Creatable universes: a new, and more consistent and general approach

Ramon Lapiedra^{*} and Juan Antonio Morales-Lladosa[†] Departament d'Astronomia i Astrofísica,

> Universitat de València, 46100 Burjassot, València, Spain.

(Dated: April 16, 2010)

We present a new approach to the question of properly defining energy and momenta for non asymptotically Minkowskian spaces in general relativity, in the case where these energy and momenta are conserved. In order to do this, we first prove that there always exist some special Gauss coordinates for which the conserved linear and angular three-momenta vanish. Then, we conclude that the linear and angular 4-momenta related to these intrinsic coordinate systems are the proper 4-momenta of the universe considered. This allows us to consider the case of creatable universes (the universes whose proper 4-momenta vanish) in a consistent way, which is the main interest of the paper. When applied to the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker case, perturbed or not, our formalism leads to previous results, according to most literature on the subject. Some future work deserving to be accomplished is mentioned.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q, 98.80.Jk

I. INTRODUCTION: GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

In a precedent paper [1], the authors addressed the question of properly defining the linear and the angular 4-momenta of a significant family of non asymptotically flat space-times. As it is well known, see for example [2] or [3], this proper definition can be accomplished without difficulty in the opposite case of asymptotically flat space-times, but not in the general case. The reason for this difficulty in the general case stays in the dramatic dependence of these momenta of the coordinate system used. This fact is very well known but very few times has properly been taken in account in the literature of the field, where some authors use a given coordinate system to calculate some of the momenta, without any comments on the rightness of the coordinate selection that have been done. For related questions on this subject see, for instance, [4–8] and references therein.

The family of space-times that we are going to consider in the present paper is the family of all non asymptotically flat space-times where these well defined momenta are conserved in time. We call these particular spacetimes *universes*, since it is to be expected that any spacetime which could represent the actual universe should have conserved momenta, provided that these momenta be properly defined, which is the the goal achieved in the present paper.

In particular, we call *creatable universes* the universes which have vanishing 4-momenta, since again this is what could be expected to happen if the considered universe raised from a quantum fluctuation of the vacuum [9, 10]. In fact, the question of the *creatable universes* is our main motivation to consider the subject of properly defining the momenta of non asymptotically flat space-times. Demanding the vanishing of the momenta can be a way of saying something relevant about how our actual Universe looks like either now or in the preinflationary phase. Thus, for example, in [11], perturbed flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universes according to standard inflation were found to be non creatable. Therefore, among the inflationary perturbed FLRW universes, only the closed ones would be left as a good candidate to represent the actual Universe.

In this paper we present a new approach to the subject of properly defining the two 4-momenta of a *universe*, as compared with the one displayed in the above reference [1]. The present approach is new in the following sense:

Given a universe, when trying to select the appropriated coordinate systems in order to properly define its two 4-momenta, P^{α} and $J^{\alpha\beta}$, we impose differently to [1] that both 3-momenta, P^i and J^{ij} , vanish, the last one irrespective of the origin of momentum. Further, according to [1], we rest on Gauss coordinates based on some space-like 3-surface, Σ_3 , such that the corresponding 3-space metric can be written in a conformally flat way on the boundary of Σ_3 . Such coordinate systems, where both 3-momenta vanish, the last one irrespective of the origin, which at the same time are Gaussian coordinates satisfying the above conformally flat property, will be called here *intrinsic* coordinate systems. Obviously, we will have to prove that these intrinsic coordinate systems always exist for any universe.

Furthermore, in [1], in order to have well defined 4momenta, or even vanishing 4-momenta, we had to suppose that the metric and its first derivatives went fast enough to zero when we approach the boundary of Σ_3 . In the present paper we do not need to make such an assumption, and so our present approach to the definition of these 4-momenta is more general than in [1].

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, given a

^{*}Electronic address: ramon.lapiedra@uv.es

 $^{^{\}dagger} \rm Electronic \ address: \ antonio.morales@uv.es$

space-like 3-surface, Σ_3 , we give the corresponding family of coordinate systems where to pick up the right coordinate systems to properly define the linear and the angular 4-momenta associated to this Σ_3 . In Sec. III, we consider all 3-surfaces Σ_3 showing the same boundary Σ_2 . Then, by defining what we have called intrinsic coordinates, we select the 3-surfaces Σ_3 for which the linear and the angular 3-momenta vanish, after proving that this vanishing is possible for some Σ_3 . In Sec. IV, we define the notion of creatable universe and we discuss briefly its goodness. In Sec V, we invoke some previous results to check the creatibility of the perturbed FLRW models in the new scheme, reaching the known conclusion [11] previously obtained on these models. Finally, in Sec VI, we point out which is, in our opinion, the main interest of the paper, and in relation to this we refer to some future work.

We still add three appendices where some calculations are given in detail.

A short report containing some results, without proof, of this work has been recently presented at the Spanish Relativity Meeting ERE-2009 [12].

II. THE ENERGY AND MOMENTA OF A UNIVERSE, ASSOCIATED TO A GIVEN SPACE-LIKE 3-SURFACE

In order to define the linear and angular 4-momenta of a *universe* we will use the Weinberg complex [2]. It can be expected that the results obtained in the present paper be the same irrespective of the complex used, provided that it be symmetric in both suffix and make possible that these 4-momenta can be written as integrals on the 2-surface boundary, Σ_2 , of the space-like 3-surface considered, Σ_3 , as it is the case, for example, for the Landau complex [13], aside being the case for the Weinberg complex used here.

To properly define the notion of 4-momenta of a *universe*, associated to some space-like 3-surface, Σ_3 , we will take Gauss coordinates associated to this 3-surface, Σ_3 , in the neighborhood of it (we explain next why we make this choice). Then, according to [2], we have for the corresponding energy, P^0 , linear 3-momentum, P^i , angular 3-momentum, J^{ij} , and components J^{0i} of the angular 4-momentum, of the *universe*:

$$P^{0} = \kappa \int (\partial_{j}g_{ij} - \partial_{i}g)d\Sigma_{2i}, \qquad (1)$$

$$P^{i} = \kappa \int (\partial_{0}g\delta_{ij} - \partial_{0}g_{ij})d\Sigma_{2j}, \qquad (2)$$

$$J^{jk} = \kappa \int (x_k \partial_0 g_{ij} - x_j \partial_0 g_{ki}) d\Sigma_{2i}, \qquad (3)$$

$$J^{0i} = P^{i}t - \kappa \int [(\partial_{k}g_{kj} - \partial_{j}g)x_{i} + g\delta_{ij} - g_{ij}]d\Sigma_{2j}, (4)$$

where we have used the following notation: $\kappa^{-1} \equiv 16\pi G$, $i, j, k, \ldots = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, g \equiv \delta^{ij} g_{ij}, \partial_0$ is the partial derivative with respect to $x^0 \equiv t$, and where $d\Sigma_{2i}$ is the surface element of Σ_2 , the boundary of Σ_3 . Further, index i, j, \ldots are raised or lowered with the Kronecker δ and angular momentum has been taken with respect to the origin of coordinates.

