## Hybrid Waveforms for Precessing Binary Black holes for LIGO Data Analysis

### Jam Sadiq 11th Iberian Gravitational Waves Meeting June 9-11, 2021

#### June 10th, 2021





## Detection of Gravitational Waves



ICRR, Univ. of Tokyo/LIGO Lab/Caltech/MIT/Virgo Collaboration, Nature, 2019 [1]

## Binary Black-hole Observations and Analysis



Ohme, 2019 [2]

IGFAE

## Binary Black-hole Waveforms



Waveform divided into three parts

- Inspiral: BHs far apart, described by post-Newtonian (PN) theory.
- Merger: Highly relativistic, needs Numerical Relativity (NR).
- Ringdown: Single BH, described by perturbation theory or NR.
- Idea: Match NR simulation to PN, before PN becomes inaccurate



- With the improvements in low frequency detector sensitivity, longer waveforms will be required
- Numerical relativity waveforms are accurate but mostly available for late inspiral merger and ring down phase
- Analytical approximate model waveforms provide good accuracy waveforms in the early inspiral regime
- Numerical relativity waveforms have been hybridized to analytical model waveforms

- Non-precessing binaries have been hybridized and used for parameter estimation as done in Lange et. al (2017) [3]
- The precessing-binary parameter space has been sampled by only a relatively small number of numerical simulations.
- We want to **hybridize precessing binaries** for LIGO data analysis for such events
- Hybridizing precessing waveforms is a complicated process, as shown below

## Precessing versus Non-Precessing Dynamics

- In precessing binaries the orbital precession strongly affects the gravitational waveforms by modulating both amplitude and phase
- $\ell = 2, \ m = \pm 2$  are not necessarily the dominant mode for the waveforms





## Co-precessing Frame

- Complications of precession can be reduced by transforming the waveform into co-precessing frame
- Time dependent 3D rotation of the waveform to align the orbital angular momentum along z-direction (non-inertial)
- In this co-precessing frame the waveform behaves like a non-precessing waveform



- Developed in O'Shaughnessy et al. (2011), Schmidt et al. (2011), Boyle et al. (2011) [4, 5, 6]
- The idea is to continually rotate (3D) the waveform. Two of the Euler angles obtained from principal eigenvector of the orientation-averaged tensor

$$\langle L_{(ab)} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sum_{lm} |h_{lm}|^2} \begin{bmatrix} I_0 + \operatorname{Re}(I_2) & \operatorname{Im}I_2 & \operatorname{Re}I_1 \\ \operatorname{Im}I_2 & I_0 - \operatorname{Re}(I_2) & \operatorname{Im}I_1 \\ \operatorname{Re}I_1 & \operatorname{Im}I_1 & I_{zz} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $I_0$ ,  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_{zz}$  are related to quantum mechanical angular momentum notations.

## **Co-precessing Frame Rotations**

Two of the Euler angles are related to the principal eigenvector V of the orientation-averaged tensor (L<sub>(ab)</sub>), O'Shaughnessy et al. (2011)[4]

$$\alpha = \cos^{-1}[\hat{v}_z]$$

$$\beta = \operatorname{Arg}[\hat{v}_x + i\hat{v}_y] - \frac{\pi}{2}$$

• The third Euler angle chosen to account for the phase evolution of the waveform. Boyle et al. (2011)[6]

$$\gamma = -\int \dot{\alpha} cos\beta \quad dt$$

## Hybridization Procedure: Instantaneous Rotation

We choose a time and the corresponding instantaneous rotations

 (α, β, γ) of two waveforms that place the waveforms in the
 co-precessing frame at that time. This minimize the non-quadrupole

modes for a short period  $H_{lm}^{\text{rot}}(t) = \sum_{m'=-l}^{l} e^{im'\gamma + im\alpha} d_{mm'}^{l}(\beta) h_{lm}(t)$ 



• We rotate the two waveforms at these fixed angles  $H_{lm}^{\rm rot}(t) = \sum_{m'=-l}^{l} e^{im'\gamma + im\alpha} d_{mm'}^{l}(\beta) h_{lm}(t)$ 

