Are wildfires a disaster in the Mediterranean basin? – A review
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Abstract. Evolutionary and paleoecological studies suggest that fires are natural in the Mediterranean basin. However, the important increase in the number of fires and area burned during the 20th century has created the perception that fires are disasters. In the present paper, we review to what extent fires are generating ecological disasters in the Mediterranean basin, in view of current fire regimes and the long-term human pressure on the landscapes. Specifically, we review studies on post-fire plant regeneration and soil losses. The review suggests that although many Mediterranean ecosystems are highly resilient to fire (shrublands and oak forest), some are fire-sensitive (e.g. pine woodlands). Observed erosion rates are, in some cases, relatively high, especially in high fire severity conditions. The sensitive ecosystems (in the sense of showing strong post-fire vegetation changes and soil losses) are mostly of human origin (e.g. extensive pine plantations in old fields). Thus, although many Mediterranean basin plants have traits to cope with fire, a large number of the ecosystems currently found in this region are strongly altered, and may suffer disasters. Post-fire disasters are not the rule, but they may be important under conditions of previous human disturbances.
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Introduction

Fire plays an important role in structuring many communities worldwide (Bond et al. 2005). In the Mediterranean basin (MB), there is evidence that fires were frequent during the late Quaternary (Carrón et al. 2003), and they were also probably frequent much earlier, as many species have acquired adaptive mechanisms to persist and regenerate after recurrent fires (Pausas et al. 2004a; Pausas and Verdú 2005). Even at community level, the phylogenetic biodiversity of MB plants has been sorted out as a function of the fire regime (Verdú and Pausas 2007; Pausas and Verdú 2008), that is, MB biodiversity has been structured according to the different fire regimes. Thus, it is clear that in the MB, fires are natural, they have occurred for millennia, and plants have the capacity to cope with them. In this framework, fires should not be considered an ecological disaster but rather a part of the natural process. However, some studies suggest that current fire regimes may cause disasters in the sense of inducing abrupt community changes (Kazanis and Arianotsou 2004; Rodrigo et al. 2004; de Luis et al. 2006; Arnau et al. 2007) or important soil losses (Marquès and Mora 1992; de Luis et al. 2005). Furthermore, wildfires introduce a high risk of direct damage to humans and structures in most of the highly populated Mediterranean countries, and especially in coastal regions. Large wildfires are relatively new in the recent history of the Mediterranean basin; therefore, people see them as catastrophic events and the media amplify this perception.

To evaluate the possible role of fire in generating disasters, we first need to frame MB landscapes in their historical and cultural context. One of the most striking differences between the MB and other Mediterranean-type ecosystems is its millenary history of intensive and extensive land use. In fact, current landscapes and fire regimes in the MB cannot be understood without considering this millenary impact (Naveh 1975; Pausas 1999). Many cultures have evolved in the MB (e.g. Mesopotamian, Egyptian, Phoenician, Jewish, Greek, Arab and Roman), with many political conflicts (wars, changes in land ownership, migrations) that generated numerous socioeconomic and land-use changes. Millennia of severe pressures resulting in burning, cutting and grazing non-arable lands, and clearing (including uprooting), terracing, and cultivating arable areas have created a vast array of strongly human-modified landscapes. A large proportion of Mediterranean landscapes include terraced slopes, built for agricultural purposes long ago. Human-induced savannas (dehesas) and grasslands, with millenary grazing pressure by livestock, are also very important; pine plantations occur everywhere. The result of all this is that current Mediterranean landscapes are very far from being wild.

In recent decades and especially on the northern (European) rim of the Mediterranean, industrialisation and rural exodus have led to the abandonment of many fields, increasing the cover and continuity of early succession species (many of which are very flammable), and changing the landscape pattern and the fire
area burned (ha year$^{-1}$) and annual number of fires (year$^{-1}$) in the province of Valencia (eastern Spain), from 1874 to 2003 by decades (except for two periods, 1954–61 and 1968–73, in which information was not available for whole decade). Updated from Pausas (2004).