Why Gauss coordinates? We expect any well behaved universe, V_4 , to have well defined energy and momenta, i. e., P^{α} and $J^{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, 3$, such that they are finite and conserved in time (a *universe* in our notation). So, for this conservation to make physical sense, we need to use a *physical* and *universal* time and then we are conveyed to use Gauss coordinates to properly define this kind of time and then the *universe* 4-momenta.

That is, we will have for the line element of V_4 :

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + dl^{2}, \quad dl^{2} = g_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}, \tag{5}$$

and we can write $t = t_0 = constant$ for the equation of Σ_3 .

The area of the 2-surface boundary Σ_2 could be zero, finite or infinite. Let us precise that in the first case, when the area is zero, the 4-momenta do not necessarily vanish, unless the metric and its first derivatives remain conveniently bounded when we approach Σ_2 .

Obviously, we have as many local families of Gauss coordinates as space-like 3-surfaces, Σ_3 , we have in V_4 . Then, P^{α} and $J^{\alpha\beta}$ will depend on Σ_3 , which is not a drawback in itself (the energy of a physical system in the Minkowski space-time also depends on the Σ_3 chosen, i.e., on the Lorentzian coordinates chosen). But the problem is that, given a space-like 3-surface, Σ_3 , we can still have many different 4-momenta, according to the particular Gauss coordinate we chose, associated to the same Σ_3 .

To suppress a part of the arbitrariness left in the choice of Gauss coordinates, henceforth we will choose Gauss coordinates such that the equation of Σ_2 becomes $x^3 = 0$, dl^2 on Σ_2 reads

$$dl^{2}(t = t_{0}, x^{3} = 0) \equiv dl^{2}|_{\Sigma_{2}} = f(x^{a})\delta_{ij}dx^{i}dx^{j}, \quad (6)$$

with f some given function, $a, b, \ldots = 1, 2$, and furthermore

$$g_{3a}(t=t_0) = 0. (7)$$

That can always be done (see [1]). Therefore, choosing $dx^1 dx^2 dx^3$ as the integration 3-volume element (which is implicit in (1)-(4)) become physically sounder.

Furthermore, since $t = t_0$, $x^3 = 0$, is now the equation of the 2-surface Σ_2 , the expressions (1)-(4) for P^{α} and $J^{\alpha\beta}$ simplify to:

$$P^0 = -\kappa \int \partial_3 g_{aa} \, dx^1 dx^2 \,, \tag{8}$$

$$P^a = -\kappa \int \partial_0 g_{3a} \, dx^1 dx^2 \,, \tag{9}$$

$$P^3 = \kappa \int \partial_0 g_{aa} \, dx^1 dx^2 \,, \tag{10}$$

$$J^{ij} = \kappa \int (x_j \partial_0 g_{3i} - x_i \partial_0 g_{3j}) \, dx^1 dx^2 \,, \qquad (11)$$

$$J^{0a} = P^a t_0 + \kappa \int x^a \partial_3 g_{bb} \, dx^1 dx^2 \,, \qquad (12)$$

$$J^{03} = P^{3}t_{0} - \kappa \int g_{aa} \, dx^{1} dx^{2} \,. \tag{13}$$

where $g_{aa} = g_{11} + g_{22}$.

III. PROVING THAT, FOR ANY UNIVERSE, INTRINSIC COORDINATES ALWAYS EXIST

We start with a Gauss coordinate frame, $\{x^{\alpha}\}$, such that (6) and (7) are satisfied. Let us prove that, from this coordinate frame, we always can move to an *intrinsic* coordinate frame as defined in the Introduction. Let it be a coordinate transformation $x^{\alpha} \to x'^{\alpha}$ such that in the neighborhood of Σ_2 we can write the expansion in x'^3 and $t' - t_0$

$$t - t_0 = {}_0 \xi^1 x'^3 + {}_1 \xi^0 (t' - t_0) + \dots ,$$

$$x^3 \equiv x_3 = {}_0 \xi^1_3 x'^3 + {}_1 \xi^0_3 (t' - t_0) + \dots ,$$

$$x^a \equiv x_a = {}_0 \xi^0_a + {}_0 \xi^1_a x'^3 + {}_1 \xi^0_a (t' - t_0) + \dots ,$$

(14)

where the expansion coefficients ${}_{n}\xi^{m}$ and ${}_{n}\xi^{m}_{i}$, with $n,m=0,1,2,\ldots$, are functions of x'^{a} . Notice that this coordinate transformation is completely general except for the fact that

$$_{0}\xi^{0} = _{0}\xi^{0}_{3} = 0.$$
 (15)

To begin with, we will require that the new coordinates $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ be Gauss coordinates for V_4 , associated to the space-like 3-surface Σ'_3 , i.e. to $t' = t_0$. Actually, we will only require that the $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ be Gauss coordinates in the neighborhood of Σ'_2 , the boundary of Σ'_3 .

On the other hand, since the equation of the boundary Σ_2 is $t = t_0$, $x^3 = 0$, this means by definition of boundary that the metric, g_{ij} , and its first derivatives, all them for $t = t_0$, exist only for, let us say, $x^3 > 0$, at least in some elementary interval around $x^3 = 0$. Then, since

$$g'_{ij} = -\frac{\partial t}{\partial x'^i} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x'^j} + \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x'^i} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial x'^j} g_{lk}$$
(16)

 Σ_2 will still be the boundary of Σ'_3 , provided that the functions $x^{\alpha}(x'^{\beta})$ and its derivatives, up to second order included, be well defined coordinates wherever the metric g_{ij} and its first derivatives are well defined in the neighborhood of Σ_2 .

