

# HIGHER-ORDER REWRITING SYSTEMS, CATEGORIAL ALGEBRAS, AND CURRY-HOWARD ISOMORPHISMS

Yangzhou University  
Yangzhou, 2025

Enric Cosme Llópez

Departament de Matemàtiques  
**Universitat de València**  
School of Mathematics and Statistics  
**Nantong University**



# REFERENCES



J. Climent Vidal, E. Cosme Llópez. **From higher-order rewriting systems to higher-order categorial algebras and higher-order Curry-Howard isomorphisms.** ArXiv, abs/2402.12051, 2024.

Work supported by:



**GENERALITAT  
VALENCIANA**

Conselleria d'Educació,  
Universitats i Ocupació

CIAICO/2023/007

Mathematics are **written**.  
To calculate is to **rewrite**.

# REWRITING SYSTEM

A **rewriting system** is an ordered tuple  $\mathcal{A} = (\Sigma, X, \mathcal{A})$  where

$\Sigma$  is a signature;

$X$  is a set of variables;

$\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of  $T_{\Sigma}(X)^2$ .

The elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  are called **rewriting rules**.

# PATHS

A **path** in  $\mathcal{A}$  of length  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is

$$\mathfrak{P} = ((P_i)_{i \in m+1}, (\mathfrak{p}_i)_{i \in m}, (T_i)_{i \in m})$$

where, for every  $i \in m$ , if  $\mathfrak{p}_i = (M_i, N_i)$ , then

$$(1) \quad T_i(M_i) = P_i; \qquad (2) \quad T_i(N_i) = P_{i+1}.$$

$$\mathfrak{P}: P_0 \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}_0, T_0)} P_1 \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}_1, T_1)} \dots \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}_{m-2}, T_{m-2})} P_{m-1} \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}_{m-1}, T_{m-1})} P_m$$

# PATHS

## Example

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \mathfrak{P}: \oplus(x, \oplus(x, y)) & \xrightarrow{((y, z), \oplus(x, \oplus(x, \_)))} & \oplus(x, \oplus(x, z)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((\oplus(x, z), z), \oplus(x, \_))} & \oplus(x, z) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((\oplus(x, z), \odot(\Box(z, x), z, \Box(x, x))), \_)} & \odot(\Box(z, x), z, \Box(x, x)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((z, x), \odot(\Box(z, x), \_, \Box(x, x)))} & \odot(\Box(z, x), z, \Box(x, x)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((\Box(z, x), y), \odot(\_, x, \Box(x, x)))} & \odot(y, x, \Box(x, x)) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((\Box(x, x), z), \odot(y, x, \_))} & \odot(y, x, z) \\
 & \xrightarrow{((\odot(y, x, z), \top), \_)} & \top
 \end{array}$$

## PATHS

## Word problem

In  $G = \langle a, b \mid ab = ba \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
 babb^{-1}ab^{-1} &= baab^{-1} \\
 &= abab^{-1} \\
 &= a^2bb^{-1} \\
 &= a^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

# PATHS

## Elementary transformations

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 18 \\ 2 & 3 & 23 \\ 0 & 2 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 = \frac{1}{2} r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 9 \\ 2 & 3 & 23 \\ 0 & 2 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_2 = r_2 - 2r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 2 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{r_3 = r_3 - 2r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 9 \\ 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# PATHS

## Derivatives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\cos(x^2 + x)] &= (-\sin(x^2 + x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [x^2 + x] \\ &= -\sin(x^2 + x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [x^2] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [x] \right) \\ &= -\sin(x^2 + x)(2x + 1).\end{aligned}$$


# PATHS

## Proof by Natural Deduction

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[P]}{\quad} \\
 \frac{[ \neg Q ]}{\quad} \\
 \frac{P \quad \neg P}{\quad} \\
 \frac{\quad}{\perp} \\
 \frac{\quad}{\neg \neg Q} \\
 \frac{\quad}{Q}
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \frac{[Q]}{\quad} \\
 \frac{\quad}{Q}
 \end{array}
 \\
 \hline
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 P \vee Q & P \rightarrow Q & Q \rightarrow Q
 \end{array}
 \\
 \hline
 Q
 \end{array}$$

# PATHS

## Reidemeister moves



# MAIN QUESTION

Under what conditions can two  
rewriting systems be considered  
**equivalent?**

# COMPOSITION

**Paths can be composed.**

If  $\mathfrak{P}: P \longrightarrow Q$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}: Q \longrightarrow R$ , then  $\mathfrak{Q} \circ \mathfrak{P}: P \longrightarrow R$ .

