



## Species richness and cover along a 60-year chronosequence in old-fields of southeastern Spain

Andreu Bonet<sup>1,\*</sup> and Juli G. Pausas<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Ecology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Alicante (UA), A.C. 99, E-03080 Alicante, Spain;*

<sup>2</sup>*Centro de Estudios Ambientales de Mediterráneo (CEAM), Charles R. Darwin 14, Parc Tecnològic, 46980 Paterna, València, Spain; \*Author for correspondence (e-mail: andreu@ua.es)*

Received 25 June 2002; accepted in revised form 19 September 2003

**Key words:** Abandonment, Dispersal, Life form, Old-fields, Mediterranean, Non-linear trends, Semi-arid, Species richness, Succession

### Abstract

We analyse changes in plant cover and species richness along a 60-year chronosequence in semi-arid Mediterranean old-fields of southeastern Spain. The objectives were: (i) to study patterns of species richness along the abandonment gradient in semi-arid conditions (e.g., to test the “humped-back model” in our system); (ii) to test whether different broad life forms (annuals, forbs, grasses and woody species) showed different patterns along the abandonment gradient, and (iii) to examine to what extent plants with different dispersal strategies dominate at different stages of succession. The explained variance of the regression relating species richness to years since abandonment is improved when considering different life forms. The results suggest that cover and richness of different functional groups show a non-linear unimodal (often positive-skewed) pattern along the gradient (age since abandonment). Maximum total richness is found at young stages of abandonment (< 20 years), when most life forms and dispersal strategies coexist. Annuals and perennial forbs reached their maximum richness during the first 10 years of abandonment. About 45% of total woody species richness is reached at this time as a consequence of early colonization of zoochorous shrubs. While the results showed a tendency towards a life-form replacement sequence, the pattern is not so clear when looking at the different dispersal strategies. The results complement previous results in Mediterranean conditions and emphasise the importance of considering different functional types when studying successional patterns.

### Introduction

Mediterranean landscapes of Europe are suffering from the rural exodus caused by the socioeconomic changes of the last decades. These changes in traditional land-uses and lifestyles have resulted in the abandonment of large areas of farm-land (Lepart and Debussche 1992; Lasanta and García-Ruiz 1996). The resulting build-up of early successional vegetation has strong implications on water balances (Bellot et al. 2001) and fire regimes (Rego 1992; Pausas and Vallejo 1999; Pausas in press).

Secondary succession in Mediterranean ecosystems has been studied extensively in mesic conditions (Houssard et al. 1980; Escarré et al. 1983; Peco et al. 1991; Lavorel et al. 1994; Taton and Roche 1994; Taton et al. 1994; Montalvo et al. 1995; Debussche et al. 1996; Ne’eman and Izhaki 1996; Bonet 1997), but few studies have been made in semi-arid environments (e.g., Noy-Meir 1973; Martínez-Fernández 1995; Margaris et al. 1996; Bonet et al. 2001; Bonet, 2004). In dry environmental conditions, vegetation cover tends to be low and sparse (Schlesinger et al. 1990), and interspecific interactions such as competition or facilitation may be different from those in

more mesic Mediterranean conditions (e.g., Pugnaire and Luque 2001; Maestre et al. 2003). Under arid conditions, two vegetation parameters are particularly relevant: plant cover (as a factor for soil protection and for enhancing ecosystem processes) and richness (a measure of biodiversity). In the present work, we attempt to study these two parameters during a 60-year chronosequence in semi-arid old-fields of the southeastern Iberian Peninsula.

During the early stages of succession, the gradual colonisation and increase in spatial heterogeneity should lead to an increase in species richness. Subsequently, species richness may drop as a result of competitive interactions (Bazzaz 1975; Huston 1979; Tilman 1982; Peet and Christensen 1988). Thus, the so-called "humped-back model" (unimodal response curve) of species richness proposed for nutrient and productive gradients (Grime 1973; Wheeler and Giller 1982; Tilman 1982; Wisheu and Keddy 1989; see the review by Pausas and Austin 2001) and disturbance frequency gradients (Connell 1978; Lubchenco 1978; Huston 1979; Wilson and Keddy 1988) could also be applied to the time since abandonment (e.g., Peet 1978), although the underlying factors may be different in each of these cases.

However, some successional studies in Mediterranean climates have found a decreasing (Debussche et al. 1996) or fluctuating pattern (Houssard et al. 1980) of species richness after abandonment, and Noy-Meir (1973) found little changes in diversity through time in arid conditions. Furthermore, several studies suggested that after perturbation, the pattern of richness should be different in different environmental conditions (Auclair and Goff 1971; Peet 1978; Huston 1994). These researchers suggested that under mesic conditions species richness will show a peak at early stages of succession, while on sites under stressful conditions (e.g., xeric sites) a steady increase in diversity is expected.

Because species of the same functional type share many characteristics, competitive interactions among species of the same functional types may be stronger than between species of different functional types, and so, patterns of species richness along gradients may be more interpretable by considering the species richness of the different functional types (Peet 1978; Pausas 1994; Pausas and Austin 2001). In this work we consider two functional classifications of species, one based on life form and the other on dispersal strategy. Life forms are well-known broad functional types related to longevity and resource acquisition

(e.g., Wright 1992; Lavorel et al. 1997). If succession from abandonment is determined by the ability of plant arrival (colonisation capacity; van der Valk 1992; Willson 1993), then, plants with different dispersal strategies should have differential dominance along succession (i.e., the 'relay floristics' model; Egler 1954; Myster 1993). The dispersal mechanism adopted by species is a key trait influencing the structure of communities (Brown 1992).

Our aims were: 1) to analyse the changes in plant cover and species richness along a land abandonment gradient in a semi-arid ecosystem; 2) to test whether different broad life forms (annuals, forbs, grasses and woody species) showed different patterns along the temporal gradient; 3) to test to what extent plants with different dispersal strategies dominate at different stages of land abandonment.