Notice that, from Eqs. (14), the equation of Σ_2 in the new coordinates $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ reads $t' = t_0, x'^3 = 0$. Thus, if we name Σ'_2 the 2-surface $t' = t_0, x'^3 = 0$, we can say that $\Sigma'_2 = \Sigma_2$

Then, besides requiring that $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ be Gauss coordinates for V_4 in the neighborhood of Σ_2 , the boundary of Σ'_3 , we will require that, according to (6)

$$dl'^{2}(t = t_{0}, x^{3} = 0) \equiv dl'^{2}|_{\Sigma_{2}} = f'(x'^{a})\delta_{ij}dx'^{i}dx'^{j}.$$
 (17)

Furthermore, we will still require that the new linear and angular 3-momenta, P'^i and J'^{ij} (see (9), (10) and (11)), vanish, the last one irrespective of the origin. That is to say, we want the new coordinate system $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ to be an *intrinsic* coordinate system as defined in the Introduction.

From Eq. (11) we can see very easily that a necessary and sufficient condition to have $J^{ij} = 0$, irrespective of the momentum origin, is that

$$\int \partial_0 g_{3i} \, dx^1 dx^2 = 0, \quad \forall i, \tag{18}$$

which for i = a leads to $P^a = 0$. On the other hand, the three components of J^{ij} can be more explicitly written

$$J^{12} = \kappa \int (x^2 \partial_0 g_{31} - x^1 \partial_0 g_{32}) \, dx^1 dx^2, \qquad (19)$$

$$J^{3a} = \kappa \int x^a \partial_0 g_{33} \, dx^1 dx^2. \tag{20}$$

Then, aside (19) and (20) we also have (18). A sufficient condition to have all this at the same time is that the g_{3i} metric components be such that

$$\int \partial_0 g_{33} \, dx^1 = \int \partial_0 g_{33} \, dx^2 = 0, \qquad (21)$$

$$\int \partial_0 g_{3a} \, dx^{(a)} = 0, \qquad (22)$$

where putting the a-index between parenthesis means that the index is not summed up.

In all: we start from a coordinate system, $\{x^{\alpha}\}$, where we have

$$g_{00} = -1, \quad g_{0i} = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$g_{3a}(t=t_0) = 0, \quad g_{ij}(t=t_0, x^3 = 0) = f(x^a)\delta_{ij},$$
 (24)

and we want to prove that a coordinate transformation (14) exists such that the new components of the metric satisfy

$$g'_{00} = -1, \quad g'_{0i} = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$g'_{ij}(t' = t_0, x'^3 = 0) = f'(x'^a)\delta_{ij},$$
(26)

and that, according to (9), (10), (18), (19) and (20), we have:

$$\int \partial_0' g_{aa}' \, dx'^1 dx'^2 = 0, \ \int \partial_0' g_{3i}' \, dx'^1 dx'^2 = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\int (x^{\prime 2} \partial_0' g_{31}' - x^{\prime 1} \partial_0' g_{32}') \, dx^{\prime 1} dx^{\prime 2} = 0, \qquad (28)$$

$$\int x'^a \partial'_0 g'_{33} \, dx'^1 dx'^2 = 0, \qquad (29)$$

where ∂'_0 means time derivative with respect the new time t'.

What all these conditions (25)-(29) say about the functions $_{n}\xi^{m}$ and $_{n}\xi^{m}_{i}$ which are present in the coordinate transformation (14)?

In order to answer this question let us first write in the neighborhood of Σ_2 :

$$g_{ij} = {}_{0}g^{0}_{ij} + {}_{0}g^{1}_{ij}x^{3} + {}_{1}g^{0}_{ij}(t-t_{0}) + \dots, \qquad (30)$$

where, according to the notation used in (14), we have:

$${}_{0}g^{0}_{ij} = g_{ij}(t = t_0, x^3 = 0),$$
(31)

$${}_{0}g_{ij}^{1} = \partial_{3}g_{ij}(t = t_{0}, x^{3} = 0), \qquad (32)$$

$${}_{1}g_{ij}^{0} = \partial_{0}g_{ij}(t = t_{0}, x^{3} = 0), \qquad (33)$$

and so on. This means that the expansion coefficients, ${}_{n}g_{ij}^{m}$ in (30) are functions only of x^{a} .

Then, Eqs. (27), (28) and (29) read

$$\int_{1} g_{aa}^{\prime 0} dx^{\prime 1} dx^{\prime 2} = 0, \ \int_{1} g_{3i}^{\prime 0} dx^{\prime 1} dx^{\prime 2} = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$\int (x^{\prime 2} \,_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{31} - x^{\prime 1} \,_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{32}) \, dx^{\prime 1} dx^{\prime 2} = 0, \qquad (35)$$

$$\int x'^{a} {}_{1}g'^{0}_{33} dx'^{1} dx'^{2} = 0, \qquad (36)$$

where, similarly to (31), (32) and (33), we have put

$${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0} = \partial_{0}'g_{3a}'(t'=t_{0}, x'^{3}=0) = \partial_{0}'g_{3a}'(t=t_{0}, x^{3}=0),$$
(37)

$${}_{1}g_{33}^{\prime 0} = \partial_{0}^{\prime}g_{33}^{\prime}(t = t_{0}, x^{3} = 0), \qquad (38)$$

since, according to (14), $t' = t_0, x'^3 = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = t_0, x^3 = 0$. Similarly, Eq. (26) reads now:

$${}_{0}g_{ij}^{\prime 0} = f^{\prime}(x^{\prime a})\delta_{ij}.$$
(39)

Thus, with the new notation ${}_{n}g'^{m}_{ij}$, the conditions (25)-(29) become (25), (34)-(36) and (39).

Let us first consider conditions (25). To zero order in t' and x'^3 (that is, strictly on the boundary Σ_2) these conditions become

$$({}_{1}\xi^{0})^{2} - f({}_{1}\xi^{0}_{3})^{2} = 1, \ {}_{1}\xi^{0}_{a} = 0, \ {}_{1}\xi^{0}_{\ 0}\xi^{1} = f_{\ 1}\xi^{0}_{\ 0}\xi^{1}_{3}, \ (40)$$

from $g'_{00} = -1$, $g'_{0a} = 0$ and $g'_{03} = 0$, respectively. On the other hand, conditions (39) become

$$f'\delta_{ab} = f\delta_{cd} \frac{\partial_0\xi_c^0}{\partial x'^a} \frac{\partial_0\xi_d^0}{\partial x'^b}, \quad {}_0\xi_a^1 = 0, \ f({}_0\xi_3^1)^2 - ({}_0\xi^1)^2 = f',$$
(41)

from $_{0}g'^{0}_{ab} = f' \delta_{ab}$, $_{0}g'^{0}_{3a} = 0$ and $_{0}g'^{0}_{33} = f'$, respectively. It can be seen that the general solution of the system (40) and (41) is

$${}_{1}\xi^{0}_{a} = {}_{0}\xi^{1}_{a} = 0.$$
(42)

$$_{1}\xi^{0} = \sqrt{\frac{f}{f'}} _{0}\xi^{1}_{3} = \cosh\psi,$$
 (43)