Fig. 1. Average annual area burned (bars, ha year$^{-1}$) and annual number of fires (dots and lines, in log scale) in the province of Valencia (eastern Spain), from 1874 to 2003 by decades (except for two periods, 1954–61 and 1968–73, in which information was not available for whole decade). Updated from Pausas (2004).

regime (Moreira et al. 2001; Pausas 2004). This farmland abandonment implies the buildup of large and continuous fuel beds that are prone to burn, while natural grazers are absent. Furthermore, many of these old fields have been covered by extensive pine plantations during the last decades. It is assumed that land-use change is the main driver of the increases in the number of wildfires and area burned in recent decades (Pausas 2004), although the influence of climatic changes cannot be denied (Piñol et al. 1998; Pausas 2004); indeed, the drier the summer, the larger the area burned that year (Pausas 2004). In addition, semi-urban populations are also increasing in rural areas, often in old fields, contributing to the risk of fire ignitions. As a consequence, both the number of ignitions and the area affected by fire increased exponentially from the 60s to the 80s (Figs 1 and 2; Moreno et al. 1998; Pausas and Vallejo 1999; Pausas 2004). Furthermore, another trend in fire regime changes is starting to be observed: the increase in crown fires in areas that were not traditionally subject to this type of fires, like some montane (sub-Mediterranean) areas (Fig. 3).

In the present paper, we review to what extent fires are generating ecological disasters in the MB, in view of its current fire regimes and its long human history (strongly human-modified landscapes). We only review ecosystems under Mediterranean-type climate, and we focus especially on the western part of the MB because it is the best documented area, although published examples from the east are also included. We define disaster as ecosystem degradation, such as irreversible soil losses or strong vegetation changes (e.g. losses of dominant or keystone species). Nutrient losses are not considered here as they usually have no direct short-term drastic effects on ecosystem degradation. Fauna changes due to fire are also omitted as they may require a very different approach. Nomenclature of plant species follows Tutin et al. (1964–1980) except for Quercus ilex L. ssp. ballota (Desf.) Samp. (= Q. rotundifolia) and Q. calliprinos Webb (often included in Q. coccifera).

Fig. 2. Evolution of the number of fires (in log scale) during the last decades in different Mediterranean regions (Spain, eastern Spain, Morocco, Greece) and in Europe. Modified from Pausas (2004).

Vegetation changes
Shrublands dominated by resprouting species

Broad-leaved evergreen shrublands dominated by resprouting species are very common in the MB. One of the most typical is the garrigue, a community dominated by the shrubby Quercus...
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many species, there is no information at all. Furthermore, there only the species for which some information is available; for Paula 2005) suggests that the resprouting capacity is unclear or an ongoing database on fire traits for the MB (Pausas and highly sensitive to fire interval or fire severity. For instance, in detail, and many species considered weak resprouters may be Chamaephytes and López-Soria (1998) clipped *Arbutus unedo* and *Erica scoparia* and found evidence of nutrient and starch depletion after eight clippings over 26 months. Paula and Ojeda (2006) found evidence of reduced resprouting in three Mediterranean *Erica* species (*E. arborea*, *E. scoparia*, *E. australis*) after six recurrent clipping events (every 6 months). All these cases show the negative effect of a high disturbance frequency. These studies provide indications of the different resprouting capacities and may help to predict changes in community structure under management (e.g. in fuel breaks and prescribed fires). However, these elevated frequencies are probably unrealistic in the context of natural fire regimes, as there is not enough time between disturbances for fuels to build up. Experiments on *E. australis* suggest that although resprouting decreases after a severe experimental reduction of lignotuber carbohydrates, reserve limitation might not be critical under normal conditions (Cruz et al. 2003).

There are also some examples of an increase in the occurrence of some resprouting species with fire frequency. This is the case, for instance, of the tussock grass *Amphelodesmos mauritanica*, a strong resprouter that accumulates large amounts of fine and dead fuel. The seed burst that this species produces after fire permits an increase in population size (Vilà et al. 2001; Lloret et al. 2003). Similar processes are observed in other perennial grasses (Caturía et al. 2000).