To answer these questions, we used the time since abandonment as an indicator of the successional gradient. For practical reasons, many of the Mediterranean secondary succession studies in old-fields have used a chronosequence approach instead of diachronic studies (Escarré et al. 1983; Tatonì and Roche 1994; Bonet 1997). Despite the existence of several methodological problems using chronosequencing (Lepart and Escarré 1983; Glenn-Lewin and van der Maarel 1992), most of the predictions made with some of these synchronic studies or space-for-time substitutions (*sensu* Pickett 1989) have been validated by revisiting and re-sampling the studied communities (Debussche et al. 1996; Foster and Tilman 2000).

## Methods

### *Study area*

The study was conducted at the Ventós-Agost Catchment Experimental Station (University of Alacant), in the Municipality of Agost, Alacant Province, SE Spain (38° 28'N, 0° 37'W, 10-840 m a.s.l.). The catchment area (approx. 1537 ha) is characterised by a semi-arid Mediterranean climate, with a very high interannual variability. Mean annual temperature is 18.2 °C and annual rainfall is 302.1 mm, most of which falls in autumn (Agost Meteorological Station, 1961-1990 period). Soils have developed over marls and calcareous bedrock, and slopes vary between 25-30%, and are mainly south-facing. Other soil characteristics are summarised in Bellot et al. (1999).

The present vegetation mosaic of the overall area is dominated by *Stipa tenacissima* L. steppes, resulting from the disturbance of shrublands (Rivas-Martínez 1987), but probably also resulting from abandoned *Stipa* plantations in non-arable lands (Barber et al. 1997). Other important communities are dry grassland formations of *Brachypodium retusum* Pers. Beauv. with dwarf scrubs, and scattered shrublands with *Quercus coccifera* L.

The lower part of the catchment is occupied by traditional agriculture terraces currently abandoned and surrounded by steppes and the described vegetation mosaic. Field abandonment ranged from 1 to 60 years following the last cultivation, but the main land abandonment process was experienced during the period 1946-1956 (Bonet et al. 2001). Abandoned fields on terraces were mainly composed of tree crops, such as almond (*Prunus dulcis* (Miller) D.A. Webb), olive (*Olea europaea* L.) and carob trees (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.). Traditional agricultural practices such as tilling and irrigation regimes were quite homogeneous for all these tree crop stands before abandonment, but there were also some scattered cereals and horticulture abandoned crops in the area. The great species composition variability associated with different management and land uses of the fields (Bonet, in press) justifies the use of life forms rather than species in the trend analysis along the analysed gradient.

### Sampling

Vegetation was sampled through a chronosequence of old-fields. During spring 1999 and 2000, we sampled 96 plots of abandoned croplands on field terraces. The abandonment is defined here as the cessation of ploughing and sowing, but other uses and activities such as grazing could still be present in the fields (Bonet, 2004).

We first estimated the period of abandonment by using a sequence of aerial photographs (1946, 1956, 1974, 1980, and 1995). Then we consulted the Agost Municipal Archives and held personal interviews with landowners and managers in order to determine the age of abandonment more precisely.

On each old-field terrace, a  $10 \times 10$  m ( $100 \text{ m}^2$ ) plot was delineated avoiding edges and field margins (i.e., with at least a 2 m-wide buffer zone). For the whole  $10 \times 10$  m-plot a complete species list was recorded and total species richness was calculated. In each of these plots, 20 quadrats of  $1 \times 1$  m were marked off in a systematic sampling procedure along

four transects (5 quadrats per transect). Each transect was separated from its neighbour by 2 m, and the distance between quadrats in each transect was 1 m. The species cover (%) was visually estimated in each quadrat and then an average cover was calculated for all the quadrats in a plot (total sampled area was  $20 \text{ m}^2$ ).

### Data analysis

Species were grouped by life forms (annuals or biennials, perennial forbs, perennial grasses and woody species) and by their main dispersal strategy (anemochory, barochory, ectozoochory, endozoochory, and mirmecochory) (see Appendix). The main dispersal mode of each species was based on field observations and following Molinier and Müller (1938), van der Pijl (1972) and Bonet (1997); secondary dispersal strategies were not considered in this study. Cover and richness were computed for all species as well as for each life form and each dispersal mode. Cover was calculated on each old-field from the sampled quadrats, and richness was determined by the number of species in the whole  $10 \text{ m} \times 10 \text{ m}$  plot.

Cover and richness patterns (total, for the different life forms and for the different dispersal strategies) were related to years since abandonment by least square regressions using SPSS (v. 11.0) statistical software. Three different types of regression equations were tested (Linear, Gaussian and Lognormal) and the most significant was chosen. In most cases the most significant was the non-linear lognormal regression because it allowed us to detect skewed unimodal patterns (Draper and Smith 1981; Scales 1985; Sokal and Rohlf 1995). The goodness of fit was evaluated using the F-test. Parameters were tested by a t-test and their standard errors were used as a gauge of the accuracy of the fitted curve. We also searched for parameter dependencies in order to avoid over-parameterisation of the regression equations.

### Results

A total of 222 species were recorded in the whole study area (see Appendix). Total plant cover ranged from 45.5 to 100% (Table 1), and this variation was not related to age since abandonment ( $p = 0.4189$  for the lognormal model). Some recently abandoned plots had close to 100% cover. Species richness per plot ( $100 \text{ m}^2$ ) ranged from 4 to 34, and there was a sig-

Table 1. Summary of cover (%) and species richness (per 100 m<sup>2</sup>) in all old-fields for the different life forms and dispersal types considered.