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{f'}} {}_{0}\xi^{1} = \sqrt{f} {}_{1}\xi^{0}_{3} = \sinh\psi, \qquad (44)$$

plus

$$M_{ab} \equiv \frac{\partial_0 \xi_a^0}{\partial x'^b} = \lambda \left(\begin{array}{cc} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{array} \right), \ \lambda \equiv \sqrt{f'/f}, \quad (45)$$

the Jacobian matrix of the conformal transformation in two dimensions. In (43), (44) and (45) the functions ψ , λ and θ are arbitrary functions of x'^a . Notice that (45) says that in the integrals (34)-(36) we can put $dx'^1 dx'^2 = \lambda^{-2} dx^1 dx^2$.

We still must have:

where $_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}, _{1}g_{33}^{\prime 0}$ and $_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0}$ are functions of $x^{\prime a}$ such that (34), (35) and (36) are satisfied. The derivative with respect $x^{\prime a}$ is denoted by , a (for instance, $_{1}\xi_{3,a}^{0} \equiv \frac{\partial _{1}\xi_{3}^{0}}{\partial x^{\prime a}}$).

In Eqs. (46) and (47) new expansion coefficients $_1\xi_i^1$ and $_1\xi^1$ appear, which are not included in (42)-(45). But they appear in Eq. (25) when it is taken to zero order in t' and order one in x'^3 (remember that up to now we have only considered the lowest order of this equation), which becomes:

$${}_{0}g_{0a}^{\prime 1} = (f_{1}\xi_{b}^{1} + {}_{1}g_{3b}^{0}{}_{0}\xi^{1}{}_{1}\xi_{0}^{3})M_{ba}$$

$$+ f_{1}\xi_{3}^{0}{}_{0}\xi_{3,a}^{1} - {}_{1}\xi^{0}{}_{0}\xi_{a}^{1} = 0$$

$$(49)$$

$${}_{0}g_{03}^{\prime 1} = f({}_{1}\xi_{3}^{0} {}_{0}\xi_{3}^{2} + {}_{1}\xi_{3}^{1} {}_{0}\xi_{3}^{1}) - {}_{1}\xi^{0} {}_{0}\xi^{2}$$
(50)
$$- {}_{1}\xi^{1} {}_{0}\xi^{1} + {}_{1}g_{33}^{0} {}_{0}\xi^{1} {}_{1}\xi_{3}^{0} {}_{0}\xi_{3}^{1} = 0$$

$${}_{0}g_{00}^{\prime 1} = 2(f {}_{1}\xi_{3}^{0} {}_{1}\xi_{3}^{1} - {}_{1}\xi^{0} {}_{1}\xi^{1}) + {}_{1}g_{33}^{0} {}_{0}\xi^{1}({}_{1}\xi_{3}^{0})^{2} = 0$$
(51)

Therefore, we must fit the new expansion coefficients, $_1\xi_i^1$ and $_1\xi^1$, plus the arbitrary functions λ , θ , and ψ , of Eqs. (43)-(45), in order to satisfy the system (46)-(48) plus (49)-(51). Let us show that this can always be done.

First, since the Jacobian matrix M_{ab} is regular, we can always fit the $_1\xi_b^1$ such that the two Eqs. (46) be satisfied. Second, since $f \neq 0$, $(dl^2$ is strictly positive) and (see Eq. (43)) $_0\xi_3^1 \neq 0$, we can fit $_1\xi_3^1$ such that Eq. (47) be satisfied too. Furthermore, it can be seen (see Appendix A) that ψ can always be fitted such that Eq. (48) becomes satisfied.

Next, we consider the three remaining Eqs. (49)-(50). Since (see again (43)) $_1\xi^0 \neq 0$ we can fit $_0\xi^2$ such as to have (50). Similarly for Eq. (51) by fitting $_1\xi^1$. Finally, it can be proved (see Appendix B) that the Jacobian matrix (45) can always be fitted in order to have Eq. (49) satisfied.

In all, we have just proved that for any *universe* there always exist intrinsic coordinate systems, that is Gaussian coordinates, $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$, satisfying the supplementary conditions (39), and such that $P'^{i} = 0$ and, irrespective of the angular momentum origin, $J'^{ij} = 0$.

IV. CREATABLE UNIVERSES

Let it be a *universe* that we have referred to intrinsic coordinates $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$. Then, we will call that *universe* a *creatable universe* if in this coordinates we also have:

$$P'^0 = 0, \quad J'^{0i} = 0. \tag{52}$$

This means, according to Eqs. (8), (12) and (13), that

$$P'^{0} = -\kappa \int_{0} g'^{1}_{aa} dx^{1} dx^{2} = 0, \qquad (53)$$

$$J^{\prime 0a} = \kappa \int x^{\prime a} \,_{0}g^{\prime 1}_{bb} dx^{1} dx^{2} = 0, \qquad (54)$$

$$J^{\prime 03} = -\kappa \int_0 g_{aa}^{\prime 0} dx^1 dx^2 = -2\kappa \int f' dx^1 dx^2 = 0.$$
(55)

that is, $_{0}g_{aa}^{\prime 1}$ and f' must be such that the above four integrals vanish.

On the other hand, we find after some calculation

$${}_{0}g_{aa}^{\prime 1} = ({}_{1}g_{bc}^{0} {}_{0}\xi^{1} + {}_{0}g_{bc}^{1} {}_{0}\xi^{1}_{3})M_{ba}M_{ca}$$
$$= \lambda^{2}({}_{1}g_{aa}^{0} {}_{0}\xi^{1} + {}_{0}g_{aa}^{1} {}_{0}\xi^{1}_{3})$$
(56)

which can be compared with (48). Notice that here we are left with no more freedom to fit a given value of $_0g'^{1}_{aa}$ in order to have (53) and (54): in fact, both, the Jacobian matrix M_{ab} , plus $_0\xi^1$ and $_0\xi^1_3$ (that is to say, plus ψ , according to (43) and (44)), have already been fitted such as to have intrinsic coordinates. This means, that a *universe* is not necessarily a creatable universe.