- We rotate the two waveforms at these fixed angles  $H_{lm}^{\rm rot}(t) = \sum_{m'=-l}^{l} e^{im'\gamma + im\alpha} d_{mm'}^{l}(\beta) h_{lm}(t)$
- we compute phase and time shifts to align waveforms in a hybridizing interval

$$\Delta = \min_{t_0,\phi_0,\Psi} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{l,m} |H_{lm}^{\rm NR}(t) - H_{lm}^{\rm PN}(t-t_0)e^{i(m\phi_0 + 2\Psi)}| \mathrm{dt}$$

- We rotate the two waveforms at these fixed angles  $H_{lm}^{\rm rot}(t) = \sum_{m'=-l}^{l} e^{im'\gamma + im\alpha} d_{mm'}^{l}(\beta) h_{lm}(t)$
- we compute phase and time shifts to align waveforms in a hybridizing interval

$$\Delta = \min_{t_0,\phi_0,\Psi} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \sum_{l,m} |H_{lm}^{\rm NR}(t) - H_{lm}^{\rm PN}(t-t_0)e^{i(m\phi_0 + 2\Psi)}| \mathrm{dt}$$

• Construct the Hybrid

$$h_{lm}^{\text{hyb}} = \tau(t)H_{lm}^{\text{NR}}(t) + [1 - \tau(t)]H_{lm}^{\text{PN}}(t - t_0')e^{i(m\phi_0' + 2\Psi')}$$

where  $\tau(t)$  is function that smoothly goes from 0 to 1 in hybrid interval

## Complete Hybridization

•  $\chi_1 = (0.239, 0.318, 0.244), \ \chi_2 = (-0.361, 0.039, 0.289), \ M_{tot} = 70 M_{\odot}$  [7, 8, 9]



## Hybridization of Precessing Binary Waveform

• Precessing case **SXS:BBH:1410**, q = 4,  $\chi_1 = (0.239, 0.318, 0.244)$ ,  $\chi_2 = (-0.361, 0.039, 0.289)$ ,  $M_{tot} = 70 M_{\odot}$ 



Compute mode by mode mismatch using advanced LIGO power spectral density

$$\langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h_1^*(f)h_2(f)}{S_n(f)} df$$
$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{\langle h_1 | h_2 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle h_1 | h_1 \rangle \langle h_2 | h_2 \rangle}}$$
$$\mathcal{M} = 1 - \mathcal{O}$$

• Biased characterization at SNR 10:  $\mathcal{M} > 0.5\%$ 

• Mode weighted Mismatch

$$W[\mathcal{M}] = \frac{\sum_{lm} \rho_{lm}^2 \mathcal{M}_{lm}}{\sum_{lm} \rho_{lm}^2}$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{lm}$  are the mode-by-mode, time-and-phase-maximized mismatches and  $\rho_{lm}^2 = h_{lm}h_{lm}$ .

## Hybrid Quality: Length of NR Waveform in Hybrid

- Precessing case SXS:BBH:1410 [7, 8]
- PN-NR hybrid versus Full-NR
- Longer NR waveform in hybrid is better



## Hybrid Quality: Short-NR versus PN-NR hybrid

#### • Precessing case SXS:BBH:1410

- PN-NR hybrid versus Full-NR and short-NR versus Full-NR
- PN-NR hybrid are better than shorter NR waveforms



## Hybrid Quality: The Length of NR Waveform

#### • Precessing case SXS:BBH:1410

• Longer NR waveforms are better than shorter ones



## Hybrid Quality: Hybrid versus Low Resolution NR Waveform

#### • Precessing case SXS:BBH:1410

- PN-NR hybrid and Low-High resolution NR hybrid versus Full-NR
- Low resolution NR in hybridization perform better than PN



## Hybrid Quality: PN Errors

• In general higher order PN approximant is better. We found phase  $\phi$  order with 3 PN order terms works better than available higher orders in phase with the maximum available amplitude  $\alpha$  order.