However, the resprouting capacity of many species (mainly shrubs or scrubs, i.e. Chamaephytes) has not been studied in detail, and many species considered weak resprouters may be highly sensitive to fire interval or fire severity. For instance, an ongoing database on fire traits for the MB (Pausas and Paula 2005) suggests that the resprouting capacity is unclear or ambiguous between different reference sources in ~35% of the scrub species and in ~20% of the shrubs. These figures include only the species for which some information is available; for many species, there is no information at all. Furthermore, there are some species that seem to lose their resprouting capacity with age, such as *Cytisus striatus* (Moreno 1998) or *Calluna vulgaris* (Giningham 1972). In addition, some other species increase their resprouting capacity with size, such as *Erica arborea* and *Phyllocladus angustifolia* (Moreno et al. 2004). There are also some regional differences in resprouting ability; for instance, in the Iberian peninsula, *Juniperus oxycedrus* is a very good resprouter in the east coast populations ( López Soria and Castell 1992; Quevedo et al. 2007), but fails to resprout after fire in the central populations (J. M. Moreno, pers. comm.). Thus, although there is no evidence of a general reduction in the resprouting species due to fire, more work is needed to encompass the whole diversity of resprouting species. The simple dichotomy of resprouters vs. non-resprouters has been a very useful concept in fire studies (Pausas et al. 2004a), but it may hide some nuances for understanding the details of fire ecology processes.

**Shrublands dominated by non-resprouting species**

Fire-prone communities may be dominated by shrubs that do not resprout after fire. In these cases, most populations survive a fire by having a seed bank that persists after fire (e.g. hard-coated seeds), thus allowing post-fire recruitment (Arianoutsou and Thanos 1996; Ferrandis et al. 1999; Baeza and Vallejo 2006). Consequently, most non-resprouters growing in fire-prone ecosystems are seeder species (also called post-fire recruiters). In fact, the germination of many of these species is stimulated by the heat of the fire (e.g. breaking seed dormancy) (see a review by Paula and Pausas 2008) or, in some cases, by other fire products such as smoke or charred wood (Pérez-Fernández and Rodríguez-Echeverría 2003; Crosti et al. 2006), and thus the population size is often increased in post-fire conditions. These species are also highly flammable and more drought-resistant than resprouting species (Paula and Pausas 2006; Saura-Mas and Lloret 2007); not only do they appear after fire, but they also colonise old fields and highly degraded ecosystems.

In general, these communities regenerate well after fire (e.g. Tárrega et al. 1998), although changes in species dominance have been reported (Moreno 1998; de Luis et al. 2006). Because their regeneration relies on the seed bank and the recruitment of new individuals, these communities are more sensitive to post-fire weather conditions ( Quintana et al. 2004), and community age (which determines the size of the seed bank of each species).

In these communities, and because non-resprouting plants die after fire, root system recovery is much slower than in the case of resprouter communities (where root systems are almost unaffected by fire). This implies that the chances of soil (and seed) loss are higher than in communities dominated by resprouters. This fact may be important because the peak of torrential rainfalls in many MB areas occurs in autumn, just after the fire season. Extreme precipitation events do not affect seed loss and seedling emergence in non-resprouting *Ulex parviflorus* (Mediterranean gorse) shrublands; however, they often reduce seedling survival by causing seedlings to be either buried by sediment or unearthed (de Luis et al. 2005). Furthermore, extreme rainfall events may also reduce the grass cover, which is important for post-fire soil protection (de Luis et al. 2004). Thus, the combination of fire and torrential rainfall events may contribute to reducing regeneration and increasing degradation processes.
in these shrublands, even if the dominant species possess traits enhancing regeneration after fire.

Shrub species that neither resprout nor recruit after fire are rare in the fire-prone ecosystems of the Mediterranean basin. Because of their sensitivity to fire and their slow post-fire colonisation capacity, these species are usually restricted to areas where fires are uncommon, like rocky outcrops or dunes, or they appear at high altitudes (colder or wetter conditions with lower fire frequency). This is the case of Juniperus phoenicea, a species with no resprouting capacity, which typically inhabits the rocky outcrops and cliffs of coastal mountains but may also be abundant in shrublands and forests that have not been burnt for a long time.