Now, before we can continue, we must say something about Eq. (55), that would have to be satisfied if, according to our definition, we have a creatable universe. Since f' is strictly positive it seems at first sight that (55) can only be satisfied in any one of the two following cases: first, if the area of Σ_2 vanish (in which case f'should remain conveniently bounded when we approach Σ_2 ; notice that the boundary Σ_2 could not belong to Σ'_3 , in which case f' could go to infinite when we approach Σ_2); second, if f' goes to zero when we approach Σ'_2 , which means again that Σ_2 does not belong to Σ'_3 .

But, actually, these are not the only cases where we can have (55), since Σ_2 could have several different sheets, and it could happen that the different contributions from these different sheets compensate among them to give a vanishing value for $\int f' dx^1 dx^2$. Thus, in Minkowski space, M_4 , in Lorentzian coordinates (which are *intrinsic* coordinates) we have f' = 1. But, Σ_2 is made from six sheets, the six faces of a cube that increases without limit. Then, the two contributions corresponding to two opposite faces cancel each one to the other.

Anywise, some one could argue that we could only define a given *universe* as a creatable universe if P^{α} = $J^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for ANY intrinsic coordinate system. But this would be an exceeding demand since not even the case of the Minkowski space-time, M_4 , would satisfy such a strong requirement. Actually, one type of intrinsic coordinates for this universe are the standard Lorentz coordinates. Furthermore, in these coordinates, all 4-momenta, P^{α} and $J^{\alpha\beta}$ vanish, so that this *universe* is a creatable universe according to the definition we have just given. Nevertheless, it can be easy seen (see Appendix C) that starting from Lorentz coordinates, one can always make an elementary coordinate transformation leading to new, non Lorentzian, intrinsic coordinates, such that the new energy P'^0 does no more vanish. Obviously, according to Sec. III, this elementary coordinate transformation has to be one where the infinitesimal version of the coefficients $_{0}\xi^{0}$ and $_{0}\xi^{0}_{3}$ do not vanish, that is Eq. (15) does not more occur.

The reason for this non vanishing energy, P'^0 , in M_4 is that, by doing the above elementary coordinate transformation, we have left a coordinate system (the Lorentzian one) which was well adapted to the symmetries of the Minkowskian metric: the ones tied to the ten parameters of the Poincaré group.

Thus, given a *universe* which has $P^{\alpha} = J^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for some intrinsic coordinate system, if there are other intrinsic coordinates where this vanishing is not preserved, we should consider that this non preservation expresses the fact that the new intrinsic coordinates are not well adapted to some basic metric symmetries. To which symmetries, to be more precise? In general terms, to the ones which allow us to have just vanishing linear and angular 4-momenta for some intrinsic coordinate system.

V. THE PERTURBED FLRW UNIVERSES

In Ref. [11] the creatibility of perturbed FLRW universes was addressed. The main result of that paper which concerns us here is that in the flat case it is found

that the energy is infinite, $P^0 = \infty$, for inflationary scalar perturbations plus arbitrary tensor perturbations. This seems to say that inflationary perturbed flat FLRW universes are not creatable. Nevertheless this assessment needs to be validated in the new framework we have developed in the present paper, where creatibility can only be considered for intrinsic coordinate systems, i. e., systems where, in particular, the linear and angular 3momenta, P^i and J^{ij} , vanish.

Then, we prove next that both momenta vanish in the coordinate system where it was obtained that $P^0 = \infty$. Therefore, we conclude that, in the new framework of the present paper, the non creatibility of the inflationary perturbed flat FLRW universe remains unchanged.

Let us prove first that P^i vanish. According to Ref. [11] we write the perturbed 3-space metric dl^2 as

$$dl^{2} = \frac{a^{2}(t)}{(1 + \frac{k}{4}r^{2})^{2}}(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j}, \qquad (57)$$

where a(t) is the cosmic expansion factor.

In the flat case, k = 0, when considering inflationary scalar perturbations, the perturbed 3-space metric, h_{ij} , reads

$$h_{ij}(\vec{x},\tau) = \int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})h_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau)d^3k \qquad (58)$$

with the following expression for the Fourier transformed function $h_{ij}(\vec{k}, \tau)$:

$$h_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau) = h(\vec{k},\tau)\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j + 6\eta(\vec{x},\tau)(\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}).$$
 (59)

Here $h \equiv h_{kk}$ and η are convenient functions, $\hat{k}_i \equiv k_i/k$, $k \equiv \sqrt{k_i k^i}$, and τ is defined such that $dt/d\tau \equiv a$.

According to Eq. (2):

$$P^{i} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^{2}}{16\pi G} \int I^{i} d^{3}k \tag{60}$$

where

$$I^{i} \equiv \int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})[\dot{h}_{kk}(\vec{k},\tau)\delta_{ij}-\dot{h}_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau)]n_{j}d\Omega$$

$$= \int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})[\dot{h}(\vec{k},\tau)(\delta_{ij}-\hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{j})$$

$$+6\dot{\eta}(\vec{x},\tau)(\frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}-\hat{k}_{i}\hat{k}_{j})]n_{j}d\Omega.$$
(61)

where the dot stands for the time, t, derivative and with $d\Omega$ the integration element of solid angle. On the other hand, one easily finds

$$\int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})n_i d\Omega = \frac{4\pi i}{kr} (\frac{\sin kr}{kr} - \cos kr)\hat{k}_i \equiv \Phi(k,r)\hat{k}_i$$
(62)

where what is important for us here is that Φ does not depend on \hat{k}_i . Then

$$I^{i} = \Phi[6\dot{\eta}(\vec{x},\tau)(\frac{1}{3}\hat{k}_{i} - \hat{k}_{i})] = -4\Phi\dot{\eta}(\vec{x},\tau)\hat{k}_{i}.$$
 (63)

But, as it has been quoted in Ref. [11], in the case of inflationary scalar perturbations, in which we are interested here, $\eta(\vec{k},\tau)$ does not actually depend on $\hat{\vec{k}}$. Then, by symmetry, $\int I^i d^3k = 0$, and so, $P^i = 0$ for any time.