Composition is a partial binary operation.

$$\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{sc}} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ip}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{tg}} \end{array} T_{\Sigma}(X)$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$  to the category whose objects are terms and whose morphisms are paths.

# DECOMPOSITION

## Paths can be decomposed.

If  $\mathfrak{p} = (M, N)$  is a rewriting rule in  $\mathcal{A}$ , its associated **echelon** is the path of length 1

$$\text{Ech}(\mathfrak{p}) : M \xrightarrow{(\mathfrak{p}, -)} N$$

We will say that a path has echelons if any of its subpaths of length 1 is an echelon.

# DECOMPOSITION


## Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{P}: \oplus(x, \oplus(x, y)) &\rightarrow \oplus(x, \oplus(x, z)) \\
 &\rightarrow \oplus(x, z) \\
 \text{echelon} &\rightarrow \odot(\Box(z, x), z, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(\Box(z, x), x, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(y, x, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(y, x, z) \\
 \text{echelon} &\rightarrow \top
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.** Paths without echelons are paths between complex and homogeneous terms.

# DECOMPOSITION

## Example



**Proposition.** In a path without echelons, we can extract as many subpaths as the arity of the operation.

## DECOMPOSITION

Let  $\prec$  be the binary relation on  $\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$  defined by  $\Omega \prec \mathfrak{P}$  if


- i.  $\mathfrak{P}$  has length strictly greater than 1, has its first echelon in position  $i$  and  $\Omega$  is the prefix subpath strictly preceding the echelon or the suffix subpath containing the echelon; or
- ii.  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a non-identity echelonless path and  $\Omega$  is one of the subpaths extracted from  $\mathfrak{P}$ .

We denote by  $\leq$  to the reflexive transitive closure of  $\prec$ .

**Proposition.**  $\leq$  is an Artinian order on  $\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$  whose minimal elements are identity paths and echelons.

# DECOMPOSITION

## Example



# CATEGORIAL SIGNATURE

We define the **categorical signature** determined by the rewriting system  $\mathcal{A}$  to be the signature that enlarges  $\Sigma$  with

- i. the rewriting rules in  $\mathcal{A}$  as constants;
- ii. two unary operations  $sc$  and  $tg$ ;
- iii. a binary operation  $\circ$ .

We will denote this signature with  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

# THE CURRY-HOWARD MAPPING

The Curry-Howard mapping is defined by **Artinian recursion**

$$\text{CH}: \text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow T_{\Sigma\mathcal{A}}(X)$$

1. For minimal paths

$$\text{CH}(\text{ip}(P)) = P; \qquad \text{CH}(\text{Ech}(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

2. For non-minimal paths

$$\text{CH}(\mathfrak{P}) = \begin{cases} \text{CH}(\mathfrak{P}^{i,|\mathfrak{P}|-1}) \circ \text{CH}(\mathfrak{P}^{0,i-1}); \\ \sigma((\text{CH}(\mathfrak{Q}_j))_{j \in n}). \end{cases}$$

# THE CURRY-HOWARD MAPPING

## Example

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{P}: \oplus(x, \oplus(x, y)) &\rightarrow \oplus(x, \oplus(x, z)) \\
 &\rightarrow \oplus(x, z) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(\Box(z, x), z, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(\Box(z, x), x, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(y, x, \Box(x, x)) \\
 &\rightarrow \odot(y, x, z) \\
 &\rightarrow \top
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{CH}(\mathfrak{P}) = ((\blacksquare \circ (\odot(\blacksquare, \blacksquare, \blacksquare))) \circ \blacksquare) \circ (\oplus(x, \blacksquare \circ \oplus(x, \blacksquare)))$$

# THE ALGEBRA OF PATHS

**Proposition.** The set  $\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$  has structure of partial  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra, that we will denote by  $\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where the operations are given by

$$\mathbf{sc}(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathbf{ip}(\mathbf{sc}(\mathfrak{P}));$$

$$\mathbf{tg}(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathbf{ip}(\mathbf{tg}(\mathfrak{P}));$$

