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Resumen: Este artículo tiene como objetivos los encargos de un cardenal siciliano con algunos grabadores. Los artistas que intervienen en estos encargos son algunos de los más importantes y activos entre las cortes de Madrid y Roma: Johan Van Noort, Pedro Villafranca y Albert Clouwet. Esta serie de retratos, hechos durante los años cuarenta y los setenta del siglo XVII por artistas del rey de España y del papa, resultan provechosos incluso para definir el perfil político del poderoso cardenal Moncada.
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Abstract: This paper aim at touching the commitment by a Sicilian cardinal of few engravings. The artists involved in the commitment are some of the most important living and operating between Madrid and Rome’s court: Johan Van Noort, Pedro Villafranca and Albert Clouwet. This kind of portraits, made from the ‘40s to the ‘70s of 17th century by Spanish king’s and pope’s artists, result helpful even to define the political profile of the powerful cardinal Moncada.
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I am going to outline two subject matters, tied to one another but independent, that drew my attention in the recent years and that are still the object of my current researches.

In the first place, I would like to tell something about a Minister of the “Monarquía Católica”, who lived at the time of Philip IV and Charles II and whose figure has often been left aside by contemporary historiography: I am referring to the Sicilian Luigi Guglielmo Moncada-Aragón y La Cerda, 7th duke of Montalto and, since 1667, Cardinal Moncada co-protector of Spain. I would like to be able to give an idea of the importance of this figure and, mainly, of the decisive role played in occasion of the Nithard “affaire”.

Secondly, I aim at touching on the exclusive relationship that tied together both the Sicilian and the Aragonese branches of the Moncada family to
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the one of the Dukes of Alcalá –the Andalusian Enríquez de Ribera–, and to the one of the Marquises of Castel Rodrigo –the Moura family, who were Portuguese and faithful to the catholic crown. Apart from the obvious attention due to the matrimonial and patrimonial logic, it seems to me particularly interesting the identification of the strongholds of a shared cultural-political practice that tied the three families together and, in particular, the expression of the common «modus operandi» adopted by those, among them, who held relevant institutional offices during the Baroque age.

The freshness and the originality of this approach is the aim of focusing on the identities of an almost unknown minister (as in Luigi Moncada case, who was a forgotten protagonist during the second half of the 17th century as I had tried to demonstrate in my recent works) and his relationship with aristocrats (as his father in law duque of Alcalá and, especially as his uncle by marriage marquis of Castel Rodrigo) whose artistic taste and commissioning is widely known.

Let’s proceed in the right order: Luigi Guglielmo Moncada’s biographic portrait I will present here will be necessarily a very concise one. He was born in Palermo in 1614 by a Sicilian father, Antonio Moncada, and a Spanish mother; she was Juana de La Cerda, daughter of the Duke of Medinaceli, and niece of the Duke of Alburquerque. Therefore, she came from the highest Castilian aristocracy.

Luigi Guglielmo spent his childhood with his brothers in Sicily, where the Moncada were among the main Feudatories of the Kingdom. In 1626, when his older brother Francesco died and his parents interrupted their marriage by the agreement of Pope Urban VIII and, as both had chosen the ecclesiastical life, decided to spend it in Naples, he became universal heir of all the feud Moncada family owned in Sicily.


9 Toledo, Archivo Histórico Nacional, Sección Nobleza, Moncada, cp. 92, n. 387: “Paper of annulment signed by Urban VIII in Rome”, on February the 10th, 1626.

In 1629 he married in Naples the daughter of the viceroy Fernando Afán Enríquez de Ribera, Duke of Alcalá, and, from 1629 to 1635, he lived between Naples and Rome: respectively at the court of his father-in-law, the viceroy, and at the one of the Spanish ambassador to the Holy See, Manuel de Moura y Corte Real, Marquis of Castel Rodrigo, an uncle by marriage.

The influence these two figures had on him goes well beyond the familiar bounds and it proves to be fundamental for the political upbringing of the young Moncada and, most of all, for the development of an autonomous cultural and artistic sensibility.

The Viceroy Alcalá and the Ambassador Castel Rodrigo were both considered fine collectors and patrons of the arts by their contemporaries: the Duke of Alcalá owned a collection of books that was envied even by the Count-Duke of Olivares, who was a remarkable collector himself; moreover, Alcalá was also a good friend of Francisco Pacheco (Diego Velázquez’s father-in-law and master) and of Jusepe Ribera, “the Little Spaniard”, who was in Naples during the years of his government just like Velázquez.

Regarding the Marquis of Castel Rodrigo, for instance, we could mention the commissions entrusted to Francesco Borromini, who was still not very well-known, to the Flemish sculptor François Duquesnoy, to Nicolas Poussin and to Claude Lorrain, that is some of the most famous artists of the Roman Baroque.

