

## On the Batchelor trivialization of the tangent supermanifold

JUAN MONTERDE

*Departament de Geometria i Topologia,  
Universitat de València,  
Burjassot*

O. A. SÁNCHEZ-VALENZUELA

*Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas,  
Guanajuato,  
México*

### 1 Introduction

By Batchelor's theorem (also proved by K. Gawedzki [Ga]), any smooth graded manifold  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$  is isomorphic (although not canonically) to  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ , where  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  is the sheaf of sections of the exterior algebra bundle  $\Lambda E \rightarrow M$  of a smooth vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  defined by  $\mathcal{A}_M$ .  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  is then called the *Batchelor trivialization* of  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$ .

Our aim in this note is to obtain the Batchelor trivialization of the tangent supermanifold  $ST(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$  of  $(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$  in terms of the initial data  $M$  and  $E$ , given the fact that its corresponding structure sheaf is  $Der\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ . We show that the underlying smooth manifold of  $ST(M, \mathcal{A}_M)$  is not  $TM$  but  $TM \oplus E^*$ . This reflects the intrinsic property that the fermionic part of a graded manifold produces a new (nontrivial and non-expected) bosonic part in its tangent graded manifold. Furthermore, we completely describe the Batchelor bundle as the pullback to  $TM \oplus E^*$  of the Whitney sum  $T^*M \oplus E \oplus E$  (cf. Theorem 2 below). In particular  $\dim ST(M, \mathcal{A}_M) = (2\dim M + \text{rk } E, \dim M + 2\text{rk } E)$ .

## 2 Characterization of the derivations

Let  $E \rightarrow M$  be a rank- $n$  vector bundle over  $M$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(E)$  be its sheaf of sections. We shall also write  $\mathcal{E}^*$ , and  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ , for the sheaves  $\Gamma(E^*)$ , and  $\Gamma(\Lambda E)$ , respectively. Finally, we shall write  $\mathcal{X}_M$  for the sheaf of sections of the tangent bundle to  $M$ . For this part we shall follow the ideas of [MoMo], [Ro1], and [Ro3] (We shall refer the reader to [Ko] for definitions of graded manifolds and all the related topics except the concept of tangent supermanifold; for the latter we refer to [SV]).

The sheaf of derivations  $\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  is a locally free  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -module (cf. [Ko]). Moreover, there is a natural inclusion,

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}, \quad (1)$$

defined by letting the elements of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  act on  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  by contraction. There is also a projection of  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -modules,

$$\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{X}_M \rightarrow 0, \quad (2)$$

given on homogeneous elements as follows: Let  $\mathcal{D}er^k\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  be the sheaf of those sections of  $\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  that increase the degree by  $k$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{D}er^k\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ , and let  $f \in \Lambda^0\mathcal{E} \simeq C^\infty(M)$ . Then,  $Xf \in \Lambda^k\mathcal{E}$ , and for any  $k$ -tuple of sections of  $\mathcal{E}^*$ ,  $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$ , the mapping,

$$f \mapsto i(\varphi_k) \circ \dots \circ i(\varphi_1)(Xf), \quad (3)$$

defines a derivation of  $C^\infty(M)$ . Denote by  $\hat{X}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$  this derivation. It is easy to check that the map  $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k) \mapsto \hat{X}(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)$  is  $C^\infty$ -linear, and alternating; it therefore defines a section of  $\Lambda^k\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{X}_M$ . The maps (1), and (2), fit together into an exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{X}_M \rightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

When a connection  $\nabla$  in the bundle  $E$  is given, this sequence splits and therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E} \simeq \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{X}_M \oplus \mathcal{E}^*). \quad (5)$$

In this description one manifestly reads the fact that  $\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  is a  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -module of rank  $(m, n)$ . Note that the structure of the supercotangent sheaf can be deduced from (5):

$$(\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^* = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}, \Lambda\mathcal{E}) \simeq \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes (\Omega_M^1 \oplus \mathcal{E}), \quad (6)$$

where,  $\Omega_M^1$  denotes the sheaf of sections of the cotangent bundle to  $M$ .

