

Boundary values of vector-valued functions in Orlicz-Hardy classes

By
OSCAR BLASCO

Introduction. The aim of this paper is to give a characterization of the “boundary values” of functions belonging to Orlicz-Hardy classes of harmonic and holomorphic functions on the disc, $\text{Har}^\Phi(X)$ and $\text{Hol}^\Phi(X)$ respectively, in terms of X -valued measures, being X a Banach space. We shall find the above spaces to be isometric to the spaces $V_{+,x}^\Phi$ and $V_{+,x}^\Psi$ respectively (see definitions below).

Some questions related to this problem have been considered in [6] when the Banach space X is a Hilbert space H or $\mathcal{S}(H)$. Several results for the case $\Phi(t) = t^p$ when $p > 1$ have been obtained in [1] and [2].

On the other hand, the classical theorems about boundary values remain valid in the vector-valued setting depending on the geometry of the Banach space X . In fact the Radon-Nikodym property and analytic Radon-Nikodym property [2] are the corresponding ones to guarantee the existence of boundary limits almost everywhere for functions in $\text{Har}^\Phi(X)$ and $\text{Hol}^\Phi(X)$ respectively.

Through this paper Φ will denote a Young function with Δ_2 -condition and Ψ its complementary function (see [5] for definitions), X will be a complex Banach space and $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}, m)$ the Lebesgue measure space on the circle with $m(\mathbb{T}) = 1$.

Definitions and previous lemmas. Let us recall the definition of Orlicz-spaces of functions

$$(1) \quad L_X^\Phi = \left\{ f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X \text{ measurable functions such that} \right. \\ \left. \beta(f, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(\|f(t)\|) dt < +\infty \right\}.$$

Due to the assumptions on Φ , L_X^Φ is a vector space and becomes a Banach space with the following norm (see [5])

$$(1') \quad \|f\|_\Phi = \inf \{k > 0: \beta(f/k, \Phi) \leq 1\}.$$

In [7] J. J. Uhl studied a certain generalization of this space in terms of vector-valued measures. He did that for a general measure space and with more general assumptions

on Φ . Here let us give the following definition more adequate for our purposes.

$$(2) \quad Y_X^\Phi = \left\{ G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \text{ finitely additive measures with} \right. \\ \left. \beta(G, \Phi) = \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{E \in \pi} \Phi \left(\frac{\|G(E)\|}{m(E)} \right) m(E) \right\} < +\infty \right\}$$

(where the supremum is taken over all finite partitions π of \mathbb{T} in measurable sets of positive measure).

Y_X^Φ becomes a Banach space endowed with the norm

$$(2) \quad \|G\|_\Phi = \inf \{k > 0: \beta(G/k, \Phi) \leq 1\}.$$

Remark 1. If G belongs to Y_X^Φ then G is m -continuous, that is $\lim_{m(E) \rightarrow 0} G(E) = 0$, and it has bounded variation.

Both facts follow easily from writing $\|G(E)\| = \int \frac{\|G(E)\|}{m(E)} \chi_E$ and using the scalar-valued result $\int |u(t)v(t)| dt \leq \|u\|_\Phi \|v\|_\Psi$.

Remark 2 (see [7]). If $f \in L^p_X$ then $G(E) = \int_E f(t) dt$ is a measure in Y_X^Φ and $\|G\|_\Phi = \|f\|_\Phi$.

Let us modify a little bit the definition in (2) and consider

$$\beta'(G, \Phi) = \sup_{\pi} \sum_{E \in \pi} \Phi \left(\frac{\|G(E)\|}{m(E)} \right) m(E)$$

where $|G|(E)$ represents the variation of E .

It is clear that $\beta(G, \Phi) \leq \beta'(G, \Phi)$, but actually we have the following

Lemma 1. $\beta(G, \Phi) = \beta'(G, \Phi)$.

Proof. Let us take a partition π_0 of sets of positive measure and consider E to be one of these sets.

$$\frac{|G|(E)}{m(E)} = \sup_{\pi_E} \frac{1}{m(E)} \sum_{A \in \pi_E} \|G(A)\| = \sup_{\pi_E} \sum_{A \in \pi_E} \frac{m(A) \|G(A)\|}{m(E) m(A)}$$

where π_E denotes a finite partition of E in sets with positive measure.