Next, we consider general tensor perturbations and we see that P^i vanish too. As quoted again in Ref. [11], the above Fourier transformed function $h_{ij}(\vec{k}, \tau)$ reads now:

$$h_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau) = H(k,\tau)\epsilon_{ij}(\hat{k}),\tag{64}$$

where the symmetric matrix ϵ_{ij} is transverse and traceless:

$$\epsilon_{ij}k_i = 0, \quad \epsilon_{ii} = 0. \tag{65}$$

The above I^i integral become now

$$I^{i} = -\int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})H(k,\tau)\epsilon_{ij}n_{j}d\Omega, \qquad (66)$$

which according to (62) and the first equation in (65) becomes $I^i = 0$. This is, we have again $P^i = 0$.

Thus, when inflationary scalar and general tensor perturbations are both present we have $P^i = 0$, as we wanted to prove.

The next step will be to prove that, for any time, J^{jk} vanish too for both types of perturbations. Let us first consider inflationary scalar perturbations, that is, Eq. (59).

According to Eq. (11):

$$J^{jk} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{r^3}{16\pi G} \int I^{jk} d^3k, \qquad (67)$$

where

$$I^{jk} = \int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})[n_k\dot{h}_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau) - n_j\dot{h}_{ki}(\vec{k},\tau)]n_i d\Omega.$$
(68)

But, obviously:

$$\int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})n_i n_j d\Omega \propto \delta_{ij}, \ \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j, \tag{69}$$

that is, the calculation of this integral must give a contribution which goes like δ_{ij} , and another one which goes like $\hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j$. Then, it is easy to verify that when these two kinds of contributions are introduced in (68) we obtain identically $I^{jk} = 0$, and so $J^{jk} = 0$.

Finally, we will consider general tensor perturbations, that is, $h_{ij}(\vec{k},\tau)$ given by Eqs. (64) and (65). In this case (68) becomes

$$I^{jk} = \dot{H}(k,\tau) \int \exp(i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})(n_k\epsilon_{ij} - n_j\epsilon_{ki})n_i d\Omega.$$
(70)

But having in mind (69) and the first equation of (65) it is straightforward to see that I^{jk} and then J^{jk} vanish.

All in all, for any time, P^i and J^{ij} vanish in the same coordinate system where it was proved (see Ref. [11])

that $P^0 = +\infty$. Then, we can assert that our perturbed flat FLRW universe is a non creatable one.

On the other hand, it is obvious that in the present new framework, as in [11], perturbed closed FLRW universes are creatable, while perturbed open FLRW universes are not.

VI. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

First of all, it is to be remarked that according to most literature on the subject [14–16], the closed and flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universes are creatable universes while the open FLRW universe is not. We do not need to show that this known result is here preserved since it has been proved in [1] in a similar but different framework to the one stated in the present paper. Nevertheless the translation of the result from the old framework to the present one is straight forward.

Notice that the same conclusion follows from the results obtained in [4] concerning integral conservation laws with respect to a given background and its associated isometry group, but only when this background is the flat space-time. The creatibility of the perturbed FLRW universes should be also analyzed following the approach of Ref. [4]. In this case, the above conclusion about the non-perturbed case strongly suggests that the results presented in Sec. V could be recovered from the results of [4] under these assumptions: (i) the considered background is the Minkowski space-time, (ii) the conservation laws are referred to the background isometries, and (iii) the perturbed metric and the energy content are considered in the synchronous gauge (by taking Gauss coordinates).

Now, before ending the paper we would like to point out that the main interest of it could be to give a criterium to discard from the very beginning as much as possible space-times as candidates to represent our actual Universe. The criterium could be that good initial candidates must be creatable universes. Thus, in [11] it was proved that, within the inflationary perturbed FLRW universes, only the closed case corresponds to a creatable universe. This result remains valid in the framework of the present paper, as it has been proved in Sec. V. Similarly, since some other space-times have lately been considered as candidates to represent our Universe (see for example, [17], [18]), we could check them to see if they fulfill the above criterium of creatibility. When making this checking, in the case we obtained $P^{\alpha} = 0$ and $J^{\alpha\beta} = 0$ for a given $t = t_0$, we still had to verify that the result does not depend of the value of t_0 , that is, we would have to verify a *posteriori* that we were dealing with a space-time which is a *universe*. All this would deserve some future work.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MICIN-FEDER project No. FIS2009-07705.

Appendix A: Fitting the function ψ to get $P'^3 = 0$

We must fit ψ such that $_1g_{aa}^{\prime 0}$, given by (see (48))

$${}_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0} = \lambda^{2} ({}_{1}g_{aa}^{0} {}_{1}\xi^{0} + {}_{0}g_{aa}^{1} {}_{1}\xi^{0}_{3}), \qquad (A1)$$

gives $P'^3 = 0$. Notice that according to Eq. (10) we have

$$P'^{3} = \kappa \int_{1} g_{aa}^{\prime 0} dx'^{1} dx'^{2} .$$
 (A2)

On the other hand, from (43) and (44), the equation (A1) can be written as

$$a = b \cosh \psi + c \sinh \psi, \qquad (A3)$$

where

$$a \equiv {}_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0}, \quad b \equiv \lambda^{2} {}_{1}g_{aa}^{0}, \quad c \equiv \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\sqrt{f}} {}_{0}g_{aa}^{1}$$
 (A4)

Then, putting $\cosh \psi \equiv x$, we obtain the algebraic second order equation

$$(b^{2} - c^{2})x^{2} - 2abx + a^{2} + c^{2} = 0, \qquad (A5)$$

that only has real solutions if

$$a^2 + c^2 \ge b^2 \,. \tag{A6}$$

But we can ensure it by taking a large enough. This can always be made since if $a \equiv {}_{1}g'^{0}_{aa} \neq 0$ is such that $\int a dx'^{1} dx'^{2} = 0$, then we also will have $\int Kadx'^{1} dx'^{2} =$ 0, with K a constant whose absolute value, |K|, is as large as we wanted.¹ Furthermore, if |K| is large enough, we can easily see that for the new coefficient a, that is, for Ka, one at least of the x solutions is larger than one, as it must be.