	Cover (%)				Species richness			
	mean	sd	min	max	Mean	sd	min	max
Life form								
Annuals	22.18	29.64	0.00	100	4.40	4.33	0	17
Grasses	27.54	22.58	0.00	75.76	2.43	1.65	0	8
Forbs	23.46	20.88	0.00	82.61	6.33	3.31	0	16
Woodies	26.45	25.73	0.00	93.68	6.97	5.54	0	21
Dispersal								
Anemochorous	45.40	21.03	1.00	93.10	7.86	3.56	1	18
Mirmecochorous	1.35	3.88	0.00	22.50	0.40	0.59	0	2
Barochorous	37.35	22.80	3.75	90.20	9.13	4.20	2	19
Ectozoochorous	11.92	16.80	0.00	61.11	1.94	1.62	0	6
Endozoochorous	53.58	8.67	0.00	59.20	0.80	0.99	0	4
Total	96.90	9.72	45.50	100	19.76	6.41	4	34




Figure 1. Total species richness (on 100 m<sup>2</sup>-plots) along the successional gradient. Fitted line is the significant non-linear regression (see Table 2 for more details).

nificant non-linear relationship with age ( $R^2 = 0.36$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Figure 1).

#### Life forms

Of the 222 species, the most represented life form was annual species (36%). Forbs and woody species were 29 and 27% respectively, and grasses were represented by 8% of the species. At plot scale (i.e., 10

× 10 m), woody species were the most abundant species (mean woody species richness = 7 / 100 m<sup>2</sup>), followed by forbs (6.3), annuals (4.4) and then grasses (1.7) (Table 1). Mean cover of the different life forms ranged from 22% (annuals) to 26% (woody species). However, both species richness and cover showed great variation (Table 1), which can be explained by plot age (time since abandonment; Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3; Table 2).




Figure 2. Plant cover (%) of different life forms along successional gradient. Fitted lines are the significant non-linear and linear regressions (see Table 2 for more details).




Figure 3. Species richness (on 100 m<sup>2</sup>-plots) of different life forms along successional gradient. Fitted lines are the significant non-linear regressions (see Table 2 for more details).

Table 2. Summary of the lognormal regression equations developed for richness and for cover in relation to time since abandonment for all species (total) and for the different life forms and dispersal strategies (see Figure 1 to 5). a, b,  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are the regression parameters of the equation  $y = a \exp[-0.5 (\ln(x/x_0)/b)^2] + y_0$ . Cover of woody plants linear regression is  $y = y_0 + a x$ . Only significant regressions are included (parameter mean  $\pm$  standard error).

	a	$X_0$	b	$Y_0$	$R^2$	p
Life forms						
Cover						
Annuals	64.93 $\pm$ 4.51	1.55 $\pm$ 0.25	1.06 $\pm$ 0.14	–	0.632	< 0.0001
Forbs	38.66 $\pm$ 4.96	5.71 $\pm$ 0.37	3.17 $\pm$ 0.44	10.40 $\pm$ 2.54	0.424	< 0.0001
Grasses	53.31 $\pm$ 4.42	18.32 $\pm$ 0.75	0.63 $\pm$ 0.05	5.22 $\pm$ 0.90	0.615	< 0.0001
Woodyies	1.34 $\pm$ 0.07	–	–	2.59 $\pm$ 1.73	0.885	< 0.0001
Richness						
Total	23.10 $\pm$ 0.8	10.52 $\pm$ 1.17	1.99 $\pm$ 0.17	–	0.356	< 0.0001
Annuals	11.34 $\pm$ 0.73	2.97 $\pm$ 0.16	0.89 $\pm$ 0.06	–	0.669	< 0.0001
Forbs	9.10 $\pm$ 0.42	6.74 $\pm$ 0.58	1.37 $\pm$ 0.09	–	0.448	< 0.0001
Grasses	3.38 $\pm$ 0.20	28.12 $\pm$ 8.40	1.68 $\pm$ 0.31	–	0.384	< 0.0001
Woodyies	12.72 $\pm$ 1.60	68.65 $\pm$ 46.7	1.74 $\pm$ 0.44	–	0.571	< 0.0001
Dispersal mode						
Cover						
Anemochory	55.29 $\pm$ 2.94	10.38 $\pm$ 1.57	1.84 $\pm$ 0.22	–	0.203	< 0.0001
Ectozoochory	26.83 $\pm$ 3.31	1.20 $\pm$ 0.27	2.26 $\pm$ 0.53	–	0.320	< 0.0001
Richness						
Anemochory	6.56 $\pm$ 0.73	6.0 $\pm$ 0.52	0.68 $\pm$ 0.11	5.32 $\pm$ 0.53	0.488	< 0.0001
Barochory	12.05 $\pm$ 1.02	65.05 $\pm$ 57.16	2.80 $\pm$ 0.69	–	0.412	< 0.0001
Ectozoochory	3.49 $\pm$ 0.27	3.11 $\pm$ 0.39	1.30 $\pm$ 0.14	–	0.423	< 0.0001

The cover of each life form showed a significant and different pattern along the abandonment age gradient, and there was a clear tendency in the order of cover dominance of the different life forms (Figure 2, Table 2). The explained variance of the regressions ranged from 0.42 (forbs) to 0.89 (woody species). Annuals, forbs and grasses showed a skewed pattern while woody species showed a linear tendency. Annuals were the first species covering the old-fields and reached up to 100% of the soil in the first years. However, they were almost absent ca. 12 years after abandonment. Perennial forbs also reached their maximum during the first 10 years, while perennial grasses peaked at 10–25 years after abandonment. Woody species showed a significant monotonic increase throughout the time window studied (60 years).

Species richness also showed different patterns for the different life forms (Figure 3, Table 2), and the order along the age gradient was similar to cover; the main difference was that there were few species of perennial grasses. The explained variance in the lognormal regressions was higher in all cases than the

explained variance for total species richness (0.36), and ranged from 0.38 (grasses) to 0.67 (annuals).