Broadleaved evergreen sclerophyllous woodlands

Mediterranean evergreen oaks resprout vigorously following severe disturbances (Pausas 1997; Debussche et al. 2001; Espelta et al. 2003). The most abundant are Quercus ilex (Holm oak) and Q. suber (cork oak) in the west of the basin, and Q. ilex and Q. calliprinos in the east of the basin. Because of the strong resprouting capacity of these species, recurrent coppicing has been used since ancient times (and continues on a diminished scale to the present) as an oak woodland management method for firewood harvesting and charcoal production. The result is a replacement of single-stemmed trees by trees with multiple trunks emerging from the base; many current oak woodlands still show these characteristic trunk clusters from recent or old coppicing. Nevertheless, this recurrent coppicing has not strongly affected the persistence of oak populations. However, we should note that it is not rare to find some old Quercus ilex spp. ballosta trees that do not resprout after fire, probably due to aging (J. M. Moreno, pers. comm.).

As mentioned above, another important evergreen oak occurring in the west of the basin is Quercus suber (cork oak; Aronson et al., in press), which has a very thick and insulating bark (the cork) that protects almost all the stem (epicormic) buds of the tree, enabling them to resprout quickly and effectively after fire (Pausas 1997). Furthermore, this species has a lignotuber (Molinás and Verdaguer 1993) that permits basal resprouting when fire kills the main stem (i.e. in the case of thin trees or individuals with a recently harvested bark). The current decline in cork oak (Tuset and Sánchez 2004) is not so much related to fire as it is to land management systems, pests and diseases, and perhaps climate change. For instance, the aging of some populations is due to the fact that the high grazing pressure by livestock is preventing seedling regeneration (Pulido and Díaz 2005). Bark harvesting also increases the susceptibility of the tree to fire by increasing the time that the trees have a thin bark (Pausas 1997).

In general, there is no evidence that fire decreases or eliminates oak tree populations. In fact, fire may provide an advantage to oaks v. pines in mixed forests, and it may also open regeneration windows after oak establishment and colonisation of new environments (e.g. pine woodlands; Pons and Pausas 2006).

Pine woodlands

None of the pines in the Mediterranean basin is able to resprout. For the most common lowland Mediterranean pines (Pinus halepensis, P. brutia and P. pinaster), post-fire regeneration relies on the canopy seed bank protected in the serotinous cones (Daskalakou and Thanos 1996; Habrouk et al. 1999; Thanos and Doussi 2000; Tapias et al. 2001), although the degree of serotiny is highly variable among individuals and populations. For instance, the percentage of serotinous cones ranges from 40 to 80% in Pinus halepensis and from 2 to 82% in Pinus pinaster in different locations of the Mediterranean basin. Symbols indicate the mean values, solid vertical lines are standard deviation, s.d. (in solid symbols), or range values (in open symbols). Sources: P. halepensis from Moravec (1990), Ne’eman et al. (1992), Daskalakou and Thanos (1997), Papió (1994 in Trabaud 2000), Arianoutsou and Ne’eman (2000), Pausas et al. (2003, 2004b), Rodrigo et al. (2004); P. brutia from Spanos et al. (2000), Thanos and Marcou (1991 in Thanos and Doussi 2000), Tsitsoni et al. (2004); P. pinaster from Martínez et al. (2002), Rodrigo et al. (2004), Madrigal et al. (2005), P. nigra from Trabaud and Campan (1991), Rodrigo et al. (2004); P. sylvestris and P. pinea from Rodrigo et al. (2004, 2007).

Fig. 4. Seedling density (seedling ha⁻¹: log scale) after crown fire for different Pinus species and different post-fire ages in different locations of the Mediterranean basin. Symbols indicate the mean values, solid vertical lines are standard deviation, s.d. (in solid symbols), or range values (in open symbols). Sources: P. halepensis from Moravec (1990), Ne’eman et al. (1992), Daskalakou and Thanos (1997), Papió (1994 in Trabaud 2000), Arianoutsou and Ne’eman (2000), Pausas et al. (2003, 2004b), Rodrigo et al. (2004); P. brutia from Spanos et al. (2000), Thanos and Marcou (1991 in Thanos and Doussi 2000), Tsitsoni et al. (2004); P. pinaster from Martínez et al. (2002), Rodrigo et al. (2004), Madrigal et al. (2005), P. nigra from Trabaud and Campan (1991), Rodrigo et al. (2004); P. sylvestris and P. pinea from Rodrigo et al. (2004, 2007).
Despite the high post-fire resilience of *Pinus halepensis*, *P. brutia* and *P. pinaster*, regeneration of these pines may fail (Kazanis and Arianoutsou 2004; Eugenio *et al.* 2006) when time intervals between fires are shorter than the time required to accumulate a sufficient seed bank ('immaturity risk', as in Zedler 1995). It is considered that the time interval required to recover the canopy seed bank could be between 10 and 20 years for *P. halepensis* (Daskalakou and Thanos 1996; Verkaik and Espelta 2006). Thus, the current increase in fire recurrence (i.e. reduction in fire-free intervals) in the MB is reducing the capacity of these pines to regenerate after fire. In fact, the average age of most Spanish pine populations when they burn is below 25 years (Moreno *et al.* 1998).