---

11 About the fellowship among Moncada, his father-in-law and the ambassador Castel Rodrigo, its stability is quite evidently during the affaire Camutio in which Moncada had been involved in Velletri in 1635: see it in Dandelet Thomas. J. La Roma española (1500-1700). Barcelona: Critica, 2002, p. 237 et seq. See also, for a pretty different version, Piló, Rafaella, 2008, (note 2) pp. 39-46.


13 For a distinguished biography of the duke of Alcalá, see González Moreno, Joaquín, 1969 (note 4). About the relationship between Ribera and the Viceroys of Naples see, now, Finaldi, Gabriele. “Ribera, the Viceroys of Naples and the King. Some Observations on their Relations”. In Colomer, José Luis, 2003, (note 5), pp. 379-388.

But let's return to Moncada: he developed a distinct skill in combining the political-institutional aspects with the artistic choices and, in the period during which he held some administrative offices as viceroy—in Sicily, in Sardinia and in Valencia—he put in practice the precious combination.15

It is during the second half of the 30s in Sicily that he had the chance of expressing his own artistic taste: in the island, he commissioned several works of art and he was the promoter of the construction of several monuments. Among them, there are some examples of baroque architecture in Palermo (the coats of arms of the Moncada Family at the “Casa Professa”, a Montalto Gate now reduced to a ruin) and in Caltanissetta—a main feud of the Moncadas and the seat, in the previous century, of a sumptuous peripheral court16 where he commissioned the construction of a magnificent palace he was never to visit.17

As he arrived in Madrid in 1659, Moncada obtained the title of “gentilhombre de Cámara” of the king Philip IV with the support of the new “valido” Luis de Haro and, soon after, he was given the highly sought-after charge of “mayordomo mayor” of Marianna of Austria, second wife of Philip IV and mother of the heir to the throne.18

Although the relationship with the queen was doomed to wear off in a few years’ time, in 1666 he was named member of the “Consejo de Estado”.19

Apparently, this was a highly prestigious charge but, in reality, it was only an honorary title since the power was now held by a new body: the “Junta de Gobierno”.20 But, even excluded from the «Junta de Gobierno», Moncada consoled himself, in 1667, receiving a Cardinal’s hat from Pope Alexander VII Chigi. According to his enemies—and there were many in Madrid—such an appointment had been determined by the intention of moving the new Cardinal away from the Spanish court to Rome as soon as possible.21 In reality, he left Spain only in 1674 when his deadly remains were transferred to the Church of San Domenico Maggiore in Naples, where they still are today.22

At this point, I would like to take a small step back since it was during the years of his maturity that the Cardinal played a relevant role in the court of Madrid.

In fact, he was one of the fiercest opponents of the “privado” of the queen, the Jesuit Nithard, and one of the conspirators that caused his expulsion...
sion from the court of Madrid in 1669. Among the conspirators, whose names are reported by Nithard himself in his memoirs, that are held at the «Biblioteca Nacional de España» in Madrid, one can find distinguished personalities such as the Cardinal Pascual Aragón, the Count of Peñaranda, the Duke of Medina de las Torres, and the papal nuncio, Cardinal Federico Borromeo; but it was to Cardinal Moncada that he reserved some of the harshest words:

One of worst persecutors was the duke of Montalto, now cardinal Moncada, who –as from good wine you could obtain good vinegar– used to be my best friend (as he played and said) and suddenly became my worst and most cruel enemy.

As an introduction to the second half of the paper, regarding the importance of the political-artistic bond of the Moncada-Enríquez de Ribera-Moura clan, it could be useful recalling that in 1658 Luigi Guglielmo was left a widower for the second time: in fact, in 1643 he had married in Madrid Caterina Moncada de Castro, daughter of the third Marquis of Aytona, renowned writer and soldier.

At the time of the wedding, his daughter Caterina was a lady-in-waiting of the queen Elisabeth of Bourbon, first wife of Philip IV, partly influenced by the environment of the court, where she had been brought up together with her brothers, and partly by her father's artistic tastes and choices, she contributed, no less than the above-mentioned Duke of Alcalá and Marquis of Castel Rodrigo, in determining the choices of her husband's cultural policy. It is no accident but, rather, the fruit of a very wise policy that, one year after the wedding, Caterina and Luigi succeeded commissioning from an influential and well known writer as Joseph Pellicer de Tovar the work *Justificación del tratamento de igual con los vireyes de Nápoles y Sicilia que pretende el principe duque de Montalto y Bivona*, inéd., Madrid, 1644. Burin. Signed by Juan de Noort.

---


24 “Entre los demás mis persecutores fue uno de los más señalados el duque de Montalto, ahora cardenal de Moncada, que como del buen vino se hace buen vinagre, así él, de más fino amigo, (según se mostraba y profesaba), se volvió a ser my mayor, y más cruel enemigo”, from Nithard’s Memorias already quoted and now partially edited in PILO, Rauffella. Juan Everardo Nithard y sus “Causas no causas”. Razones y pretextos para el fin de un valimiento. Madrid: Sílex, 2010.


Nápoles y Sicilia que pretende el principe duque de Montalto y Bivona.