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathbf{Ech}(\mathfrak{p});$$

$$\Omega \circ \mathfrak{P} = \Omega \circ \mathfrak{P}.$$

# THE ALGEBRA OF PATHS

If  $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$  and  $(\mathfrak{P}_j)_{j \in n} \in \text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}^n$ , then

$$\sigma((\mathfrak{P}_j)_{j \in n}) : \begin{array}{ccccccc} \sigma( \boxed{\text{sc}(\mathfrak{P}_0)} & , & \boxed{\text{sc}(\mathfrak{P}_1)} & , & \cdots & , & \boxed{\text{sc}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})} \\ & \downarrow \mathfrak{P}_0 & & & & & \\ \sigma( \boxed{\text{tg}(\mathfrak{P}_0)} & , & \boxed{\text{sc}(\mathfrak{P}_1)} & , & \cdots & , & \boxed{\vdots} \\ & \parallel & \downarrow \mathfrak{P}_1 & & & & \parallel \\ \sigma( \boxed{\vdots} & , & \boxed{\text{tg}(\mathfrak{P}_1)} & , & \cdots & , & \boxed{\text{sc}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})} \\ & \parallel & \parallel & & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{P}_{n-1} \\ \sigma( \boxed{\text{tg}(\mathfrak{P}_0)} & , & \boxed{\text{tg}(\mathfrak{P}_1)} & , & \cdots & , & \boxed{\text{tg}(\mathfrak{P}_{n-1})} \end{array}$$

**Proposition.**  $\sigma((\mathfrak{P}_j)_{j \in n})$  is an echelonless path.

# THE KERNEL OF THE CURRY-HOWARD MAPPING

**Proposition.** CH is a  $\Sigma$ -homomorphism but not necessarily a  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -homomorphism.

$$\text{CH}(\text{ip}(P)) = \text{CH}(\text{ip}(P) \circ \text{ip}(P)) \neq \text{CH}(\text{ip}(P)) \circ \text{CH}(\text{ip}(P)).$$

**Proposition.**  $\text{Ker}(\text{CH})$  is a closed  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -congruence.

The quotient  $\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}/\text{Ker}(\text{CH})$  will be denoted by  $[\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  and the class of a path  $\mathfrak{P}$  will be denoted by  $[\mathfrak{P}]$ .

# THE QUOTIENT OF PATHS

The quotient  $[\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  has structure of **partial  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra, partially ordered set, and category**.

Furthermore, the operations  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  of arity  $n$  are **functors** from  $[\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]^n$  to  $[\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sc} \left( \sigma \left( ([\mathfrak{P}_j])_{j \in n} \right) \right) &= \sigma \left( (\text{sc}([\mathfrak{P}_j]))_{j \in n} \right) \\ \text{tg} \left( \sigma \left( ([\mathfrak{P}_j])_{j \in n} \right) \right) &= \sigma \left( (\text{tg}([\mathfrak{P}_j]))_{j \in n} \right) \\ \sigma \left( ([\mathfrak{Q}_j] \circ [\mathfrak{P}_j])_{j \in n} \right) &= \sigma \left( ([\mathfrak{Q}_j])_{j \in n} \right) \circ \sigma \left( ([\mathfrak{P}_j])_{j \in n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

This is a **categorical  $\Sigma$ -algebra** that we denote it by  $[\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ .

# THE QUOTIENT OF PATHS

**Theorem.** The quotient  $[\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is the free partial  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra generated by  $\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}$  for a variety of partial  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebras  $\mathbf{PAlg}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{A}})$ .


Equations relative to the categorical structure.

Existence of productions.


Relationship between the operations and the composition.

$$\left[ \bigwedge_{j \in n} (x_j \circ y_j \stackrel{e}{=} x_j \circ y_j) \right] \rightarrow \sigma((x_j \circ y_j)_{j \in n}) \stackrel{e}{=} \sigma((x_j)_{j \in n}) \circ \sigma((y_j)_{j \in n}).$$

# THE QUOTIENT OF PATHS



$$\sigma((\Omega_j \circ \mathfrak{P}_j)_{j \in n})$$



$$\sigma((\Omega_j)_{j \in n}) \circ \sigma((\mathfrak{P}_j)_{j \in n})$$

# A CURRY-HOWARD RESULT

**Theorem.** There exists a pair of inverse mappings

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [\mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}}] & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}} \\ \cong \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ip}^{\text{fc}}} \end{array} & [\mathbf{PT}_{\mathcal{A}}]
 \end{array}$$

- isomorphisms of partial  $\Sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebras;
- order isomorphisms;
- isomorphisms of categories.