The number of life forms decreased with abandonment age, from 4 co-occurring during the first 20 years, to 2 life forms, and finally (at  $\sim$  60 years) the vegetation was practically dominated by one life form (woody species), although one grass species (*Brachypodium retusum*) persisted (with low cover) on the 60-year-old plots.

#### Dispersal strategy

Of all the species recorded in the study area, most were dispersed by gravity (43%) or by wind (42%); a few were considered ectozoochorous (9%), endozoochorous (3%) and mirmecochorous (3%) species. A similar ranking was observed for mean species richness at the plot level (Table 1). Mean plant cover was highest for endozoochory (54%) and anemochory (45%), intermediate for barochory (37%) and relatively low for ectozoochory (12%) and mirmecochory (1%). In all cases, the variability of these values was very large (Table 1). The cover pattern for each dispersal strategy along the time gradient since abandon-




Figure 4. Cover (%) of plant species with different dispersal strategy along successional gradient. Fitted lines are the significant non-linear regressions (see Table 2 for more details).

ment was only significant for anemochorous and ectozoochorous species (Figure 4; Table 2). Ectozoochorous species only appeared at early stages of suc-

cession (< 15 years after abandonment). Anemochorous species peaked at approximately intermediate stages, and barochory occurred at all stages without




Figure 5. Richness (on 100 m<sup>2</sup>-plots) of plant species with different dispersal strategy along successional gradient. Fitted lines are the significant non-linear regressions (see Table 2 for more details).

any clear pattern. Endozoochory showed no statistical pattern either but tended to increase with time.

Species richness of the different dispersal strategies showed different patterns along the abandonment age gradient (Figure 5, Table 2). Anemochory and ecto-

zoochory species richness peaked at the early stages of the analysed period (< 15 years). Barochory species richness increased during the first 20 years and then was maintained. Mirmecochoy and endozoochory did not show any clear pattern. The number of



dispersal types did not show a clear trend along the land abandonment gradient.

## Discussion

Our results suggest that total plant cover in semi-arid Mediterranean systems is not dependent on abandonment age, and high cover values can be found at any time following abandonment. The results also suggest that, even in semi-arid conditions (annual rainfall ca. 300 mm), total species richness shows a peak at early stages of the chronosequence (Fig 1). The early peak pattern in richness appears to be in conflict with the decreasing pattern of species richness found by Debussche et al. (1996) in mesic Mediterranean conditions. However, their study did not include old-fields younger than ca. 20 years, and so they were not able to detect the pattern at early abandonment stages. In this sense, our results complement those by Debussche et al. (1996). In fact, the original work by Escarré et al. (1983) showed a peak in richness at early stages (< 20 years). This pattern may be attributable to the importance of immigration processes during the early stages of succession and the dominance of extinction processes after ca. 15 years since abandonment. The peak in richness described by Taton and Roche (1994) for an abandonment gradient on terraces in Provence (Mediterranean southern France) showed maximum values at intermediate stages on an ordination gradient, but without any reference to age since abandonment.

A comparison of the trends in species richness indicates that the richness peak is the result of the coexistence of annual and biennial species with perennial forbs, some grasses, and woody plants during the first decades of the chronosequence (Figure 2, Figure 3). Although all four life forms coexist, there is a tendency towards life-form replacement, as shown by the displacement of the peak (annuals, forbs, grasses, and woody species, consecutively) when looking at the different life forms (Figure 2). Annuals and forbs showed more markedly unimodal responses than perennial grasses and woody species, indicating that the transition between life forms is more abrupt between pioneers and the rest, as pointed out by Brown (1992) when comparing different succession case studies. Seed bank composition plays an important role in the peak of annuals and forbs at early stages of abandonment because many of these

species are ruderals or weeds present as seeds in the agricultural fallows (Baskin and Baskin 1998).

The main difference observed between this pattern and the classic replacement patterns suggested for mesic Mediterranean ecosystems (Houssard et al. 1980; Escarré et al. 1983) has to do with the time lag in which these changes occur. In our case, about 45% of total woody species richness is reached 10 years after abandonment (Figure 3), while in mesic Mediterranean conditions (southern France), woody species reached less than 20% of total richness at this time (Escarré et al. 1983). This pattern could be partially attributed to an early colonisation of some shrub species (e.g., *Rhamnus lycioides*) through the facilitation of bird-dispersed seeds by cultivated trees acting as perches (McDonnell and Stiles 1983; Ne'eman and Izhaki 1996; Verdú and García-Fayos 1996, 1998). In fact, most (ca. 90%) of the endozoochorous species were woody, and they were present throughout the entire chronosequence without showing any period of dominance; this pattern is similar to the one reported in Near-East vineyards (Ne'eman and Izhaki 1996). It is interesting that woody species seem to appear earlier in dry than in mesic conditions. This may be attributable to the lower competition for woody species seedlings in drier conditions (higher site availability) than in mesic ones where a thick herbaceous layer is common (Keeley et al. 1981; Davis et al. 1998; Vilà and Sardans 1999). Moreover, some old-field chronosequences in non-Mediterranean conditions (e.g., east-central Minnesota, USA, Lawson et al. 1999;) did not show any successional trend in the abundance of woody species.

The high species richness at early stages of the chronosequence could also be inferred from coexistence of different dispersal types (Hovestadt et al. 2000). The recently abandoned fields had more anemochorous and ectozoochorous species than the earlier abandoned (older) fields, supporting the shift in dominance due to differences in dispersal mode as predicted by the 'relay floristics' model (Egler 1954; Myster 1993). However, barochory, endozoochory and mirmecochory were also present at the beginning of the chronosequence.

Several authors have found that wind is a more important dispersal vector in early successional stages, while dispersal by animals becomes important only at later successional stages (Houssard et al. 1980; Hodgson and Grime 1990). Our results in anemochory trends are consistent with these observations. However, when analysing animal-dispersed

species in our study, we found that ectozoochorous species are mainly associated with young stages of the chronosequence. Endozoochorous (bird-dispersed) species are also present at early stages, but did not show a clear trend along the abandonment time gradient. Previous observations in other Mediterranean old-fields (Debussche et al. 1982; Verdú and García-Fayos 1998), indicated that bird-dispersed species were important at early stages.