The frontispiece of the work is a nice engraving by the Flemish master Johan Van Noort, who was a valued artist of Philip IV’s court: the title of the work appears in capital letters at the centre of a loggia that is framing the whole page in a space prearranged «ad hoc». The loggia is over-towered by several war symbols –cannons, ammunition, spears, helmets, shields– emblems of the military value of the ancestors of the Duke of Montalto and, in particular, of the Marquis of Aytona who had recently passed away. The six sovereigns portrayed, explicitly identified as the ones of Aragon, Bavaria, Navarre, Naples, France and Sicily, all dressed with military clothes, are placed under an architectonic structure of classic inspiration and they all look alike both in their features and their bearing. The figures portrayed catch, quite evidently, the physiognomy of the young Luigi Guglielmo Moncada and, as a matter of fact, they are not too different from the medals portraying him at the times of the rule of Sicily.

During the entire Seventeenth century used to work in Madrid some foreigners engravers, such as Schorquens, de Noort and Madame de Beer, who refined the technique of “buril” and whose job kept in very stretch relationship with the field of publishing and with the parallel diffusion of imagines as a system of communication. In this work de Noort succeed, with a brilliant ability, to synthesize in a page space a myriad of symbols that refer, in part, to the pages of the book and, by the other part, to the very same ratio of the commitment. Another example of a frontispiece where it appears the image of Moncada, whose author is unfortunately unknown, dates to the years of the Viceroyalty in Valencia: it is the frontispiece of a translation from Latin to Castilian of the work Emblema regio-politicos written by the jurist Juan Solórzano Pereira that had been commissioned by Moncada to a young jurist from Valencia, Lorenzo Mateu i Sanz, who would later become vice-Chancellor of the “Consejo de Aragón”.

Fig. 3. Luigi Guglielmo Moncada is been given a gift by the Valencian jurist Lorenzo Mateu i Sanz, in J. de Solórzano Pereira, Los emblemas regio-políticos, Bernardo Nogués, Valenza, 1658. Burin. Anonymous artist.
The image portrays the translator while giving a basket of food to the one who had commissioned the work: we may notice that the Sicilian aristocrat was not very tall considering that, even in an undoubtedly commemorative context as this one, he is, curiously enough, immortalized as smaller than the one who is paying homage to him, with the motto “acceptum reddendo” (giving back for something received).

Moreover: a work of the utmost importance for the reconstruction of the genealogical and political events regarding the Sicilian and Aragones branches of the Moncada family dates back to the same years: it is I Ritratti della Prosapia et heroi Moncadi printed in Valencia in 1657. The author is Giovanni Agostino Lengueglia, a Somascan father charged with the education of Luigi’s and Caterina’s son: Fernando Aragon-Moncada y Moncada, 8th Duke of Montalto, a figure that would have played a crucial role at the moment of the dynastic passage from the rule of Charles II of Habsburg to Philip V of Bourbon. The work, whose aim was clearly encomiastic, is embellished by the intervention of another of the most famous and appreciated artists of Philip IV’s court: Pedro Villafranca y Malagón. The engraver portrayed several Moncada’s ancestors, such as the Duchess Caterina and the author of the work himself, but in the two volumes composing the work, there are no engravings portraying Luigi Guglielmo.

However, Villafranca succeeded immortalizing him a few years later in an engraving included in a work by Alonso de San Gerónimo dedicated to him and published in Madrid in 1668.


Città del Vaticano, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana (cited hereafter as BAV), sez. GDS, fono Stampa, Cardinali f 6 (1) f. 104: Cardinaleum s.r.e Imagines, Ex calcografia Rev. Camerarum Apostolicarum, 4 vol., vol. i, f. 104: “Ludovicus S.R.E Diaconus Cardinalis Moncada et de Aragonia Hispanus, die VII Martii MDCLXVII, die 7 Martii 1667; Obijt. Die 5 maij 1672.”
I run into an engraving by Albert Clouwet, a Flemish artist living in Italy, portraying the Cardinal Moncada. Curiously enough, the subject portrayed by Clouwet hardly resembles the one portrayed by Villafranca in the same months.

So: Moncada never left Madrid since 1658 and Clouwet worked mainly in Italy, usually in Rome at the Pope’s court. Therefore: the Flemish artist is highly unlikely to have met Moncada and he had recreated a face of the cardinal, possibly getting the inspiration from the portraits of well-known personalities –who knows, maybe some other cardinal– or he had tried, some way, to fit the face of the cardinal in an “unforgettable” ideal-type.

In conclusion, it would be interesting reflecting on the relationship between the artistic commissioning and the mystification of reality or, in this case, on the missing mystification adopted by Villafranca and by the anonymous author of the engraving published in Valencia and on their hidden reasons with an exhaustive study of the path and the means that made possible the involvement of such excellent artists at the service of the clan whose Moncada family belonged to.

---


39 See now COLOMER, José Luis, 2003, (note 5).