## Mismatch as Function of Angles

• 
$$h(t) = \sum_{lm} h_{lm}^{-2} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi), \ M_{tot} = 40 M_{\odot}$$



• Higher order modes are important

- We introduce an automated method to hybridize precessing binary waveforms
- We tested the accuracy of our hybridization procedure using mismatch
- We tested effects of the length of the numerical waveforms, hybrid versus different numerical resolutions as well as post-Newtonian errors on the hybrid.
- All presented work is published in [10]
- Future:
  - Testing length of hybrid interval and how it affects the mismatch.
  - Analyzing other hybridization errors (truncation and numerical) for many different waveform models

## Thank You

### References I



M. Coleman Miller and Nicolás Yunes. The new frontier of gravitational waves. *Nature*, 568:469–476, 2019.



#### Frank Ohme.

Modeling Compact Mergers in the Era of Regular Gravitational-Wave Observations.

Talk at UCLA GW conference, Computational Challenges, 2019.



#### J. Lange et al.

Parameter estimation method that directly compares gravitational wave observations to numerical relativity.

*Phys. Rev. D*, 96(10):104041, 2017.



R. O'Shaughnessy, B. Vaishnav, J. Healy, Z. Meeks, and D. Shoemaker. Efficient asymptotic frame selection for binary black hole spacetimes using asymptotic radiation. *Phys. Rev.*, D84:124002, 2011.

## References II



#### Patricia Schmidt, Mark Hannam, and Sascha Husa.

Towards models of gravitational waveforms from generic binaries: A simple approximate mapping between precessing and non-precessing inspiral signals. *Phys. Rev.*, D86:104063, 2012.



Michael Boyle, Robert Owen, and Harald P. Pfeiffer. A geometric approach to the precession of compact binaries. *Phys. Rev.*, D84:124011, 2011.



#### SXS Collaboration.

Binary black-hole simulation sxs:bbh:1410, July 2019.

#### P. Ajith.

Addressing the spin question in gravitational-wave searches: Waveform templates for inspiralling compact binaries with nonprecessing spins.

Phys. Rev., D84:084037, 2011.

#### Luc Blanchet.

Gravitational Radiation from Post-Newtonian Sources and Inspiralling Compact Binaries.

Living Rev. Rel., 17:2, 2014.

Jam Sadiq (IGFAE)



Jam Sadiq, Yosef Zlochower, Richard O'Shaughnessy, and Jacob Lange. Hybrid waveforms for generic precessing binaries for gravitational-wave data analysis.

Phys. Rev. D, 102(2):024012, 2020.

## Co-precessing Frame

$$I_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (h|L_{+}L_{+}|h)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{lm} c_{lm} c_{l,m+1} h_{l,m+2}^{*} h_{lm}$$

$$I_{1} \equiv (h|L_{+}(L_{z}+1/2)|h)$$

$$= \sum_{lm} c_{lm} (m+1/2) h_{l,m+1}^{*} h_{lm}$$

$$I_{0} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (h|L^{2} - L_{z}^{2}|h)$$

$$I_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{lm} [l(l+1) - m^{2}]|h_{lm}|^{2}$$

$$I_{zz} \equiv (h|L_{z}L_{z}|h) = \sum_{lm} m^{2}|h_{lm}|^{2}$$

where  $c_{lm} = \sqrt{l(l+1) - m(m+1)}$ .

 $d^\ell_{mm'}(\beta)$  given by

$$d_{m'm}^{l}(\beta) = \sqrt{(l+m)! (l-m)! (l+m')! (l-m')!} \\ \times \sum_{k} \frac{(-1)^{k+m'-m}}{k! (l+m-k)! (l-m'-k)! (m'-m+k)!} \\ \times \left(\sin\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2k+m'-m} \left(\cos\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{2l-2k-m'+m}.$$
(1)

# Hybrid Quality: IMRPhenomXHM-NR hybrid versus PN-NR hybrid

#### • Precessing case SXS:BBH:1410

- PN-NR hybrid versus Full-NR and IMR-NR hybrid versus Full-NR
- PN-NR hybrid are better than IMR-NR hybrid

