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Abstract. We exploit the two different versions of Kinect, v1 and v2, for the calculation of microimages projected onto integral-imaging displays. Our approach is based on composing a three-dimensional (3-D) point cloud from a captured depth map and RGB information. These fused 3-D maps permit to generate an integral image after projecting the information through a virtual pinhole array. In our analysis, we take into account that each of the Kinect devices has its own inherent capacities and individualities. We illustrate our analysis with some imaging experiments, provide the distinctive differences between the two Kinect devices, and finally conclude that Kinect v2 allows the display of 3-D images with very good resolution and with full parallax.

Keywords: three-dimensional display; integral imaging; point cloud; Kinect v1; Kinect v2.

Paper 161256SS received Aug. 9, 2016; accepted for publication Sep. 28, 2016; published online Oct. 19, 2016.

1 Introduction

Recently, integral imaging (InI) has been considered as one of the potential technologies in order to display real world scenes. Conventionally, the pickup stage of InI is performed by inserting a tiny lens array in front of a two-dimensional (2-D) imaging sensor. A remarkable feature of the InI technique is that every captured picture involves different perspectives information. The reason is that optical rays proceeding from three-dimensional (3-D) objects are collected by every lens, and recorded by the imaging sensor with different incidence angles. Here, we name as microimage the image recorded behind any microlens. The whole array of microimages is named here as the integral picture. When the integral picture is projected onto an InI monitor, it can provide the observers with 3-D floating color images, which have full-parallax and quasicontinuous perspective.1–4 Many researchers have applied the InI technique in different fields.5–15

Meanwhile, there are various depth-sensing 3-D imaging techniques announced to record 3-D scenes. Among them, one interesting technique is stereovision, which exploits the disparity information from two arranged cameras.16,17 However, in the past few years, the use of technologies related to infrared (IR) light sensors18–21 has become increasingly popular. Especially the Kinect device from Microsoft that profits from IR lighting technology in the case of depth acquisition. Until now, there are two different versions of Kinect. The main commercial specifications of them are described in Table 1. The Kinect allows acquiring RGB, IR, and depth maps in real-time with a high frame rate. For that reason, many researchers are now interested in its capability. As is well known, both sensors have many different features for obtaining a dense depth map. The Kinect v1 uses a structured IR dot-pattern emitter and camera to evaluate depth information. In comparison, the Kinect v2 utilizes time-of-flight (ToF) technology, which consists of emitting IR flashes at high frequency. Having IR light that reflects from most 3-D surfaces, the sensor can evaluate the depth distance by measuring the light’s returning time.22,23 The main drawback of both, Kinect v1 and Kinect v2, is that they are limited for long range. Comparable results, but with an extended range, has been demonstrated but with a different technology.24

2 Calibration of Kinect v1 and v2

As seen in Table 1, the commercial specifications of the Kinects do not reflect all the characteristics of those devices. In order to extend this information, and also to confirm some commercial parameters, we performed a number of experiments.

2.1 Coupled Area at the Scene

The aim of our first experiment was to find the common area in the scene, and to check both the RGB and IR camera’s fields of view (FOV) through empirical parameters. For this experiment, we first defined the standpoint of Kinect devices as the position of the nut where the tripod is screwed in. Then we defined an optical axis and set the Kinect frontal face parallel to the target. As the common target, we choose a chessboard pattern, which has simple and repetitive shapes and permits to easily detect feature points. Most of all, the regularized pattern influence improves the accuracy of the calibration’s result.25 We find common correspondence features in each captured scene and calculate correlation parameters, which are called homography, projectivity, or projective transformation. These parameters represent a general plane-to-plane correlation equation in a projective plane. These values convince to map from one camera’s 2-D view to another.26–29 Figure 1 shows the common area in both Kinect devices.
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2.2 Comparison of Field of View with Empirical Parameters

Next, we attempt to measure, for both Kinects, the RGB and IR FOVs. Actually, the official specification did not mention the RGB FOV. For that reason, we measured the FOVs with two methods: (a) estimate FOV by calibrated camera parameters and (b) physical calibration progress at a certain distance. First, we use the calibrated camera parameters reported in Ref. 22 and calculate each FOV by using Eq. (1).

In this equation, $C_w$ and $C_h$ are the RGB and IR physical imaging sensor sizes, and $f$ is a focal length. $R_w$ and $R_h$ are the calculated angles in the horizontal and vertical directions. Finally, we derived FOVs from the referenced parameters (see Table 2).

\[
R_w = 2 \arctan \left( \frac{C_w}{2f} \right), \quad R_h = 2 \arctan \left( \frac{C_h}{2f} \right).
\]

Second, we set up an environment in order to measure the FOV in a physical calibration progress. We placed the camera in a perpendicular direction from the wall within a certain distance. Then we stitched a piece of retroreflective (RR) sheet to the wall in the border area of the captured scene. Here, the IR camera can only capture an IR light and discard other light sources. Again, the IR camera cannot detect diffusing surfaces that are normal to the optical axis. In contrast, RR sheets can directly reflect IR light to the camera and, as a result, provide an easy way to verify a target’s position in the IR camera’s scene. From now, we already know about the Z-axis and width distance in millimeters. Then we can derive both horizontal and vertical FOVs using trigonometric function calculations. We illustrate this progress in Fig. 2 and put our empirical results in Table 3.