# HIGHER-ORDER

**Algebra is the offer made by the devil to the mathematician. The devil says: I will give you this powerful machine, it will answer any question you like. All you need to do is give me your soul. Give up geometry and you will have this marvellous machine.**

—M. Atiyah.

## SECOND-ORDER REWRITING SYSTEMS

This process can be **iterated**.

1. We introduce the notion of first-order translation  $T$ .
2. For every term class  $[M] \in [\mathbf{PT}_{\mathcal{A}}]$ , and every  $M' \in [M]$ .

$$[T(M)] = [T(M')].$$


3. We introduce the notion of second-order rewriting rules as pairs  $\mathfrak{p}^{(2)} = ([M], [N])$  with the condition

$$\text{sc} \left( \text{ip}^{\text{fc}}(M) \right) = \text{sc} \left( \text{ip}^{\text{fc}}(N) \right); \quad \text{tg} \left( \text{ip}^{\text{fc}}(M) \right) = \text{tg} \left( \text{ip}^{\text{fc}}(N) \right).$$

4. We introduce the notion of **second-order paths**.


## SECOND-ORDER PATHS

A second-order path  $\mathfrak{P}^{(2)}$  has the form




Mutatis mutandis **we recover the previous results.**


## SECOND-ORDER RESULTS



# N-TH ORDER RESULTS



# SIMULATION MORPHISMS



# SIMULATION MORPHISMS

To determine a **simulation morphism** from  $\mathcal{A}^{(n)}$  to  $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$  we will assign

- to every variable in  $X$  a term in  $T_{\Gamma}(Y)$
- to every operation in  $\Sigma$  a **derived operation** in  $T_{\Gamma}(Y)$
- to every  $k$ -th rewriting rule in  $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$  a  $k$ -th order path in  $\text{Pth}_{\mathcal{B}^{(k)}}$  respecting sources and targets

The final mapping  $f^{(k)@} : \llbracket \mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{A}^{(k)}} \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbf{Pth}_{\mathcal{B}^{(k)}} \rrbracket$ , is obtained by **Artinian recursion** and by **universal property** on the quotients.

## FUTURE WORK

1. Towers of rewriting systems.
2. Projective limits of rewriting systems.
3. Classifying spaces.
4. Fundamental groupoids.


# SYNTHETIC TOPOLOGY

**In these days the angel of topology and the devil  
of abstract algebra fight for the soul of every indivi-  
dual discipline of mathematics.**


—H. Weyl.

## Two rewriting systems


$$\mathbb{S}^1 = \begin{cases} X &= \{\star\} \\ \Sigma &= \emptyset \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{(\star, \star)\} \end{cases}$$



$$\mathbb{S}\mathbb{I} = \begin{cases} Y &= \{N, S\} \\ \Gamma &= \emptyset \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{(N, S), (S, N)\} \end{cases}$$




## A simulation for the $\mathbb{S}^1$




# An specification for $\mathbb{T}^2$

$$\mathbb{T}^2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} X &= \{x, y, z\} \\ \Sigma &= \emptyset \\ \mathcal{A} &= \{\textcolor{red}{p}, \textcolor{blue}{q}, \textcolor{teal}{r}, \textcolor{yellow}{s}\} \\ \mathcal{A}^{(2)} &= \{((\textcolor{yellow}{s} \circ \textcolor{teal}{r}) \circ (\textcolor{blue}{q} \circ \textcolor{red}{p}), (\textcolor{blue}{q} \circ \textcolor{red}{p}) \circ (\textcolor{yellow}{s} \circ \textcolor{teal}{r}))\} \end{array} \right.$$



## A simulation of the $\mathbb{T}^2$



$$(-f_2 \circ -f_2) \circ (\frac{1}{2}f_1 \circ 2f_1) = (\frac{1}{2}f_1 \circ 2f_1) \circ (-f_2 \circ -f_2)$$

What if we could prove **topological properties** using rewriting systems?

- $\mathbb{T}^2 \cong \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ .
- $\pi_1(\mathbb{T}^2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .
- $\mathbb{T}^2$  is orientable.
- ...

**La possibilité de la traduction implique l'existence d'un invariant. Traduire, c'est précisément dégager cet invariant.**

—H. Poincaré.

Thanks!