The results also support the idea that patterns related to plant species interactions are more interpretable when the species are segregated into different functional groups (Pausas and Austin 2001). For example, both cover and richness showed differential patterns and improved prediction (higher explained variance) when species were separated by life form. Although life forms are crude functional types, they have been suggested as a first approximation for classifying species by function (Lavorel et al. 1997). The increase in predictability achieved by subdividing total species richness into different plant types has also been observed in different ecosystems (Nilsson et al. 1989; Moore and Keddy 1989; Pausas 1994; Pausas and Carreras 1995; Austin et al. 1996; Leathwick et al. 1998; Pausas et al. 1999). Functional classifications like life forms can be useful tools for prediction and for inter-regional comparisons (Pausas and Lavorel 2003) of richness and cover trends along successional gradients.

Although we found clear patterns of richness and cover in relation to abandonment age, the models could be improved by considering the different conditions of the old-fields. Small differences in environmental conditions (e.g., altitude, soil type), type and tree-density of the old crops (e.g., Ne'eman and Izhaki 1996; Bonet 2004), and different disturbance regimes (mainly grazing), should be considered for a better understanding of the mechanisms driving patterns through abandonment age and succession (Bonet, 2004).

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge some field data provided by Antonio de la Torre and María Cremades. Early versions of the manuscript were improved by valuable comments from S. Díaz, A. van der Valk and an anonymous reviewer. The research for this paper was partially funded by the Aquadapt EU Project (EVK1-CT-2001-00104), the Spanish *Ministerio de*

*Ciencia y Tecnología* (CICYT project REN2000/0529HID), and the Valencia Government, *Conselleria de Cultura, Educació i Ciència, Generalitat Valenciana* (project GV97-RN14-4). CEAM is funded by *Generalitat Valenciana* and *Bancaixa*.

## Appendix

*Table A1.* Complete list of the species found on the plots. Raunkiaer's life form: Ch = chamaephyte; H = hemicryptophyte; P = phanerophyte; Th = therophyte. Considered life forms: A = annual or biennial; F = perennial forb; G = perennial grass; W = woody (d = dwarf scrub, s = shrub, t = tree). Main dispersal strategy: a = anemochory; b = barochory; e = ectozoochory; n = endozoochory; m = mirmecochory. Nomenclature follows Mateo and Crespo (1998).

Species name	Raunkiaer's life form	Life form	Dispersal strategy
<i>Aegilops geniculata</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Aizoon hispanicum</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Ajuga chamaepitys</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Ajuga iva</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Allium ampeloprasum</i>	G	F	b
<i>Amaranthus blitoides</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Amaranthus muricatus</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Anacyclus clavatus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Anacyclus valentinus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Anagallis arvensis</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Anthyllis cytisoides</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Anthyllis terniflora</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Argyrolobium zannoni</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Aristolochia pistolochia</i>	G	F	b
<i>Artemisia barrelieri</i>	P	Wd	a
<i>Artemisia herba-alba</i>	P	Wd	a
<i>Asparagus horridus</i>	Ch	Wd	n
<i>Asperula aristata ssp. scabra</i>	H	F	a
<i>Asphodelus fistulosus</i>	G	F	b
<i>Asphodelus ramosus</i>	G	F	b
<i>Aster squamatus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Astragalus hispanicus</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Atractylis cancellata</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Atractylis humilis</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Avena barbata</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Avenula bromoides</i>	H	G	a
<i>Ballota hirsuta</i>	H	F	e
<i>Beta vulgaris ssp. maritima</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Brachypodium distachyon</i>	H	G	a
<i>Brachypodium phoenicoides</i>	H	G	b
<i>Brachypodium retusum</i>	H	F	a
<i>Brassica fruticulosa</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Bromus diandrus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Bromus madritensis</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Bromus rigidus</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Bromus rubens</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Bupleurum frutescens</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Calendula arvensis</i>	Th	A	e

Table A1. Continued.

Species name	Raunkiaer's life form	Life form	Dispersal strategy
<i>Cardaria draba</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Carduus bourgeanus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Carduus tenuifolius</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Carex halleriana</i>	H	G	a
<i>Carrichtera annua</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Carthamus lanatus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Centaurea aspera ssp. stenophylla</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Centaurea calcitrapa</i>	H	F	a
<i>Centaurea melitensis</i>	H	F	a
<i>Chenopodium album</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Chenopodium murale</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Chenopodium vulvaria</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Chrozophora tinctoria</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	H	F	a
<i>Cistus albidus</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Cistus clusii</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Convolvulus althaeoides</i>	H	F	b
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Convolvulus lanuginosus</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Coronilla minima ssp. lotoides</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Crepis vesicaria</i>	H	F	a
<i>Cuscuta epithymum</i>	Th	F	b
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	H	G	a
<i>Cynoglossum ternifolium</i>	H	F	b
<i>Dactylis glomerata ssp. hispanica</i>	H	G	a
<i>Daucus carota</i>	H	F	e
<i>Descurainia sophia</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Diplotaxis erucoides</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Dittrichia viscosa</i>	P	Wd	a
<i>Dorycnium pentaphyllum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Echium creticum ssp. coynicianum</i>	H	F	b
<i>Ephedra fragilis</i>	P	Ws	n
<i>Erica multiflora</i>	P	Ws	b
<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	H	F	e
<i>Erodium malacoides</i>	H	F	e
<i>Eruca vesicaria</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Eryngium campestre</i>	H	F	e
<i>Euphorbia exigua</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia falcata</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia helioscopia</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia lagascae</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia mariolensis</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia segetalis</i>	Th	A	m
<i>Euphorbia serrata</i>	Ch	F	m
<i>Fagonia cretica</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Festuca capillifolia</i>	H	G	a
<i>Festuca valentina</i>	H	G	a
<i>Filago pyramidata</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Foeniculum vulgare ssp. piperitum</i>	H	F	a

Table A1. Continued.