By convexity and continuity of Φ we can write

$$\Phi \left(\frac{|G|(E)}{m(E)} \right) \leq \sup_{\pi_E} \sum_{A \in \pi_E} \frac{m(A)}{m(E)} \Phi \left(\frac{\|G(A)\|}{m(A)} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{E \in \pi_0} \Phi \left(\frac{|G|(E)}{m(E)} \right) m(E) \leq \sum_{E \in \pi_0} \sup_{\pi_E} \sum_{A \in \pi_E} \Phi \left(\frac{\|G(A)\|}{m(A)} \right) m(A) \\ \leq \sup_{\pi} \sum_{B \in \pi} \Phi \left(\frac{\|G(B)\|}{m(B)} \right) m(B) = \beta(G, \Phi).$$

Taking supremum over all partitions we get the result. \square

Lemma 2. *If G belongs to V_X^Φ then there exists a function $g \geq 0$ in L^Φ such that*

$$(3) \quad |G|(E) = \int_E g(t) dt \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{E}$$

$$(4) \quad |G|_\omega = |g|_\omega.$$

Proof. By Remark 1 we can say that $|G|$ is a positive finite measure which is m -continuous and therefore by using the Radon-Nikodym theorem we find a positive function g in L^1 verifying (3). Now (4) follows from Lemma 1 and Remark 2. \square

The main theorems. Let us recall the following definitions for Orlicz-Hardy classes:

$$(5) \quad \text{Har}^\Phi(X) = \left\{ F: D \rightarrow X \text{ harmonic such that} \right. \\ \left. \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi(\|F_r(t)\|) dt < +\infty \right\}$$

where $F_r(t) = F(re^{it})$, and D is the unit disc.

We give the following norm in it

$$(5') \quad \|F\|_\omega = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|F_r\|_\omega.$$

We shall denote $\text{Hol}^\Phi(X)$ the subspace of it formed only by holomorphic functions. As usual if we are given a X -valued measure G with bounded variation we can consider the Poisson integral of it as follows:

$$(6) \quad F(re^{i\theta}) = P(G)(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) dG(t)$$

where P_r stands for the Poisson kernel on the circle \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 1. *V_X^Φ is isometric (via Poisson integral) to $\text{Har}^\Phi(X)$.*

Proof. If G is a measure with bounded variation the $F = P(G)$ is a harmonic function and it verifies

$$\|F_r(\theta)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) dG(t) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) d|G|(t).$$

Hence, according to Lemma 2, we can write

$$(7) \quad \|F_r(\theta)\| \leq P_r * g(\theta) \quad \text{for some } g \text{ in } L^\Phi.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) dt = 1$ for all θ then Jensen's inequality and (9) allow us to do the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\|F_r\|, \Phi) &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi \left(\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) g(t) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) \Phi(g(t)) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right) \Phi(g(t)) \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \beta(g, \Phi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|F\|_\omega \leq |g|_\omega = |G|_\omega$.

Conversely, let us take F in $\text{Har}^\Phi(X)$ and let us consider $\{F_n\}$ as a net uniformly bounded in L_X^Φ . Now we look at L_X^Φ as a subspace of a dual space in the following way: $L_X^\Phi \subseteq L_{X^{**}}^\Phi \subseteq V_{X^{**}}^\Phi = (L_{X^{**}}^\Phi)^*$ (See [7] for duality).

Therefore there exist a sequence r_n and a measure G in $V_{X^{**}}^\Phi$ such that F_{r_n} converges to G in the w^* -topology.