Another pine occurring in coastal areas is *Pinus pinea* (stone pine). The natural distribution of this species is unknown; it has been strongly positively selected since ancient times because of its edible nuts. This species does not present serotinous cones; however, some post-fire regeneration can be observed (Fig. 4) due to the annual seed crop protected in the thick cones and the high temperature resistance of the seeds conferred by the thick seed coat (Escudero *et al.* 1999). This species has a thick bark, it has no branches in the lower portion of the tree (self-pruning), and it can survive fires that scorched more than 80% of the crown volume (Rigolot 2004; Rodrigo *et al.* 2004); thus, some individuals may persist after fire. Nevertheless, a general decline seems to be observed as a consequence of the increased fire regime in some areas. The difficulty of seedlings to establish after fire, together with the short seed dispersal distance (<20 m) and the low seedling survival in post-fire conditions would explain the low natural regeneration of *P. pinea* in burned areas (Rodrigo *et al.* 2007).

The montane pines *Pinus nigra* and *P. sylvestris* show almost nil regeneration after crown fire (Fig. 4). Neither of these pine species produces serotinous cones (Lanner 1998; Tapia *et al.* 2001), and their seeds are not able to resist the high temperatures attained during intense summer wildfires (Habrouk 2001). Thus, some individuals may persist after fire. Nevertheless, a general decline seems to be observed as a consequence of the increased fire regime in some areas. The difficulty of seedlings to establish after fire, together with the short seed dispersal distance (<20 m) and the low seedling survival in post-fire conditions would explain the low natural regeneration of *P. pinea* in burned areas (Rodrigo *et al.* 2007).

Other montane coniferous trees, such as *Juniperus communis*, *J. thurifera*, *Abies pinsapo*, and *Cedrus sp. pl.* (i.e. evergreen and nor have serotinous cones; thus, they are also sensitive to crown fire, although very little data are available on them. Currently, surface fires are rare in the MB; to what extent some of these coniferous ecosystems may have suffered frequent surface fires in the past (as in the case of *Pinus nigra*, or the North American *Pinus ponderosa*; Veblen *et al.* 2000) is unknown.

### Soil losses

Soil losses are probably the best indicator of post-fire disaster, as soil recovery is notably slow. There are many cases in which fire can lead to considerable changes in hydrological and erosion dynamics, both by changing physical, chemical and microbial soil processes, and by reducing or eliminating aboveground biomass (Neary *et al.* 1999; Shakesby and Doerr 2006). Fire promotes changes in soil organic matter, structure, hydrophobicity, infiltration capacity and other characteristics related to soil erodibility (Giovannini and Lucchesi 1997; De Bano 2000; González-Pérez *et al.* 2004; Certini 2005). Reductions in plant cover decrease interception, soil surface infiltrability and surface obstacles, facilitating erosive overland flow.

The post-fire soil losses observed in the MB are quite variable depending on vegetation and soil type, post-fire weather conditions and fire severity (Table 1, Fig. 5). For instance, the soil losses detected during the first 8 months after a fire in Eastern Spain ranged between 0.07 and 3.48 Mg ha$^{-1}$ in different conditions of soil type, vegetation and topography (Rubio *et al.* 1997).

