Devil’s vortex-lenses
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Abstract: In this paper we present a new kind of vortex lenses in which the radial phase distribution is characterized by the “devil’s staircase” function. The focusing properties of these fractal DOEs coined Devil’s vortex-lenses are analytically studied and the influence of the topological charge is investigated. It is shown that under monochromatic illumination a vortex devil’s lens give rise a focal volume containing a delimited chain of vortices that are axially distributed according to the self-similarity of the lens.
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1. Introduction

Optical vortices extended the capabilities of conventional optical traps because in addition to trap microparticles they are capable to set these particles into rotation due to the orbital angular momentum of light [1,2]. Among the several methods that have been proposed for optical vortices generation the most common approach is the spiral phase plate [3,4] mainly
inasmuch as this technique provides a high energy efficiency. Recently, a method for producing a sequence of focused optical vortices along the propagation direction has been proposed by the use of a spiral fractal zone plate [5]. Fractal zone plates (FraZPs) are binary zone plates with fractal profile along the square of the radial coordinate [6,7]. These new optical elements have deserved the attention of several experimental research groups working in diffractive optics [8,9] and, besides of the above mentioned spiral fractal zone plates, they also inspired the invention of other photonic structures such as optical fibers with fractal cross section [10] and fractal photon sieves [11].

It has been demonstrated that for multiple-plane optical trappings, the spiral FraZP provides the potential to generate a light beam with hybrid axial optical vortices and multiple subsidiary foci near the major focal points [5], and this method was proposed for optical trapping with focused vortices in the microscopic scale with high focal depth. Since the diffraction efficiency of diffractive optical elements (DOEs) is crucial for certain practical applications and to further improve it for a spiral FraZP, in this paper, we propose a new design of spiral phase plate which is based on a blazed FraZP: the Devil’s lens (DLs) [12]. A DL lens has a characteristic surface relief which is obtained using the devil’s staircase function [13]. This function, which is related to the standard Cantor set, also appears in several areas of physics, as for instance, in wave-particle interactions [14], in crystal growth [15], and in the mode locking of the 3D coherent states in high-Q laser cavities [16]. A multilevel phase version of a DL has been reported experimentally recently [17].

The new element we propose, which is referred to as Devil’s vortex-lens (DVL), is a phase-only Devil’s lens modulated by an helical phase structure. Our design is able to generate a sequence of focused vortices surrounding the major foci inside a single main fractal focus. It is because of its blazed profile that DVL has an improved diffraction efficiency with respect to the spiral fractal zone. The focusing properties of different DVLs are studied by computing the intensity distribution along the optical axis and the transverse diffraction patterns along the propagation direction.

2. Vortex devil’s lenses design

The design of a Devil’s lens is mathematically based on the Cantor function [12,13], which is defined in the domain [0,1] as

\[
F_s(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2^s} & \text{if } p_{s,l} \leq x \leq q_{s,l} \\
\frac{1}{2^s} \frac{x-q_{s,l}}{p_{s,l}-q_{s,l}} + \frac{l}{2^s} & \text{if } q_{s,l} \leq x \leq p_{s,l+1} 
\end{cases},
\]

(1)

being \(F_s(0) = 0\) and \(F_s(1) = 1\). In Fig. 1 we have represented the triadic Cantor function \(F_3(x)\). It can be seen that the steps of the devil’s staircase, take the constant values \(l/2^l\) in the intervals \(p_{s,l} \leq x \leq q_{s,l}\) (with \(l = 1, \ldots, 7\)) whereas in between these intervals the function increases linearly.

From a particular Cantor function \(F_3(x)\) a DL is defined as a circularly symmetric pure-phase DOE whose transmittance is defined by

\[
q(\zeta) = \exp[i\Phi_{DL}] = \exp[-i 2^{s+1} \pi F_s(\zeta)],
\]

(2)
Fig. 1. Triadic Cantor set for $S = 1, S = 2,$ and $S = 3$. The structure for $S = 0$ is the initiator and the one corresponding to $S = 1$ is the generator. The Cantor function or Devil’s staircase, $F_S(x)$, is shown under the corresponding Cantor set for $S = 3$.

where

$$\zeta = \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^2$$

is the normalized quadratic radial variable and $a$ is the lens radius. Thus, the phase variation along the radial coordinate is quadratic in each zone of the lens. At the gap regions defined by the Cantor set the phase shift is $-l2\pi$, with $l = 1, \ldots, 2^S-1$. The form of a DL is shown in Fig. 2a) in which the gray levels show the continuous phase variation.

A DVL can be simply constructed from a conventional DL by adding to it the azimuthal variation of the phase that characterize a vortex lens i.e.; $\Phi_{VL} = im\theta$, where $m$ is a non zero integer called the topological charge and $\theta$ is the azimuthal angle. In this way the phase distribution of DVL is given by: $\Phi_{DVL} = \text{mod}_2(\Phi_{DL} + \Phi_{VL})$ being $\Phi_{DL}$ the phase of the of a DL (see Eq. (2). Figs. 2b) and 2c) show DVLs with $m = 1$ and $m = 3$, respectively. Note in the same figure that a Devil’s lens is a DVL with $m = 0$. In other words: DVLs can be considered as a generalization of the DLs.