Species name	Raunkiaer's life form	Life form	Dispersal strategy
<i>Fumana ericoides</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Fumana hispidula</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Fumana laevis</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Fumana thymifolia</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Fumaria parviflora</i>	H	F	b
<i>Galactites tomentosa</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Galium frutescens</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Galium verrucosum</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Genista scorpius</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Globularia alypum</i>	P	Wd	a
<i>Halogeton sativus</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Hammada articulata</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Haplophyllum linifolium</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helianthemum cinereum ssp. rotundifolium</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helianthemum syriacum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helianthemum violaceum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helianthemum hirtum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helianthemum squamatum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Helichrysum decumbens</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Helichrysum stoechas</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Helictotrichon filifolium</i>	H	G	a
<i>Hippocrepis ciliata</i>	Ch	F	e
<i>Hordeum murinum ssp. leporinum</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i>	H	G	a
<i>Hyparrhenia sinaica</i>	H	G	a
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	H	F	b
<i>Iberis hegelmairei</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Juniperus oxycedrus</i>	P	Ws	n
<i>Kochia scoparia</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Koeleria vallesiana</i>	H	G	a
<i>Lamarckia aurea</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Lavandula latifolia</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Lavatera arborea</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Lavatera cretica</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Leontodon logirostris</i>	H	F	a
<i>Leuzea confiera</i>	H	F	a
<i>Linum narbonense</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Linum strictum</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Linum suffruticosum</i>	H	F	b
<i>Lithodora fruticosa</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Lolium rigidum</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Lophocloa cristata</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Lygeum spartum</i>	H	G	a
<i>Malcolmia africana</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Malva hispanica</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Malva neglecta</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Malva parviflora</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Marrubium vulgare</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Matthiola fruticulosa</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Medicago arabica</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Medicago littoralis</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Medicago sativa</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Melilotus sulcata</i>	Th	A	e
<i>Mercurialis tomentosa</i>	Ch	Wd	b

Table A1. Continued.

Species name	Raunkiaer's life form	Life form	Dispersal strategy
<i>Misopates orontium</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Moricandia arvensis</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Muscari neglectum</i>	G	F	b
<i>Onobrychis stenorrhiza</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Ononis fruticosa</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Ononis minutissima</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Ononis sicula</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Onopordum macracanthus</i>	H	F	a
<i>Ophrys apifera</i>	G	F	a
<i>Ophrys fusca</i>	G	F	a
<i>Orobanche sp</i>	G	F	a
<i>Pallenis spinosa</i>	H	F	a
<i>Papaver rhoeas</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Paronychia argentea</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Phagnalon rupestre</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Phagnalon saxatile</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Phlomis lychnitis</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	P	Wt	a
<i>Piptatherum miliaceum</i>	H	G	a
<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	P	Ws	n
<i>Plantago afra</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Plantago albicans</i>	Ch	F	e
<i>Plantago coronopus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Plantago lagopus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Plantago sempervirens</i>	H	F	a
<i>Poa annua</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Polygala rupestris</i>	H	F	b
<i>Potentilla reptans</i>	H	F	b
<i>Psoralea bituminosa</i>	Ch	Wd	e
<i>Quercus coccifera</i>	P	Ws	n
<i>Rapistrum rugosum</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Reichardia intermedia</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Reichardia tingitana</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Reseda lutea</i>	H	F	a
<i>Reseda phyteuma</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Reseda undata</i>	H	F	a
<i>Rhamnus lycioides</i>	P	Ws	n
<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Rubia peregrina</i>	H	F	n
<i>Ruta angustifolia</i>	H	F	a
<i>Salsola genistoides</i>	P	Ws	a
<i>Salvia verbenaca</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	H	F	e
<i>Santolina chamaecyparissus ssp. squarrosa</i>	P	Wd	a
<i>Scabiosa atropurpurea</i>	H	F	e
<i>Schismus barbatus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Scirpus holoschoenus</i>	H	G	a
<i>Scorpiurus sulcatus</i>	Ch	F	e
<i>Scorzonera angustifolia</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Scorzonera laciniata</i>	H	F	a
<i>Sedum sediforme</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Senecio malacitanus</i>	Ch	F	a
<i>Senecio vulgaris</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Sideritis angustifolia</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Sideritis leucantha</i>	Ch	Wd	b

Table A1. Continued.

Species name	Raunkiaer's life form	Life form	Dispersal strategy
<i>Silene mellifera</i>	Ch	F	b
<i>Silene vulgaris</i>	H	F	b
<i>Sisymbrium irio</i>	Th	A	b
<i>Sonchus asper</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Sonchus oleraceus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Sonchus tenerrimus</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Staelhelina dubia</i>	Ch	Wd	a
<i>Stipa capensis</i>	Th	A	a
<i>Stipa offneri</i>	H	G	a
<i>Stipa parviflora</i>	H	G	a
<i>Stipa tenacissima</i>	H	G	a
<i>Teucrium capitatum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Teucrium carolipauli</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Teucrium homotrichum</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Teucrium pseudochamaepitys</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Thymelaea argentata</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Thymelaea hirsuta</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Thymus moroderi</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>	Ch	Wd	b
<i>Ulex parviflorus</i>	P	Wd	b
<i>Vicia peregrina</i>	H	F	b
<i>Vitis vinifera</i>	P	Wd	n
<i>Vulpia ciliata</i>	Th	A	a

