispida-cylindrica 56 231 225, 236 259	Х
Lophophaena rioplatensis	Х
Lophophaena variabilis group 259	Х
* Lophospyris pentagona 179 260 260	Х
* Lophospyris pentagona 179 225, 236 259 272 pentagona	Х
* Lophospyris pentagona 179 quadriforis	Х
Lychnosphaera regina	Х
Mitrocalpis araneafera 180	Х
Myelinastrinae 260	Х
Nassellaria, order 257 257	
Neosemantis distephanus 57 259	Х
Nephrospyris paradictyum 181 260	Х
Nephrospyris renilla 182	Х
Octodendron cubocentron 183	Х

Geographic distribution of the species (Figs. 8-229) (ertical abundance profiles Figs. 230-234) Figs. 230-234) Dominant species at different depths (Figs. 225, 236) Cominant species of selected spectives are column and in sediment samples (Figs. 259-260) Comparison of mean species of the water-colution and the sediments (Figs. 261-;	nteroceanic differences (Ta nteroceanic differences (Ta Endemisms (Table 6)
---	---

Octopyle stenozona/Tetrapyle	58	233	225, 236	259	266			Х
octacantha								
Ommatodiscus murrayi	184							Х
Otosphaera polymorpha	59							Х
Peripyramis circumtexta	60			259		Х		Х
Peromelissa phalacra	61	231	225, 236	259				Х
Phacodiscidae, family	245							
Phormacantha hystrix	62			259				Х
Phormospyris sp. 1								Х
Phormospyris stabilis capoi	185							Х
Phormospyris stabilis scaphipes	63			259		Х		Х
Phormospyris stabilis stabilis	64		225, 236	259				Х
Phormostichoartus corbula	65			259				Х
Phorticium pylonium	66	231	225, 236	259				Х
Phrenocodon clathrostomium								Х
Plagoniidae, family	251							
Plectacantha cremastoplegma	186			260				Х
Plectacantha oikiskos								Х
Plectacantha trichoides	187							Х
Plectanium sp. 1								Х
Plectopyramis dodecomma	188							Х
Plegmosphaera coelopila	189			260				Х
Plegmosphaera entodictyon								Х
Plegmosphaera lepticali	190			260				Х
Plegmosphaera oblonga								Х
Plegmosphaera pachyplegma	191							Х
Porodiscus microporus	192							Х
Pseudocubus obeliscus	67			259				Х
Pseudocubus octostylus								Х
Pseudodictyophimus bicornis	193							Х
Pseudodictyophimus gracilipes	68	233	225, 236	259	273			Х
Pterocanium auritum	194							Х
Pterocanium korotnevi	69			259		Х	Х	Х
Pterocanium praetextum	70	232	225, 236	259				Х
Pterocanium trilobum	71	232	225, 236	259				Х
Pterocorys hertwigii	72							Х
Pterocorys minythorax	73							Х
Pterocorys zancleus	74	232	225, 236	259				Х
Pterocorythidae, family	254							
Pterocyrtidium dogieli	195							Х
Pteropilium stratiotes								Х
Pteroscenium pinnatum	196							Х

Geographic distribution of the species (Figs. 8-229)	Vertical abundance profiles (Figs. 230-234)	Dominant species at different depths (Figs. 225, 236)	Occurrences of selected species in water column and in sediment samples (Figs. 259-260)	Comparison of mean species percentages in the water-column and the sediments (Figs. 261-275)	Interoceanic differences (Table 4)	Interoceanic differences (Table 5)	Endemisms (Table 6)
75	231	225, 236	259				Х
248							
76							Х
77			259				Х
	1						

Pylolena armata	75	231	225, 236	259		Х
<i>Pyloniidae,</i> family	248					
Pylospira octopyle	76					Х
Rhizoplegma boreale	77			259		Х
Saccospyris antarctica	197					Х
Saccospyris conithorax	198					Х
Saturnalis circularis	199					Х
Sethoconus anthocyrtis	200					Х
Sethoconus myxobrachia	201					Х
Sethoconus tabulatus	202					Х
Sethodiscus macrococcus	203					Х
Sethophormis aurelia	78			259	274	Х
Siphocampe arachnea	79			259		Х
Siphocampe lineata	80					Х
Siphonosphaera martensi	204					Х
Siphonosphaera polysiphonia	81			259		Х
Siphonosphaera socialis	205					Х
Solenosphaera collina	206					Х
Solenosphaera polysolenia	207					Х
Solenosphaera tenuissima						Х
Solenosphaera zanguebarica	82			259		Х
Sphaeropyle mespilus	208					Х
Spirocyrtis scalaris	83			259		Х
Spongaster pentas						Х
Spongaster tetras	84	230	225, 236	259		Х
Spongobrachium sp. 1	209					Х
Spongodictyon spongiosum	210					Х
Spongodiscidae, family	246					
Spongodiscus resurgens	85			259		Х
Spongodrymus elaphococcus						Х
Spongolena sp. 1	211					Х
Spongoliva ellipsoides	212					Х
Spongoplegma antarcticum						Х
Spongoplegma rugosa	213					Х
Spongopyle osculosa	86		225, 236	259		Х
Spongosphaera sp. aff. S. helioides						Х
Spongosphaera streptacantha	87					Х
Spongotrochus glacialis	88	233	225, 236	259		Х
Spongurus cylindricus	89	232	225, 236	259		Х
Spongurus pylomaticus	90			259		Х
Spongurus sp. 1	91			259		Х

Spumellaria, order	257						
Spyridae, family	250						
Stichopilium bicorne	92	231	225, 236	259	275		Х
Stigmosphaera cruciata							Х
Stylatractus sp. 1				259	267		Х
Stylochlamydium asteriscus	93				268		Х
Stylochlamydium venustum	94	234	225, 236	259		Х	Х
Stylodictya aculeata	95			259			Х
Stylodictya aculeata-multispina							Х
Stylodictya multispina	96			259			Х
Stylosphaera melpomene	214						Х
Styptosphaera sp. 1							Х
Styptosphaera sp. 2							Х
Styptosphaera spongiacea				260			Х
Styptosphaera spumacea	215						Х
Tessarastrum straussii	216						Х
Tetracorethra tetracorethra	217						Х
Tetraplecta corynephorum?							Х
Tetraplecta pinigera	97			259, 260			Х
Tetraplecta plectaniscus							Х
Thecosphaera inermis	218						Х
Theocalyptra bicornis	98			259			Х
Theocorys veneris	219						Х
Theocorythium trachelium	99	232	225, 236	259			Х
Theoperidae, family	252						
Theopilium tricostatum	100	232	225, 236	259			Х
Tholoniidae, family	249						
Tholospyris anthophora	220						Х
Tholospyris baconiana	221			260			Х
Tholospyris macropora							Х
Tholospyris procera	222						Х
Tholospyris tripodiscus	223						Х
Tribonosphaera centripetalis	224						Х
Triceraspyris antarctica	225						Х
Tricolocampe cylindrica	226						Х
Trisulcus triacanthus	227						Х
Udan undulata	228						Х
Xiphosphaera gaea							Х
Xiphosphaera tessaractis	229						Х
Zygocircus productus	101	232	225, 236	259			Х

\* Subspecies pooled under the specific designation in most graphs