Appendix B: Fitting conveniently the functions λ and θ or the functions λ and ψ

According to what is said at the end of Sec. III, we must fit the functions λ and θ such that Eq. (49) be satisfied. Taking in account (46), the Eq. (49) becomes:

¹ The singular case $a \equiv {}_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0} = 0$, would give as a solution for (A3) $\tanh \psi = -b/a$, which only exists if |b/a| < 1.

where $_1\xi^0_{3,a} \equiv \frac{\partial_{-1}\xi^0_3}{\partial x'^a}$, and so on. Furthermore, having in mind (43), (44) and the definition of λ in (45), Eq. (B1) becomes:

$${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0} = \lambda (M_{ba} {}_{1}g_{3b}^{0} + X_{a}), \tag{B2}$$

where we have put

$$X_a \equiv \frac{2}{\sqrt{f}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'^a}.$$
 (B3)

Then, from (45), we obtain the system

$$\lambda^{2} ({}_{1}g^{0}_{31}\cos\theta - {}_{1}g^{0}_{32}\sin\theta) = -\lambda X_{1} + {}_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{31}$$
(B4)

$$\lambda^{2} ({}_{1}g^{0}_{32}\cos\theta + {}_{1}g^{0}_{31}\sin\theta) = -\lambda X_{2} + {}_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{32}.$$
(B5)

Notice that, in this system, the functions ${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}$ are defined modulus an arbitrary constant factor K (as it was, above, the case with ${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}$). This means that, in (B4) and (B5), we can take ${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}$ as small as we want, provided that the original ${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}$ remain bounded (the unbounded special case will be considered next), which in turn means that we can take as the system to solve

$$\lambda ({}_{1}g^{0}_{31}\cos\theta - {}_{1}g^{0}_{32}\sin\theta) = -X_{1}$$
 (B6)

$$\lambda ({}_{1}g^{0}_{32}\cos\theta + {}_{1}g^{0}_{31}\sin\theta) = -X_{2}.$$
 (B7)

whose unique solution, out of the singular case $_1g_{3a}^0 = 0$, is

$$\lambda \cos \theta = -\frac{{}_{1}g_{31}^{0}X_{1} + {}_{1}g_{32}^{0}X_{2}}{({}_{1}g_{31}^{0})^{2} + ({}_{1}g_{32}^{0})^{2}} \equiv Y_{1}, \qquad (B8)$$

$$\lambda \sin \theta = \frac{{}_{1}g_{32}^{0}X_1 - {}_{1}g_{31}^{0}X_2}{({}_{1}g_{31}^{0})^2 + ({}_{1}g_{32}^{0})^2} \equiv Y_2, \tag{B9}$$

that is to say

$$\lambda = \sqrt{Y_1^2 + Y_2^2}, \quad \tan \theta = \frac{Y_2}{Y_1}.$$
 (B10)

To complete the above discussion let us consider the special case where ${}_{1}g'^{0}_{3a}$ goes to infinite when we approach Σ_{2} . (Obviously this will have to be compatible with the vanishing of the integrals $\int_{1}g'^{0}_{3a}dx'^{1}dx'^{2}$). In this case, the system (B4), (B5), becomes:

$$\lambda^2 ({}_{1}g^0_{31}\cos\theta - {}_{1}g^0_{32}\sin\theta) = {}_{1}g'^0_{31} \qquad (B11)$$

$$\lambda^2 ({}_{1}g^0_{32}\cos\theta + {}_{1}g^0_{31}\sin\theta) = {}_{1}g'^0_{32}, \qquad (B12)$$

with $_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0}$ going to infinite, whose solution is

$$\lambda^{2} = \infty, \tan \theta = \lim_{1g_{3a}^{0} \to \infty} \frac{1g_{31}^{0} \ 1g_{32}^{\prime 0} - \ 1g_{32}^{0} \ 1g_{31}^{\prime 0}}{1g_{31}^{0} \ 1g_{31}^{\prime 0} + \ 1g_{32}^{0} \ 1g_{32}^{\prime 0}}.$$
 (B13)

We could still consider the remaining two special cases where, only one of the two functions ${}_{1}g^{0}_{3a}$ goes to infinite, but the reader can see easily than also in both cases a solution exists for λ , θ . To end with this Appendix B, let us consider the above singular case $_1g_{3a}^0 = 0$. It seems that now the four Eqs. (46) and (49) cannot always be satisfied by fitting $_1\xi_b^1$ and M_{ab} since these four unknown functions appear now through only two quantities $_1\xi_b^1M_{ba}$.

Nevertheless, let us proceed along the following lines: As far as Eq. (49) is concerned, we always can satisfy it by fitting some convenient values of $_1\xi_b^1$, since $f \neq 0$ and M_{ab} is a regular matrix.

On the other hand, according to (B2) and (B3), Eq. (46) reads now

$${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0} = \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{f}} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x^{\prime a}}.$$
 (B14)

Using λ as an integrating factor, we always can find a family of solutions ψ of these two equations. Then, we must fit this family of solutions such that the Eq. (48) we are left with,

$$_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0} = \lambda^{2} (_{1}g_{aa}^{0} \cosh \psi + \frac{_{0}g_{aa}^{1}}{\sqrt{f}} \sinh \psi), \quad (B15)$$

becomes satisfied. To see that this is also possible, in (B14) we will choose ${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0} = \epsilon_{a}g_{3}$, with $\epsilon_{a} = 1$, $\forall a$, and g_{3} a function such that $\int g_{3}dx'^{1}dx'^{2} = 0$. In this case we have $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'^{1}} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x'^{2}}$, that is ψ is a function of $x'^{1} + x'^{2} \equiv y_{1}$, but not of $y_{2} \equiv x'^{1} - x'^{2}$:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_2} = 0. \tag{B16}$$

Then, let us integrate (B16) along y_2 over Σ_2 . We will have

$$a = b\cosh\psi + c\sinh\psi, \qquad (B17)$$

with

$$a = \int_{1} g_{aa}^{\prime 0} dy_2, \ b = \int \lambda^2 \, _1 g_{aa}^0 dy_2, \ c = \int \frac{\lambda^2}{\sqrt{f}} \, _0 g_{aa}^1 dy_2,$$
(B18)

where, like ψ , the coefficients a, b, c, depend only on y_1 . On the ground of what was said for the coefficient a of Appendix A, the present coefficient a is also as greater as we want. Then, we can conclude that (B17) always have a solution for ψ for any function $_{1}g'^{0}_{aa}$ such that $\int_{1}g'^{0}_{aa}dx'^{1}dx'^{2} = 0$. That is to say, Eqs. (46), (48) and (49) can all be satisfied at the same time, as we wanted to prove in the present singular case $_{1}g^{0}_{3a} = 0$.

Appendix C: The counter example of Minkowski space

In Sec IV, we claim that if we have a *universe* such that its ten 4-momenta vanish for some given intrinsic system of coordinates, we cannot hope to keep this tenfold vanishing against any coordinate change going to

new intrinsic coordinates. The reason of this is that even Minkowski space, M_4 , have not such a property.