### 3 The tangent supermanifold

We shall now use the structures found in (5), and (6) to produce two supermanifolds—the supertangent, and supercotangent manifolds to  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ , respectively—and two submersions—one from each of these supermanifolds onto  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ —in such a way that the sheaf-theoretic sections of  $\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ , and  $(\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^*$  correspond in a one-to-one fashion with the geometric sections of these submersions. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E} &\leftrightarrow \Gamma((M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), (STM, \Lambda\mathcal{A})) \\ (\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^* &\leftrightarrow \Gamma((M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), (ST^*M, \Lambda\mathcal{B})). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In order to determine these supervector bundles we shall take into account the following (cf. [SV]):

1. Supervector bundles over  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  correspond functorially to locally free sheaves of  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -modules over  $M$ , and this functor commutes with  $\mathcal{H}om$ ,  $\otimes$ , and  $\times$ .
2. There is a universal object in the category of supermanifolds,  $\mathcal{R}^{1|1}$ , such that

$$\Lambda\mathcal{E} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}aps((M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{R}^{1|1}),$$

3. Supervector bundles are locally products of the base with a fiber; the latter being isomorphic to a fixed supermanifold.

Now, the determination of the underlying smooth manifolds  $STM$ , and  $ST^*M$  follows from general principles: each supermanifold  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  comes equipped with a sheaf epimorphism,  $\Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C_M^\infty$  and hence, with an exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C_M^\infty \rightarrow 0, \quad (8)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the nilpotent ideal of  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ . The sheaf  $\mathcal{E}$  of sections of the Batchelor bundle  $E$  can be recovered from this sequence by looking at  $C^\infty \supset \mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{N}^2 \dots$ , and observing that  $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^2$ . This has the structure of the odd part of the supercotangent sheaf since  $\mathcal{N}$  is contained in the maximal ideal of vanishing superfunctions (cf. [Ro2]).

The canonical epimorphism  $\Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C_M^\infty$  can be used to also define a functor from the category of locally free  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -modules, into the category of locally free  $C_M^\infty$ -modules over  $M$ ; namely, any locally free  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -module,  $\mathcal{M}$ , gives rise to the locally free  $C_M^\infty$ -module,  $\mathcal{M}/(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M})$ . For the supertangent, and the supercotangent sheaves, this functor produces,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E} &\mapsto \mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}/(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{X}_M \oplus \mathcal{E}^* \\ (\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^* &\mapsto (\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^*/(\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{D}er\Lambda\mathcal{E})^*) = \Omega_M^1 \oplus \mathcal{E} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

**Lemma** *These isomorphisms are independent of the connection used to split the sequence (4)*

In particular, the underlying manifolds to the supertangent and to the supercotangent spaces to  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ , are respectively given by,

$$STM = TM \oplus E^*, \quad \text{and,} \quad ST^*M = T^*M \oplus E, \quad (10)$$

which are the ordinary Whitney sums of the given smooth vector bundles over  $M$ .

To understand the structure of the Batchelor bundles  $A$ , and  $B$ , of  $(STM, \Lambda A)$ , and  $(ST^*M, \Lambda B)$  we refer ourselves to Proposition 2.9 of [SV]. If we apply the general results there obtained to the supertangent and supercotangent sheaves, we obtain:

**Theorem** *Let  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  be a graded manifold of graded dimension  $(m, n)$ . The Batchelor trivializations of the tangent and cotangent supermanifolds are*

$$\begin{aligned} (STM, \Lambda A) &= (TM \oplus E^*, \Lambda\tilde{\pi}^*(\Omega_M^1 \oplus \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{E})) \\ (ST^*M, \Lambda B) &= (T^*M \oplus E, \Lambda\tilde{\pi}^*(\mathcal{X}_M \oplus \mathcal{E}^* \oplus \mathcal{E})). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

**Note:** The graded dimension of the tangent supermanifold is  $(2m + n, 2n + m)$ .

**Brief review of the argument** Let  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  be a supermanifold. Let  $F_0 \rightarrow M$ , and  $F_1 \rightarrow M$  be two smooth vector bundles of finite rank over  $M$  (say,  $p$ , and  $q$ , respectively), and let  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_1$  be their corresponding sheaves of smooth sections. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a locally free sheaf of  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$ -modules over  $M$ , and assume it has the following structure:

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq \Lambda\mathcal{E} \otimes (\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1), \quad (13)$$

so that  $\mathcal{M}$  has  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -rank  $(p, q)$ . Then, there is a canonical isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Hom}((\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1)^*, \Lambda\mathcal{E}). \quad (14)$$

In particular, each section of the sheaf  $\text{Hom}((\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1)^*, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$  extends uniquely to a section,

$$\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Alg}(\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1)^*, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), \quad (15)$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra homomorphisms between sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebras. The sections of the latter, in turn, are in one-to-one correspondence with maps from the base supermanifold  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ , into a supermanifold whose structure sheaf is  $\Lambda(\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1)^*$ . The claim is that these are

precisely the local geometric sections of the supervector bundle: maps from the base into the *superfiber*.