## References

- Auclair A.N. and Goff F.G. 1971. Diversity relations of upland forests in the Western Great Lakes area. *Am. Nat.* 105: 499–528.
- Austin M.P., Pausas J.G. and Nicholls A.O. 1996. Patterns of tree species richness in relation to environment in southeastern New South Wales, Australia. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 21: 154–164.
- Barber A., Cabrera M.R. and Guardiola I. 1997. Sobre la cultura de l'espart al territori valencià. Fundació Bancaixa, Valencia, España.
- Baskin C.C. and Baskin J.M. 1998. Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. Academic Press, San Diego, London. 666 pp.
- Bazzaz F.A. 1975. Plant species diversity in old-field successional ecosystems in southern Illinois. *Ecology* 56: 485–488.
- Bellot J., Bonet A., Sánchez J.R. and Chirino E. 2001. Likely effects of land use changes on the runoff and aquifer recharge in semiarid landscape using a hydrological model. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 55: 41–53.
- Bonet A. 1997. Efectos del abandono de los cultivos sobre la vegetación en la cuenca del Alt Llobregat (Barcelona). Relación con factores ambientales y de usos del suelo. *Ecología* 11: 91–104.
- Bonet A. 2004. Secondary succession on semi-arid Mediterranean old-fields in South-eastern Spain: insights for conservation and restoration of degraded lands. *Journal of Arid Environments* 75: 213–233.
- Bonet A., Peña J., Bellot J., Cremades M. and Sánchez J.R. 2001. Changing vegetation patterns in semi-arid Spain. In: Villacampa Y., Brebbia C.A. and Usó J.L. (eds), *Ecosystems and Sustain-*

- able Development III, *Advances in Ecological Studies* 10, 377–385. Wit Press, Southampton, UK.
- Brown V.K. 1992. Plant succession and life history strategy. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 7: 143–144.
- Christensen N.L. and Peet R.K. 1984. Convergence during secondary forest succession. *J. Ecol.* 72: 25–36.
- Davis M.A., Wrage K.J. and Reich P.B. 1998. Competition between tree seedlings and herbaceous vegetation: support a theory of resource supply and demand. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 652–661.
- Debussche M., Escarré J. and Lepart J. 1982. Ornithochory and plant succession in Mediterranean orchards. *Vegetatio* 48: 255–266.
- Debussche M., Escarré J., Lepart J., Houssard C. and Lavorel S. 1996. Changes in Mediterranean plant succession: old-fields revised. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 7: 519–526.
- Draper N.R. and Smith H. 1981. *Applied Regression Analysis*. 2nd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA.
- During H.J. and Willems J.H. 1984. Diversity models applied to a chalk grassland. *Vegetatio* 57: 103–114.
- Egler F.E. 1954. Vegetation science concepts I. Initial floristic composition. A factor in old-field vegetation development. *Vegetatio* 4: 412–417.
- Escarré J., Houssard C. and Debussche M. 1983. Évolution de la végétation et du sol après abandon cultural en région méditerranéenne: étude de succession dans le Garrigues du Montpel-liérais (France). *Acta Oecol.* 4: 221–239.
- Grime J.P. 1973. Competitive exclusion in herbaceous vegetation. *Nature* 242: 344–347.
- Hodgson J.G. and Grime J.P. 1990. The role of dispersal mechanisms, regenerative strategies and seed banks in the vegetation dynamics of the British landscape. In: Bunce R.G.H. and Howard D.C. (eds), *Species Dispersal in Agricultural Habitats*. Belhaven Press, London, UK, pp. 65–81.
- Houssard C., Escarré J. and Romane F. 1980. Development of species diversity in some Mediterranean plant communities. *Vegetatio* 43: 59–72.
- Hovestadt T., Poethke H.J. and Messner S. 2000. Variability in dispersal distances generates typical successional patterns: a simple simulation model. *Oikos* 90: 612–619.
- Huston M.A. 1979. A general hypothesis of species diversity. *Am. Nat.* 113: 81–101.
- Huston M.A. 1994. *Biological Diversity. The Coexistence of Species on Changing Landscapes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 681 pp.
- Keeley S., Keeley J.E., Hutchinson S.M. and Johnson A.W. 1981. Postfire succession of the herbaceous flora in southern California chaparral. *Ecology* 62: 1608–1621.
- Lavorel S., Lepart J., Debussche M., Lebreton J.D. and Befly J.L. 1994. Small scale disturbances and the maintenance of species diversity in Mediterranean old fields. *Oikos* 70: 455–473.
- Lavorel S., McIntyre S., Landsberg J.J. and Forbes T.D.A. 1997. Plant functional classifications: from general groups to specific groups based on response to disturbance. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 12: 474–478.
- Lawson D., Inouye R.S., Huntly N. and Carson W.P. 1999. Patterns of woody plant abundance, recruitment, mortality, and growth in a 65-year chronosequence of old-fields. *Plant Ecology* 145: 267–279.
- Lubchenco J. 1978. Plant species diversity in a marine intertidal community: importance of herbivore food preference and algal competitive abilities. *Am. Nat.* 112: 23–39.
- Maestre F.T., Bautista S. and Cortina J. 2003. Positive, negative, and net effects in grass-shrub interactions in Mediterranean semiarid grasslands. *Ecology* 83, in press.
- Margaris N.S., Koutsidou E. and Gioura Ch. 1996. Changes in traditional Mediterranean land-use systems. In: Brandt J. and Thornes J.B. (eds), *Mediterranean desertification and land use*, 29–42. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Martínez-Fernández J., López-Bermúdez F., Martínez-Fernández J. and Romero-Díaz A. 1995. Land use and soil-vegetation relationships in a Mediterranean ecosystem: El Ardal, Murcia, Spain. *Catena* 25: 153–167.
- Mateo G. and Crespo M.B. 1998. *Manual para la determinación de la flora valenciana*. Ed. Monogr. Flora Montiber 3, Valencia, España. 483 pp.
- McDonnell M.J. and Stiles E.W. 1983. The structural complexity of old field vegetation and their recruitment of bird-dispersed plant species. *Oecologia* 56: 109–116.
- Montalvo J., Casado M.A., Levassor C. and Pineda F.D. 1995. The strategies of ecological succession: theoretical insights from experimentally disturbed ecosystems. In: Bellan D., Bonin G. and Emig C. (eds), *Functioning and dynamics of natural and perturbed ecosystems*. Lavoisier Publs., Intercept Ltd., Paris, France. pp.231–261.
- Moore D.R.J. and Keddy P.A. 1989. The relationship between species richness and standing crop in wetlands: the importance of scale. *Vegetatio* 79: 99–106.
- Molinier R. and Müller P. 1938. La dissémination des especes végétales. *Communication Sigma* 94, Montpellier, France.
- Myster R.W. 1993. Tree invasion and establishment in old-fields at Hutchinson Memorial Forest. *Bot. Rev.* 59: 251–272.
- Ne'eman G. and Izhaki I. 1996. Colonization in abandoned East Mediterranean vineyard. *J. Veg. Sci.* 7: 465–472.
- Noy-Meir I. 1973. Desert ecosystems: environment and producers. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 4: 25–51.
- Pausas J.G. 1994. Species richness patterns in the understorey of Pyrenean *Pinus sylvestris* forest. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 5: 517–524.
- Pausas J.G. in press. Changes in fire and climate in the eastern Iberian Peninsula (Mediterranean basin). *Climatic Change*.
- Pausas J.G. and Carreras J. 1995. The effect of bedrock type, temperature and moisture on species richness of Pyrenean Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) forests. *Vegetatio* 116: 85–92.
- Pausas J.G. and Vallejo V.R. 1999. The role of fire in European Mediterranean ecosystems. In: Chuvieco E. (ed.), *Remote sensing of large wildfires in the European Mediterranean basin*. pp. 3–16. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Pausas J.G. and Austin M.P. 2001. Patterns of plant species richness in relation to different environments: An appraisal. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 12: 153–166.
- Pausas J.G. and Lavorel S. 2003. A hierarchical deductive approach for functional types in disturbed ecosystems. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 14 (3): 409–416.
- Pausas J.G., Carbó E., Caturra R.N., Gil J.M. and Vallejo V.R. 1999. Post-fire regeneration patterns in the Eastern Iberian Peninsula. *Acta Oecol.* 20: 499–508.
- Peco B., Sánchez G. Casado M.A. and Pineda F.D. 1991. Dinamismo de la diversidad y estructura espacial de pastizales mediterráneos periódicamente perturbados. In: Pineda F.D., Casado M.A., de Miguel J.M. and Montalvo J. (eds), *Diversidad biológica/Biological diversity*. F. Areces-ADENA-SCOPE, Madrid, Italy. pp. 215–218.