In order to see this, refer M_4 to Lorentzian coordinates. These are obviously intrinsic coordinates, in the sense of the present paper. Furthermore, all ten 4-momenta vanish in this Lorentzian frame. Thus, according to our definition, M_4 is an example of creatable universe. Then, let us make some general infinitesimal coordinate transformation:

$$x^{\alpha} = x^{\prime \alpha} + \epsilon^{\alpha}(x) , \qquad (C1)$$

where the old coordinates, $\{x^{\alpha}\}$, are Lorentzian coordinates. Let us subject the functions $\epsilon(x)$ to the condition that the new coordinates $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ be intrinsic coordinates. That is, the new metric components

$$g'_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} + \eta_{\alpha\rho}\partial_{\beta}\epsilon^{\rho} + \eta_{\beta\rho}\partial_{\alpha}\epsilon^{\rho} \tag{C2}$$

has to satisfy on the one hand, Eqs. (25) and (26) (the first one up to zero order in $t' - t_0$ and order one in x'^3). On the other hand, the time derivatives $\partial'_0 g'_{3i}$, $\partial'_0 g'_{aa}$, must fulfill the conditions (34)-(36)

$$\int_{1} g_{aa}^{\prime 0} dx^{1} dx^{2} = 0, \ \int_{1} g_{3i}^{\prime 0} dx^{1} dx^{2} = 0,$$
(C3)

$$\int (x^{\prime 2} \,_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{31} - x^{\prime 1} \,_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{32}) \, dx^{1}dx^{2} = 0, \qquad (C4)$$

$$\int x^{\prime a} \,_{1}g^{\prime 0}_{33} \,\, dx^1 dx^2 = 0, \tag{C5}$$

which mean that $P'^i = 0$ and that, irrespective of the origin of the angular momentum, $J'^{ij} = 0$ (notice that to first order we can put $dx^1 dx^2$ instead of $dx'^1 dx'^2$).

After some elementary calculations, all these conditions are written:

$$_{1}\varepsilon_{a}^{0} = \partial_{a} _{0}\varepsilon^{0}, \quad _{1}\varepsilon_{3}^{0} = _{0}\varepsilon^{1}, \quad _{1}\varepsilon^{0} = 0,$$
 (C6)

$$\varepsilon_a^1 = \partial_a \ _0 \varepsilon^1, \quad {}_1 \varepsilon_3^1 = \ _0 \varepsilon^2,$$
 (C7)

$${}_{0}\varepsilon_{a}^{1} = -\partial_{a} {}_{0}\varepsilon_{3}^{0}, {}_{0}\varepsilon_{3}^{1} = (1 - f')/2,$$
 (C8)

$${}_{1}g_{3a}^{\prime 0} = \partial_{a} {}_{1}\varepsilon_{3}^{0} + {}_{1}\varepsilon_{a}^{1}, {}_{1}g_{33}^{\prime 0} = 2 {}_{1}\varepsilon_{3}^{1}, {}_{1}g_{aa}^{\prime 0} = 2\partial_{a} {}_{1}\varepsilon_{a}^{0},$$
(C9)

where we have used the notation $\varepsilon^i \equiv \varepsilon_i$.

A particular solution of this system is

$${}_{0}\varepsilon^{1} = {}_{1}\varepsilon^{0} = 0, {}_{1}\varepsilon^{0}_{i} = {}_{1}\varepsilon^{1}_{i} = 0, {}_{0}\varepsilon^{1}_{a} = -\partial_{a} {}_{0}\varepsilon^{0}_{3}, (C10)$$

$$_{0}\varepsilon_{3}^{1} = (1 - f')/2, \quad \partial_{aa}^{2} \ _{0}\varepsilon^{0} = 0.$$
 (C11)

On the other hand, we similarly obtain:

$${}_{0}g_{aa}^{\prime 1} = 2\partial_{a} {}_{0}\varepsilon_{a}^{1} \tag{C12}$$

which, according to the corresponding equation in (C12), becomes (C12)

$${}_0g_{aa}^{\prime 1} = -\partial_{aa}^2 {}_0\varepsilon_3^0. \tag{C13}$$

Thus, since $_0\varepsilon_3^0$ is small, but otherwise arbitrary, we always can choose $_0\varepsilon_3^0$ so as to have

$$\int_{0} g_{aa}^{\prime 1} \, dx^1 dx^2 \neq 0, \tag{C14}$$

that is, so as to have $P'^0 \neq 0$. Then, as we have announced, we cannot preserve the vanishing of P'^{α} and $J'^{\alpha\beta}$ when making a general coordinate transformation from an intrinsic coordinate system to another intrinsic one.

- J. J. Ferrando, R. Lapiedra, and J. A. Morales, Phys. Rev. D 75, 124003 (2007).
- [2] S. Weinberg, Gravitation and Cosmology (Wiley, New York, 1972).
- [3] N. Ó. Murchadha, J. Math. Phys. (N. Y.) 27, 2111 (1986).
- [4] J. Katz, J. Bičák, and D. Lyndell-Bell, Phys. Rev. D 55, 5957 (1997). See also gr-qc/0504041 for some corrected minsprints.
- [5] N. Banerjee and S. Sen, Pramana J. Phys. 49, 609 (1997).
- [6] S. S. Xulu, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **39**, 1153 (2000).
- [7] J. M. Nester, L. Loi So, and T. Vargas, Phys. Rev. D 78, 044035 (2008).
- [8] J. B. Pitts, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 42, 601 (2010).
- [9] M. G. Albrow, Nature **241**, 56 (1973).

- [10] E. P. Tryon, Nature **246**, 396 (1973).
- [11] R. Lapiedra and D. Sáez, Phys. Rev. D 77, 104011 (2008).
- [12] R. Lapiedra and J. A. Morales-Lladosa, "Creatable universes: a new approach" in Proceeding of the XXXII Spanish Relativity Meeting ERE-2009, *Gravitation in the Large*. R. Lazkoz and R. Vera (eds). Journal of Physics, Conference Series (to be published).
- [13] L. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Pergamon Press (1962).
- [14] N. Rosen, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 26, 319 (1994).
- [15] F. I. Cooperstook, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 26, 323 (1994).
- [16] J. Garecki, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 27, 55 (1995).
- [17] M. Lachièze-Rey and J. P. Luminet, Phys. Rep. 254, 135 (1995).

[18] T. R. Jaffe, A. J. Banday, H. K. Eriksen, K. M. Górski, and F. K. Hansen, ApJ, 629 L1 (2005).