Fire intensity and severity are important factors determining erosion rates (Fig. 5). After low-severity fires (i.e. low severity for trees), erosion is usually low owing to the mulching effect generated both by the charcoal and by the dead leaves falling from the partially burnt trees. In such cases, infiltration capacity can even be enhanced and soil erosion reduced, compared with unburned areas (Kutiel and Inbar 1993). As the fire severity increases, the correlation between rainfall and erosion becomes stronger (Úbeda and Sala 1996, 1998). Gimeno-García *et al.* (2000), using experimental fires in dry Mediterranean shrublands, showed that 1-year erosion rates are low (<0.1 Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$) in unburned conditions, become significant after a fire, and show a tendency to increase with fire severity (2.3 and 2.9 Mg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$ in moderate- and high-severity fires).

Vegetation type (and land use) is also an important factor determining soil losses. Pardini *et al.* (2004) found that post-fire erosion was five-fold in *Quercus suber* (cork oak) forest, 13-fold in 30-year-old abandoned agricultural terraces and eight-fold in 15-year-old abandoned terraces, compared with the corresponding paired unburnt plot (<0.003 Mg ha$^{-1}$). Although there is also evidence suggesting that post-fire soil erodibility is relatively independent of vegetation type (Giovannini *et al.* 2001), different post-fire recovery rates in different vegetation types may determine soil losses (Pausas *et al.* 1999). For instance, Marqués and Mora (1992) showed six times higher erosion rates on south-facing than on north-facing slopes (i.e. equator-facing slopes) in *Pinus halepensis* woodlands (21.8 and 3.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ at 16 months after fire, respectively). The high values found on south-facing slopes were due to the low plant recovery rate and rill formation; the high plant recovery rate on north slopes prevented any post-fire rill formation.

Similarly, post-fire soil losses in Eastern Spain under different land-uses but under the same climatic and soil type conditions showed contrasting results (Fig. 6, Llovet 2005). The fact that recently abandoned fields (<15 years) become covered by a continuous herbaceous layer (total plant cover = 70%) in the first year after fire determined lower soil losses than in long-abandoned fields (>35 years) with pine woodlands, where the post-fire plant response was poor (40 and 50% plant cover the first and the third year after fire, respectively). In this burned pine forest, the poor plant recovery in the ground layer led to an erosion peak 3 years after the fire, when heavy rainstorms...
### Table 1. Some examples of post-fire erosion rates at plot and slope scale from studies carried out in the Mediterranean basin

Fire severity (Unburned, Low, Moderate and High) was classified on the basis of the original sources. Period refers to the time since the fire (monitoring period). For describing the vegetation, the following abbreviations are used:  *P* = *Pinus*,  *Q* = *Quercus*,  *E* = *Eucalyptus*; Pine refers to an unspecified *Pinus* species. Sources: (1) Marquès and Mora 1992; (2) Giovannini and Lucchesi 1993; (3) Katiel and Inbar 1993; (4) Sánchez et al. 1994; (5) Soler et al. 1994; (6) Shakesby et al. 1996; (7) Úbeda and Sala 1996; (8) Rubio et al. 1997; (9) Inbar et al. 1998; (10) Pinaya et al. 1998; (11) Soto and Díaz-Fierros 1998; (12) Bautista 1999; (13) Bautista et al. 1996; (14) Gimeno-García et al. 2000; (15) Vacca et al. 2000; (16) Pardini et al. 2004; (17) Llovet 2005.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Fire severity</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Erosion rate (Mg ha(^{-1}) year(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Notes and sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em> and shrubs</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>16 months</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>North aspect (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>16 months</td>
<td>21.76</td>
<td>South aspect (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maquis</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. pinaster</em> and <em>P. brutia</em></td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>4th–6th month</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4th–6th month</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stipa</em> and shrubland</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Q. ilex</em> forest</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. globulus</em>, <em>P. pinaster</em></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>First year</td>
<td>0.5–2.2</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Second year</td>
<td>0.9–6.6</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. pinaster</em>, <em>Q. suber</em></td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Dense vegetation (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>Disperse vegetation (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. pinaster</em>, <em>Q. suber</em></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>8.5 months</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8.5 months</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>8.5 months</td>
<td>30.56</td>
<td>(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pine</em> and <em>Q. coccifera</em></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Limestone and dolomites (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>Sandstone (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Q. suber</em> and pine</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>8 months</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em> and maquis</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>&lt;0.0003</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>0.18–8.75</td>
<td>(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ulex europaeus</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>20 months</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>With seeding treatment (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>20 months</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>Without seeding treatment (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ulex europaeus</em></td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>4.9–5.9</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>First year</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Fifth year</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>(12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>0.09–0.18</td>
<td>With mulching treatment (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>18 months</td>
<td>0.18–2.92</td>
<td>Without mulching treatment (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>17 months</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>17 months</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>17 months</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maquis</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>0.3–0.6</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Q. suber</em> forest</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubland</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vineyard</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>(16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry grassland</td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry grassland</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>First year</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em></td>
<td>Unburned</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. halepensis</em></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>First year</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Third year</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