Fig. 2. (a) Phase variation as gray levels for a DL ($S = 2$), and for DVLs with topological charge (b) $m = 1$, and (c) $m = 3$.

3. Focusing properties of a DVL

Let us consider the diffraction pattern provided by a DVL. The transmittance of this lens, $t(r,\theta)$, can be expressed as the product of two factors, the first one, associated to a DL which has only a radial dependence and the other one corresponding to a vortex lens with a linear phase dependence on the azimuthal angle, i.e.;
\[ t(r, \theta) = p(r) \exp\left[ i m \theta \right]. \quad (4) \]

Within the Fresnel approximation the diffracted field at a given point \((z, r, \theta)\), where \(z\) is the axial distance from the pupil plane, can be characterized by the irradiance and the phase functions which are given respectively by:

\[
I(z, r) = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda z} \right)^2 \left| \int_0^1 p(r) \exp\left( -i \frac{\pi}{\lambda z} r^2 \right) J_m\left( \frac{2\pi r}{\lambda z} \right) r \, dr \right|^2; \quad (5)
\]

\[
\Phi(z, r, \theta) = m \left( \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi}{\lambda z} - \frac{\pi r^2}{\lambda z} - \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (6)
\]

In Eqs. (5) and (6) \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the incident monochromatic plane wave. Now, if the pupil transmittance is defined in terms of the normalized variable in Eq. (3), these equations become

\[
I(u, v) = 4\pi^2 u^2 \left| \int_0^1 q(\zeta) \exp\left( -2i\pi u \zeta \right) J_m\left( 4\pi \sqrt{\zeta uv} \right) d\zeta \right|^2, \quad (7)
\]

\[
\Phi(u, v, \theta) = m \left( \theta + \frac{\pi}{2} \right) - \frac{\pi u^2}{\lambda^2} - 2\pi uv^2 - \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad (8)
\]

where \(q(\zeta) = p(r_o)\) is given by Eq. (2), and \(u = \hat{a}^2/2\lambda z\) and \(v = r/\lambda\) are the reduced axial and transverse coordinates, respectively.

By using the above equations we have computed the irradiance provided by the DVLs shown in Fig. 2. The integrals were numerically evaluated using Simpson's rule using a step length 1/500. As expected, the axial response for the DL (Fig. 2a) represented in Fig. 3a) exhibits a single major focus at \(f_s = \hat{a}^2/2\lambda^3\) and a number of subsidiary focal points surrounding it, producing a focal volume with a characteristic fractal profile. Note that, if we change the topological charge, each focus transforms into a vortex and a chain of doughnut shaped foci is generated. Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) shows the focal volume associated to the DVL with \(m = 1\) and \(m = 3\), respectively. We have also computed the diffraction patterns for different topological charges (not shown) and verified that the diameter of the doughnut increases with the topological charge as happens with conventional vortex producing lenses [18, 19].

For predicting the focusing capabilities of the DVLs, the diffracted wavefield, over the whole transverse plane is of interest mainly because it can reflect the phase variations of the field from plane to plane. Eq. (5) has been used to calculate the evolution of the diffraction patterns for a DVL \((S = 2, m = 1)\) around the main vortex, \(u = 9\) (Fig. 4a) and around the first subsidiary vortex, \(u = 9.8\) (Fig. 4b). In both cases the range of the sampling for the axial coordinate is limited to \(\Delta u = -10^{-7}\). In the animated Fig. 4 each frame represent the form of the transverse field contours as the product of the irradiance times the phase of the wavefront within the range \(|x/\lambda a| < 0.15, |y/\lambda a| < 0.15\). The phase variations are in the range \([0, 2\pi]\), while the intensities are normalized to the maximum value at each transverse plane. In this way, the relative intensity at the vortices can be directly compared. These animations show the annular form of the transverse intensity and also the phase rotation with the axial coordinate. Note that due to the form of this representation only the changes in the phase are relevant since the intensity didn't change with time. The concentric rings are caused by constructive interferences of the different rings of the DVL. These are affected by the vortex as the whole diffraction pattern.
4. Conclusions

A new type of vortex lenses, coined “devil’s vortex-lenses”, has been introduced. To avoid the losses that characterize the spiral fractal zone plates [5] and to improve their diffraction efficiency, the phase function for a typical devil’s vortex lens has a fractal blazed profile. It is well known that continuous phase profiles generally also have a better performance as
measured by the intensity uniformity. The distribution of the surface grooves of these new fractal lenses is obtained through the “devil’s staircase” or Cantor function. The focusing properties of DVL have been analyzed and compared with those corresponding to a conventional devil’s lens. The transverse patterns appearing along the propagation distance present several concatenated doughnut modes. The particular focal volume provided by DVLs could be profited as versatile and very efficient optical tweezers since in optical trapping applications in addition to rotate the trapped high index particles, the low-index particles can be trapped in the zero intensity region of the doughnut. The relative angular velocity of the particles at the different traps can be modified by the topological charge of the vortex, while the distances between the links of the chain depend on the level $S$ of the Cantor function.
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