Now let us take ξ in X^* with $\|\xi\|_{X^*} = 1, 0 < s < 1$ and θ in \mathbb{T} , and consider the element $\xi P_s(\theta - t) = \eta(t)$ belonging to $L_{X^*}^\Phi$, we can write

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \langle F_{r_n}(t), \xi \rangle P_s(\theta - t) \frac{dt}{2\pi} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_s(\theta - t) dG(t), \xi \right\rangle.$$

From this it follows that $F = P(G)$. To show now that the range of G is actually in X , let us observe the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} G(E) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \chi_E * P_r(t) dG(t) \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_E P_r(\theta - t) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right) dG(t) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_E \left(\int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) dG(t) \right) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

All these limits are a priori in X^{**} , and to justify the use of Fubini's theorem we can apply both members to elements in X^* and use the scalar-valued version. Now notice that the last term is "lim $\int_E P_r(\theta) d\theta$ " and therefore $G(E)$ is a limit in X^{**} but of elements in X , so $G(E) \in X$. Finally it is easy to see that $\|G\|_\omega \leq \sup \|F_r\|_\omega = \|F\|_\omega$. \square

Denoting by

$$V_{+,X}^\Phi = \{G \in V_X^\Phi: \hat{G}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\},$$

where $\hat{G}(n)$ stands for $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\theta} dG(t)$, we can establish the following

Corollary 1. $\text{Hol}^\Phi(X) = V_{+,X}^\Phi$ (Via Poisson integral).

So far we have found a space to identify the "boundary values" of functions in $\text{Har}^{\phi}(X)$ and $\text{Hol}^{\phi}(X)$ without any property on the Banach space X . A different point of view would be to look for conditions on X to make the classical result remains valid, that is any function F from the disc into X has limits at the boundary a.e.

This was studied by Bukhvalov and Danilevich [2] in the particular case $\phi(t) = t^p$. Here we shall extend their results and we shall use a different approach.

Theorem 2. X has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if the Poisson integral is an isometry between $\text{Har}^{\phi}(X)$ and L^{ϕ}_X .

Proof. By Theorem 1 we shall prove that the RNP is equivalent to the fact that any measure in V^{ϕ}_X is representable by a function in L^{ϕ}_X . Let us suppose X has the RNP and take G in V^{ϕ}_X , then from Remark 1 there exists a function f such that $G(E) = \int_E f(t) dt$. Moreover the function g in Lemma 2 is actually $\|f(t)\|$ what implies that $f \in L^{\phi}_X$.

Conversely let us take an operator $T: L^1 \rightarrow X$, and according to the formulation of RNP in terms of operators (see [3]) we have to show that T is representable by a function in L^1_X . Consider now $G(E) = T(\chi_E)$. It is immediate that G belongs to V^{ϕ}_X and then G is representable and so T is also representable. \square

Due to a result like this, the following property was introduced in [2] for holomorphic functions:

Definition. A complex Banach space X is said to have the *analytic Radon-Nikodym property* (ARNP) if every bounded holomorphic function from the disc D into X has limits at the boundary a.e.

They proved that this is equivalent to saying that the Poisson integral is an isometry between $\text{Hol}^p(X)$ and $\{f \in L^p_X: \hat{f}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\}$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Obviously this can be extended to Orlicz spaces.

Corollary 2. X has the ARNP if and only if $\text{Hol}^{\phi}(X) = \{f \in L^{\phi}_X: \hat{f}(n) = 0, n < 0\}$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] O. BLASCO, Boundary values of vector valued harmonic functions considered as operators. *Studia Math.* (to appear).
- [2] A. V. BUKHVALOV and A. A. DANILEVICH, Boundary properties of analytic and harmonic functions with values in Banach spaces. *Mat. Zametki* **31**, 203–214 (1982). English translation *Mat. Notes* **31**, 104–110 (1982).
- [3] J. DESTIE and J. J. UHL, Vector Measures. *Amer. Math. Soc. Math. Surveys* **15** (1977).
- [4] P. L. DUREN, Theory of H^p spaces. New York 1970.
- [5] A. KUFNER et al., Function spaces. (1977).
- [6] M. ROSENBLUM and J. ROVNYAK, Hardy classes and Operator Theory. New York 1985.

- [7] J. J. UHL, Orlicz spaces of finitely additive set functions. *Studia Math.* **29**, 19–58 (1967).
- [8] A. C. ZANEN, Linear Analysis. Amsterdam 1964.

Eingegangen am 13. 5. 1986 *)

Anschrift des Autors:

Oscar Blasco
Dpto Teoría de Funciones
Facultad de Ciencias
Zaragoza-50009
Spain

*) Eine Neufassung ging am 19. 1. 1987 ein.