One thing worth noting is that through our experiment we confirmed some important issues. First, the two types of FOVs do not map properly. Some regions overlap, but not all parts from the scene are covered. Second, the announced FOV information from commercial specifications is for the IR camera. Third, we have proven that the commercial parameters are reliable. Parameters reported in Ref. 22 are also reliable, but not in the case of the FOV of the IR camera of Kinect v1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>List</th>
<th>Kinect v1</th>
<th>Kinect v2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Released (year)</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB camera (pixel)</td>
<td>640 × 480 (max: 1280 × 960)</td>
<td>1920 × 1080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPS in RGB camera</td>
<td>30 (Max: 12)</td>
<td>30 (low-light: 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR camera (pixel)</td>
<td>640 × 480</td>
<td>512 × 424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPS in IR camera</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth acquisition method</td>
<td>Structured IR light pattern</td>
<td>ToF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth distance (mm)</td>
<td>800–4000</td>
<td>500–4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal FOV (deg)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical FOV (deg)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Microimages Generation from Three-Dimensional Point Cloud

The aim of this research is to analyze and compare the two Kinect devices when they are concentrated in a specific
application: the calculation of the collection of microimages that are projected onto an InI monitor with an aim of displaying 3-D images with full-parallax.

The procedure for calculation of microimages is as follows. First, the captured RGB and depth map images (see Fig. 3) are modified into a 3-D point cloud, following Ref. 6. From this result (see Fig. 4), we confirmed that Kinect v2 is able to capture the depth information of further points. Moreover, the density of point cloud data is also different. The Kinect v1, for instance, has a specific layer structure [see Fig. 4(a)]. But Kinect v2 provides more dense depth information without any regularized figuration [see Fig. 4(b)]. The most impressive feature from Kinect v2 is that this device can acquire depth information of slender targets, reflective surfaces, or even transparent objects compared with Kinect v1. In the third step of the procedure, we placed a virtual pinhole array (VPA) at a certain distance from the point cloud.

An important thing is that the VPA position decides the front and rear volumes in the displayed 3-D scenes. Due to this, the VPA position defines what we call the “reference plane” of the 3-D scene. In this experiment, we placed the VPA just behind the second chair. We assigned each 3-D point into microimages by back projection through the pinholes, as in Ref. 31. The main issue is that different features of the 3-D point clouds fully reflect into generated microimages. It is important to point out that the calculation of the microimages needs to take into account the parameters of the InI display. Specifically, we need to know the number of microlenses, their pitch, the gap, and the number of pixels behind any microlens. Figure 5 shows the calculated microimages, which are ready for projection into the InI display system described below. These two figures clearly show the differences of the two devices.

4 Experimental Results of Displayed Three-Dimensional Image

In order to display our microimages, we used the Samsung tablet SM-T700 (359 pixels/inch) as a high definition display, and a microlens array (MLA) consisting of $113 \times 113$ lenslets of focal length $f_L = 3.3$ mm and pitch $p = 1.0$ mm (Model 630 from Fresnel Technology). The resulting microimages are composed of 15 pixels. The gap between the microlenses and the display was fixed to $g = 49.5$ px. Finally, the full size of integral picture is $1695 \times 1695$ pixels. After fixing and aligning the MLA with the tablet, the resulting InI monitor displayed 3-D images with full parallax.

To demonstrate the three-dimensionality of the displayed images, we implemented the setup shown in Fig. 6, and recorded pictures of the InI display from many vertical and horizontal perspectives. From the pictures’ collection, we composed two videos, one for the Kinect v1 (Video 1) and the other for the Kinect v2 (Video 2). Additionally, we excerpted a pair of frames from any video. These frames are shown and compared in Fig. 7. This figure confirms that Kinect v2 is a very powerful tool which can be applied not only for the versatile management of videogames but also for the display of 3-D images with full parallax, good lateral depth, and for a long range of axial distances.
Fig. 3 Captured images from two versions of Kinect: (a, b) Kinect v1 and (c, d) Kinect v2. Both pairs of images are captured from the same standpoint.

Fig. 4 Display the 3-D point cloud into a virtual 3-D space: (a) from Kinect v1 and (b) from Kinect v2. In both cases, the reference plane is located just behind the second chair.

Fig. 5 Collection of microimages generated from modified 3-D point cloud: (a) microimage from Kinect v1 and (b) is from Kinect v2. Both (a) and (b) are generated based on a given reference plane.

Fig. 6 The overview of our experimental system. We moved the recording device vertically and horizontally to record different perspectives of an integrated image from the proposed InI display system.
Fig. 7 Images displayed from two different versions of the Kinect: (a, b) Kinect video 1 and (c, d) Kinect video 2. (a, c) Left-bottom view and (b, d) right-top view from proposed InI display (Video 1, mp4, 9.07 MB) [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.56.4.041305.1] and (Video 2 mp4, 10.6 MB) [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.56.4.041305.2].

5 Conclusion

We have reported a comparison of 3-D InI display based on two different versions of Kinect, and demonstrated that Kinect v2 is fully adapted for the task of capturing 3-D optical information for 3-D display. Specifically, we have demonstrated that an InI monitor injected with the information calculated from Kinect v2 data has the ability of displaying 3-D images in color for big scenes. The images have good lateral and depth resolution and also a long range of axial distances. The main drawback of this technique is the existence of black-pixel areas, which result from the capture from a single perspective. In a future work, we will combine the information captured with more than one Kinect v2, in order to obtain a 3-D point cloud that is denser and free of perspective holes.
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