took place (Fig. 6). These temporal dynamics were also depicted at the catchment scale in the same study area (Mayor et al. 2007). In conclusion, post-fire erosion rates measured in the MB are rarely higher than 10 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) and often lower than 1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) (Table 1, Fig. 5). Although these values are low in absolute terms, they are relatively high with respect to the low available soil depth and the slow soil formation rate in the MB. Moreover, topsoil is both the most fertile and the most affected by erosion and degradation processes. In fact, soil
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tries of the MB, and this is especially noticeable for the African

Discussion and concluding remarks

Our review is obviously biased towards the more extensively studied regions of the MB, i.e. the western part of the European MB. There is a lack of information for many species and countries of the MB, and this is especially noticeable for the African

and Asian part of the Basin. Even with this bias, our review allows us to draw some conclusions that are relevant for the whole MB.

Most coastal shrublands and most oak forests are able to cope well with current and past fire regimes, and there is no evidence of strong changes in species composition and dominance. These ecosystems have suffered repeated fires for many centuries and have shown a very high resilience to them. They are certainly more susceptible to human disturbances (clearing, logging, overgrazing, urbanising) than to fire. However, there is evidence that in some Mediterranean communities, current fire regime changes are producing negative effects. Unfortunately, it is not easy to trace past fire regimes in crown fire ecosystems, and it is even more difficult in systems with long-term and intense human pressure; thus the magnitude of fire regime changes in the MB cannot be assessed in detail. In other words, it is very difficult, or impossible, to identify the fire regimes in the MB before human domination, and we only know of changes in the last few decades (Pausas 2004).

The most fire-sensitive ecosystems are the pine woodlands, especially given the crown fire regimes observed in the last few decades: that is, reduced fire intervals and crown fires occurring in montane zones that were not traditionally subject to this type of fire (Figs 1, 2 and 3). Although serotinous pines have a relatively early first reproduction (<10 years; Daskalakou and Thanos 2004; Ne’eman et al. 2004), some of the areas they occupied a few decades ago have been repetitively burnt with fire intervals shorter than the time these pines need to produce a large enough seed bank to replace their population (~15–20 years). Thus, many of the early pine woodlands are being taken over by shrublands (Baeza et al. 2007). Furthermore, in recent years, crown fires are affecting montane (sub-Mediterranean) areas where they were uncommon in the past. These ecosystems are often occupied by species lacking post-fire regeneration mechanisms (e.g. Pinus nigra, Pinus sylvestris; Fig. 3). Some of these woodlands have survived a long history of surface fires (Fulé et al. 2008) but current crown fires are eliminating them from extensive areas (Rodrigo et al. 2004). The increase of large crown fires in these sub-Mediterranean areas is not only affecting the vegetation but also other biodiversity components (Arnan et al. 2006; Rodrigo and Retana 2006).

Pines grow naturally in many places in the MB. However, most current MB pine woodlands are monospecific stands of anthropogenic origin, that is, they have been favoured or even planted by humans (Fig. 7). For many years, the traditional forest policy in the MB, usually based on European models, has been to plant monospecific pine woodlands (Pausas et al. 2004c). Some of these pine woodlands are very flammable as they consist of dense stands of pine species with branches all along the main stem (e.g. Pinus halepensis, P. brutia), and subject to few silvicultural treatments, thus facilitating large and intense crown fires. A more diverse set of species should be included in plantation and restoration plans to improve landscape resilience to current fire regimes (Pausas et al. 2004c; Vallejo et al. 2006).