- Peet R.K. 1978. Forest vegetation of the Colorado Front Range: patterns of species diversity. *Vegetatio* 37: 65–78.
- Peet R.K. and Christensen N.L. 1988. Changes in species diversity during secondary forest succession on the North Carolina Piedmont. In: Daring H.J., Werger M.J.A. and Willems J.H. (eds), *Diversity and pattern in plant communities*. pp. 233–245. SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Pugnaire F.I. and Luque M.T. 2001. Changes in plant interactions along a gradient of environmental stress. *Oikos* 93: 42–49.
- Rego F.C. 1992. Land use changes and wildfires. In: Teller A., Mathy P., Jeffers J.N.R. (eds), *Response of forest fires to environmental change*. Elsevier, London, UK, 367–373.
- Scales L. E. 1985. *Introduction to Non-linear Optimization*. Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, USA.
- Schelesinger W.H., Reynolds J.F., Cunningham G.L., Huenneke L.F., Jarrell W.M., Virginia R.A. and Whitford W.G. 1990. Biological feedbacks in global desertification. *Science* 247: 1043–1048.
- Sokal R.R. and Rohlf F.J. 1995. *Biometry*, 3rd. ed. W. H. Freeman and Co., New York, New York, USA.
- Tatoni T. and Roche P. 1994. Comparison of old-field and forest revegetation dynamics in Provence. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 5: 295–302.
- Tilman D. 1982. *Resource competition and community structure*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
- Tilman D. 1985. The resource-ratio hypothesis of plant succession. *Am. Nat.* 125: 827–852.
- van der Pijl L. 1972. *Principles of Dispersal on Higher Plants*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- van der Valk A. 1992. Establishment, colonization and persistence. In: Glenn-Lewin D.C., Peet R.K. and Veblen T.T. (eds), *Plant succession: Theory and prediction*. Chapman and Hall, London, UK. pp. 60–102.
- Verdú M. and García-Fayos P. 1996. Nucleation processes in a Mediterranean bird-dispersed plant. *Funct. Ecol.* 10: 275–280.
- Verdú M. and García-Fayos P. 1998. Old-field colonization by *Daphne gnidium*: seedling distribution and spatial dependence at different scales. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 9: 713–718.
- Vilà M. and Sardans J. 1999. Plant competition in mediterranean-type vegetation. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 10: 281–294.
- Wheeler B.D. and Giller K.E. 1982. Species richness of herbaceous fen vegetation in Broadland, Norfolk in relation to the quantity of above-ground plant material. *J. Ecol.* 70: 179–200.
- Willson M.F. 1993. Dispersal mode, seed shadows, and colonization patterns. *Vegetatio* 107/108: 261–280.
- Wilson S.D. and Keddy P.A. 1988. Species richness, survivorship, and biomass accumulation along an environmental gradient. *Oikos* 53: 375–380.
- Wisheu I.C. and Keddy P.A. 1989. Species richness-standing crop relationships along four lakeshore gradients: constraints on the general model. *Can. J. Bot.* 67: 1609–1617.
- Wright S.J. 1992. Seasonal drought, soil fertility and species density of tropical forest plant communities. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 7: 260–263.