In fact, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is to give rise to a supermanifold  $(F, \Lambda\mathcal{F})$ , equipped with a supermanifold epimorphism  $\pi: (F, \Lambda\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (M, \Lambda\mathcal{E})$ , in such a way that the geometric sections (i.e., maps  $\sigma: (M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow (F, \Lambda\mathcal{F})$  such that  $\pi \circ \sigma = id$ ) correspond to the sheaf theoretic sections of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then, there must be a canonical embedding  $\Lambda\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{F}$  that defines  $\pi$ . This must be so, since each section  $\sigma$  gives rise to a superalgebra epimorphism,  $\sigma^*: \Lambda\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Lambda\mathcal{E}$ , such that,  $\sigma^* \circ \pi^* = id^*$ . In other words,  $\Lambda\mathcal{E}$  must be a canonical summand—and in fact, a subalgebra—of  $\Lambda\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore,

$$\Lambda\mathcal{F} \simeq \Lambda(\cdots \oplus \mathcal{E}). \quad (16)$$

This yields the global result of the assertion that the supermanifold  $(F, \Lambda\mathcal{F})$  must be locally trivial; i.e., locally the product,  $(M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}) \times (V, \Lambda\mathcal{V})$ , of the base with the superfiber  $(V, \Lambda\mathcal{V})$ . In this situation,

$$\Gamma((M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), (F, \Lambda\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \text{Maps}((M, \Lambda\mathcal{E}), (V, \Lambda\mathcal{V})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2\text{-Alg}(\Lambda\mathcal{V}, \Lambda\mathcal{E}). \quad (17)$$

This result, together with (15), completes the picture given by (16); namely,

$$\Lambda\mathcal{F} \simeq \Lambda((\mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1)^* \oplus \mathcal{E}). \quad (18)$$

The only technical point is that the Whitney sum of the bundles  $F_0^*$ ,  $F_1^*$ , and  $E$  now occurs over the underlying total space of the supervector bundle; i.e., over  $F = F_0 \oplus F_1$ . This is done by taking the pullback of such bundles along  $\tilde{\pi}: F_0 \oplus F_1 \rightarrow M$ .

**Corollary** Let  $\Omega(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda T^*M)$  be the Cartan algebra of differentiable forms on a smooth manifold  $M$ . The tangent supermanifold of the graded manifold  $(M, \Omega(M))$  is

$$(TM \oplus TM, \Lambda\tilde{\pi}^*(\Omega_M^1 \oplus \Omega_M^1 \oplus \Omega_M^1)).$$

## References

- [Ba] BATCHELOR, M., "The Structure of Supermanifolds", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 253 (1979) 329–338.
- [Ga] GAWEDZKI, K., "Supersymmetries—Mathematics of supergeometry", *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. A* 27 (1977) 335–366.
- [Ko] KOSTANT, B., "Graded Manifolds, Graded Lie Theory and Pre-quantization", *Lecture Notes in Math.* vol. 570, (Bleuler, K. and

- Reetz, A., eds.), Proc. Conf. on Diff. Geom. Methods in Math. Phys., Bonn 1975, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1977, pp. 177-306.
- [MoMo] MONTERDE, J. AND MONTESINOS, A., "Integral Curves of Derivations", *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* 6 no. 2 (1988) 177-189.
- [Ro1] ROTHSTEIN, M., "Deformations of complex supermanifolds", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 no. 2 (1985) 255-260.
- [Ro2] ROTHSTEIN, M., "The axioms of supermanifolds and a new structure arising from them", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 299 (1987) 387-396.
- [Ro3] ROTHSTEIN, M., "The Structure of Supersymplectic Supermanifolds", *Lecture Notes in Physics* vol. 375, (Bartozzi, Bruzzo and Cianci, eds.), Proc. XIX Int. Conf. on Diff. Geom. Methods in Math. Phys., Rapallo Italy 1990, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1991, pp. 331-343.
- [SV] SÁNCHEZ-VALENZUELA, O. A., "On Supergeometric Structures", *Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University-Cambridge* (1986); "On Supervector Bundles", *Comunicaciones Técnicas IIMAS-UNAM (Serie Naranja)* 457 (1986).