Erosion studies demonstrate that relevant post-fire soil losses are observed in several ecosystems. However, these studies are biased towards erosion-prone areas as most erosion specialists work in erodible areas, and few studies have been undertaken in ecosystems with low human pressure. That is, most post-fire erosion studies are performed in strongly degraded ecosystems, and

losses higher than 1 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (100 g m⁻² year⁻¹) have been considered unsustainable for the MB (Cerdà 2001).

Discussion and concluding remarks

Our review is obviously biased towards the more extensively studied regions of the MB, i.e. the western part of the European MB. There is a lack of information for many species and countries of the MB, and this is especially noticeable for the African

and Asian part of the Basin. Even with this bias, our review allows us to draw some conclusions that are relevant for the whole MB.

Most coastal shrublands and most oak forests are able to cope well with current and past fire regimes, and there is no evidence of strong changes in species composition and dominance. These ecosystems have suffered repeated fires for many centuries and have shown a very high resilience to them. They are certainly more susceptible to human disturbances (clearing, logging, overgrazing, urbanising) than to fire. However, there is evidence that in some Mediterranean communities, current fire regime changes are producing negative effects. Unfortunately, it is not easy to trace past fire regimes in crown fire ecosystems, and it is even more difficult in systems with long-term and intense human pressure; thus the magnitude of fire regime changes in the MB cannot be assessed in detail. In other words, it is very difficult, or impossible, to identify the fire regimes in the MB before human domination, and we only know of changes in the last few decades (Pausas 2004).

The most fire-sensitive ecosystems are the pine woodlands, especially given the crown fire regimes observed in the last few decades: that is, reduced fire intervals and crown fires occurring in montane zones that were not traditionally subject to this type of fire (Figs 1, 2 and 3). Although serotinous pines have a relatively early first reproduction (<10 years; Daskalakou and Thanos 2004; Ne’eman et al. 2004), some of the areas they occupied a few decades ago have been repetitively burnt with fire intervals shorter than the time these pines need to produce a large enough seed bank to replace their population (~15–20 years). Thus, many of the early pine woodlands are being taken over by shrublands (Baeza et al. 2007). Furthermore, in recent years, crown fires are affecting montane (sub-Mediterranean) areas where they were uncommon in the past. These ecosystems are often occupied by species lacking post-fire regeneration mechanisms (e.g. Pinus nigra, Pinus sylvestris; Fig. 3). Some of these woodlands have survived a long history of surface fires (Fulé et al. 2008) but current crown fires are eliminating them from extensive areas (Rodrigo et al. 2004). The increase of large crown fires in these sub-Mediterranean areas is not only affecting the vegetation but also other biodiversity components (Arnan et al. 2006; Rodrigo and Retana 2006).

Pines grow naturally in many places in the MB. However, most current MB pine woodlands are monospecific stands of anthropogenic origin, that is, they have been favoured or even planted by humans (Fig. 7). For many years, the traditional forest policy in the MB, usually based on European models, has been to plant monospecific pine woodlands (Pausas et al. 2004c). Some of these pine woodlands are very flammable as they consist of dense stands of pine species with branches all along the main stem (e.g. Pinus halepensis, P. brutia), and subject to few silvicultural treatments, thus facilitating large and intense crown fires. A more diverse set of species should be included in plantation and restoration plans to improve landscape resilience to current fire regimes (Pausas et al. 2004c; Vallejo et al. 2006).

Erosion studies demonstrate that relevant post-fire soil losses are observed in several ecosystems. However, these studies are biased towards erosion-prone areas as most erosion specialists work in erodible areas, and few studies have been undertaken in ecosystems with low human pressure. That is, most post-fire erosion studies are performed in strongly degraded ecosystems, and
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this degradation is not related to fire alone, but also to the long human disturbance in the area. For instance, many erosion studies are performed in old fields that were terraced in the past, then abandoned, and then planted or colonised with pines (Fig. 6). Some pine plantations were previously bulldozed for soil preparation. Furthermore, important soil losses are observed only with high-severity fires (Fig. 5); this could be due, in many cases, to the high density of unmanaged flammable pine woodlands or plantations.

Thus, although our MB biodiversity may be strongly resilient to fire, some parts of our current landscapes, which are products of a long human history with questionable land policies, are relatively sensitive to fires. In such conditions, disasters are possible.
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